UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Systemic root-shoot signaling drives jasmonatebased root defense against nematodes

Wang, Guoting; Hu, Chaoyi; Zhou, Jie; Liu, Ya; Cai, Jiaxing; Pan, Caizhe; Wang, Yu; Wu, Xiaodan; Shi, Kai; Xia, Xiaojian; Zhou, Yanhong; Foyer, Christine H.; Yu, Jingquan

DOI:

10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.049

License

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Wang, G, Hu, C, Zhou, J, Liu, Y, Cai, J, Pan, C, Wang, Y, Wu, X, Shi, K, Xia, X, Zhou, Y, Foyer, CH & Yu, J 2019, 'Systemic root-shoot signaling drives jasmonate-based root defense against nematodes', *Current Biology*, vol. 29, no. 20, pp. 3430-3438.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.049

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 04. May. 2024

1 Systemic root-shoot signaling drives

2 jasmonate-based root defense against nematodes

4 Guoting Wang,^{1,6} Chaoyi Hu,^{1,6} Jie Zhou,^{1,2,6} Ya Liu,³ Jiaxing Cai,¹ Caizhe Pan,¹ Yu Wang,¹

- 5 Xiaodan Wu,⁴ Kai Shi,¹ Xiaojian Xia,¹ Yanhong Zhou,¹,★ Christine H. Foyer,⁵,★ and Jingquan Yu¹, ७,
- **6** ★

7

3

- ⁸ College of Agriculture and Biotechnology, Zijingang Campus, Zhejiang University, 866
- 9 Yuhangtang Road, Hangzhou, 310058, P.R. China
- ²Key Laboratory of Horticultural Plants Growth and Development, Agricultural Ministry of China,
- 11 Yuhangtang Road 866, Hangzhou, 310058, P.R. China
- ³College of Agriculture, Hainan University, Sanya, 570228, P.R. China
- ⁴Analysis Center of Agrobiology and Environmental Science, Zhejiang University, Yuhangtang
- 14 Road 866, Hangzhou, 310058, P.R. China
- ⁵School of Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham,
- 16 Edgbaston, B15 2TT, UK
- ⁶These authors contributed equally
- ⁷Lead Contact
- 19 ★Corresponding author. Email: yanhongzhou@zju.edu.cn;
- 20 C.H.Foyer@bham.ac.uk;
- 21 jqyu@zju.edu.cn

22

SUMMARY

Shoot-root communication is crucial for plant adaptation to environmental changes. However, the extensive crosstalk between shoots and roots that controls the synthesis of jasmonates (JAs), in order to enhance defense responses against rhizosphere herbivores, remains poorly understood. Here, we report that the root-knot nematode (RKN) Meloidogyne incognita induced the systemic transmission of electrical and reactive oxygen species (ROS) signals from attacked tomato roots to the leaves leading to an increased accumulation of JAs in the leaves. Grafting of 1.0 cm stem sections from mutants lacking GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR-LIKE 3.5 or the mutants deficient in RESPIRATORY BURST OXIDASE HOMOLOG 1 abolished the RKN-induced electrical signals and associated ROS and JAs accumulation in the upper stems and leaves with attenuated resistance to RKN. Furthermore, the absence of systemic transmission of electrical and ROS signals compromised the activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MPK) 1/2 in leaves. Silencing MPK1 or MPK2 abolished RKN-induced accumulation of JAs and associated resistance. These findings reveal a systemic signaling loop that integrates electrical, ROS and JAs signals to enhance the resistance in distal organs via root-shoot-root communication.

INTRODUCTION

Shoot and root processes are intimately interconnected through long-distance communication pathways that allow appropriate whole plant growth and resource allocation, as well as defense responses [1, 2]. Shoot-root communication is dependent on the vascular system for the transport of RNAs, peptides, phytohormones etc [3-7]. In addition, long-distance signal transmission also involves other systems including ROS, Ca²⁺ and electrical signals around the vascular cells [8-12]. Adaptation to abiotic stresses such as high light, salt, nutrient deficiency, cold and water deficits, and to biotic threats such as pathogens and herbivores, as well as mutualistic and symbiotic microorganisms is largely achieved through the mediation of phytohormones [13-15]. Plants frequently accumulate jasmonates (JAs) in response to herbivores, leading to the induction

of defence responses [16]. JAs are formed from α-linolenic acid in the chloroplast membranes via a light-regulated biosynthetic pathway. In spite of the absence of chloroplasts, the root system accumulates JAs in response to nematode attack [16, 17]. However, the mechanisms that lead to JA accumulation in roots are unknown [18]. Here, focusing on shoot-root communication in nematode resistance, we examined the role of a systemic signal transmission loop by which JAs biosynthesis in the leaves is linked to resistance in the roots. We show that nematode attack induced the systemic transmission of electrical signals and that together with ROS, these 'SOS' signals serve to activate JAs synthesis in systemic leaves. This leads to increased JAs accumulation in roots and enhanced resistance to nematodes.

RESULTS

Shoot JAs synthesis contributes to plant resistance against root nematodes

JAs play a critical role in plant defenses against herbivores [19]. Inoculation with the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne incognita (RKN) at a density of 1000 infective second stage juveniles (J2s) per plant induced a significant increase in the accumulation of JA and JA-isoleucine (JA-Ile, an active form of JA in the defence response) in the leaves at 24 hours post innoculation (hpi) (Figure 1A). Such an increase in the accumulation of JA and JA-Ile in either the roots or the leaves was largely attenuated in the JA biosynthesis defective mutant, suppressor of prosystemin-mediated responses 2 (spr2) (Figure S1A) [20, 21]. To determine the respective contributions of JA synthesis in shoots and roots to nematode resistance, wild type (WT) plants at the 3-leaf stage were reciprocally grafted with spr2 as scion or rootstock, respectively. Compared to the plants with the WT as scion (WT/WT and WT/spr2), plants with spr2 as scion (spr2/WT, spr2/spr2) showed decreased resistance to nematode infestation, as demonstrated by the increased number of galls on the roots relative to WT/WT and WT/spr2 at 28 days post inoculation (dpi) (Figure 1B). Interestingly, no significant differences in the resistance of WT/WT and WT/spr2 plants were observed. Similarly, there were no significant differences in infestation between the spr2/WT and spr2/spr2 plants. The lower resistance observed in the spr2/WT and spr2/spr2 plants was in agreement with the lower JA accumulation observed in both the leaves or the roots of these lines relative to the WT/WT and WT/spr2 plants (Figure

S1B). Therefore, the basal resistance of roots against the RKN is largely dependent on JAs synthesis in shoots, but not in roots.

Nematode attack induces a systemic transmission of electrical and ROS signals

We next examined whether RKN infection induced JAs synthesis in leaves and whether leaf JAs synthesis was linked to systemic changes in electrical and ROS signals transmitted from roots to leaves. RKN induced an increase in the accumulation of JA and JA-Ile in both the leaves and roots, particularly at 24 hpi (Figure S1C). A 48 h continous recording revealed that RKN induced intermittent changes in the surface potential of stems, petioles and leaf lamina and the cytoplasmic potential in the leaf cells of all plants with intervals of minutes to hours (n=6, Figure 1C). When the surface potential of the stems was recorded for a duration of 20 min, RKN-induced changes in the surface potential were not observed in every plant at 3 hpi, 6 hpi, 12 hpi and 24 hpi (Figures S1D and Table S1), suggesting that the random attack from RKN induced discontinuous and irregular changes in the electrical pulses. At 24 hpi, RKN infestation induced potential changes on the stem with a frequency of 2.42±1.88, an amplitude of -5.34±2.16 mV and a duration of 27.2±5.54 seconds for each pulse during the 20 min recording (Figure 1D). While pulse duration decreased from the stems to the leaves, no significant differences in pulse frequency or amplitude were observed.

