Sympatry of two ankylosaurs (Hungarosaurus and cf. Struthiosaurus) in the Santonian of Hungary

Ósi, Attila; Prondvai, Edina

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Ósi, A & Prondvai, E 2013, 'Sympatry of two ankylosaurs (Hungarosaurus and cf. Struthiosaurus) in the Santonian of Hungary', *Cretaceous Research*.

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Contents lists available at [SciVerse ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Cretaceous Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/CretRes

Sympatry of two ankylosaurs (*Hungarosaurus* and cf. *Struthiosaurus*) in the Santonian of Hungary

Attila Ósi*, Edina Prondvai

Hungarian Academy of Sciences – Eötvös Loránd University, Lendület Dinosaur Research Group, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/c, Budapest, H-1117, Hungary

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 October 2012

Accepted in revised form 25 March 2013

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Hungarosaurus
cf. *Struthiosaurus*
Co-existence
Late Cretaceous
Hungary

ABSTRACT

A complete and well-preserved right ankylosaurian humerus from the Upper Cretaceous (Santonian) Csehbánya Formation of Iharkút, western Hungary is described here. Based on its osteological features and 21.5 cm adult length, the new specimen is markedly different from the slender humerus of *Hungarosaurus*, the previously known ankylosaur from the locality, and more similar to that of *Struthiosaurus*. Thus, the new Hungarian specimen is tentatively assigned here to cf. *Struthiosaurus* thereby dating back the first occurrence of this genus to the Santonian. The new fossil demonstrates the sympatric co-existence of two different nodosaurid ankylosaurs (a smaller, robust form with 2–2.5 m total body length and a larger, cursorial form with 4–4.5 m body length) in the Iharkút fauna. This also suggests that the pattern of the European ankylosaur diversity was more complex than previously thought.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Ankylosaur remains from the Upper Cretaceous of Europe are relatively poorly known and up to now only two valid genera have been identified. *Struthiosaurus* Bunzel, 1871 is widespread in Europe, having been reported from several important continental vertebrate localities of early Campanian–Maastrichtian age (e.g., Seeley, 1881; Nopcsa, 1929; Pereda-Suberbiola, 1992, 1999; Buffetaut et al., 1996; García and Pereda-Suberbiola, 2003; Codrea et al., 2010). Three currently valid species of this genus are known. In stratigraphical order, *S. austriacus* Bunzel, 1871 was described from the lower Campanian Gosau beds of Austria based on some cranial and mainly postcranial material of at least three individuals of different ontogenetic stages (Pereda-Suberbiola and Galton, 2001). Similarly aged, early Campanian *Struthiosaurus lanquedocensis* García and Pereda-Suberbiola, 2003 from Villeveyrac, southern France was described on the basis of an articulated skeleton consisting of the pelvic girdle, synsacrum and posterior dorsal vertebrae. The youngest species is *S. transylvanicus* Nopcsa, 1915 from the Maastrichtian deposits of the Hațeg Basin, Romania that is known on the basis of most probably associated cranial and postcranial material (Nopcsa, 1929). Additional ankylosaur material referred to as *Struthiosaurus* sp. was described from the upper

Campanian to lower Maastrichtian of Laño (Pereda-Suberbiola, 1999) and some unpublished ankylosaur material is also known from the Campano–Maastrichtian of Chera in the Iberian Peninsula (J. Company, pers. comm.), and from the upper Campanian–lower Maastrichtian of Cruzy, southern France (E. Buffetaut, 2005).

The other Late Cretaceous European ankylosaur genus, being almost double the size of *Struthiosaurus* (total body length ca. 4.5 m), is *Hungarosaurus tormai* from the Santonian of Iharkút, western Hungary (Ósi, 2005; Ósi and Makádi, 2009). This taxon is known on the basis of five published and one unpublished skeleton (a hip region, discovered in the summer of 2012), the latter of which is the first articulated vertebrate specimen discovered in Iharkút. *Acanthopholis horridus* Huxley, 1867 from the Cenomanian of England is regarded as a nomen dubium (Pereda-Suberbiola and Barrett, 1999; Vickaryous et al., 2004).

