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Key points (List 3 to 5 key points of approximately 25 words each that summarize the main points of the article)

1. Patients with Cushing’s disease treated with bilateral adrenalectomy require long-term monitoring for the development of Nelson’s syndrome.

2. Corticotroph tumor growth is essential for Nelson’s syndrome diagnosis; nonetheless, gradually increasing ACTH without demonstrable tumor enlargement need to be included in the diagnostic algorithm.

3. Data on factors predicting Nelson's syndrome development are conflicting; high ACTH during the first year after bilateral adrenalectomy is the most consistently reported one.

4. Surgery followed or not by radiotherapy in cases of NS is associated higher tumor control rates compared with observation alone.

5. A subset of patients with NS will demonstrate aggressive tumor behavior; predictive factors are unknown, management is individualized and prognosis may be poor.
Synopsis

Nelson’s syndrome (NS) is a potentially severe condition which may develop in patients with Cushing’s disease treated with bilateral adrenalectomy (BAL). Although there is no formal consensus on what defines NS, corticotroph tumor growth and/or gradually increasing ACTH levels are important elements in the diagnostic approach. Pathogenesis of NS is still not clarified and well-established predictive factors for its development are lacking; high ACTH levels during the first year after BAL is the most consistently reported predictive parameter. Management options for NS include surgery combined or not by radiotherapy, radiotherapy alone, and observation, whilst medical treatments have not shown consistent results. Treatment strategies should be individualized. A subset of patients with NS will demonstrate aggressive tumor behavior; predictive factors for this are unknown, management can be challenging and prognosis may be poor with malignant transformation and lethal outcomes.
Introduction

Despite the advances in pituitary surgery, radiotherapy and medical treatment of Cushing’s disease (CD), bilateral adrenalectomy (BLA) still holds a place in the management of this condition, particularly in cases refractory to other therapeutic modalities, or as an emergency measure in patients with severe manifestations of hypercortisolemia. BLA offers immediate control of the cortisol excess but Nelson’s syndrome (NS) is a potential complication with various adverse sequelae\textsuperscript{1-9}.

More than 60 years since the first description of the syndrome by Don Nelson and colleagues\textsuperscript{10}, this condition is still surrounded by uncertainties on its diagnosis, management and long-term outcomes. This is mainly attributed to the rarity of NS but also to the lack of sufficient quality data, as most of the studies have significant heterogeneity in their diagnostic criteria, monitoring/management approaches and treatment end points\textsuperscript{2,4,7,8,11-13}.

In this review, we provide an update on NS, focusing on studies published after 1990, when the use of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was embedded in clinical practice, and we identify areas requiring further research in this field.

Definition of NS

Currently, there is no formal consensus on what defines NS and the diagnostic criteria vary amongst available studies (Table 1). In most of the published literature, diagnosis of NS is based on three main axes: 1) radiographic evidence of corticotroph tumor growth (if tumor was evident on the pre-BLA imaging) or identification of tumor (if pre-BLA imaging was negative for tumor), 2) increased plasma adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) levels and 3) development of skin pigmentation.

Radiographic evidence of corticotroph tumor growth is the most frequently used criterion for NS diagnosis, either alone\textsuperscript{4,13-16}, or in combination with increased ACTH levels and/or
pigmentation. In the MRI era, early detection of marginal tumor growth (even before clinical manifestations become evident) is possible, giving ground to the concept of replacing the term NS with “corticotroph tumor progression”. Notably, the definition of tumor growth varies significantly in the published studies. In the majority, no specific size or volume criteria have been described and simply radiographic evidence of corticotroph tumor growth or identification of new tumor (when previous pituitary imaging was negative) was sufficient for NS diagnosis. In other studies, increase in tumor size of at least two mm in one dimension compared with previous imaging was a requirement, while in another report, growth was accepted only if tumor volume exceeded 10% of its original one. Further applied criteria include growth of adenoma that led to surgery or radiotherapy, or presence of a macroadenoma.

