UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Unravelling the small-island effect through phylogenetic community ecology

Matthews, Tom; Rigal, François; Kougioumoutzis, Kostas; Trigas, Panayiotis; Triantis, Kostas A.

DOI: 10.1111/jbi.13940

License: Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Matthews, T, Rigal, F, Kougioumoutzis, K, Trigas, P & Triantis, KA 2020, 'Unravelling the small-island effect through phylogenetic community ecology', *Journal of Biogeography*, vol. 47, no. 11, pp. 2341-2352. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13940

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Matthews, TJ, Rigal, F, Kougioumoutzis, K, Trigas, P, Triantis, KA. Unravelling the small-island effect through phylogenetic community ecology. J Biogeogr. 2020; 00: 1–12., which has been published in final form at: https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13940. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1	Unravelling the small-island effect through phylogenetic community ecology
2	
3	Thomas J. Matthews* ^{1, 2+} , François Rigal ^{3,2+} , Kostas Kougioumoutzis ⁴ , Panayiotis Trigas ⁵ &
4	Kostas A. Triantis ^{*4}
5	
6	¹ GEES (School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences) and Birmingham Institute
7	of Forest Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT
8	² CE3C – Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes/Azorean Biodiversity
9	Group and Universidade. dos Açores - Depto de Ciências Agráriase Engenharia do Ambiente,
10	PT-9700-042, Angra do Heroísmo, Açores, Portugal.
11	³ Environment and Microbiology Team, Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, IPREM
12	UMR CNRS 5254, BP 1155, 64013 Pau Cedex, France.
13	⁴ Department of Ecology and Taxonomy, Faculty of Biology, National and Kapodistrian
14	University of Athens, Athens GR-15784, Greece.
15	⁵ Faculty of Crop Science, Agricultural University of Athens, Iera Odos, GR-118 55, Athens,
16	Greece.
17	
18	⁺ These authors contributed equally to this work.
19	*Correspondence authors. Emails: TJM - txm676@gmail.com; KAT - ktriantis@biol.uoa.gr
20	Running title: Phylogenetic diversity and the small-island effect

22 Abstract

Aim: The small-island effect (SIE) describes a different relationship between island area and species richness on smaller compared to larger islands. The pattern has recently gained widespread support. However, few studies have attempted to identify the actual mechanisms driving the SIE. Here, we use a phylogenetic community framework to study the SIE, based on the assumption that if the dominant assembly processes differ between small and large islands, patterns of phylogenetic community structure should shift across the area and habitat diversity gradient.

30 Location: The Aegean Archipelago, Greece.

31 Methods: We used a large dataset of 3262 vascular plant species distributed across 173 islands, in combination with a species-level phylogeny. The phylogenetic community 32 33 structure of each island was calculated using a null modelling framework and was quantified 34 using effect sizes (ES); negative values indicating phylogenetic clustering and positive values 35 overdispersion. Habitat diversity, species richness, phylogenetic diversity (PD) and ES values were regressed against log₁₀ -transformed area and we tested for a SIE using piecewise 36 37 regression models. We also assessed differences in taxonomic and phylogenetic composition 38 between small and large islands using a beta-diversity framework.

39 **Results:** We found evidence of a SIE using species richness, PD, and phylogenetic 40 community structure (ES values). Small islands displayed low variation in habitat diversity 41 and tended to be more phylogenetically clustered, while large islands shifted from 42 phylogenetic clustering towards phylogenetic overdispersion with increasing area and habitat 43 diversity. In addition, we showed that phylogenetic composition tended to be more similar 44 between small islands than expected.

Main conclusion: Overall, our results provide an example of a SIE in the analysis of island
phylogenetic community structure, and point to a role of habitat diversity in driving the SIE
more generally.

48

Keywords: Breakpoints, island biogeography, phylogenetic diversity, small-island effect,
species-area relationship, beta-diversity

52 1. INTRODUCTION

The small-island effect can be broadly described as a different relationship between island 53 54 area and species richness (the island species-area relationship; ISAR) on smaller compared to larger islands (Triantis & Sfenthourakis, 2012). That is, there is a threshold point (or at least a 55 56 transitional phase) in island area where the slope of the ISAR changes; either species richness 57 on small islands varies independently of area or increases / decreases at a different rate than 58 on larger islands. The first graphical representation of the pattern was provided by Niering in 1956 (see his Figure 32), and not by the same author in 1963 as is commonly reported. 59 60 Discussion of the SIE in the context of the equilibrium theory of island biogeography was 61 then later provided by MacArthur & Wilson (1967) and Whitehead & Jones (1969). Although 62 there were SIE studies in the intervening years, the pattern has undergone a resurgence in 63 interest since the start of the millennium (Lomolino & Weiser, 2001; Triantis et al., 2006; 64 Burns, McHardy, & Pledger, 2009; Dengler, 2010; Triantis & Sfenthourakis, 2012) and has 65 now been shown to be present in a variety of systems, including true islands and habitat 66 islands (Matthews, Steinbauer, Tzirkalli, Triantis, & Whittaker, 2014; Morrison, 2014; Wang, 67 Millien, & Ding, 2016), although a number of exceptions exist (Matthews et al., 2014; Wang 68 et al., 2016).

69

70 Although the SIE has become an important part of the theoretical framework of island 71 biogeography, there is still considerable debate about the mechanisms underpinning the SIE. 72 A number of explanations have been proposed and these can be broadly split into two main 73 hypotheses (Sfenthourakis & Triantis, 2009). The first hypothesis postulates that on small 74 islands extinction rates vary independently of island area due, for example, to stochastic events and / or to episodic catastrophic disturbances such as storms that may result in the 75 76 (near) complete extirpation of species on small islands (e.g. MacArthur & Wilson, 1967). One 77 possible pattern emerging from such area-independent extinction rates on small islands is 78 higher temporal species turnover on smaller compared to larger islands (MacArthur & 79 Wilson, 1967). The second hypothesis refers to the primary role of habitat diversity and 80 niche-based factors in driving the SIE (Triantis et al., 2006; Sfenthourakis & Triantis, 2009; 81 Chisholm et al., 2016; Chen, Yang, Tan, & Wang, 2020). Here, the idea is that on small 82 islands island area and environmental heterogeneity become decoupled (Triantis, Mylonas, 83 Weiser, Lika, & Vardinoyannis, 2005; Triantis et al., 2006), and that below a threshold of island area the absence of major habitat types is the main constraint on richness 84

85 (Sfenthourakis & Triantis, 2009; Chen et al., 2020). For example, Niering (1963) postulated 86 that the lack of mature soils on islands smaller than 3.5 acres explained the SIE pattern he 87 observed; it should be noted that Niering did not explicitly use the term SIE. Other proposed 88 mechanisms explaining the SIE include low immigration rates on small islands (Morrison, 89 2011) and species-specific area requirements (Schrader et al., 2019), both of which prevent 90 the successful establishment of species on small islands. However, despite all of these various 91 proposed hypotheses, in general, studies attempting to identify the actual mechanisms driving 92 the SIE in a given system are scarce (e.g. Morrison, 2011; Schrader et al., 2019).