Histochemical analysis with DAB staining revealed that RKN infection, which was shown by using acid fuchsin staining (Figures 1E1 and S1E1), induced an accumulation of H₂O₂ in the vascular systems of roots, stems and petioles (Figures 1E2-4 and S1E2-4). Quantitation of DAB staining intensity showed RKN-induced H₂O₂ accumulation was highest at 24 hpi and decreased from the roots to the petioles (Figure S1F). Consistent with this finding, RKN induced the greatest accumulation of H₂O₂ in the leaves at 24 hpi (Figure S1G). Subcellular localization studies using CeCl₃ showed H₂O₂ accumulated in the apoplast of the leaf cells as a result of RKN attack (Figures 1E5 and S1E5). In addition, this increase in H₂O₂ accumulation was associated with an increase in the activity of NADPH oxidase in the leaves (Figure S1H). Therefore, RKN infestation in the roots induced a systemic transmission of electrical and ROS signals to the leaves, as has also been observed in the systemic transmission of light signals from the shoots to the roots [22].

115

116

GLR-dependent electrical activity is critical for leaf JAs synthesis and related defenses

GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR-LIKE (GLR) genes encode putative cation channels that are 117 responsible for electrical activity and can influence JA signaling [9]. Using virus-induced gene 118 silencing (VIGS) approaches, plants were produced that were silenced for either SIGLR3.3 119 (pTRV-GLR3.3) or SIGLR3.5 (pTRV-GLR3.5). These are the analogues of GLR3.3 and GLR3.6 120 in Arabidopsis, which have roles in wound signaling [9, 23]. qRT-PCR showed that the 121 expression of GLR3.3 and GLR3.5 was reduced by 70~80% in the pTRV-GLR3.3 and 122 pTRV-GLR3.5 plants, respectively (Figure S2A). Importantly, pTRV-GLR3.3 123 pTRV-GLR3.5 plants both showed significantly lower resistance to RKN, together with 124 decreased JA accumulation in the leaves (Figures S2B and S2C). To explore the role of GLRs in 125 systemic signal transmission from roots to leaves, we sought to generate CRISPR/Cas9 glr3.3 126 and glr3.5 mutants. However, only the glr3.5 mutation was successful, which carries a 4-bp 127 deletion in the open reading frame (ORF) resulting in the premature termination of the protein 128 translation. Grafted plants produced between the WT and glr3.5 lines, as rootstock or scion, 129 130 respectively, were inoculated with RKN at the 4-leaf stage. The plants with glr3.5 as rootstock or scion (WT/glr3.5, glr3.5/WT and glr3.5/glr3.5) showed decreased electrical activity, as 131 demonstrated by the decreased pulse amplitude and duration of surface potentials on the scion 132 stems at 24 hpi, together with reduced resistance against RKN relative to self-grafted WT plants 133 (Figures 2A, S2D and Table S2). In addition, RKN-induced accumulation of JA and JA-Ile in the 134 135 leaves and roots was attenuated (Figure S2E). Interestingly, when a segment of the glr3.5 stem (ca. 1.0 cm in length) was inserted into the WT stem between the cotyledons and the 1st true leaf 136 137 (WT/glr3.5/WT) of the graft, there was a significant decrease in the resistance to RKN. Meanwhile, it attenuated RKN-induced changes in electrical pulse amplitude and duration at 24 138 139 hpi and decreased the accumulation of JA and JA-Ile in the leaves and roots relative to self-grafted WT plants (WT/WT/WT) (Figures 2B-2E). Other experiments showed that artificial 140 current injection on the stem surface (20 µA for 2 min with 10 min interval, for 60 or 10 cycles) 141 significantly decreased the number of RKN galls and increased the accumultation of JAs in the 142 143 plants (Figures S2F and S2G). These results strongly suggest that activation of GLR3.5 in both

the shoots and roots is essential for the activation of JAs synthesis in leaves and subsequent RKN resistance in the roots.

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

144

145

RBOH1-dependent ROS production is important in the regulation of leaf JAs synthesis and RKN resistance

RKN may induce H₂O₂ accumulation in the leaf apoplast via a systemic induction of the activity of NADPH oxidase, which is encoded by the Respiratory Burst Oxidase Homolog (RBOH) genes. qRT-PCR analysis revealed that of the 8 RBOHs in the plants, RBOH1 was the most highly expressed (Figure S3A). We generated CRISPR/Cas9 rbohl mutant (containing a T insertion in the RBOH ORF to generate a premature stop codon TGA) and produced reciprocally grafted plants, which were then exposed to RKN. Compared to the WT/WT plants, plants with rboh1 as scion (rboh1/WT, rboh1/rboh1) or rootstock (WT/rboh1) showed decreased resistance to nematode infestation, as demonstrated by the increased number of galls on the roots relative to WT/WT at 28 dpi (Figure 3A). Histochemical analysis using DAB staining, followed by quantification of staining intensity revealed that RKN induced H₂O₂ accumuation in the vascular system throughout the stems of the WT/WT plants (Figures S3B and S3C). However, no substantial increases in H₂O₂ accumulation were observed in the stems of rboh1/rboh1 plants in response to RKN attack. Interestingly, the RKN infection induced accumulation of H₂O₂ only in the rootstock stems but not scion stems of the rbohl/WT plants. In addition, H₂O₂ accumulation in the apoplast and/or in the leaf tissues was abolished in plants with rbohl as the rootstock or scion, together with the loss of induction of NADPH oxidase activity in the leaves (Figures S3D-S3F). Furthermore, RKN-induced accumulation of JA and JA-Ile in the leaves or roots was abolished in plants with rbohl as rootstock or scion (Figure S3G). Crucially, when a segment of rbohl stem (ca. 1.0 cm in length) was inserted into the WT stem between the cotyledons and the 1st true leaf (WT/rboh1/WT), the graft significantly reduced resistance to RKN infestation and compromised RKN-induced accumulations of H₂O₂ in the stem above the *rboh1* graft (Figures 3B, 3C and S3H). Moreover, H₂O₂ accumulation was not observed in the apoplast of the leaf cells and the leaf tissues above the *rboh1* graft (Figures 3D and S3I). Similarly, JA and JA-Ile accumulation was not observed in the leaves or roots (Figure 3E). In agreement with a putative role for H₂O₂ as a signal for the induction of JA synthesis, the foliar application of H₂O₂ induced JA accumulation in the leaves (Figure S3J). Maximal effects of H₂O₂ were observed at a

concentration of 1 mM. We conclude that a cell to cell activation of H₂O₂ production from the roots to the leaves is essential for the induction of JAs production in the leaves, together with JAs-mediated resistance to RKN in the tomato roots.

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

175

176

177

Crosstalk between cytoplasmic electrical activity and ROS production is intrinsic to long-distance signal transmission

The evidence presented above suggests that the activation of either electrical signals or H₂O₂ production is critical for the systemic induction of JAs synthesis in leaves and the associated induction of resistance. To test this further, we examined the relationship between electrical activity and H₂O₂ signaling in the plant systemic response to RKN infestation. We found RKN-induced increases in NADPH oxidase activity in the leaves were compromised in plants co-silenced for GLR3.3 and GLR3.5 (pTRV-GLR3.3/3.5) (Figure S4A). In addition, the RKN-induced accumulation of H₂O₂ in the leaf tissues and in the apoplast of the leaves or in the stems was attenuated in the grafted plants with glr3.5 as rootstock or scion (Figures S4B -S4E). Crucially, we found that RKN infestation induced H₂O₂ accumulation in the WT rootstock stems but not in the glr3.5 stem segments or the WT scion stems of the WT/glr3.5/WT plants (Figures 4A and S4F). Moreover, H₂O₂ accumulation was not induced in the apoplast of the leaves or in the whole leaves in response to RKN infestation in the shoots of the WT/glr3.5/WT plants (Figures 4B and S4G). Conversely, the grafted plants with rbohl as rootstock or scion, or those with an inserted rbohl segment showed attenuated RKN-induced electrical activity with decreased pulse amplitude and duration (Figures 4C, 4D, S4H and Table S3). To further characterize the relationship between electrical activity and H₂O₂ production, we applied current injection (at 20 µA for 2 min with an interval of 10 min) to the stems. This treatment induced resistance, and accumulation of H₂O₂ in the the vascular system of the shoots, together with an accumulation of H₂O₂ in the aploplast of the leaves of the WT plants, but this was not observed in the glr3.5 or rboh1 plants (Figures 4E, 4F, S4I and S4J). These results strongly suggest that there is an inter-dependency between GLR3.5 and RBOH1-mediated processes in the continuous transmission of signals from roots to leaves in order to activate JAs biosynthesis.