Markedly elevated ACTH levels have been used as a sole diagnostic criterion of NS, or together with imaging findings, skin pigmentation, or both. However, the ACTH values differ between studies and no specific cut-off has as yet been defined (Table 1). Furthermore, the timing of the ACTH sampling is rarely reported or, when provided, it varies considerably between the series (simply fasting ACTH, or at any time point, or in mid-afternoon). Interestingly, despite the suppressive effect of glucocorticoid treatment on ACTH levels, the impact of timing of glucocorticoid administration on the ACTH values has been taken into account in only three studies; in Thompson et al., patients were refrained from steroid replacement for 24 hours and in Mccance et al., ACTH measurement was performed 6-8 hours after the last dose of hydrocortisone. Finally, ACTH >200 pg/ml 120 min after the usual hydrocortisone morning dose was considered diagnostic for NS by Jenkins et al.

Skin pigmentation has been used as a diagnostic criterion of NS in combination with corticotroph tumor growth and/or increased ACTH levels, only one series had adopted it as the only criterion. Nowadays, with the advances in the hormone assays and in
neuroradiology techniques, diagnosis of NS can occur at an early stage making pigmentation less common as a presenting manifestation of NS.

Taking into account the above data but also the lack of studies establishing reliably diagnostic criteria for NS, the view of the authors is that diagnosis should rely on corticotroph tumor growth detected on pituitary MRI (evidence of tumor enlargement or, if previous pituitary imaging negative, new identification of tumor – compared with pre-BLA imaging), and/or gradually increasing ACTH levels in a patient compliant on adequate glucocorticoid replacement. Specific cut-off values for ACTH have not been validated and a suggested approach includes an increase in plasma ACTH (taken at 08:00 am, 20 hours after the last dose of glucocorticoid and before that morning’s dose) of 100 pg/ml compared to a previous measurement (taken under the same conditions), or failure to suppress plasma ACTH to less than 200 pg/ml two hours after the morning glucocorticoid dose.35

Epidemiology

The prevalence of NS ranges between 0 and 60%2,4,12-30,32,34,36-46 (Table 1) and is mainly influenced by the diagnostic criteria, the length of follow-up, and possible referral bias of the reporting centers. Notably, in the study with rate of 0%, there was no information for the diagnostic approach and the length of the follow-up was short [mean: 2.7 years (range 1.2-4.8)]42. Based on specific diagnostic criteria used, prevalence is between 5 and 53.4% for positive imaging4,13-16; the 5% rate was reported by Mehta et al.13, where definition of NS required >10% increase of tumor volume compared to its original one, and, therefore, smaller growths were not included; in the remaining series, rates were ě25%4,14-16. Prevalence ranges between 32.4% and 55.6%32,34 for increased ACTH levels combined or not with skin pigmentation, and between 8.6% and 46.7% for radiological tumor growth, increased ACTH levels and skin pigmentation19,20,22-25,27,28.
The interval between BLA and NS detection also varies between series (Table 1) reflecting again the differences in the diagnostic strategy. When only positive imaging was required, NS was diagnosed at mean/median period of 2-3 years after BLA\textsuperscript{4,14,16}, while when diagnosis was based on biochemical/clinical criteria, the median latency of NS onset was 1-5.7 years\textsuperscript{32,34}. The mean interval between BLA and NS diagnosis also differs significantly in studies in which all criteria (radiographic, biochemical and clinical) had to be met, ranging from 15 months to 16 years\textsuperscript{20,22,23,27}.