93

94 In addition to the mechanisms generating the SIE, many other aspects of the SIE are still 95 debated. For instance, there have been strong disagreements about the appropriate statistical 96 approach for identifying SIEs (Dengler, 2010; Triantis & Sfenthourakis, 2012; Morrison, 97 2014; Wang et al., 2016). These disagreements include debates on whether or not to include 98 islands with no species (Morrison, 2014; Wang et al., 2016), the most effective statistical 99 model to detect a SIE (Lomolino & Weiser, 2001; Toms & Lesperance, 2003; Triantis et al., 100 2006; Matthews et al., 2014; Chisholm, Fung, Chimalakonda, & O'Dwyer, 2016; Gao, Cao, 101 Xu, & Perry, 2019), and the best variable transformation procedure to use when fitting SIE 102 models (Burns et al., 2009; Matthews et al., 2014). Furthermore, most SIE studies have 103 focused on documenting patterns in species numbers without considering that species 104 composition may help to elucidate the mechanisms underlying the SIE. For instance, islet 105 specialists (species that exclusively occur on cliffs, rocks and coastal habitats on typically 106 small, low, uninhabited islets; Rechinger & Rechinger-Moser, 1951) are an important 107 component of the flora of small islands in the Aegean Sea (Panitsa & Tzanoudakis, 2001; see 108 Morrison, 2014, for a similar example from the flora of the Bahamas), but are largely absent 109 from the larger islands, and thus their presence will likely influence any SIE patterns in these 110 islands. In addition, SIE studies have only considered the number of species as the response 111 variable, and thus assuming that all species are evolutionarily independent and ecologically 112 equivalent. Critical information about primary community assembly mechanisms on islands 113 might thus have been ignored.

114

Recently, ecologists and biogeographers have turned to phylogenetic and functional diversitybased metrics to provide novel insights into their study systems. Phylogenetic diversity (PD) incorporates the evolutionary relationships between species and provides a measure of the amount of evolutionary history that is represented by the species in an assemblage (Webb,

119 Ackerly, McPeek, & Donoghue, 2002). Based on the assumption that phylogenetic 120 relatedness between species is positively correlated with functional / ecological relatedness 121 (an assumption that may not hold in all cases; Flynn, Mirotchnick, Jain, Palmer, & Naeem, 122 2011), PD provides an integrative measure encompassing the overall similarity that stems 123 from multiple traits. When used in combination with an appropriate null model, the 124 comparison of the observed PD value to the distribution of null values enables classification 125 of the observed value as being significantly larger than expected by chance (i.e. 126 overdispersed), significantly smaller than expected (i.e. clustered), or not significantly 127 different from random expectation. These different situations have been interpreted as being 128 indicative of different community assembly processes (e.g. habitat filtering resulting in 129 clustered patterns; see Münkemüller et al., 2020, for a review). PD approaches are now 130 widely utilized in community ecology, and they have provided compelling evidence for the 131 importance of integrating both ecological and evolutionary processes to test mechanisms of 132 community assembly (Emerson & Gillespie, 2008; Webb et al., 2002). However, the study of 133 PD on islands remains in its infancy (Weigelt et al., 2015), and to our knowledge PD has 134 never been applied in the study of the SIE.

135

136 The present study is based on the idea that re-approaching the SIE using a phylogenetic 137 community approach will be beneficial as it will allow for a better assessment of the 138 mechanisms driving the pattern. Based on previous work on the SIE, and on distinct studies 139 focused on PD, we can generate several predictions of how communities should assemble in 140 regard to island size and habitat diversity and how, in turn, this will result in a SIE. Overall, if 141 the dominant assembly processes differ between small and large islands, patterns of 142 phylogenetic community structure should shift across the island area gradient. More 143 specifically, it is known that smaller islands often tend to contain a limited number of 144 relatively less-complex habitats (e.g. sclerophyllous scrub vegetation in the Mediterranean) 145 (Snogerup & Snogerup, 2004; Sfenthourakis, Pafilis, Parmakelis, Poulakakis, & Triantis, 146 2018). If habitat availability is the main driver of small island richness (e.g. Triantis et al., 147 2006; Chen et al., 2020), we would expect species occurring on small islands (in a given 148 archipelago) to be clustered in the phylogenetic tree, because only a subset of closely related 149 species (e.g. from a limited number of clades) will likely be adapted to the limited habitat 150 types on small islands. This should be reflected at the island scale with small islands being 151 less phylogenetically diverse than expected by chance (phylogenetic clustering). According to 152 this argument, and bBased on previous work (e.g. Niering, 1963), we would also predict that

153 most islands below a threshold area value will contain only limited habitat types (i.e. below 154 this point the relationship between island area and habitat diversity is decoupled). That is, 155 there will be a threshold point in area before habitat diversity and island area increase together 156 in the expected linear fashion (Triantis et al., 2006). Overall then, we predict that, if habitat 157 diversity is a primary driver of island richness, due to the decoupling of island area and 158 habitat diversity on small islands, the relationship between area and diversity (e.g. richness, 159 PD; Chen et al., ; Triantis et al.,) will differ from that on large islands (i.e. the small island 160 effect), and also that small islands are expected to support a limited subset of closely related 161 species (i.e. PD clustering). In addition, if small islands contain similar habitat types, we 162 should also expect the biota of these islands to be more phylogenetically similar (phylogenetic 163 convergence) than expected by chance (Graham & Fine,).

164

165 In contrast, larger islands should have higher habitat heterogeneity (Ricklefs & Lovette, 1999; 166 Whittaker & Fernández-Palacios, 2007; Sfenthourakis & Triantis, 2009), allowing more 167 distant lineages (that are adapted to the wider range of habitats) to establish, persist and 168 diversify, and thus the species on large islands should be more spread across the phylogenetic 169 tree than expected by chance (i.e. overdispersed). On larger islands, the biota may be either 170 more or less phylogenetically similar (phylogenetic convergence or divergence) than 171 expected, depending on, amongst other things, the level of habitat similarity between the 172 islands, and / or the prevalence of *in situ* speciation (Graham & Fine, 2008).

173

174 To test the aforementioned predictions, we used a large and well sampled dataset of 3262 175 vascular plant species distributed across 173 islands and islets of varying size in the Aegean 176 Sea (Greece). The phylogenetic community structure of each island was assessed using a 177 species-level plant phylogeny and null models were implemented to test whether islands were 178 more phylogenetically clustered or overdispersed than expected by chance. We used 179 piecewise regression models to evaluate whether there were breakpoints in the ISAR (i.e. the 180 traditional SIE), and in various types of island phylogenetic diversity-area relationships 181 (IPDAR; i.e. a SIE in phylogenetic diversity). For the purposes of this study we define a SIE 182 as a threshold point in island area where there is a statistically significant change in the slope 183 of the diversity-area relationship. We also assessed whether species turnover and 184 phylogenetic dissimilarity between islands were lower or higher than expected by chance 185 using null models, and tested whether there were differences between small and large islands. 186 Our study documents the first example of a SIE in the phylogenetic community structure of 187 islands. In addition, our findings provide evidence suggesting a role of habitat diversity in 188 driving the traditional SIE. Overall, we demonstrate how a phylogenetic community-based 189 approach can be used to provide insights into the potential mechanisms driving the SIE, and 190 community assembly on islands more generally.