203

204

205

Redox-dependent activation of MPK1/2 is involved in the induction of JAs synthesis

MPKs play important roles in the regulation of JA synthesis through effects on the early steps of the biosynthetic pathway. Moreover, MPK1/2 activation is subject to RBOH-dependent redox regulation [24, 25]. RKNs induced MPK1/2 activation from 3~6 hpi and MPK1/2 activation reached a peak at 24 hpi (Figure 5A). This finding is in agreement with the point of highest accumulation of JAs in WT plants. While RKN infection induced the activation of MPK1/2 in the leaves of WT/WT/WT plants, this activation was, however, attenuated in the leaves of the WT/rboh1/WT plants and the WT/glr3.5/WT plants (Figures 5B and 5C). Moreover, 10 cycles of current injection with a electrical activity similar to RKN-induced electrical activity (at 10 μA for 30 s with an interval of 9 min) was sufficient to activate MPK1/2 in WT leaves (Figure 5D). Again, current injection-induced activation of MPK1/2 was significantly attenuated in the leaves of the *rboh1* and *glr3.5* mutants (Figure 5E). We next examined whether MPK1/2-dependent pathways are involved in the regulation of JAs synthesis in relation to RKN resistance. Using independent silencing of each gene, as well as co-silencing of MPK1 and MPK2, we found that suppressed expression of either MPK1 (pTRV-MPK1) or MPK2 (pTRV-MPK2) or both (pTRV-MPK1/2) was accompanied by an increased susceptibility to RKN infestation in the roots (Figures 5F and S5A). In addition, the roots of the pTRV-MPK1/2 plants were more susceptible to RKN infection, as demonstrated by the increased number of galls on the roots, than either the pTRV-MPK1 or the pTRV-MPK2 plants. Meanwhile, down-regulation of MPK1 or MPK2 expression compromised RKN-induced accumulation of JA and JA-Ile in the leaves and roots (Figures 5G and S5B). Consistent with an earlier study [24], MPK1/2-induced changes in the abundance of transcript of several key JA-related genes (LOXD, AOS, AOC and OPR3) were not substantial (Figure S5C). The observed small differences are unlikely to be sufficient to induce large differences in JA accumulation.

DISCUSSION

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

The data presented here demonstrate the existence of a novel systemic signaling pathway that enables rapid communication between the aboveground and underground parts of the plant to induce defenses against nematode attack. We present a proof of the presence of extensive reciprocal crosstalk in the systemic transmission of electrical and redox signals from roots to leaves in response to the perception of RKN attack. The results also demonstrate that MPK1/2

activation is intrinsic to this signaling pathway that leads to increased JAs synthesis in the leaves.

Directional transport of JAs produced in response to these signals occurs from the shoots to roots

leading to the activation of appropriate defense responses to increase resistance against nematode

240 attack (Figure 5H).

237

238

239

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

Prior to this study, the general consensus of opinion was that local resistance was determined by the capacity of phytohormone synthesis, leading to an accumulation of salicylic acid (SA) and JA in attacked tissues [26]. The data presented here demonstrates that the local ability to produce JAs in the roots alone is insufficient to induce an effective defence against RKN infestation. Moreover, these findings reveal an important and previously unrecognized role for other organs particularly leaves in enabling root resistance through intensive and continuous shoot-root communication pathways. This systemic signaling pathway is distinct from the known systemic acquired resistance (SAR) or systemic acquired acclimation (SAA) responses. Our findings regarding RKN-induced systemic transmission of electrical activity and ROS signals are in agreement with previous reports demonstrating the presence of electrical and ROS signaling pathways in the distal activation of key pathways required for the stress responses [27, 28]. However, the intermittent and mild attack from RKN induced a larger number of electrical pulses but with less amplitude and shorter duration than those induced by wounding or herbivores [9]. Importantly, the series of grafting experiments reported here provide strong evidence for the propagation characteristics of electrical signaling and ROS regeneration responses. These findings are consistent with the concept of stimuli-induced waves of Ca²⁺, ROS and electrical signaling in systemic communication as suggested by other researchers [8, 22, 27]. We present the first genetic evidence in support of this concept by demonstrating an interdependency between ROS production and electrical activity in the elicitation of appropriate RKN defences in the roots of tomato plants.

Our data demonstrate the involvement of multiple-signaling pathways in the transmission of systemic signals between roots and shoots. These findings support the consensus view that plants orchestrate effective specific responses to perceived threats through a repertoire of signaling pathways including electrical, ROS, Ca²⁺ and phytohormone-based processes[28]. Wounding triggers the long-distance transmission of [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} increases and systemic defense responses, which are *GLRs*-dependent [12]. Consistent with the roles of the vascular system in the transmission of electrical signaling and of Ca²⁺ in the activation of NADPH oxidase [29, 10], the

data presented here show that the discontinuous induction of electrical signaling is accompanied by continuous increase in the accumulation of H₂O₂ due to the auto-propagating characteristics of H₂O₂ production and subsequent activation of MPK1/2 in response to RKNs [28, 25]. Therefore, the crosstalk between electrical, ROS and Ca²⁺ signaling pathways is pivotal to the systemic transmission of signals from local tissue to distant tissues to activate MPK-dependent JA biosynthesis [24]. JA and SA are the two major players in plant defense responses to pests, such as herbivores and necrotrophic and biotrophic pathogens [30, 31]. They are often considered to function antagonistically in such defense responses [32]. Within this context, our results showing that incresaed JAs accumulation in the leaves of RKN-infested plants, indicate that altered resistance to susceptibility to root invasion may be highly dependent on defense responses in the leaves, through the mediation of systemic signaling pathways. Crosstalk between aboveground and belowground organs not only regulates physiological processes but also alters many rhizosphere processes with ecological significance [33-36]. A general ecological theory may need to be developed to explain why plants involve their shoots in root defenses and why they enhance leaf-resistance upon contact with root-feeding insects and soil-dwelling microorganisms. Future studies are required to establish whether such systemic signaling pathways are a wide spread phenomenon in the plant kingdom and whether roots respond in a similar manner to threats to the shoots by herbivores and pathogens. However, given the greater availability of carbon and nitrogen substrates, together with other resources in leaves compared to roots, it may be logical that shoot pathways are induced as parts of the triage strategy that prevents invasion of the roots. While further research is required to identify shoot-root and root-shoot signals, the present demonstration of effective communication between roots and shoots to prevent or limit RKN infestation offers potential applications for improved plant protection.

292

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

294295

296

297

298

293

We thank the Prof. D. Peng (Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China) for providing Meloidogyne incognita, rac1; Prof. C. Li and Tomato Genetics Resource Center at the California University for providing tomato seeds; J. Hong for the electron microscopy observation. This work was supported by grants from the National Key Research and Development of China

- 299 (2018YFD1000800), the Modern Agro-industry Technology Research System of China
- 300 (CARS-25-02A), National Natural Science Foundation of China (31430076), and Zhejiang
- 301 University 16+X program to J. Yu.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

304

303

- Conceptualization, J.Q.Y., Y.H.Z. and C.H.F.; Methodology, J.Q.Y., G.T.W. and C.Y.H.; Formal
- Analysis, K.S. and X.J.X.; Investigation, G.T.W, C.Y.H, J.Z, Y.L. and J.X.C.; Resources, Y.W.,
- 307 C.Z.P. and X.D.W.; Writing Original Draft, J.Q.Y.; Writing Review & Editing, J.Q.Y., Y.H.Z.
- and C.H.F.; Visualization, G.T.W, C.Y.H, and J.Z.; Supervision, J.Q.Y. and C.H.F.; Funding
- 309 Acquisition, J.Q.Y. and Y.H.Z.;