**Pathogenesis**

Pathogenesis of NS remains unknown. Loss of the negative glucocorticoid feedback on the hypothalamus with subsequent increase in corticotropin-releasing hormone production leading to corticotroph neoplasia and NS has been proposed as one theory\textsuperscript{47}. However, although studies in rodents support this\textsuperscript{48,49}, relevant evidence from human studies is still lacking. Furthermore, the fact the NS does not develop in all CD patients treated with BLA suggests that other factors may also be implicated. A second hypothesis suggests that NS represents the natural history of an aggressive corticotroph tumor. However, despite the new insights on the molecular pathogenesis of corticotroph tumors\textsuperscript{50}, the mechanisms driving tumor progression and leading to NS remain poorly understood. Corticotroph tumor growth has been associated with reduced expression of E-cadherin (with Nelson’s tumors demonstrating lowest expression compared to corticotroph micro- or macroadenomas); this molecule plays a role in cell-cell adhesion in epithelial tissues and its decreased expression has been correlated with increased invasiveness and metastatic potential for different types of tumors\textsuperscript{51}. On the other hand, the prevalence of \textit{USP8} mutations is similar between CD and Nelson’s tumors suggesting that \textit{USP8} mutations do not drive corticotroph adenoma progression that leads to NS\textsuperscript{52}.
Predictive factors for development of NS after BLA

Identification of factors predicting the development of NS has proven to be difficult, as a number of studies have provided conflicting results.

High ACTH levels in the first year after BLA is the most consistently found predictive factor in most\textsuperscript{2,14,16} but not all\textsuperscript{17} reports published after 1990. No definitive threshold value of ACTH has been, as yet, defined and, although Assie et al.\textsuperscript{14} suggested that a >100 pg/ml increase of ACTH levels in the first year after BLA is a predictor of corticotroph tumor growth, this finding has not been further evaluated.

Presence of a pituitary adenoma prior to BLA has been also proposed as a risk factor\textsuperscript{24,32} but this has not been confirmed in other series\textsuperscript{14,20}. Controversy also exists on the role of pituitary radiotherapy in preventing NS development [administered either as therapeutic intervention for the hypercortisolism (prior to BLA) or as prophylactic measure (at the time of BLA)]. Although some studies have showed a protective effect\textsuperscript{20,22,32}, this has not been confirmed in others\textsuperscript{23,24}.

Young age at the time of BLA has been proposed as a risk factor by Keming et al.\textsuperscript{27}, but subsequent studies did not confirm this\textsuperscript{14,22,24}, while short duration of CD has also been found to be predictive\textsuperscript{14}, but not in all studies\textsuperscript{22,27}. High urinary cortisol levels before BLA has been identified as predictive factor in the study of Sonino et al.\textsuperscript{24}, but not in the Pereira et al.\textsuperscript{23}, while the presence of residual adrenal tissue after BLA has not been confirmed to be protective against the development of NS\textsuperscript{14,22}. Finally, Nagesser et al.\textsuperscript{22} suggested that insufficient glucocorticoid replacement therapy after BLA may be associated with NS development, but this factor has not been assessed in further studies.

Monitoring for development of NS after BLA

Given the lack of widely accepted factors for the development of NS, all patients with CD treated with BLA require monitoring; this should be life-long, as diagnosis of NS has been
reported as late as 32 years after BLA\textsuperscript{1}. Formal guidelines on an effective follow-up protocol are not available but this should include measurement of plasma ACTH levels and imaging surveillance.

Given the impact of timing of ACTH measurements in relationship with the dose and type of glucocorticoid, blood sampling for ACTH needs to be performed at least 20 hours after the last administration of glucocorticoid and prior to the morning dose\textsuperscript{35,53}. A second ACTH sample two hours after the morning glucocorticoid dose will help discriminate if ACTH responds or not to the negative feedback of steroids\textsuperscript{32,35,47}. Increase of the morning plasma ACTH levels (20 hours off glucocorticoid) >100 pg/ml compared to previous measurements and/or plasma ACTH >200 pg/ml two hours after the morning glucocorticoid dose should be further investigated with a pituitary MRI\textsuperscript{35}.