191

192 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

193 **2.1 Data collection and phylogeny construction**

194 Building on the work of Kougioumoutzis et al. (2017), we used a comprehensive database of 195 the presence-absence of plant species on 173 Aegean islands and islets (Figure 1a). We 196 compiled our dataset from an extensive and detailed database of the Aegean islands 197 (Appendix S1). Systematic research on the flora of the large Aegean Islands started in the late 198 1920s and has been undertaken continuously up to the present day. For the larger islands, the 199 dataset comprises all available records with the exception of species that have been recorded 200 only once in the distant past (i.e. their occurrence has not been confirmed with more recent 201 surveys). Study of the floristic composition of the small islands (Figure 1b-d) was undertaken 202 between 1970 and 2000. For the vast majority of these small islands, a single exhaustive plant 203 sampling trip was conducted during this period, and these data are used in the database. Island 204 species richness ranged from two (Islet East Aspronisi) to 1751 species (Crete). In total, the 205 dataset contains 3262 native vascular plant species (all non-native taxa were excluded). The 206 chorological status of the species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013) and Strid (2016). The area (km²) of each of the 173 islands and islets was sourced from the literature (Panitsa, 1997; 207 Sfenthourakis & Triantis, 2009) and ranged from 0.0006 km^2 for Tourleta islet to 8270 km^2 208 209 for Crete.

210

211 Coarse-scale habitat type information for each island was calculated using the CORINE Land 212 Cover (CLC) inventory (Copernicus Land Monitoring Service, 2018). The habitat diversity of 213 each island was calculated as the total number of CLC classes present (out of a total of 44 214 classes). The CLC has a resolution of 100 m, which is relatively coarse in comparison to the 215 size of the smallest islands / islets in our dataset. As such, for these smaller islands, we used a 216 combination of satellite imagery, photographs, expert knowledge and field data from previous 217 work (e.g. Panitsa, 1997; Panitsa & Tzanoudakis, 2001; Sfenthourakis et al., 2018) to 218 compliment and ground-truth the CLC data. In all cases, only CLC classes were assigned as

- habitat types (Appendix S2). Overall, 27 habitats were identified across the 173 islands, with
 habitat diversity ranging from 1 (78 islands) to 23 (Evvia, 3660.74 km²).
- 221

We used the dated phylogeny of vascular plants with 31,749 species (Zanne et al., 2014) as a backbone tree to create a phylogeny of all species in our dataset (Figure 1e). Missing genera and species were randomly grafted among tips within their respective family and genera (see Appendix S3).

226

227 **2.2** Assessing island taxonomic and phylogenetic diversity

Our first metric of phylogenetic diversity was Faith's phylogenetic diversity (PD; Faith, 1992), which is the sum of the phylogenetic branch lengths connecting all species cooccurring on an island. PD captures the total amount of evolutionary history contained within a given island. We included PD as a phylogenetic richness metric as, although it is usually highly correlated with species richness, the corresponding island PD–area relationship (IPDAR) is easily comparable with the traditional ISAR (Morlon et al., 2011).

234 To assess phylogenetic community structure on each island independently of species richness, 235 we calculated the effect size (ES) of three distinct phylogenetic community metrics (Tucker et 236 al., 2017): (1) the ES of PD (ES_{PD}); (2) the ES of mean pairwise distance (ES_{MPD}), which 237 estimates the average phylogenetic distance between all pairs of species in a community; and (3) the ES of mean nearest taxon distance (ES_{MNTD}), which measures the mean phylogenetic 238 239 distance between each species and its nearest neighbour in the phylogenetic tree with which it 240 co-occurs in the community (Webb et al., 2002). While ES_{MPD} provides an indication of how 241 species on an island are dispersed in the phylogenetic tree, ES_{MNTD} provides a measure of how densely phylogenetically packed species are on an island. The ES values were obtained 242 243 using the probit transformation of the probability P that the observed value is lower than 244 expected by chance (see further details in Appendix S4 and in Lhotsky et al., 2016). For each 245 island and each metric, we obtained the null values using a random assembly model, where 246 species names were randomly shuffled across the tree tips to create 9,999 new random 247 phylogenetic diversity values per island. This constrained randomization approach keeps the island species richness, species turnover, and the spatial structure of species distributions 248 249 constant (Swenson et al., 2012). ES values represent a measure of departure from the null 250 expectation; values greater than zero being larger than expected (i.e. phylogenetic 251 overdispersion), and values smaller than zero being less than expected (i.e. phylogenetic

clustering). Values greater than 1.96 (probit of roughly 0.975) or less than -1.96 (probit of
roughly 0.025) were considered to be significantly greater or less than expected, respectively.
This calculation of effect sizes was preferred to the commonly-used standardized effect size
(SES) due to the non-Gaussian shape of some of our null distributions (Bernard-Verdier et al.,
2012).

257

258 **2.3 Detection of a small-island effect**

259 To test for a SIE, we selected two of the most widely used SIE piecewise models proposed by 260 Lomolino & Weiser (2001): the continuous one-threshold and the left-horizontal one-261 threshold model. It has recently been demonstrated that the number of thresholds in the ISAR 262 often increases with the range in island area analysed (Gao et al., 2019). In studies that 263 contain a large range in island area (as is the case with our data), piecewise models with more 264 than one threshold should also be considered (Gao et al., 2019). As such, we also included 265 two models with two thresholds: the continuous and the left-horizontal continuous two-266 threshold model (see Appendix S5 for a detailed description of the models). We didn't 267 include discontinuous threshold models that have been applied in previous studies (e.g. 268 Matthews et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2019) as the ecological logic of discontinuous relationships 269 in nature has been questioned (Yu et al., 2020). To test whether the relationships between 270 diversity and island area exhibited a SIE, we compared the four piecewise models with a 271 simple linear model. A model with just an intercept was also included in our analyses as a 272 null model to test for an absence of relationship between log_{10} area and diversity.

273 We fitted our six models to the relationships between area and (1) habitat diversity, as a 274 means to evaluate any decoupling of the relationship between habitat diversity and area, and 275 (2) our five diversity metrics (species richness, PD, ES_{PD}, ES_{MNTD} and ES_{MPD}). We used a 276 semi-log transformation (\log_{10}) approach, i.e. area was log transformed but diversity was not. 277 Although semi-log transformation has been widely used in SIE studies (Morrison, 2014), 278 Matthews et al. (2014) argued that the choice of log-transformation used when fitting 279 piecewise regression models should be an integral part of the study design, and that the choice 280 of transformation depends on the aim of the study. Here, a semi-log transformation was used 281 as there is no a priori reason to log-transform metrics such as PD or the three ES metrics. The 282 validity of this choice was tested using a sensitivity analysis (outlined below).

283 All models were fitted using ordinary least squares regression (OLS), and threshold values

284 were estimated using the minimum residual sum of squares (RSS) method (see electronic 285 supplementary material, Appendix S5). The functions for fitting and plotting the threshold 286 models, along with additional piecewise model functions, were integrated into the 'sars' R 287 package (Version 1.2.3; currently available on GitHub in the 'thresholds 2' branch) 288 (Matthews et al., 2019). For each diversity metric, we compared the different models using 289 the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC; Burnham & Anderson, 2002). In each case, the best 290 model was considered to be that with the smallest BIC; however, all models with a Δ BIC 291 value < 2 were considered as having a similar degree of statistical support (Burnham & 292 Anderson, 2002). BIC was preferred to other information criteria because, as ES values tend 293 be more scattered than species richness values, spurious relationships might simply arise due 294 to random noise in the data and be incorrectly interpreted as legitimate relationships. BIC is 295 more effective in such situations due to the greater penalization in respect to the number of 296 model parameters (Link & Barker, 2006). We also tested the ability of the best model(s) to 297 account for potential spatial structure by estimating Moran's I spatial autocorrelation index 298 using the model residuals and the latitude and longitude of each island's centroid. Diagnostic 299 plots were inspected in order to detect residual deviations from normality and 300 homoscedasticity, and to identify outliers. Finally, we repeated the aforementioned model 301 fitting and model comparison analyses using habitat diversity instead of island area as the 302 predictor of the five diversity metrics.