310

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

312

311

313 The authors declare no competing interests.

314

315 MAIN-TEXT FIGURE/TABLE LEGENDS

316

- Figure 1. Meloidogyne incognita infection induces systemic transmission of electrical and
- 318 H₂O₂ signals leading to increased JAs accumulation
- (A) Meloidogyne incognita (RKN) infection induces accumulation of JAs in the leaves at 24 hpi.
- 320 (B) Shoot JAs biosynthesis contributes to the resistance of RKN.
- 321 (C) Typical surface potential changes on stems, petioles and leaf lamina and cytoplasmic potential
- changes in leaf cells after RKN infection.
- 323 (D) Potential characteristics of RKN infection induced systemic transmission of electrical signals
- from the roots to the leaves at 24 hpi.
- 325 (E) RKN infection (E1) induces systemic accumulation of H₂O₂ from the roots (E2) to stems (E3),
- petioles (E4) and leaves (E5) at 24 hpi.
- For (A), JAs were determined with four biological samples. For (B), resistance against RKN was
- determined at 28 dpi and data are the means of three replicates with 15 plants for each replicate

- 329 (±SD). For (D), 'n' is the total number of plants examined and 'x' is the number of plants with
- detectable potential changes. For (E), acid fuchsin staining was used for E1, DAB staining was
- used for E2~4 and CeCl₃ staining was used for E5. Arrows indicate the accumulation of H₂O₂ in
- E5. Means denoted by the same letter did not significantly differ at p < 0.05 according to Tukey's
- test. See also Figure S1 and Table S1.

- Figure 2. GLR3.5-dependent electrical signaling is essential for JAs biosynthesis and
- 336 **nematode resistance**
- (A) *Meloidogyne incognita* (RKN) resistance in grafted plants with *glr3.5* as rootstock or scion.
- 338 (B) RKN resistance in grafted plants inserted with *glr3.5* segment.
- 339 (C) Typical surface potential changes on the scion stems in grafted plants inserted with glr3.5
- segment at 24 hpi.
- 341 (D) Surface potential characteristics on the scion stems in grafted plants inserted with glr3.5
- segment at 24 hpi.
- 343 (E) Attenuated accumulation of JAs in grafted plants inserted with glr3.5 segment at 24 hpi.
- For (A and B), resistance against RKN was determined at 28 dpi and data are the means of three
- replicates with 15 plants for each replicate (\pm SD). For (D), 'n' is the total number of plants
- examined and 'x' is the number of plants with detectable potential changes. For (E), four
- biological samples were used for the determination of JAs. Means denoted by the same letter did
- not significantly differ at p < 0.05 according to Tukey's test. See also Figure S2 and Table S2.

349350

Figure 3. ROS are essential for JAs biosynthesis and nematode resistance

- 351 (A) *Meloidogyne incognita* (RKN) resistance in grafted plants with *rboh1* as rootstock or scion.
- 352 (B) RKN resistance in grafted plants inserted with *rboh1* segment.
- 353 (C) H₂O₂ accumulation in the stems determined with DAB staining at 24 hpi.
- 354 (D) H₂O₂ accumulation in the apoplast of leaves determined with CeCl₃ staining at 24 hpi.
- 355 (E) Accumulation of JAs in grafted plants inserted with *rboh1* segment at 24 hpi.
- For (A and B), resistance against RKN was determined at 28 dpi and data are the means of three
- replicates with 15 plants for each replicate (±SD). For (C), S: scion; IS: inserted segment; R:
- rootstock. For (D), arrows indicate the accumulation of H₂O₂. For (E), four biological samples

- were used for the determination of JAs. Means denoted by the same letter did not significantly
- differ at p < 0.05 according to Tukey's test. See also Figure S3.

- Figure 4. Interdependency of ROS and electrical signals in systemic message transmission
- 363 (A) H₂O₂ accumulation on the stem in grafted plants determined with DAB staining at 24 hpi.
- 364 (B) H₂O₂ accumulation in the apoplast of leaves in grafted plants determined with CeCl₃ staining
- 365 at 24 hpi.
- 366 (C) Typical surface potential changes on the scion stems in grafted plant at 24 hpi.
- 367 (D) Surface potential characteristics on the scion stems in grafted plants at 24 hpi.
- 368 (E) Current injection (CI, at 20 μA for 2 min with an interval of 10 min for 60 cycles) induced
- changes in the nematode resistance.
- 370 (F) Current injection (CI, at 20 μA for 2 min with an interval of 10 min for 10 cycles) induced
- accumulation of H₂O₂ in the apoplast of leaves determined with CeCl₃ staining.
- For (A), S:scion; IS: inserted segment; R: rootstock. For (B and F), arrows indicate the
- accumulation of H₂O₂. For (D), 'n' is the total number of plants examined and 'x' is the number of
- plants with detectable potential changes. For (E), resistance against the nematode was determined
- at 28 dpi and data are the means of three replicates with 15 plants for each replicate (\pm SD). For (F),
- leaf samples were taken after the current injection. Means denoted by the same letter did not
- significantly differ at p < 0.05 according to Tukey's test. See also Figure S4 and Table S3.

378

Figure 5. Activation of MPK1/2 is involved in JAs biosynthesis and nematode resistance

- 380 (A) Time course of RKN-induced activation of MPK1/2.
- 381 (B) MPK1/2 activation in the leaves of grafted plants inserted with *rboh1* segment.
- 382 (C) MPK1/2 activation in the leaves of grafted plants inserted with *glr3.5* segment.
- 383 (D) MPK1/2 activation in the leaves of wild type plants after different cycles of current injection
- 384 (CI, at 10 μA for 30 s with an interval of 9 min).
- 385 (E) MPK1/2 activation in the leaves after current injection (20 μA for 2 min with 10 min interval
- for 10 cycles) in the wild type plants and mutants.
- 387 (F) Nematode resistance in MPK1/2-silenced plants.
- 388 (G) Accumulation of JAs in leaves in MPK1/2-silenced plants.
- 389 (H) A model for the basal resistance by shoot-root communication.

For (B, C and G), samples were taken at 24 hpi. For (D and E), samples were taken after the current injection. For (A-E), the protein loading was shown by Ponceau staining. For (F), resistance against the nematode was determined at 28 dpi and data are the means of three replicates with 15 plants for each replicate (\pm SD). For (G), four biological samples were used for the determination of JAs. For (H), ES: electrical signaling; ROS: reactive oxygen species; MPKs: mitogen-activated protein kinases; JAs: jasmonates. Means denoted by the same letter did not significantly differ at p < 0.05 according to Tukey's test. See also Figure S5.

STAR*Methods

LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Transgenic tomato plants generated in this study are available on request. Requests for reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Jingquan Yu (jqyu@zju.edu.cn). This study did not generate new unique reagents.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Wild-type tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L. cv. 'Ailsa Craig', 'Castlemart', 'Condine Red'), and spr2 mutants in the Castlemart background were used. RBOH1 CRISPR/Cas9 vector and GLR3.5 CRISPR/Cas9 vector were constructed as described by Pan et al. [37]. The ta rget sequence (ACGTCGGATACGGTGTCTTC) for RBOH1 and the target sequence (TAG CAGATCAGCTGGCCAAG) for GLR3.5 were designed using a web tool of CRISPR-P [3 8]. The synthesized sequences were annealed and inserted into BbsI site of AtU6-sgRNA-A tUBQ-Cas9 vector, and the AtU6-sgRNA-AtUBQ-Cas9 cassette was inserted into the HindI II and KpnI sites of pCAMBIA1301 binary vector. The resulting plasmids were transforme d into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105, and then introduced into tomato of Cond ine Red and Ailsa Craig respectively [39]. CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations were genotyped by PCR amplification and DNA sequencing. Cas9-free T2 homozygotes with mutation we re identified for further experiments. Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) was used for sil