New excavations at the Iharkút continental vertebrate site resulted in a complete and well-preserved right humerus of an ankylosaur that is markedly different from that of *Hungarosaurus* suggesting the co-occurrence of another ankylosaur taxon in the region. The results of the comparative anatomical and histological investigation presented here clearly support this hypothesis.

Institutional abbreviations: **AMNH**, American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA; **MC**, Mechin Collection (private collection), Vitrolles, France; **MCNA**, Museo de Ciencias Naturales de Alava; **MHN**, Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle d'Aix-en-Provence, Aix-en-Provence, France; **MTM**, Magyar Természettudományi Múzeum, Budapest, Hungary; **PIUW**, Paläontologisches Institut, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +36 1 3722500/8722; fax: +36 1 3812130.

E-mail addresses: hungaros@gmail.com (A. Ósi), edina.prondvai@gmail.com (E. Prondvai).

2. Materials and methods

The specimen MTM PAL 2012.30.1, as all other fossils collected from the Iharkút vertebrate site, is housed in the Hungarian Natural History Museum. Preparation of the specimen was made mechanically by vibro-tool in the laboratory of the Hungarian Natural History Museum.

Histological investigation was carried out to determine the ontogenetic stage of the new specimen in order to exclude the possibility that potential morphological differences reflect ontogenetic allometries of *Hungarosaurus*. The humeral shaft was sampled on its anterior surface, at the mid-diaphysis following the core drilling method described by Stein and Sander (2009). The core sample has been embedded in epoxy resin and processed into transverse thin section with standard methods (Wells, 1989). The obtained slide was studied under a Leica DMLP polarized light microscope, photographed with Leica DFC420 digital camera, and processed with Imagic ImageAccess software. Interpretative figures were compiled using CorelDRAW X5, Corel PHOTO-PAINT and Photoshop 7.0 softwares.

3. Locality and geology

Similarly to the remains of *Hungarosaurus*, MTM PAL 2012.30.1 was also collected from the Santonian Csehbánya Formation exposed in the Iharkút open-pit mine close to the villages Némethbánya and Bakonyjákó. The specimen was found approximately 200 m eastwards from the classical outcrop of the Szál-6 vertebrate-bearing beds (for geological details see Ősi et al., 2012a) that provided most of the *Hungarosaurus* bones, besides other remains. The sediment containing this specimen (and other elements such as ankylosaur dermal scutes, ribs, *Iharkutosuchus* mandible and tooth, and hundreds of turtle shell fragments) is a greyish, fine sandstone–siltstone enclosing some carbonized plant fossils. Although the succession in this new outcrop is quite similar to that seen in the Szál-6 outcrop, there is no direct evidence that the fossiliferous bed of this new fossil site is stratigraphically equivalent (within the ca. 50 m thick Csehbánya Formation) with those of the Szál-6 locality. Based on their stratigraphical positions relative to the underlying Upper Triassic Main Dolomite, the fossiliferous bed of the new outcrop is perhaps a few meters lower in position, thus probably slightly older than that of the Szál-6 locality, but their age difference, if any, cannot be resolved.

4. Description and comparisons

The right humerus (MTM PAL 2012.30.1, Fig. 1A–E) is complete, only slightly compressed anteroposteriorly and has a total length of 21.5 cm which is less than half the length of the humerus of *Hungarosaurus* (45.5 cm, Fig. 1G). In general, the new specimen is much more robust than the elongate and slender humerus of *Hungarosaurus* thereby being more reminiscent of the humeri of other ankylosaurs (see e.g. that of *Sauropelta edwardsi* in Carpenter et al., 1995 or that *Gastonia burgei* in Kirkland, 1998). Whereas the greatest width to length ratio (width measured from the medial most point of the bicipital crest to the lateral most point of the deltopectoral crest) of the new humerus is 0.55, this ratio is 0.4 in *Hungarosaurus*, 0.52 in *Niobrasaurus*, and 0.57 in *Sauropelta*. The shaft of the new specimen shows great similarities with that of the right fragmentary humeri of *Struthiosaurus austriacus* (PIUW 2349/18) and *Struthiosaurus* sp. (MCNA 6528) in having diverging contours of the medial and lateral margins (Fig. 1H). Nevertheless, the lateral and especially medial margins are more widely divergent in the new Iharkút specimen than those in *S. austriacus*. The fragmentary specimen from Laño (MCNA 6528) appears to have a

slightly shorter, and lateromedially wider diaphysis (Pereda-Suberbiola, 1999: fig. 5A) compared to new Hungarian specimen.