303 2.4 Assessing differences in species turnover and phylogenetic dissimilarity between 304 small and large islands

305 We split islands into small and large using an average breakpoint calculated by averaging all 306 thresholds identified in the best models for all metrics (see results). We first estimated species 307 turnover between islands using the modified Simpson's index (β_{SIM}). β_{SIM} measures 308 compositional differences between communities independently of any species richness 309 gradients (Baselga, 2010). We investigated whether observed pairwise β_{SIM} values were larger 310 or smaller than expected under a stochastic model of community assembly. This was carried 311 out by generating 9,999 random assemblage matrices using the fixed-fixed independent swap 312 algorithm (Gotelli, 2000). The fixed-fixed independent swap algorithm keeps species 313 occurrence frequency and sample species richness constant while shuffling species presences 314 across sites. The effect size (ES) of each observed pairwise β_{SIM} value was then calculated to 315 quantify the deviation from the null expectation with ES values greater than 1.96 or less than -316 1.96 considered to be significantly more dissimilar or dissimilar than expected, respectively.

317 Both pairwise β_{SIM} and the associated ES values were calculated using all islands and also 318 using the small and large island subsets.

319

Phylogenetic dissimilarity was measured in an analogous way to the measurement of phylogenetic community structure. For a given pair of islands A and B, we calculated the mean of all phylogenetic distances separating species occurring in A from species occurring in B (MPD_{DISS}), and the average of the phylogenetic distance between each species in A and its closest relative in B (MNTD_{DISS}). We computed the ES of MPD_{DISS} and MNTD_{DISS} values using the same approach as for ES_{PD}, ES_{MPD} and ES_{MNTD}.

326

327 We further tested for differences in mean β_{SIM} and ES between small and large islands using 328 Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests, and whether the distribution of ES values within small and large 329 islands significantly deviated from the null expectation (median = 0) using a one-sample 330 Wilcoxon test (Swenson & Enquist, 2009). Since islands in the Aegean are spread across a 331 large geographical region i.e. the Aegean Sea, differences in turnover and phylogenetic 332 dissimilarity between small and large islands could also be driven simply by geographical 333 distance (i.e. dispersal limitation). For example, if higher turnover is found between small 334 islands compared to large islands, this simply could be due to the fact that small islands are 335 relatively far away from each other and not because they differ in terms of the habitat types they contain. BSIM, ES BSIM, ES MPDDISS and ES MNTDDISS dissimilarity matrices were 336 337 therefore correlated with the geographical distance between islands using a Mantel permutation test, based on 9,999 permutations. This was implemented for all islands and for 338 339 small and large islands separately.

340 **2.5 Complementary analyses**

We undertook three complementary analyses to ensure our results were robust. First, we 341 342 checked the sensitivity of our results to the method used for placing unresolved genera and 343 species in the phylogeny. Second, we re-ran the above analyses on two smaller subsets of 344 islands, namely North-Eastern Aegean (83 islands and islets) and the Central Aegean (60 345 islands and islets) (Kougioumoutzis et al., 2017; Appendix S6). Third, we performed a 346 sensitivity test to ensure that we did not generate spurious small-island effects because of our 347 decisions regarding data transformation using the analytical procedure of Burns et al. (2009) 348 (Appendix S7). All analyses were undertaken using R (version 3.6; R Core Team, 2019). 349

350 3 RESULTS

351 3.1 The small-island effect: habitat diversity, the ISAR, IPDAR and phylogenetic 352 community structure

353 There was some model uncertainty regarding the relationship between habitat diversity and 354 log₁₀ Area, with the continuous and left-horizontal continuous one-threshold models and the 355 more complex continuous two-threshold models all having similar support (Figure 2a and Table 1). However, all of these best models explained 94% of the variation in habitat diversity 356 357 and all showed at least one threshold between 3.45 and 6.28 km² (Figure 2a and Table 1). On 358 small islands there was a slow, or an absence of an, increase in habitat diversity with \log_{10} 359 Area (most of the small islands containing no more than two habitats), while for the large 360 islands a strong positive relationship was detected.

361

As expected, species richness and PD were highly correlated (Pearson's correlation 0.97, P<0.001, Figure S1). For both metrics, SIE thresholds were detected (Figure 2b, c and Table 1). For species richness, the left-horizontal two-threshold model was identified as the single best model (Figure 2b). For PD, the continuous one-threshold model and the continuous twothreshold model were identified as the best and the second-best model respectively (Figure 2c and Table 1). For both the ISAR and IPDAR, the best models explained 95% of the variation (Table 1).

369

370 For all three ES metrics, the continuous one-threshold model was found to be the best model (Table 1) explaining overall less variation than for the ISAR and IPDAR with R^2 values 371 372 ranging from 37% for ES_{PD} to 22% ES_{MNTD} (Table 1). For the three ES metrics, the slope of 373 the first segment of the piecewise regression was always negative, with islands switching 374 from neutral assembly $(-1.96 \le ES \le 1.96)$ to phylogenetic clustering $(ES \le -1.96)$. The slope 375 of the second segment was always positive, with islands switching from phylogenetic 376 clustering to neutral assembly and, to a lesser extent, to phylogenetic overdispersion (ES >377 1.96) (Figure 2d,e,f). For ES_{MNTD}, the left-horizontal two-threshold model was found to be the 378 second best model (Figure 2f and Table 1). Overall, no spatial autocorrelation was detected in 379 the residuals of the best models (Table S1 in Appendix S8), and no specific deviations from 380 the assumptions of regression (e.g. normality, presence of outliers) were detected.

381

382 The relationship between species richness and habitat diversity was significantly positive and

383 linear, with habitat diversity explaining 93% of the variation in species richness (Table S2 and 384 Figure S2a in Appendix S8). A similar pattern was found for PD although the relationship included a first phase of faster increase (Table S2 and Figure S2b). For the ESPD and ESMPD 385 386 metrics, the continuous one-threshold model was found to be the best model (Table S2 and 387 Figure S2c,d), with the slope of the first segment negative and the slope of the second 388 segment positive; the threshold being at 3.9 and 2.9 habitats respectively. For ES_{MNTD}, the 389 left-horizontal one-threshold model was found to be best with a threshold identified at 6.7 390 habitats (Table S2 and Figure S2e).

391

392 3.2 Comparing species turnover and phylogenetic dissimilarity between small and large393 islands

We classified islands as small or large using a common threshold of 2.57 km² (log10-394 395 tranformed value = 0.41; corresponding to 92 small and 81 large islands), calculated by 396 averaging all thresholds identified in the best models across all metrics (range in threshold values across the best models: $0.14 \text{ km}^2 - 34.52 \text{ km}^2$). Although this range of threshold values 397 398 was quite large (mainly due to the two-threshold models), the average resulted in quite a clear 399 split between small and large islands (see Figure S3 in Appendix S8). Overall, among the 400 3262 species recorded in our dataset, 640 occurred on small islands with three being found 401 exclusively on small islands. Differences in habitat composition between small islands was 402 very low, with most small islands containing either natural grasslands and/or sclerophyllous 403 vegetation (Appendix S2). In contrast, large islands displayed substantial differences in habitat composition, with a mean habitat diversity of 8.1 (SD = 4.7) out of a total of 27 404 405 habitats present on large islands.