encing the target genes with the tobacco rattle virus (TRV)-based vectors (pTRV1/2) [40]. 420 Sequences of primer pairs used for VIGS lines were: GLR3.3 forward, 5'-CCGgaattcATGA 421 ATGTGGTTTGGATTAT-3'; reverse, 5'-AGCggatccTACTGCAACAACATCAGTCT-3'. GLR 422 3.5 forward, 5'-CCGgaattcCCAATCCAGATGTTCTTGGA-3'; reverse, 5'-AGCggatccATTTC 423 AGCTATAGCTTCCAT-3'. MPK1 forward, 5'-GGCCGtctagaATAATTGCTGACAGATTGTT 424 -3'; reverse, 5'-CGCGCggatccCATTTCAGTCTAAAATAAAA-3'. MPK2 forward, 5'-GGCC 425 GtctagaGTACTCGCTCGTTTGCTGTTG-3'; reverse, 5'-CGCGCggatccAGCAGAAAAAATT 426 CATTTC-3'. MPK1/2 forward, 5'-GGCGCgagctcCATGGTGGCAGGTTCATTC-3'; reverse, 427 5'-CGGCgctcgagGCTCAGGTGGACGATACCAT-3'. The cDNA fragments of target genes 428 were PCR-amplified and the amplified fragments were digested and ligated into the corresp 429 onding sites of the pTRV2 vector. Empty pTRV2 vector was used as a control. All constr 430 ucts were confirmed by sequencing and subsequently transformed into Agrobacterium tumef 431 aciens strain GV3101. VIGS was performed by infiltration of germinated seeds, followed b 432 y infiltration into the fully expanded cotyledons of 8-d-old tomato seedlings with A. tumef 433 aciens harboring a mixture of pTRV1 and pTRV2-target gene in a 1:1 ratio. Plants were 434 435 grown at 23/21°C (day/night) in a growth chamber with a 12 h day length for 30 d, and qRT-PCR was performed to determine the gene silencing efficiency [41]. Tomato seeds we 436 re sown in pots with a mixture of sand and vermiculite (v: v=1:1), receiving Hoagland's n 437 utrient solution. The growth conditions were as follows: 12 h photoperiod, temperature of 438 25/20 °C (day/night), and photosynthetic photo flux density (PPFD) of 400 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. 439

440

METHOD DETAILS

442

443

444445

446

447

448

449

450

441

Grafting experiment

To determine the respective role of *SPR2*, *GLR3.5* and *RBOH1* expression in the shoots and roots in the nematode resistance and JAs biosynthesis, shoots of wild type (WT), *spr2*, *glr3.5* and *rboh1* plants at 3-leaf stage were self-grafted or reciprocally grafted onto rootstocks of WT, *spr2*, *glr3.5* and *rboh1*, respectively, which resulted in three lines of grafted plants: 1), WT/WT, *spr2/spr2*, *spr2/WT* and WT/*spr2*; 2), WT/WT, *rboh1/rboh1*, *rboh1/WT* and WT/*rboh1*; 3), WT/WT, *glr3.5/glr3.5*, *glr3.5/WT* and WT/*glr3.5*. Meanwhile, WT plant was grafted by inserting a 1 cm stem segment from WT or *rboh1* or *glr3.5* plants into the WT stem between cotyledons and 1st true

- leaf, which resulted in two lines of grafted plants: 1) WT/WT/WT, WT/glr3.5/WT; 2)
- WT/WT/WT, WT/rbohl/WT. After adaptation under dark for 3 days, the grafted plants were
- gradually exposed to light up to a PPFD of 400 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ at temperatures of 25/20 °C.

455

- Root-knot nematode infection and resistance assay
- The root-knot nematode was cultured on tomato plants grown with sand and vermiculite (v:v=1:1)
- at 22-26 °C in a greenhouse. Nematodes were extracted from 3-month-old infected plants. Briefly,
- eggs were extracted from infected roots by processing in 0.5% NaClO in a Warring blender, for 2
- min at high speed [42]. Eggs and root debris were passed through 80, 200, 325-mesh sieves in turn
- and the eggs were collected on 500-mesh sieve. The second stage juveniles (J2s) were obtained by
- hatching the eggs in a petri dish with eight layers of paper towels. The dish was incubated at 28 °C
- and J2s were collected after 2 days and used immediately. J2 nematode number in the solution was
- determined under a microscope (BX61; Olympus Co., Tokyo, Japan). Tomato plants at the
- four-leaf stage were inoculated with 1000 J2s of M. incognita per plant in 5 ml of water applied
- with a pipette over the surface of the growth media around the primary roots. Later, plants were
- 466 maintained in a growth chamber with the growth conditions as follows: 12 h photoperiod,
- temperature of 25/20 °C (day/night), and PPFD of 400 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. After 4 weeks, the roots of
- plants were washed off all the growth substrates. The fresh root weights of plants were measured.
- Nematode susceptibility of the plants was evaluated by counting the number of galls per plant and
- calculating the number of galls g⁻¹ fresh root weight [43]. Nematode colonization was detected by
- staining the roots with 3.5% acid fuchsin [44].

472

473

- Pharmacological treatments
- To determine the effects of H₂O₂ on the biosynthesis of JA, H₂O₂ was foliar applied onto leaves at
- a concentration of $0\sim10$ mM. Leaves were taken 1d after the application of H_2O_2 .

476

- Electric potential recordings and current injection
- For the determination of surface potential recordings, silver electrodes (0.5 mm in diameter, World
- Precision Instruments, USA) were chloridized with 0.1 M HCl before their usage. The
- electrode–plant (stem or petiole) interface was a drop (10 µl) of 1M KCl in 1% (w/v) agar placed
- 481 to avoid direct contact with plant cells and damage the cuticle. The ground electrode was placed in

the soil [45]. The glass microelectrodes with a tip diameter approximately 0.5 Am for intracellular cytoplasmic potential measurements were prepared from the borosilicate glass capillaries with an outer diameter of 1.0 mm and an inner diameter of 0.58 mm (Hilgenberg GmbH, Germany). Pulling was performed after heating with a PE-2 vertical micropipette puller (Narishige Co., Tokyo, Japan). Microelectrodes were filled with 1M KCl, and inserted into the mesophyll cells of a leaf. The reference electrode was immersed into artificial pond water (APW, composed of 5 mM MES, 0.5 mM CaSO₄, 0.05 mM KCl, pH 6.0) where the leaf was also submerged [46]. Both electrodes were connected to a differential amplifier. Potentials were detected at 3~24 h post inoculation. Two 2-channel amplifiers (FD 223 and Duo 773, World Precision Instruments, USA) were simultaneously used to record the potential at stem, petiole and lamina. Frequency is the times with the changes in potential within 20 minutes. Amplitude is potential difference relative to the baseline before the changes. Duration is the length of time for each amplitude change. 'n' is the total number of plants observed and 'x' is the number of plants with detectable potential changes. For current injection two platinum wire electrodes (Qiushi Electric Co., Hangzhou, China, 0.1mm diameter) were circled around the stems with 1 cm apart one day before the current injection was applied. Current injection was applied at 20 µA for 2 min with an interval of 10 min for 10 or 60 cycles for biochemical analysis and resistance assay respectively, unless other described. Control plants were circled with Pt wires in all current injection experiments.

Measurement of JAs Levels

Extraction and quantification of JAs were performed using previously reported procedures with minor modifications [47]. Briefly, 100 mg of frozen leaf or root material was homogenized in 1 mL of ethyl acetate which had been spiked with D6-JA (OlChemIm Ltd., Czechoslovakia) and D6-JA-Ile (QUALITY CONTROL CHEMICALS INC., USA) as internal standards with a final concentration of 100 ng mL⁻¹ and 40 ng mL⁻¹, respectively. The samples were shook at 180 rpm in the dark at 4 °C for 12 h and then centrifuged at 18,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The pellet was re-extracted with 1 mL of ethyl acetate. Both supernatants were combined and evaporated to dryness under N₂. The residue was re-suspended in 0.5 ml of 70% methanol (v/v) and centrifuged. The supernatants were then analyzed in a liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry system (Varian 320-MS LC/MS, Agilent Technologies, Amstelveen, the Netherlands). LC analysis was performed using an Agilent Zorbax XDB C18 column (150 mm × 2.1 mm, 3.5 μm). The

mobile phase consisted of a mixture of solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water; E. Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and solvent B (methanol; E. Merck) at a flow rate of 0.3 ml min⁻¹ with the following gradient: 0-1.5 min, A: B at 60: 40; followed by 6.5 min solvent A: B at 0: 100; subsequently returning to solvent A: B to 60: 40 for 5 min until the end of the run. The column temperature was kept at 40 °C, and the injection volume was 20 μ L. A negative electrospray ionization mode was used for detection. The JAs were detected in MRM mode by monitoring the transitions 209.1 > 59.1 for JA; 214.3 > 62.1 for D6-JA; 322.0 > 130.0 for JA-Ile; 328.5 > 130.1 for D6-JA-Ile.