Both the Hungarian and Austrian bones possess a prominent, approximately 2 cm long, oval-shaped muscle scar on the posterior side of the proximal half of the shaft. A similar pronounced muscle scar is also present on an unpublished, almost complete humerus (private collection, MC 512) from the upper Campanian–lower Maastrichtian of Fox-Amphoux Syncline of the Aix Basin, southern France (Fig. 1F). In MTM PAL 2012.30.1, this scar has two distinct parts: the medial part is subcircular (proximodistal length ca. 16 mm), while the lateral part is proximodistally elongated, ridge-like ending distally in a small but prominent protuberance. Based on the reconstruction of Coombs (1978), this muscle scar or at least its lateral part corresponds to the insertion area of *Musculus latissimus dorsi*. The medial part may correspond to the insertion area of *Musculus teres major* (Fig. 1A). A similar, divided muscle scar is present in *Ankylosaurus* (AMNH 5895). Coombs (1978) noted that in *Sauropelta* and *Panoplosaurus*, this muscle scar is present but not divided suggesting a common tendinous origin for *latissimus dorsi* and *teres major*. However, on the humerus of AMNH 3035 of *Sauropelta*, the distally, laterodistally extended ridge of this muscle scar is also present suggesting a divided attachment of *M. latissimus dorsi* and *M. teres major*. In *S. austriacus*, though the bone is not complete, this muscle scar does not have the lateral ridge (Fig. 1H) observed in the Hungarian specimen. This scar is oval-shaped on the unpublished French specimen (MC512) and the lateral ridge, i.e. the possible separated insertion surface for *latissimus dorsi*, cannot be seen. The muscle scar is entirely missing in *Hungarosaurus* (Fig. 1G) and *Polacanthus* (NHM R1106).

The deltopectoral crest is relatively more developed than in *Hungarosaurus*, its dorsoventral extent makes up half of the total bone length, and it projects strongly laterally and slightly anteriorly. Its posterior surface is ornamented by several crests and ridges indicative of well-developed muscles attaching here. Especially the insertion surface for *M. scapulohumeralis anterior* could have been highly developed, which is indicated by the protuberance-like muscle scar. In contrast to *Hungarosaurus* (Ősi and Makádi, 2009), the step-like demarcation between the humeral head and the deltopectoral crest is as well developed as in *Panoplosaurus* (Sternberg, 1921). The humeral head is displaced medial to the longitudinal axis of the bone. It has a transversely wider, oval shape just as in *Hungarosaurus* but narrower transversely than in *Sauropelta* (Ostrom, 1970) and *Niobrasaurus* (Carpenter et al., 1995). The bicipital crest is well developed with straight and posteriorly rugose medial margin that has a pointed proximal end. Similarly to the condition present on the lateral side, a marked but narrower demarcation separates the humeral head from the pointed proximomedial end of the bicipital crest. As in most ankylosaurs (Vickaryous et al., 2004), the distal epiphysis is strongly divergent and not as narrow as in *Hungarosaurus*. The lateral and medial condyles are similar in size and a well-developed, rugose, crest-like ectepicondyle is present lateral to the lateral condyle. The medial condyle is also bordered medially by a less developed medial entepicondyle. Distally and anteriorly, the two condyles are separated by a shallow groove.