406

407 Observed species turnover (β_{SIM}) was significantly higher between small (mean $\beta_{SIM} = 0.66$) 408 than between large (mean $\beta_{SIM} = 0.24$) islands (Wilcoxon test P < 0.001, Figure 3a). ES β_{SIM} was significantly lower between small than between large islands (mean ES β_{SIM} = -0.20 and -409 410 0.09 respectively, Wilcoxon test P < 0.001, Figure 3b). For small and large islands, mean ES β_{SIM} was significantly lower than 0 (one-sample Wilcoxon test P < 0.001). However, most of 411 412 the pairwise ES β_{SIM} values for small islands did not show any significant deviation from 413 random (99.9% of the pairwise ES β_{SIM} values were neutral, Figure 3), while for large islands 414 a few pairs were identified as being significantly phylogenetically convergent or divergent (7.2% and 5.9% respectively, Figure 3b). For β_{SIM} , a significant and positive correlation with 415 geographical distance was found only for large islands, while for ES β_{SIM} , a significant and 416

417 positive correlation with geographical distance was found for all islands and for small and418 large islands separately (Table 2).

419

420 In regard to phylogenetic composition, both small and large islands exhibited significant 421 average phylogenetic convergence for ES MPD_{DISS} (one-sample Wilcoxon test P < 0.001; 422 mean ES MPD_{DISS} = -0.91 and -0.87 respectively, Figure 3c), and ES MPD_{DISS} values did not 423 differ between small and large islands (Wilcoxon test P = 0.64). For ES MNTD_{DISS}, small 424 islands exhibited significant average convergence, while large islands exhibited significant 425 average divergence (Wilcoxon test p < 0.001; one-sample Wilcoxon test P < 0.001 for both 426 size-groups; ES MNTD_{DISS} = -0.63 and 1.54, respectively) (Figure 3d). There was no 427 significant correlation between either ES MPD_{DISS} or ES MNTD_{DISS} and geographical 428 distance, for either small or large islands (Table 2).

429

430 **3.3 Complementary analyses**

431 We found that the values of phylogenetic diversity and dissimilarity were not sensitive to the 432 method used for placing unresolved genera and species in the phylogeny (Table S3 in 433 Appendix S8). Results obtained for the North-Eastern Aegean and Central Aegean subsets are 434 given in Appendix S6 and Tables S4-S5 and Figures S4-S8 in Appendix S8. Overall, results 435 obtained for the North-Eastern Aegean and the Central Aegean were very similar to those 436 obtained using all islands; the main exception was for the Central Aegean, where no SIE was 437 detected when using the phylogenetic ES_{PD} and ES_{MNTD} metrics. Using the approach of Burns 438 et al. (2009) we found that the presence of a SIE in the relationship between island area and 439 species richness is not simply an artefact of our use of a semi-log transformation (Figure S9 in 440 Appendix S8).

441

442 **4 DISCUSSION**

443 **4.1 Phylogenetic community structure and the small-island effect**

We have found evidence of a SIE in the island species–area relationship, the island phylogenetic diversity–area relationship and when using three phylogenetic community metrics (ES_{PD} , ES_{MPD} and ES_{MNTD}). Interestingly, although there was some degree of model uncertainty and the thresholds estimated from the one and two-threshold models were slightly different, all thresholds (across all response variables) fall within a range of 34.38 km² (from 0.14 to 34.52 km²) representing no more than 0.4% of the total area range found in our data (8270 km²). This suggests that the abrupt shifts in the various diversity metrics were more or
less synchronised, and could reflect that it is around this area range that the diversity of
habitats starts to increase with area in a linear fashion.

453

454 In relation to ES_{PD}, ES_{MPD} and the ES_{MNTD}, we found that, for the smaller islands (i.e. those to 455 the left of the breakpoint), island communities tended to be more phylogenetic clustered as 456 area increased (i.e. a negative slope – discussed in the next paragraph, Figure 2d,e,f). We also observed that within the SIE range, area and habitat diversity are decoupled (see also Triantis 457 458 et al., 2006), with habitat diversity being almost stable throughout the SIE range. If habitat 459 diversity is the main driver of diversity rather than area per se, one possible explanation for 460 our observation of phylogenetic clustering on smaller islands is greater habitat filtering, where 461 only species being able to establish in the limited habitat types accumulate, leading to 462 phylogenetic redundancy (species drawn from a limited array of clades) within the species 463 assemblages. It is worth noting that small islands mostly contain one or both of natural 464 grasslands and sclerophyllous vegetation as land-cover classes (Appendix S2). This result 465 corroborates an earlier study assessing the habitats of a number of small islets in the Aegean 466 (including various islands included in our dataset) where it was found that many major habitat 467 types, such as mature forest and freshwater, were missing (Snogerup & Snogerup, 2004; see 468 also Panitsa, 1997; Sfenthourakis et al., 2018). However, these findings were not discussed in 469 the context of the ISAR or the SIE (Snogerup & Snogerup, 2004).

470

471 Interestingly, we did not identify phylogenetic clustering when focusing just on the very small 472 islands (i.e. the smallest of the islands we have classified here as small) (see Figure 2d,e,f). 473 The above habitat filtering explanation may explain this observation. However, this could 474 also be due to the species present on the smallest islands being adapted (i.e. possessing salt 475 tolerance) to littoral habitats, that are prevalent on very small islands (Snogerup & Snogerup, 476 2004). If salt tolerance is distributed across several families, this would explain the random 477 patterns observed in the phylogenetic structure of these islets (Flowers, Galal, & Bromham, 478 2010). As small island area increases, 'phrygana' communities primarily comprising annual 479 grasses and members of few other families start to dominate, leading to phylogenetic 480 clustering. In addition, it could be that on the very smallest islets natural disturbance (e.g. 481 intense storms and/or waves) has more of an impact (Whittaker & Fernández-Palacios, 2007), 482 driving community assembly to be essentially random with regard to phylogenetic structure. 483 Alternatively, it could be that, for the small islands, another variable co-varies with area, such as human disturbance. For example, the smallest islets may be unsuitable for human activities
(e.g. tourism or land for grazing), meaning that there is in fact a greater amount of certain
types of disturbance (and reduction in habitat heterogeneity) on the larger of the smaller
islands, leading to the patterns observed.

As island area increased, we observed a gradual shift from phylogenetic clustering toward phylogenetic overdispersion on individual islands, which mirrored a clear increase in habitat diversity. One interpretation of this finding is that, on larger islands, the greater range of habitats, such as freshwater systems, sub-alpine ecosystems and mature forest, enables a much wider variety of functional forms drawn from a broader range of clades to persist. This will result in greater phylogenetic diversity (and its ES) on the larger islands.

494

495 **4.2 Differences in species and phylogenetic composition between small and large islands**

496 A shortcoming of previous SIE studies has been the focus on species numbers, without any 497 consideration of species composition (Morrison, 2014). We found striking differences 498 between small and large islands in regard to species turnover: species spatial turnover 499 between small islands was high (Figure 3a) and mostly random (ES β_{SIM} , Figure 3b). The 500 strong correlation between ES β_{SIM} and geographical distance also suggests that neutral 501 processes such as dispersal limitation might have played an important role in shaping species 502 composition on small islands in the Aegean (see Runemark, 1971). In contrast, phylogenetic 503 composition tended to be, on average, more similar on small islands than expected by chance, 504 and independent of geographical distance, supporting our hypothesis of possible deterministic 505 convergence regarding the main plant clades present on small islands. This is also reinforced 506 by the small differences in habitat composition between the small islands, with almost all 507 small islands containing only natural grasslands and/or sclerophyllous vegetation. Altogether, 508 this indicates that, while only certain clades are able to establish and survive on the smaller 509 islands, the identity of these taxa (i.e. the identity of the taxa within these clades) is more 510 randomly distributed. This is likely due, at least in part, to stochastic extinction / immigration 511 and / or dispersal. That is, both neutral and niche-based processes might operate in 512 combination in this system to drive the SIE.