Quantification, histochemical analysis, and cytochemical detection of H₂O₂

The concentration of H₂O₂ in leaves was measured by monitoring the absorbance of the titanium-peroxide complex at 415 nm using the method of Brennan and Frenkel [48]. The histochemical staining of H₂O₂ was performed by using DAB staining as previously [49]. Stems and petioles were cut into 0.5 mm thick sections. The intensity of DAB staining in the vascular systems of roots, stems and petioles was quantificated with Image-Pro Plus 6.0 (Media Cybernetics, Inc., USA) [50]. H₂O₂ in the leaves was visualized at the subcellular level using CeCl₃ for localization [51]. Electron-dense CeCl₃ deposits are formed in the presence of H₂O₂ and are visible by transmission electron microscopy at an accelerating voltage of 75 kV (H7650; Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). The concentration of H₂O₂ in leaves was measured by monitoring the absorbance of the titanium-peroxide complex at 415 nm [52].

Isolation of plasma membrane and the determination of NADPH oxidase activity

Isolation of plasma membrane and the determination of NADPH oxidase activity were carried out as described previously [53]. Briefly, leaf samples were homogenized in four volumes of the extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.25 M Suc, 1 mM ascorbic acid (AsA), 1 mM EDTA, 0.6% PVP, and 1 mM PMSF). The homogenate was filtered through four layers of cheesecloth, and the resulting filtrate was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min. Microsomal membranes were pelleted from the supernatant by centrifugation at 50,000 g for 30 min. The pellet was suspended in 0.33 M Suc, 3 mM KCl, and 5 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.8. The plasma membrane fraction was isolated by adding the microsomal suspension to an aqueous two-phase polymer system to give a final composition of 6.2% (w/w) Dextran T500, 6.2% (w/w) polyethylene glycol 3350, 0.33 M Suc, 3 mM KCl, and 5 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.8. Three successive rounds

of partitioning yielded the final upper phase. The upper phase produced was diluted 5-fold in 544 Tris-HCl dilution buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4) containing 0.25 M Suc, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 545 mM AsA, and 1 mM PMSF. The fractions were centrifuged at 120, 000 g for 30 min. The pellets 546 were then resuspended in Tris-HCl dilution buffer and used immediately for further analysis. All 547 procedures were carried out at 4 °C. Protein content of plasma membranes was determined with 548 BSA as standard [54]. The NADPH-dependent O2* generating activity in isolated plasma 549 membrane vesicles was determined by following the reduction of XTT by O₂-. The assay mixture 550 of 1 mL contained 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5), 0.5 mM XTT, 100 μM NADPH and 15–20 μg 551 of membrane proteins. The reaction was initiated with the addition of NADPH, and XTT reduction 552 was determined at 470 nm. Corrections were made for background production in the presence of 553 50 units SOD. Rates of O₂-generation were calculated using an extinction coefficient of 2.16×10⁴ 554 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. 555

556

557

MPK1/2 activation assay

For the determination of activated MPK1 and MPK2, the frozen leaf tissue (0.3 g) was ground in 558 559 liquid nitrogen in 1 ml of extraction buffer. The extracts were centrifuged at 12000 g for 20 min at 4 °C. Protein content was determined with BSA as standard and total protein was separated by 560 561 SDS-PAGE and blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes (Millipore, Saint-Quentin, France) [55]. Immunoblots were blocked in TBS buffer containing 5% (w/v) BSA (Sigma) for 1h at room 562 563 temperature and then incubated overnight in 1% (w/v) BSA (Sigma) in TBS buffer containing the anti-phospho-p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2) (Thr202/tyr204)(D13.12.4E)XP Rabbit mAb (Cell Signaling 564 Technology, Boston, USA) as primary antibody, which recognizes both MPK1 and MPK2 [25]. 565 After, immunoblots were incubated for 1.5 h with HRP (horseradish peroxidase) linked antibody 566 (Cell Signaling Technology, Boston, USA) as secondary antibody. The complexes on the blot 567 were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (Fdbio, Hangzhou, China), following 568 the manufacturer's instructions. Rubisco was as loading control. The assay was replicated three 569 times with independent biological samples. 570

571

572 qRT-PCR analysis

- 573 Total RNA was extracted from leaf tissues using RNA simple Total RNA Kit (TIANGEN,
- Beijing, China) according to the instructions. Total RNA (0.5 μg) was reverse transcribed

to cDNA using HiScript II Q RT SuperMix for qPCR (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). qRT-P 575 CR was performed using a Light Cycler 480 II Real-Time PCR detection system (Roche). 576 Each reaction consisted of 10 µl qPCR SYBR Green Master Mix, 1 µl cDNA, and forwa 577 rd and reverse primers at 0.1 µM according to the instructions of qPCR SYBR Green Ma 578 ster Mix (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). The housekeeping gene SIACTIN2 was used as interna 579 1 reference to calculate the relative expression of target genes [41]. Sequences of primer p 580 airs: ACTIN2 forward 5'-TGTCCCTATTTACGAGGGTTATGC-3' and reverse 5'-CAGTTA 581 AATCACGACCAGCAAGAT-3'; GLR3.3 forward 5'-ATGTGGGATTGCATGCTTTA-3' and 582 reverse 5'-CTGACCATCCGAATCAACTG-3'; GLR3.5 forward 5'-GGCTTTCTGGAATAG 583 CTTGC-3' and reverse 5'- TGCCAACCCACATAGAAAGA-3'; MPK1 for pTRV-MPK1 an 584 d pTRV-MPK2 plants forward 5'-TCGTCCACCTGAGCTGTTGTT-3' and reverse 5'-ACAT 585 GCGGGAACTTTTCAGT-3'; MPK2 for pTRV-MPK1 and pTRV-MPK2 plants forward 5'-586 AGGGTTTACTATTTACGG-3' and reverse 5'-TGGAGGCTTATACTTCG-3'; MPK1 for pT 587 RV-MPK1/2 plants forward 5'-GCTGACAGATTGTTGCAGGT-3' and reverse 5'-TCCACC 588 CCATAAAGATACATCA-3'; MPK2 for pTRV-MPK1/2 plants forward 5'-TACTCGCTCGT 589 590 TTGCTGTTG-3' and reverse 5'- TTGGAGTACAGGAAAACAATGG-3'; RBOHA forward 5'-TACATGCCACGGATGAGGAA-3' and reverse 5'-CATCACAACACCGGTCCATC-3'; R 591 BOHB forward 5'-TTATCGGCCTTAGTGCGTCT-3' and reverse 5'-CCGTTTGATTTGGTG 592 CTTGC-3'; RBOHC forward 5'-TGAGCCACAGTACGCCTTTA-3' and reverse 5'-TAGCA 593 594 AGCAACCACAGCAAG-3'; RBOHD forward 5'-CAGGTCAAGCGTCAAGGATG-3' and re verse 5'-TGCAGCACAGTTGACAAACA-3'; RBOHE forward 5'-AGCAACTTCGACTACC 595 ACCA-3' and reverse 5'-GCCTGTTACACCTGGAATGG-3'; RBOHF forward 5'-TGCTTG 596 GCAACTGCTAAAGG-3' and reverse 5'-GGCCCTAGTAGACCGTAACC-3'; RBOH1 forwa 597 598 rd 5'-TCCAGCACAAGATTACCG-3' and reverse 5'-CCTCCATTGCGACGAT-3'; RBOHH forward 5'-CCACGGCTGCTTCATATTCC-3' and reverse 5'-CGTGGTAGCGGTTCTCATT 599 G-3'; AOC (ALLENE OXIDE CYCLASE) forward 5'-CCGTTCAGGGAGCGTACTTA-3' and 600 reverse 5'-ACCGCCGTACACAACAATTC-3'; AOS (ALLENE OXIDE SYNTHASE) forward 601 5'-GATCCTCCGGTAGCTTCACA-3' and reverse 5'-TTCTTCTCCGACGAACCGAT-3'; L 602 OXD (LIPOXYGENASE D) forward 5'- TGTGCCACTGGTAACTGGAT-3' and reverse 5'-603 TCCAAGCTTGCATGTGTACG-3'; OPR3 (12-OXO-PHYTODIENOIC ACID REDUCTASE) 604

605 forward 5'-ATAGGAGCTGATCGCGTAGG-3' and reverse 5'-TAGGCAAGCTTGGAACCA

606 GA-3'.

607

608

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

609

- 610 Image quantification
- The intensity of DAB staining was quantificated with Image-Pro Plus 6.0.