Biochemical surveillance is recommended at 6-monthly intervals for the first 3 years and annually thereafter. The frequency of the imaging monitoring varies amongst authors\textsuperscript{35,53,54} and a proposed approach includes high resolution pituitary MRI 6-12 months after the BLA and then yearly. If plasma ACTH remains low after the initial three years of annual monitoring, the imaging interval can be extended to every other year.

**Management of NS**

The long-term outcomes of patients with NS after primary management have been poorly assessed, especially in the modern era. Drawbacks of the limited published series include small number of patients, differences in the length of follow-up and heterogeneity in the criteria defining successful treatment of NS (Table 2). Furthermore, in some studies, the inclusion in the analyses of tumors already showing regrowth after their primary management\textsuperscript{3,5,7,55-59} and the lack of information on previous therapies\textsuperscript{3,5,6,57-61} makes the interpretation of their results difficult.
**Surgery**

Surgical removal of the Nelson’s tumor has been associated with growth rates ranging between 0% and 50% during variable follow-up periods\(^1,2,7-9,11,62\) (Table 2). The series reporting 0% growth rate had only four patients monitored for a short interval (median 39 months)\(^10\). In the largest to date study of patients with NS from 13 UK pituitary centers, the 10-year tumor progression-free survival was 80% for those treated by surgery\(^1\).

There is no consensus on the definition of NS remission after treatment and this has been delineated in only four studies\(^7-9,11\). The proposed criteria included: 1) ACTH <200 pg/ml two hours after the morning glucocorticoid dose\(^7\), 2) ACTH <200 pmol/l after stopping glucocorticoid replacement therapy for at least 24 hours and tumor size <10 mm\(^8\), 3) ACTH <70 pg/ml two hours after the morning glucocorticoid dose and no evidence of tumor growth\(^9\) and 4) ACTH <200 pg/ml after stopping glucocorticoid replacement therapy for 24 hours and no MRI evidence of tumor\(^11\). The reported remission rates were between 33 and 100%, but the small number of cases in each study (4-10 patients) challenges the validity of these rates. Nonetheless, small tumor size and no extrasellar extension have been associated with long lasting remission\(^8,11\).

**Radiotherapy**

Radiotherapy is an alternative treatment for NS either alone or after pituitary surgery. Adjuvant radiotherapy is mainly used in cases of incomplete tumor removal aiming to control its growth rate. Kelly *et al.*\(^7\), reported tumor growth in one out of seven patients (14%) managed by surgery and radiotherapy during a 17-year median follow-up (range 9-20 years); however, this patient had an already recurrent tumor. Remission of NS (ACTH <200 pg/ml two hours after morning glucocorticoid dose) with no residual tumor was achieved in four (57%) patients; in the remaining two, ACTH decreased and one had no residual tumor, while the second had
stable residuum. In the UK Nelson’s study, tumor growth probability was 19% at 10 years in those managed by surgery and radiotherapy.

Pituitary irradiation as a primary treatment of NS has been used in patients not candidates for surgery, either due to tumor location or because of significant comorbidities. Tran et al. reported normal ACTH levels in 50% (2/4) of patients treated with radiotherapy, with the remaining of them being on clinical remission (no clear definition for this was provided) during median follow-up of 3 years. In the series by Espinosa-de-Los-Monteros et al., primary treatment with radiotherapy in four patients followed-up for median period of 4.4 years resulted in tumor shrinkage in two and stable size in the other two patients. The 10-year tumor progression-free survival for those treated solely with radiotherapy in the UK Nelson’s study was 52%; in this series, four of 22 patients from this group had already received a previous course of irradiation for their CD and the possibility that they had more aggressive tumors cannot be excluded.

Studies assessing the effects of stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) in controlling Nelson’s tumor growth have shown promising results (ranging between 60% and 100%) . However, these data need to be interpreted with caution as information on other therapeutic interventions prior to SRS are not provided. In the three studies evaluating the efficacy of SRS as primary treatment of NS, no further tumor progression was reported in 83.4% (10/12) and in 100% (5/5 and 3/3) of the cases, respectively. However, selection bias and small sample size challenge the practical significance of these data.