513

514 On the larger islands, much lower spatial turnover was observed, implying that species 515 composition on the larger islands was more ordered. Patterns of phylogenetic composition on 516 the large islands were more complex, with our two metrics showing opposite results: ES 517 MPD_{DISS} identifying mostly convergence and ES MNTD_{DISS} mostly divergence. ES MPD_{DISS} 518 is a measure of phylogenetic dissimilarity that captures variation associated with basal nodes 519 (e.g. substitutions of a given order or even higher taxonomic ranks; Kembel et al., 2010) and 520 thus showed an overall similarity between large islands due to the fact most of the higher 521 taxonomic groups represented in the regional island species pool occur on most of the large 522 islands. In contrast, ES MNTD_{DISS} focuses on variation associated with terminal nodes and is 523 more sensitive to lower-level taxonomic substitutions (i.e. changes in representation of 524 families/genera) among communities (Kembel et al., 2010). Therefore, the divergence 525 reported between large islands with ES MNTD_{DISS} could be due to differences in the source pools (Kougioumoutzis et al., 2017), or *in-situ* speciation. 526

527

528 **4.3 Caveats and future directions**

529 This study is not the first to find breakpoints in the ISAR (Matthews et al., 2014; Niering, 530 1963; Wang et al., 2016), or to postulate that the mechanisms driving the ISAR (and SIE) 531 may change across breakpoints in the relationship (e.g. see Triantis et al. 2006 and Losos & 532 Parent, 2010, for a discussion on the speciation-area relationship). However, to our 533 knowledge this is the first assessment of the SIE undertaken through the lens of phylogenetic 534 community ecology, and the approach has proven useful for shining light on the potential 535 mechanisms driving the SIE. However, as always, in the absence of controlled experiments 536 and trait data (discussed below) and more explicit fine-scale measurements of habitat 537 diversity, it is impossible to be certain that habitat availability is the primary driver of the SIE 538 in this system. Nonetheless, based on our results we would argue that the approach applied 539 here (i.e. combining evaluation of the ISAR, IPDAR and species and phylogenetic 540 composition) represents a useful framework for analysing the SIE and the underlying 541 mechanisms, and assembly processes on islands more generally.

542

543 Going forward it would be interesting to expand this approach to include functional diversity 544 (e.g. see Schrader et al., 2020, for an interesting start in this direction). An assumption of the 545 use of phylogenetic diversity in these types of studies is that there is a correlation between 546 phylogenetic diversity and functional diversity. However, while a correlation between 547 phylogenetic diversity and functional diversity may exist in many systems, it is unlikely ever 548 to be a perfect association (Flynn et al., 2011). While many traits have been shown to have 549 strong phylogenetic signal, such that related species have similar traits, some have not 550 (Srivastava et al., 2012), and arguably functional trait data are better than phylogenetic data in

- community ecology studies of this nature (Paine, Deasey, Duthie, & Thompson, 2018). Thus,
- the expansion of the framework presented here to include functional diversity will no doubt
- 553 increase our understanding of the SIE.
- 554

555 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

556 An International Travel Fund Grant from the College of Life and Environmental Sciences

- 557 (University of Birmingham) allowed TJM to visit the University of Athens to work on the
- study, and F. Guilhaumon kindly hosted TJM during the writing of the paper.
- 559

560 DATA ACCESSIBILITY

- 561 The data are provided in the Supporting information.
- 562

563 **REFERENCES**

- Baselga, A. (2010). Partitioning the turnover and nestedness components of beta diversity.
 Global Ecology and Biogeography, 19, 134–143.
- Bernard-Verdier, M., Navas, M. L., Vellend, M., Violle, C., Fayolle, A., & Garnier, E.
 (2012). Community assembly along a soil depth gradient: contrasting patterns of plant trait convergence and divergence in a Mediterranean rangeland. *Journal of Ecology*, *100*, 1422–1433.
- Burnham, K. P., & Anderson, D. R. (2002). Model selection and multi-model inference: a
 practical information-theoretic approach, 2nd edn. New-York: Springer.
- 572 Burns, K. C., Paul McHardy, R., & Pledger, S. (2009). The small-island effect: fact or 573 artefact? *Ecography*, *32*, 269–276.
- 574 Chen, C., Yang, X., Tan, X., & Wang, Y. (2020). The role of habitat diversity in generating
 575 the small-island effect. *Ecography*, DOI: 10.1111/ecog.05092.
- 576 Chisholm, R. A., Fung, T., Chimalakonda, D., & O'Dwyer, J. P. (2016). Maintenance of
 577 biodiversity on islands. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 283,
 578 20160102.
- 579 Copernicus Land Monitoring Service (2018) *CLC 2018*, version v.20_20u1. URL:
 580 https://land.copernicus.eu/pan-european/corine-land-cover/clc2018?tab=download. ©
 581 European Union, Copernicus Land Monitoring Service 2018, European Environment
 582 Agency (EEA).
- 583 Dengler, J. (2010). Robust methods for detecting a small island effect. *Diversity and* 584 *Distributions*, 16, 256–266.
- 585 Dimopoulos, P., Raus, T., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, T., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A.,
 586 & Tzanoudakis, D. (2013). *Vascular plants of Greece. An annotated checklist.* Berlin:
 587 Botanic Garden and Botanical Museum Berlin-Dahlem.

- 588 Emerson, B. C., & Gillespie, R. G. (2008). Phylogenetic analysis of community assembly and
 589 structure over space and time. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 23, 619–630.
- Faith, D. P. (1992). Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. *Biological Conservation*, 61, 1–10.
- Flowers, T. J., Galal, H. K., & Bromham, L. (2010). Evolution of halophytes: multiple origins
 of salt tolerance in land plants. *Functional Plant Biology*, *37*, 604–612.
- Flynn, D. F. B., Mirotchnick, N., Jain, M., Palmer, M. I., & Naeem, S. (2011). Functional and
 phylogenetic diversity as predictors of biodiversity–ecosystem-function relationships.
 Ecology, 92, 1573–1581.
- Gao, D., Cao, Z., Xu, P., & Perry, G. (2019). On piecewise models and species-area patterns.
 Ecology and Evolution, 9, 8351–8361.
- 599 Gotelli, N. J. (2000). Null model analysis of species co-occurrence patterns. *Ecology*, 81,
 600 2606–2621.
- Graham, C. H., & Fine, P. V. A. (2008). Phylogenetic beta diversity: linking ecological and
 evolutionary processes across space in time. *Ecology Letters*, 11, 1265–1277.
- Kembel, S. W., Cowan, P. D., Helmus, M. R., Cornwell, W. K., Morlon, H., Ackerly, D. D.,
 Blomberg, S. P., & Webb, C. O. (2010). Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies
 and ecology. *Bioinformatics*, 26, 1463–1464.
- Kougioumoutzis, K., Valli, A. T., Georgopoulou, E., Simaiakis, S. M., Triantis, K. A., &
 Trigas, P. (2017). Network biogeography of a complex island system: the Aegean
 Archipelago revisited. *Journal of Biogeography*, 44, 651–660.
- Lhotsky, B., Kovács, B., Ónodi, G., Csecserits, A., Rédei, T., Lengyel, A., Miklos K., &
 Botta-Dukát, Z. (2016). Changes in assembly rules along a stress gradient from open
 dry grasslands to wetlands. *Journal of Ecology*, *104*, 507-517.
- Link, W. A., & Barker, R. J. (2006). Model weights and the foundations of multimodel
 inference. *Ecology*, 87, 2626–2635.
- Lomolino, M. V., & Weiser, M. D. (2001). Towards a more general species-area relationship:
 diversity on all islands, great and small. *Journal of Biogeography*, 28, 431–445.
- Losos, J. B., & Parent, C. E. (2010). The speciation-area relationship. In J. B. Losos & R. E.
 Ricklefs (Eds.), *The theory of island biogeography revisited* (pp. 415–438). Princeton:
 Princeton University Press.
- MacArthur, R. H., & Wilson, E. O. (1967). *The theory of island biogeography*. Princeton:
 Princeton University Press.
- Matthews, T. J., Steinbauer, M. J., Tzirkalli, E., Triantis, K. A., & Whittaker, R. J. (2014).
 Thresholds and the species–area relationship: a synthetic analysis of habitat island datasets. *Journal of Biogeography*, 41, 1018–1028.