612

- 613 Statistical analysis
- A completely randomized block design with three replicates was used for the nematode resistance
- assay in each experiment. Each replicate involved 15 plants. For the measurements, four biological
- samples were used. Data were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA). The
- significance of treatment differences was analyzed using Tukey's test (p < 0.05). Means denoted
- by the same letter in the figure did not significantly differ at p < 0.05. All of the statistical
- parameters of experiments can be found in the figure legends, figures and tables.

620

621

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

This study did not generate/analyze any datasets/code.

623

624 **REFERENCES**

- 1. Ko, D., and Helariutta, Y. (2017). Shoot-root communication in flowering plants. Curr. Biol. 27, 973–978.
- 2. Khan, M.A., Castro-Guerrero, N.A., McInturf, S.A., Nguyen, N.T., Dame, A.N., Wang, J.J.,
- Bindbeutel, R.K., Joshi, T., Jurisson, S.S., Nusinow, D.A., et al. (2018). Changes in iron availability
- 629 in Arabidopsis are rapidly sensed in the leaf vasculature and impaired sensing leads to opposite
- transcriptional programs in leaves and roots. Plant Cell Environ. 41, 2263–2276.
- 3. Ohkubo, Y., Tanaka, M., Tabata, R., Ogawa-Ohnishi, M., and Matsubayashi, Y. (2017).
- Shoot-to-root mobile polypeptides involved in systemic regulation of nitrogen acquisition. Nat.
- 633 Plants 3, 17029.
- 4. Heil, M., and Ton, J. (2008). Long-distance signalling in plant defence. Trends Plant Sci. 13,
- 635 264–272.

- 5. Pant, B.D., Buhtz, A., Kehr, J., and Scheible, W.R. (2008). MicroRNA399 is a long-distance signal
- for the regulation of plant phosphate homeostasis. Plant J. 53, 731–738.
- 638 6. Takahashi, F., Suzuki, T., Osakabe, Y., Betsuyaku, S., Kondo, Y., Dohmae, N., Fukuda, H.,
- Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (2018). A small peptide modulates stomatal control via
- abscisic acid in long-distance signalling. Nature *556*, 235–238.
- 7. Corbesier, L., Vincent, C., Jang, S., Fornara, F., Fan, Q., Searle, I., Giakountis, A., Farrona, S.,
- Gissot, L., Turnbull, C., et al. (2007). FT protein movement contributes to long-distance signaling in
- floral induction of Arabidopsis. Science *316*, 1030–1033.
- 8. Miller, G. (2009). The plant NADPH oxidase RBOHD mediates rapid systemic signaling in response
- to diverse stimuli. Sci. Signal. 2, ra45.
- 9. Mousavi, S.A.R., Chauvin, A., Pascaud, F., Kellenberger, S., and Farmer, E.E. (2013).
- 647 GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR-LIKE genes mediate leaf-to-leaf wound signalling. Nature 500, 422–426.
- 10. Nguyen, C. T., Kurenda, A., Stolz, S., Chételat, A., and Farmer, E. E. (2018). Identification of cell
- populations necessary for leaf-to-leaf electrical signaling in a wounded plant. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.
- 650 USA 115, 10178–10183.
- 11. Choi, W.G., Toyota, M., Kim, S.H., Hilleary, R., and Gilroy, S. (2014). Salt stress-induced Ca²⁺
- waves are associated with rapid, long-distance root-to-shoot signaling in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad.
- 653 Sci. USA 111, 6497–6502.
- 654 12. Toyota, M., Spencer, D., Sawai-Toyota, S., Jiaqi, W., Zhang, T., Koo, A. J., Howe, G. A., and Gilroy,
- 655 S. (2018). Glutamate triggers long-distance, calcium-based plant defense signaling. Science 361,
- 656 1112–1115.
- 657 13. Zelicourt, A.D., Colcombet, J., and Hirt, H. (2016). The role of MAPK modules and ABA during
- abiotic stress signaling. Trends Plant Sci. 21, 677–685.
- 659 14. Xia, X.J., Zhou, Y.H., Ding, J., Shi, K., Asami, T., Chen, Z.X., and Yu, J.Q. (2011). Induction of
- systemic stress tolerance by brassinosteroid in *Cucumis sativus*. New Phytol. 191, 706–720.
- 661 15. Peleg, Z., and Blumwald, E. (2011). Hormone balance and abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants.
- 662 Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 14, 290–295.
- 16. Wasternack, C., and Hause, B. (2013). Jasmonates: biosynthesis, perception, signal transduction and
- action in plant stress response, growth and development. Ann. Bot. 111, 1021–1058.
- 665 17. Johnson, S.N., Erb, M., and Hartley, S.E. (2016). Roots under attack: contrasting plant responses to
- below- and aboveground insect herbivory. New Phytol. 210, 413–418.
- 18. Soler, R., Erb, M., and Kaplan, I. (2013). Long distance root-shoot signalling in plant-insect
- community interactions. Trends Plant Sci. 18, 149–156.

- 19. Lortzing, T., and Steppuhn, A. (2016). Jasmonate signalling in plants shapes plant-insect interaction
- ecology. Curr. Opin. Insect Sci. 14, 32–39.
- 671 20. Fan, J.W., Hu, C.L., Zhang, L.N., Li, Z.L., Zhao, F.K., and Wang, S.H. (2015). Jasmonic acid
- mediates tomato's response to root knot nematodes. J Plant Growth Regul. 34, 196–205.
- 673 21. Li, C. Y., Liu, G. H., Xu, C. C., Lee, G. I., Bauer, P., Ling, H. Q., Ganal, M. W., and Howe, G. A.
- 674 (2003). The tomato suppressor of prosystemin-mediated responses gene encodes a fatty acid
- desaturase required for the biosynthesis of jasmonic acid and the production of a systemic wound
- signal for defense gene expression. Plant Cell 15, 1646–1661.
- 677 22. Suzuki, N., Miller, G., Salazar, C., Mondal, H.A., Shulaev, E., Cortes, D.F., Shuman, J.L., Luo, X.Z.,
- Shah, J., Schlauch, K., et al. (2013). Temporal-spatial interaction between reactive oxygen species
- and abscisic acid regulates rapid systemic acclimation in plants. Plant Cell 25, 3553–3569.
- 680 23. Aouini, A., Matsukura, C., Ezura, H., and Asamizu, E. (2012). Characterisation of 13 glutamate
- receptor-like genes encoded in the tomato genome by structure, phylogeny and expression profiles.
- 682 Gene 493, 36–43.
- 683 24. Kandoth P.K., and Stratmann J.W. (2007). Tomato MAPKs LeMPK1, LeMPK2, and LeMPK3
- function in the systemin-mediated defense response against herbivorous insects. Proc. Natl. Acad.
- 685 Sci. USA 104, 12205–12210.
- 686 25. Nie, W.F., Wang M.M., Xia X.J., Zhou Y.H., Shi K., Chen, Z.X., and Yu, J.Q. (2013). Silencing of
- tomato *RBOH1* and *MPK2* abolishes brassinosteroid-induced H₂O₂ generation and stress tolerance.
- 688 Plant Cell Environ. *36*, 789–803.
- 26. Pieterse, C.M.J., Leon-Reyes, A., Van der Ent, S., and Van Wees, S. C. M. (2009). Networking by
- small-molecule hormones in plant immunity. Nat. Chem. Biol. 5, 308–316.
- 691 27. Choi, W. G., Hilleary, R., Swanson, S. J., Kim, S. H., and Gilroy, S. (2016). Rapid, long-distance
- 692 electrical and calcium signaling in plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 67, 287–307.
- 693 28. Gilroy, S., Suzuki, N., Miller, G., Choi, W.G., Toyota, M., Devireddy, A.R., and Mittler, R. (2014).
- A tidal wave of signals: calcium and ROS at the forefront of rapid systemic signaling. Trends Plant
- 695 Sci. 19, 623–630.
- 696 29. Kobayashi, M., Ohura, I., Kawakita, K., Yokota, N., Fujiwara, M., Shimamoto, K., Doke, N., and
- Yoshioka, H. (2007). Calcium-dependent protein kinases regulate the production of reactive oxygen
- species by potato NADPH oxidase. Plant Cell 19, 1065–1080.
- 699 30. Pieterse, C.M.J., Van der Does, D., Zamioudis, C., Leon-Reyes, A., and Van Wees, S.C.M. (2012).
- Hormonal modulation of plant immunity. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 28, 489–521.
- 701 31. Mithoefer, A., and Boland, W. (2012). Plant defense against herbivores: Chemical aspects. Annu.