5. Histology-based ontogenetic status

The transverse thin section acquired from the mid-diaphyseal region of the humerus MTM PAL 2012.30.1 shows strong pyritization due to which the microstructural preservation of this element is very poor. Details of the structural organization, such as the original long-range fiber orientation, cannot be inferred, nor are the osteocyte lacunae preserved. However, some histological characters that are indispensable for assigning ontogenetic stage to the

Fig. 1. Ankylosaur humeri from the upper Cretaceous of Europe. (A) cf. *Struthiosaurus* sp. right humerus (MTM PAL 2012.30.1) from the Santonian Csehbánya Formation of Iharkút, western Hungary in posterior, (B) anterior, (C) lateral, (D) medial, (E) proximal views. (F) Unpublished humerus (MC 512) from the upper Campanian–lower Maastrichtian of Fox-Amphoux Syncline of the Aix Basin, southern France in posterior view. (G) Right humerus of *Hungarosaurus tormai* (MTM 2007.25.3) in posterior view. (H) Right humerus of *Struthiosaurus austriacus* (PIUW 2349/18) in posterior view. Abbreviations: **bc**, bicipital crest; **dpc**, deltopectoral crest; **hc**, humeral condyle; **his**, place of histological sampling; **imld**, insertion area of *Musculus latissimus dorsi*; **imtm**, insertion area of *Musculus teres major*; **lec**, lateral ectepicondyle; **mec**, medial ectepicondyle; **pr**, protuberance in the insertion surface for *M. scapulohumeralis anterior*; **rac**, radial condyle; **ulc**, ulnar condyle.

specimen can still be observed. One of them is the extensive remodeling of the entire cortex by numerous secondary osteons except for a thin layer of primary bone adjacent to the periosteal surface (Fig. 2A and B). In this primary layer, the vascular canals are all longitudinal and of narrow lumen, the latter of which refers to

their well-compacted, mature state. The largest part of this layer is devoid of secondary osteons and exhibits a feature of crucial importance: the onset of an external fundamental system (EFS). In the incipient EFS, primary vascular canals still occur but they are very sparse, and there is a number of closely packed lines of

Fig. 2. Histology of the mid-diaphyseal cortex of the humerus (MTM PAL 2012.30.1) in transverse section. The most intact region of the slide (A) demonstrates the extensive remodeling throughout the cortex. The white and gray squares indicate the magnified areas (B) and (C), respectively. Histological abbreviations: **LAG**, lines of arrested growth; **oEFS**, onset of an external fundamental system; **pb**, primary bone; **pvc**, primary vascular canal; **so**, secondary osteon; **src**, secondary remodeled cortex.

arrested growth (LAGs) the spacing of which gets denser toward the periosteal surface (Fig. 2C). The presence of an EFS is generally considered as the most reliable signal of the cessation of diametrical bone growth. The onset of an EFS means that only negligible degree of bone deposition, the so-called residual bone growth could have happened even if the animal had lived any longer. Hence, the onset of an EFS along with the extensive secondary remodeling and the advanced state of infilling of the longitudinal primary vascular spaces all imply skeletal maturity of this specimen. Thus, despite the bad preservation obscuring finer microstructural details, it can be concluded with high confidence that the

skeletal growth of this individual had nearly ceased by the time of its death, i.e. this specimen can be considered as a fully grown adult. The histological results unequivocally prove that this specimen is not the juvenile form of *Hungarosaurus*, hence excluding the possibility that the prominent morphological differences are the result of ontogenetic allometry.