- Matthews, T. J., Triantis, K., Whittaker, R. J., & Guilhaumon, F. (2019). sars: an R package
 for fitting, evaluating and comparing species–area relationship models. *Ecography*,
 42, 1446–1455.
- Morlon, H., Schwilk, D. W., Bryant, J. A., Marquet, P. A., Rebelo, A. G., Tauss, C.,
 Bohannan, B. J. M., & Green, J. L. (2011). Spatial patterns of phylogenetic diversity. *Ecology Letters*, 14, 141–149.
- Morrison, L.W. (2011) Why do some small islands lack vegetation? Evidence from long-term
 introduction experiments. *Ecography*, *34*, 384–391.
- Morrison, L. W. (2014). The small-island effect: empty islands, temporal variability and the
 importance of species composition. *Journal of Biogeography*, *41*, 1007–1017.
- Münkemüller, T., Gallien, L., Pollock, L.J., Barros, C., Carboni, M., Chalmandrier, L., Mazel,
 F., Mokany, K., Roquet, C., Smyčka, J., Talluto, M.V., & Thuiller, W. (2020). Dos
 and don'ts when inferring assembly rules from diversity patterns. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, DOI: 10.1111/geb.13098
- Niering, W. A. (1956). Bioecology of Kapingamarangi Atoll. Caroline Islands: terrestrial
 aspects. *Atoll Research Bulletin*, 49, 1–32.
- Niering, W. A. (1963). Terrestrial ecology of Kapingamarangi Atoll, Caroline Islands.
 Ecological Monographs, 33, 131–160.
- Paine, C. E. T., Deasey, A., Duthie, A. B., & Thompson, K. (2018). Towards the general
 mechanistic prediction of community dynamics. *Functional Ecology*, *32*, 1681–1692.
- Panitsa, M. (1997). Contribution to the knowledge of the flora and vegetation of the east
 Aegean islets (Greece). Patras, Greece: University of Patras.
- Panitsa, M., & Tzanoudakis, D. (2001). Contribution to the study of the Greek flora: flora and
 phytogeography of Lipsos and Arki islet groups (East Aegean area, Greece). *Folia Geobotanica*, *36*, 265–279.
- R Core Team (2019). *R: a language and environment for statistical computing (Version 3.6).*Vienna, Austria: R foundation for statistical computing. Retrieved from https://www.R-project.org/
- Rechinger, K. H., & Rechinger-Moser, F. (1951). Phytogeographia Aegaea. In: Akademie der
 Wissenschaften Wien, Mathematische-Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse, Denkschrift,
 105, Wien: Springer.
- Ricklefs, R. E., & Lovette, I. J. (1999). The roles of island area per se and habitat diversity in
 the species-area relationships of four Lesser Antillean faunal groups. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 68, 1142–1160.
- Runemark, H. (1971). The phytogeography of the central Aegean. *Opera Botanica*, 30, 20–
 28.

- Schrader, J., Moeljono, S., Keppel, G., & Kreft, H. (2019). Plants on small islands revisited:
 the effects of spatial scale and habitat quality on the species–area relationship. *Ecography*, 42, 1405–1414.
- Schrader, J., König, C., Triantis, K. A., Trigas, P., Kreft, H., & Weigelt, P. (2020). Species–
 area relationships on small islands differ among plant growth forms. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 29, 814–829.
- 666 Sfenthourakis, S., & Triantis, K. A. (2009). Habitat diversity, ecological requirements of 667 species and the Small Island Effect. *Diversity and Distributions*, *15*, 131–140.
- 668 Sfenthourakis, S., Pafilis, P., Parmakelis, A., Poulakakis, N., & Triantis, K. (eds.) (2018).
 669 *Biogeography and biodiversity of the Aegean*. Nikosia: Broken Hill Publishers.
- Snogerup, S., & Snogerup, B. (2004). Changes in the flora of some Aegean islets 1968–2000.
 Plant Systematics and Evolution, 245, 169–213.
- 672 Srivastava, D. S., Cadotte, M. W., MacDonald, A. A. M., Marushia, R. G., Mirotchnick, N.,
 673 & Mooers, A. (2012). Phylogenetic diversity and the functioning of ecosystems.
 674 *Ecology Letters*, 15, 637–648.
- 675 Strid, A. (2016). Atlas of the Aegean flora. *Englera*, 33, 1–1578.
- 676 Swenson, N. G., & Enquist, B. J. (2009). Opposing assembly mechanisms in a Neotropical
 677 dry forest: implications for phylogenetic and functional community ecology. *Ecology*,
 678 90, 2161–2170.
- 679 Swenson, N. G., Enquist, B. J., Pither, J., Kerkhoff, A. J., Boyle, B., Weiser, M. D., et al.
 680 (2012). The biogeography and filtering of woody plant functional diversity in North
 681 and South America. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 21, 798–808.
- Toms, J. D., & Lesperance, M. L. (2003). Piecewise regression: a tool for identifying
 ecological thresholds. *Ecology*, 84, 2034–2041.
- Triantis, K. A., & Sfenthourakis, S. (2012). Island biogeography is not a single-variable
 discipline: the small island effect debate. *Diversity and Distributions*, 18, 92–96.
- Triantis, K. A., Mylonas, M., Weiser, M. D., Lika, K., & Vardinoyannis, K. (2005). Species
 richness, environmental heterogeneity and area: a case study based on land snails in
 Skyros archipelago (Aegean Sea, Greece). *Journal of Biogeography*, *32*, 1727–1735.
- Triantis, K. A., Vardinoyannis, K., Tsolaki, E. P., Botsaris, I., Lika, K., & Mylonas, M.
 (2006). Re-approaching the small island effect. *Journal of Biogeography*, 33, 914– 923.
- Tucker, C. M., Cadotte, M. W., Carvalho, S. B., Davies, T. J., Ferrier, S., Fritz, S. A.,
 Grenyer, R., Helmus, M. R., Jin, L. S., Mooers, A. O., Pavoine, S., Purschke, O.,
 Redding, D. W., Rosauer, D. F., Winter, M., & Mazel, F. (2017). A guide to
 phylogenetic metrics for conservation, community ecology and macroecology. *Biological Reviews*, 92, 698–715.