- 702 Rev. Plant Biol. *63*, 431–450.
- 703 32. Thaler, J.S., Humphrey, P.T., and Whiteman, N.K. (2012). Evolution of jasmonate and salicylate
- signal crosstalk. Trends Plant Sci. 17, 260-270.
- 705 33. Chehab, E.W., Yao, C., Henderson, Z., Kim, S., and Braam, J. (2012). Arabidopsis touch-induced
- morphogenesis is jasmonate mediated and protects against pests. Curr. Biol. 22, 701–706.
- 707 34. Erb, M., Meldau, S., and Howe, G.A. (2012). Role of phytohormones in insect-specific plant
- reactions. Trends Plant Sci. 17, 250–259.
- 709 35. van der Putten, W.H., Vet, L.E. M., Harvey, J.A., and Wackers, F.L. (2001). Linking above- and
- belowground multitrophic interactions of plants, herbivores, pathogens, and their antagonists. Trends
- 711 Ecol. Evol. 16, 547–554.
- 36. Suzuki, A., Suriyagoda, L., Shigeyama, T., Tominaga, A., Sasaki, M., Hiratsuka, Y., Yoshinaga, A.,
- Arima, S., Agarie, S., Sakai, T., et al. (2011). *Lotus japonicus* nodulation is photomorphogenetically
- controlled by sensing the red/far red (R/FR) ratio through jasmonic acid (JA) signaling. Proc. Natl
- 715 Acad. Sci. USA 108, 16837–16842.
- 716 37. Pan, C.T., Ye, L., Qin, L., Liu, X., He, Y.J., Wang, J., Chen, L.F., and Lu, G. (2016).
- CRISPR/Cas9-mediated efficient and heritable targeted mutagenesis in tomato plants in the first
- and later generations. Sci. Rep. 6, 46916.
- 719 38. Lei, Y., Lu, L., Liu, H.Y., Li, S., Xing, F., and Chen, L.L. (2014). CRISPR-P: A web tool for
- 720 synthetic single-guide RNA design of CRISPR-system in plants. Mol. Plant 7, 1494–1496.
- 721 39. Fillatti, J.A.J., Kiser, J., Rose, R., and Comai, L. (1987). Efficient transfer of a glyphosate tolerance
- gene into tomato using a binary agrobacterium tumefaciens vector. Nat. Biotech. 5, 726–730.
- 40. Liu, Y.L., Schiff, M., and Dinesh-Kumar, S.P. (2002). Virus-induced gene silencing in tomato. Plant
- 724 J. *43*, 299–308.
- 41. Livak, K.J., and Schmittgen, T.D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
- quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta\Delta^{CT}}$ method. Methods 25, 402–408.
- 42. Hussey, R.S., and Barker, K.R. (1973). Comparison of methods of collecting inocula of *Meloidogyne*
- spp. including a new technique. Plant Dis. Rep. 57, 1025–1028.
- 729 43. Nahar, K., Kyndt, T., De, V.D., Höfte, M., and Gheysen, G. (2011). The jasmonate pathway is a key
- player in systemically induced defense against root knot nematodes in rice. Plant Physiol. 157,
- 731 305–316.
- 732 44. Zhou, J., Jia, F.F., Shao, S., Zhang, H., Li, G., Xia, X.J., Zhou, Y.H., Yu J.Q., and Shi, K. (2015).
- 733 Involvement of nitric oxide in the jasmonate-dependent basal defense against root-knot nematode in
- tomato plants. Front. Plant Sci. 6, 193.

- 45. Białasek, M., Górecka, M., Mittler, R., and Karpiński, S. (2017). Evidence for the involvement of
- electrical, calcium and ROS signaling in the systemic regulation of non-photochemical quenching
- and photosynthesis. Plant Cell Physiol. 58, 207–215.
- 46. Galle, A., Lautner, S., Flexas, J., Ribas-Carbo, M., Hanson, D., Rosgen, J., and Fromm, J. (2012).
- Photosynthetic responses of soybean (Glycine max L.) to heat-induced electrical signalling are
- predominantly governed by modifications of mesophyll conductance for CO₂. Plant Cell Environ. 36,
- 741 542–552.
- 742 47. Wu, J., Hettenhausen, C., Meldau, S., and Baldwin, I.T. (2007). Herbivory rapidly activates MAPK
- signaling in attacked and unattacked leaf regions but not between leaves of *Nicotiana attenuata*.
- 744 Plant Cell 19, 1096–1122.
- 48. Brennan, T., and Frenkel, C. (1977). Involvement of hydrogen peroxide in regulation of senescence
- 746 in pear. Plant Physiol. *59*, 411–416.
- 747 49. Xia X.J., Wang Y.J., Zhou Y.H., Tao Y., Mao W.H., Shi K., Asami T., Chen Z.X., and Yu J.Q.
- 748 (2009). Reactive oxygen species are involved in brassinosteroid-induced stress tolerance in
- 749 cucumber. Plant Physiol. 150, 801–814.
- 750 50. Wang, C.J., Zhou, Z.G., Holmqvist, A., Zhang, H., Li, Y., Adell, G., and Sun, X.F. (2009). Survivin
- expression quantified by image pro-plus compared with visual assessment. Appl. Immunohistochem.
- 752 Mol. Morphol. 17, 530–535.
- 753 51. Zhou, J., Wang, J., Li, X., Xia, X.J., Zhou, Y.H., Shi, K., Chen, Z.X., and Yu, J.Q. (2014). H₂O₂
- 754 mediates the crosstalk of brassinosteroid and abscisic acid in tomato responses to heat and oxidative
- 755 stresses. J. Exp. Bot. *65*, 4371–4383.
- 52. Willekens, H., Chamnongpol, S., Davey, M., Schraudner, M., Langebartels, C., Van Montagu, M.,
- 757 Inze, D., and Van Camp, W. (1997). Catalase is a sink for H₂O₂ and is indispensable for stress
- 758 defence in C3 plants. EMBO J. 16, 4806–4816.
- 759 53. Larsson, C., Widell, S., and Kjellbom, P. (1987). Preparation of high-purity plasma membranes.
- 760 Methods Enzymol. 148, 558–568.
- 54. Bradford, M.M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of
- protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 72, 248–254.
- 763 55. Beckers, G.J.M., Jaskiewicz, M., Liu, Y.D., Underwood, W.R., He, S.Y., Zhang, S.Q., and Conrath,
- U. (2009). Mitogen-activated protein kinases 3 and 6 are required for full priming of stress responses
- in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell 21, 944–953.