6. Discussion

Assessing the information on the new humerus (MTM PAL 2012.30.1) from Iharkút, it can be concluded that it markedly differs from that of *Hungarosaurus*. In addition to its smaller size, the shape and morphological details clearly indicate its different taxonomic status. The new specimen is similar to the fragmentary humerus of *Struthiosaurus austriacus* (PIUW 2349/18), that of *Struthiosaurus* sp. from Laño (MCNA 6528), and the unpublished French specimen (MC 512), though the latter is more robust and it possesses more divergent distal epiphysis. Unfortunately, no published humerus is known in *S. languedocensis*, and only a silhouette drawing of the humerus is available yet for a new, associated specimen of *S. transylvanicus* (Codrea et al., 2010). The spherical radial condyle, present distally in MTM PAL 2012.30.1, is typically seen in most nodosaurids (Pereda-Suberbiola, 1999; Vickaryous et al., 2004) thus strengthening its supposed nodosaurid status. Due to their fragmentary nature, neither diagnostic features nor a unique combination of characters have been described on any humeri published and referred to as *Struthiosaurus* which are part of a fossil assemblage including other skeletal elements (i.e. the type material of *S. austriacus* and the Laño material). Thus, the Hungarian specimen cannot be assigned to *Struthiosaurus* with high confidence. On the other hand, following parsimony, the only known Late Cretaceous ankylosaur from Europe besides *Hungarosaurus* is *Struthiosaurus* the humerus of which, although fragmentary, shows great similarities with the new Hungarian specimen, hence we tentatively refer to this specimen as cf. *Struthiosaurus* sp.

Accepting the former hypothesis, the new humerus from the Santonian of Iharkút represents the earliest occurrence of the genus thus extending its temporal range to encompass more than 17 million years (Santonian to Maastrichtian) (Fig. 3). Since the shaft of the Hungarian specimen is similar to that of *S. austriacus* in being more gracile and elongate and not as robust and divergent distally as that of the French specimen (MC 512), MTM PAL 2012.30.1 from Iharkút is likely to be more closely related to *S. austriacus* than to the western European form. This close relationship of the Austrian and Hungarian faunas has already been supported by other faunal elements such as the congeneric rhabdodontid taxa (*Mochlodon suessi* in Austria and *M. vorosi* in Hungary, Ősi et al., 2012b) and the identical basal Tetanurae teeth known from both sites (Ősi et al., 2010). Nevertheless, it has to be noted that among the three species of *Struthiosaurus*, only the material referred to as *S. austriacus* includes fragmentary humeri, thus comparison with that of *S. languedocensis* and *S. transylvanicus* is not yet possible. Perhaps the new, still undescribed material from Romania (Codrea et al., 2010) will help solve this problem, at least in the case of the latter species.

The new humerus from Iharkút unambiguously reveals the co-existence of two different ankylosaurs in the Santonian of Iharkút (Fig. 3). The 21.5 cm long, fully grown adult humerus (MTM PAL 2012.30.1) referred to as cf. *Struthiosaurus* sp. represents a typical, relatively massive element as seen in most ankylosaurs. It also shows that similarly to the other species of *Struthiosaurus*, the Hungarian taxon could also have been a small-bodied but robust form with a body length of no more than 2–2.5 m. In contrast, *Hungarosaurus* with a gracile and elongate humerus (45.5 cm) and a forelimb–hindlimb length ratio of 1.0 could have been more

Fig. 3. Geographical distribution and temporal range of *Struthiosaurus* and *Hungarosaurus* in the Late Cretaceous of Europe. **AA**, Austroalpine block, **HI**, Hațeg Island. Basic map of R. Blakey (<http://www2.nau.edu>) modified.

cursorial with a total body length of 4–4.5 m (Ősi and Makádi, 2009). The different size, body proportions and the supposed difference in locomotory abilities suggest different lifestyles for the two, probably sympatric Iherkút ankylosaurs.

The occurrence of two different ankylosaurs in the Santonian Hungarian fauna revives an earlier hypothesis (e.g. Seeley, 1881), namely that the ankylosaurs of European Late Cretaceous faunas were more diverse than previously thought. One classic example of this presumed diversity is the number of ankylosaur taxa represented in the early Campanian Austrian fauna. Several authors tried to approach this question but were always confronted by the lack of enough diagnostic skeletal elements. Seeley (1881) and later on Molnar (1980) distinguished two different taxa on the basis of postcranial material, while Nopcsa (1929) and more recently Pereda-Suberbiola and Galton (2001) considered the ankylosaur material of the Gosau beds as representing several (at least three) individuals of a single ankylosaurian species. However, it has to be

noted that, for example the scapulae used by Seeley (1881) to distinguish the two different Austrian taxa are extremely similar to those of *Hungarosaurus* (Ősi, 2005: fig. 11). This indicates that certain postcranial elements (e.g. scapula, dorsal and caudal vertebrae, femur) might not be useful for making taxonomic inferences. Other elements, such as the humerus, on the other hand are certainly useful to define taxonomic differences. The Hungarian remains, representing two different, probably sympatric taxa, may refresh the previous “high diversity”-hypotheses; nonetheless, discovery of more material is instrumental in offering a better view on the ankylosaurian diversity of Europe.