The effects of proton radiotherapy on NS have been reviewed in only one study; normal ACTH levels were achieved in 75% (6/8) of the patients and tumor control in 100% (6/6) of them. Nonetheless, this study has limitations similar to those assessing SRS efficacy.

Observation
Imaging surveillance is mostly considered in patients with small tumors, not causing mass effects to vital surrounding structures. In the UK Nelson’s study, there was 51% tumor progression-free survival at 10 years in patients under surveillance, and in the majority of the cases, active treatment (surgery, radiotherapy, medical therapy or combination of these) was subsequently offered\(^1\). High tumor growth rates have been also reported by Kemink \textit{et al.}\(^8\), with 87.5% (7/8) of the conservatively managed patients demonstrating further corticotroph tumor growth during median follow-up of 2.5 years. In six of these cases, surgery or radiotherapy was offered, whereas in the seventh one, massive pituitary hemorrhage occurred five years after the diagnosis of NS. In contrast, in the study of Pereira \textit{et al.}\(^23\), four patients were managed conservatively and in two of them (followed-up for three and four years respectively), no tumor growth was demonstrated; the other two were followed-up for less than one year.

\textit{Medical therapy}

Different types of pharmacotherapy have been used for the control of ACTH levels and/or the corticotroph tumor in patients with NS, including dopamine agonists, sodium valproate, octreotide and lanreotide, serotonin antagonists and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor \(\gamma\) agonists. Despite their beneficial effects in some cases, their efficacy has not been consistently reproduced\(^35,47,64\). However, it has been suggested that pharmacotherapy could be an option when all other management approaches have failed\(^54\). Pasireotide has been recently investigated as a medical treatment in NS in a small case series where it resulted in reduction of ACTH levels, but with no significant change in the size of the tumor during a 28 week administration period\(^65\).

\textbf{Recurrent and aggressive NS}
A small subset of patients will demonstrate further corticotroph tumor growth after their primary treatment of NS. Given the rarity of the condition, the identification of relevant predictive factors is challenging. Notably, in the UK Nelson’s study, the highest risk was found in patients treated with pituitary surgery, radiotherapy and BLA for their CD prior to NS diagnosis\(^1\). This group had received the most complex treatments for their CD possibly reflecting an aggressive corticotroph tumor from the outset\(^1\).

Management of these patients is individualized and also tailored to previously offered treatments. Furthermore, the reported responses to treatment are variable and range from tumor stability (during variable monitoring intervals) up to multiple growths and malignant transformation\(^1,4,6-8\) In the latter scenario, there is poor prognosis and increased mortality\(^1,8,11,66\). Temozolomide has been used for the treatment of such aggressive tumors with beneficial results in some\(^67,68\) but not all published cases\(^1,69\).

**Conclusions and future directions**

More than 60 years since its first description, NS remains a fascinating but also enigmatic entity. A formal consensus on the diagnosis and management of this condition is still lacking. Patients with CD offered BLA require long-term monitoring for the development of NS. Corticotroph tumor growth is essential for diagnosis; nonetheless, gradually increasing ACTH levels without radiographic evidence of corticotroph tumor growth need to be included in the diagnostic algorithm. Surgery followed or not by radiotherapy is associated with higher tumor control rates compared with observation alone. The latter option can be offered to patients with small lesions, not causing mass effects to vital surrounding structures but close follow-up is essential to detect further tumor progress and ensure appropriate treatment. The small subset of tumors demonstrating aggressive behaviour is intriguing and may reflect an aggressive
corticotroph tumor from the outset. Further studies focusing on all aspects of this condition are needed and, will hopefully, facilitate the development of tumor-targeted therapeutic agents.
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