- Wang, Y., Millien, V., & Ding, P. (2016). On empty islands and the small-island effect.
 Global Ecology and Biogeography, 25, 1333–1345.
- Webb, C. O., Ackerly, D. D., McPeek, M. A., & Donoghue, M. J. (2002). Phylogenies and community ecology. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, *33*, 475–505.
- Weigelt, P., Daniel Kissling, W., Kisel, Y., Fritz, S. A., Karger, D. N., Kessler, M., Lehtonen,
 S., Svenning, J.-C., & Kreft, H. (2015). Global patterns and drivers of phylogenetic
 structure in island floras. *Scientific Reports*, *5*, 12213.
- Whitehead, D. R., & Jones, C. E. (1969). Small islands and the equilibrium theory of insular
 biogeography. *Evolution*, 23, 171–179.
- Whittaker, R. J., & Fernández-Palacios, J. M. (2007). *Island biogeography: ecology, evolution, and conservation,* 2nd edn. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Yu, J., Li, D., Zhang, Z., & Guo, S. (2020). Species–area relationship and small-island effect
 of bryophytes on the Zhoushan Archipelago, China. *Journal of Biogeography*, 47,
 978-992.
- Zanne, A. E., Tank, D. C., Cornwell, W. K., Eastman, J. M., Smith, S. A., FitzJohn, R. G., et
 al. (2014). Three keys to the radiation of angiosperms into freezing environments. *Nature*, 506, 89–92.
- 714

715 **BIOSKETCHES**

- 716 **Tom Matthews** is a macroecologist and biogeographer at the University of Birmingham, UK.
- 717 He is interested in the application of macroecological methods to global environmental
- change questions, and his previous work has focused on the impacts of habitat fragmentation
- and the form of the species–area relationship in fragmented landscapes.
- 720
- Francois Rigal is an assistant professor at Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour. His
 research interests include island biogeography, macroecology and functional ecology.
- 723

Author contributions: KAT, TJM, and FR designed the analytical study. KK and PT collected the data. FR and TJM wrote the code, and FR analyzed the data. TJM and FR wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the final manuscript.

727 Table 1. Summary of the BIC-based model selection for habitat diversity, species richness, PD and the three effect sizes ES_{PD}, ES_{MPD} and

728 ES_{MNTD} (with log₁₀ Area as the predictor). Only results for the best model(s) are given. For each best model and each metric, the likelihood (LL),

number of parameters (k), BIC value, Δ BIC, BIC weight (w_i), R² and the area threshold T₁ and, for the two breakpoint cases, T₂, are given. All

730 best models were significant (P<0.001).

Metrics	Best models	LL	k	BIC	ΔBIC	wi	\mathbb{R}^2	T1 (km^2)	T2 (km^2)
Habitat div	ersity								
	Continuous one-threshold	-267.24	5	560.25	0	0.31	0.94	6.28	-
	Left-horizontal continuous one-threshold	-269.87	4	560.35	0.10	0.29	0.94	4.55	-
	Left-horizontal continuous two-thresholds	-264.88	6	560.68	0.43	0.25	0.94	3.45	143.88
	Continuous two-thresholds	-262.83	7	561.74	1.49	0.15	0.94	4.55	150.66
Species ricl	nness								
	Left-horizontal continuous two-thresholds	-1004.01	6	2038.94	0	0.77	0.95	0.67	34.52
PD									
	Continuous one-threshold	-1499.69	5	3025.14	0	0.53	0.95	2.28	-
	Continuous two-thresholds	-1494.76	7	3025.60	0.46	0.42	0.95	0.66	17.30
ES _{PD}									
	Continuous one-threshold	-250.28	5	526.39	0	0.89	0.38	13.43	-
ES _{MPD}									
	Continuous one-threshold	-223.54	5	472.85	0	0.91	0.34	6.14	-
ES _{MNTD}									
	Continuous one-threshold	-222.07	5	469.91	0	0.62	0.22	3.22	-
	Left-horizontal continuous two-thresholds	-220.24	6	471.39	1.48	0.30	0.24	0.14	0.74

Table 2. Results of the Mantel permutation tests implemented between the β_{SIM} , ES β_{SIM} , ES MPD_{DISS} and ES MNTD_{DISS} dissimilarity matrices and the geographical distances between islands. The analysis was implemented using all islands and for small and large islands separately. The Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) and associated P-values (*P*) are given. Significant results are highlighted in bold.

737

	All islands		Small isla	ands	Large islands		
Dissimilarity	r	Р	r	Р	r	Р	
β_{SIM}	-0.132	0.997	-0.012	0.427	0.310	<0.001	
ES β_{SIM}	0.442	<0.001	0.303	<0.001	0.615	<0.001	
ES MPD _{DISS}	0.018	0.368	-0.075	0.809	0.099	0.097	
ES MNTD _{DISS}	0.190	<0.001	-0.055	0.834	0.052	0.140	

738





FIGURE 1 (a) Map of the Aegean Sea islands. Major island groups are highlighted: NMI =
North Islands; CAE = Central Aegean; NE-AE = North East Aegean; SMI = South Islands;
KR = Kriti; and SE-AE = South Eastern Aegean. (b) Kampanes, Milos, (c) Portes, Paros, and
(d) Akradies, Milos. (e) Community phylogeny of the vascular plants of the 173 Aegean
Islands in our dataset. The occurrence of each species in large and small islands is indicated
by colours along the tips: light blue for small islands and dark blue for large islands. Tip
labels coloured black highlight species grafted randomly on the tree.



751 FIGURE 2 Relationships between log₁₀ Area and habitat diversity (a), species richness (b), 752 PD (c), ES_{PD} (d), ES_{MPD} (e) and ES_{MNTD} (f). Coloured circles indicate islands. Thick solid 753 lines represent the predicted values of the best model(s) and dashed vertical lines represent the 754 threshold(s) of the best model(s). Best models were selected using BIC-based model selection 755 comparing four SIE piecewise models, a simple linear model and a null model. To illustrate 756 the link between log₁₀ Area and habitat diversity (HD) and the diversity relationships (b to f), 757 the size of the dots were made proportional to the number of habitats. For the ES metrics, overdispersion indicates that values are significantly higher than expected by chance (ES 758 759 >1.96) and clustering indicates that phylogenetic diversity values are significantly lower than 760 expected by chance (ES < -1.96). Neutral indicates that values are neither lower nor higher 761 than expected by chance (-1.96 < ES < 1.96). 762

- / 01
- 763





767 FIGURE 3 Differences in species turnover (a, b) and phylogenetic dissimilarity (c, d) 768 between small and large islands. Islands were split into small and large using a breakpoint value of 2.57 km², calculated by averaging all breakpoints identified in the best models across 769 770 all diversity metrics (see Figure 2b-f). Dots indicate the dissimilarity between pairs of islands. 771 Species turnover was calculated using the index β_{SIM} (a) as well as its effect size ES β_{SIM} (b). 772 Phylogenetic dissimilarity was calculated using ES MPD_{DISS} (c) and ES MNTD_{DISS} (d). 773 Convergence indicates that species turnover / phylogenetic dissimilarity values are 774 significantly lower than expected by chance (ES < -1.96), and divergence indicates values that 775 are significantly higher than expected by chance (ES >1.96). Neutral indicates values that are 776 neither lower nor higher than expected by chance $(-1.96 \le ES \le 1.96)$.