Acknowledgments

We thank the 2012 field crew for their assistance in the field-work. We are especially grateful to the Bakony Bauxite Mining Company and the Geovolán Zrt. for their logistic help. We are

grateful to the following colleagues and institutions for access to the material in their care: Karl Rauscher (University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria), Patrick and Annie Mechin (Vitrolles, France); Gilles Cheylan, Yves Dutour and Thierry Tortosa (Natural History Museum, Aix-en-Provence, France). Special thank goes to Martin Sander (University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany) for providing technical background for our histological investigations. We thank Koen Stein (University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany) for useful discussions. We thank Zsófia Hajdu and Dóra Csengődi (MTA–ELTE Dinosaur Research Group) and Olaf Dülfer for their technical assistance. Field and laboratory work was supported by the MTA–ELTE Lendület Dinosaur Research Group (Grant no. 95102), Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA T–38045, PD 73021, NF 84193), National Geographic Society (Grant No. 7228–02, 7508–03), Bolyai Fellowship, Hungarian Natural History Museum, Eötvös Loránd University, Jurassic Foundation and Hantken Foundation.

References

- Buffetaut, E., 2005. Late Cretaceous vertebrates from the Saint-Chinian area (southern France): a review of previous research and an update on recent finds. *Acta Palaeontologica Romaniaica* 5, 39–48.
- Buffetaut, E., Costa, G., Le Loeuff, J., Martin, M., Rage, J.-C., Valentin, X., Tong, H., 1996. An Early Campanian vertebrate fauna from the Villeveyrac Basin (Hérault, Southern France). *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Monatshefte* 1996, 1–16.
- Bunzel, E., 1871. Die Reptilienfauna der Gosau-Formation in der Neuen Welt bei Wiener-Neustadt. *Abhandlungen der Geologische Reichsanstalt Wien* 5, 1–18.
- Carpenter, K., Dilkes, D., Weishampel, D.B., 1995. The dinosaurs of the Niobrara Chalk Formation (Upper Cretaceous, Kansas). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 15, 275–297.
- Codrea, V., Vremir, M., Jipa, C., Godefroit, P., Csiki, Z., Smith, T., Fărcaș, C., 2010. More than just Nopcsa's Transylvanian dinosaurs: A look outside the Hățeg Basin. *Paleogeography, Paleoclimatology, Paleoecology* 293, 391–405.
- Coombs, P.W., 1978. Forelimb muscles of the Ankylosauria (Reptilia, Ornithischia). *Journal of Paleontology* 52, 642–657.
- Garcia, G., Pereda-Suberbiola, X., 2003. A new species of *Struthiosaurus* (Dinosauria: Ankylosauria) from the Upper Cretaceous of Villeveyrac (southern France). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 23, 156–165.
- Huxley, T.H., 1867. On *Acanthopholis horridus*, a new reptile from the Chalk Marl. *Geological Magazine* 4, 65–67.
- Kirkland, J.I., 1998. A polacanthine ankylosaur (Ornithischia: Dinosauria) from the Early Cretaceous (Barremian) of Eastern Utah. In: Lucas, S., Kirkland, J.I., Estep, J.W. (Eds.), *New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin* 14. Lower and Middle Cretaceous Terrestrial Ecosystems, Albuquerque, pp. 271–281.
- Molnar, R.E., 1980. An Ankylosaur (Ornithischia: Reptilia) from the Lower Cretaceous of southern Queensland. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* 20, 77–87.
- Nopcsa, F., 1915. Die Dinosaurier des siebenbürgischen Landesteile Ungarns. Mitteilungen aus dem Jahrbuch der königlich Ungarischen geologischen Reichsanstalt 23, 3–24.
- Nopcsa, F., 1929. Dinosaurierreste aus Siebenbürgen V. *Geologica Hungarica. Series Palaeontologica* 4, 1–76.
- Ősi, A., 2005. *Hungarosaurus tormai*, a new ankylosaur (Dinosauria) from the Upper Cretaceous of Hungary. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 25, 370–383.
- Ősi, A., Makádi, L., 2009. New remains of *Hungarosaurus tormai* (Ankylosauria, Dinosauria) from the Upper Cretaceous of Hungary: skeletal reconstruction and body mass estimation. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* 83, 227–245.
- Ősi, A., Apesteguía, S., Kowalewski, M., 2010. Non-avian theropod dinosaurs from the early Late Cretaceous of Central Europe. *Cretaceous Research* 31/3, 304–320.
- Ősi, A., Makádi, L., Rabi, M., Szentesi, Z., Botfalvai, G., Gulyás, P., 2012a. The Late Cretaceous continental vertebrate fauna from Iharút, western Hungary: a review. In: Godefroit, P. (Ed.), *Bernissart Dinosaurs and Early Cretaceous Terrestrial Ecosystems*. Indiana University Press, pp. 533–568.
- Ősi, A., Prondvai, E., Butler, R., Weishampel, D.B., 2012b. Phylogeny, Histology and Inferred Body Size evolution in a New Rhabdodontid Dinosaur from the Late Cretaceous of Hungary. *PLoS One* 7 (9), e44318. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044318>.
- Ostrom, J., 1970. Stratigraphy and paleontology of the Cloverly Formation (Lower Cretaceous) of the Bighorn Basin area, Wyoming and Montana. *Bulletin of the Peabody Museum of Natural History* 35, 1–134.
- Pereda-Suberbiola, X., 1992. A revised census of European Late Cretaceous nodosaurids (Ornithischia: Ankylosauria): last occurrence and possible extinction scenarios. *Terra Nova* 4, 641–648.
- Pereda-Suberbiola, X., 1999. Ankylosaurian dinosaur remains from the Upper Cretaceous of Laño (Iberian Peninsula). *Estudios del Museo de Ciencias Naturales de Alava* 14, 273–288.
- Pereda-Suberbiola, X., Barrett, P.M., 1999. A systematic review of ankylosaurian dinosaur remains from the Albian-Cenomanian of England. *Special Papers in Palaeontology* 60, 177–208.
- Pereda-Suberbiola, X., Galton, P., 2001. Reappraisal of the nodosaurid ankylosaur *Struthiosaurus austriacus* Bunzel from the Upper Cretaceous Gosau Beds of Austria. In: Carpenter, K. (Ed.), *The Armored Dinosaurs*. Indiana University Press, Bloomington, Indiana, pp. 173–210.
- Seeley, H.G., 1881. The reptile fauna of the Gosau Formation preserved in the Geological Museum of the University of Vienna. With a note on the geological horizon of the fossils at Neue Welt, east of Wiener Neustadt. *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society London* 37, 620–707.
- Stein, K., Sander, M., 2009. Histological core drilling: a less destructive method for studying bone histology. In: Brown, M.A., Kane, J.F., Parker, W.G. (Eds.), *Methods in Fossil Preparation: Proceedings of the first Annual Fossil Preparation and Collections Symposium*, pp. 69–80.
- Sternberg, C.M., 1921. A supplementary study of *Panoplosaurus mirus*. In: *Transactions of the Royal Society of Canada, Third Series* 4, pp. 93–102.
- Vickaryous, M.K., Maryanska, T., Weishampel, D.B., 2004. Ankylosauria. In: Weishampel, D.B., Dodson, P., Osmólska, H. (Eds.), *The Dinosauria*, 2nd ed. The University of California Press, Berkeley, pp. 363–392.
- Wells, N.A., 1989. Making thin sections. In: Feldmann, R.M., Chapman, R.E., Hannibal, J.T. (Eds.), *Paleotechniques*. University of Tennessee, Knoxville, pp. 120–129.