

Representation of persons experiencing homelessness and coding of homelessness in general practices

Kaushal, Rishika; Jagpal, Parbir; Khanal, Saval; Vohra, Neha; Lowrie, Richard; Johal, Jaspal; Jenkins, Duncan; Saunders, Karen; Paudyal, Vibhu

DOI:

[10.3399/BJGPO.2021.0050](https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGPO.2021.0050)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Kaushal, R, Jagpal, P, Khanal, S, Vohra, N, Lowrie, R, Johal, J, Jenkins, D, Saunders, K & Paudyal, V 2021, 'Representation of persons experiencing homelessness and coding of homelessness in general practices: descriptive evaluation using healthcare utilisation data', *BJGP Open*, vol. 5, no. 4, BJGPO.2021.0050, pp. 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGPO.2021.0050>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Representation of persons experiencing homelessness and coding of homelessness in general practices: descriptive evaluation using healthcare utilisation data

Rishika Kaushal¹, Parbir Jagpal¹, Saval Khanal², Neha Vohra¹, Richard Lowrie³, Jaspal Johal⁴, Duncan Jenkins⁴, Karen Saunders⁵, Vibhu Paudyal^{1*}

¹College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK; ²Division of Health Sciences, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK; ³NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde, Glasgow, UK; ⁴Dudley Integrated Health and Care NHS Trust, Dudley, UK; ⁵Public Health England West Midlands, Birmingham, UK

Abstract

Background: Epidemiological studies focused on primary healthcare needs of persons experiencing homelessness (PEH) are often based on data from specialist homeless healthcare services.

Aim: To explore the presentation of PEH, coding of homelessness, and associated health conditions in mainstream primary care general practices in England.

Design & setting: EMIS electronic database search of medical records was conducted across 48 general practices in a clinical commissioning group (CCG), representing one of the most socioeconomically deprived regions in England, which also lacks a specialist primary healthcare service for PEH.

Method: Key terms and codes were used to identify PEH, their respective diagnoses across 22 health conditions, and prescribed medications over the past 4 years.

Results: From a population of approximately 321 000, 43 (0.013%) people were coded as PEH, compared with a homelessness prevalence of 0.5% in the English general population. Mental health conditions were the most prevalent diagnoses among the PEH registrants (56.6%); the recorded prevalence of other common long-term conditions in PEH was lower than the levels observed in PEH registered with specialist homelessness health services.

Conclusion: In a population with approximately four times higher rate of statutory homelessness, PEH representation in mainstream general practices was under-represented by several folds. As homelessness overlaps with mental health, substance misuse, and long-term health conditions, consistent coding of homelessness in medical records is imperative in order to offer tailored support and prevention actions when patients present for services.

*For correspondence: v. paudyal@bham.ac.uk

Competing interest: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Received: 20 March 2021

Accepted: 27 April 2021

Published: 16 June 2021

©This article is Open Access: CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Author Keywords: homeless persons, homelessness, primary health care, England, general practice

Copyright © 2021, The Authors;
DOI:10.3399/BJGPO.2021.0050

How this fits in

Previous qualitative studies have demonstrated PEH face multiple barriers to accessing mainstream general practices. Prevalence and characteristics of PEH registered in mainstream primary health care have not been investigated to date. There is a need to code homelessness accurately in primary care medical records to offer tailored support.

Introduction

Homelessness can be defined as a situation where an individual does not have a secure or safe place of residence. It includes those staying in homeless shelters or those who are sleeping on the streets ('rough sleepers'). It also includes staying in temporary accommodation such as bed and breakfasts, hostels, squats, or those 'sofa-surfing' between family and friends' houses.¹ Nearly 0.5% (280 000 people) in England are known to experience homelessness.² Additionally, before the COVID-19 pandemic, approximately 5000 people slept rough in any one night in England.³ Poverty, substance misuse, severe mental health problems, relationship breakdowns, and childhood trauma have been shown to be both causes and consequences of homelessness.⁴

PEH are high users of emergency departments.⁵ As demonstrated by previous research, key barriers to the utilisation of primary healthcare services by PEH include: the lack of tailored services to meet their multiple complex needs; services located within buildings and based on appointment systems that are difficult for PEH to navigate; difficulties in registering with a general practice owing to lack of address; and perceived stigma and discrimination towards PEH in primary care.⁶

During the past decade, to help increase the accessibility of primary health care to PEH, specialist primary care services for individuals experiencing homelessness have been established across the UK. Such services include specialist health centres, general practices within homeless services, and mobile homeless health teams.^{7,8} Such services can include nurses, GPs, pharmacists, drug and alcohol dependence specialists, opticians, and psychologists. Some are based at fixed locations but others provide mobile clinics offering their services to hostels and day centres, thus making health care even more accessible to these individuals. However, such centres are only located in key urban areas,⁷ operate limited hours, and may not be able to serve all PEH.

Previous studies based in the UK have attempted to investigate healthcare issues of PEH who present at the specialist homelessness healthcare centres.^{9–11} At present, there is a lack of research regarding the utilisation of mainstream (that is, those not specifically established for PEH) primary care general practices by PEH. In addition, little is known about the coding of homelessness when PEH present to the practices. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of homelessness as coded in the medical records of registrants in a cluster of general practices within a socioeconomically deprived region of England. Specific objectives were to describe the demographic characteristics, recorded prevalence of health conditions, and their commonly prescribed medicines.

Method

This study used routinely collected data from all 48 general practices in England from within a region that represents the top decile of socioeconomically deprived areas in England. The life expectancy in the region is reported to be at least 10 years lower for males and 6 years lower for females relative to England, and has a significantly higher rate of statutory homelessness. The 48 general practices accounted for approximately 321 000 registered individuals. The statutory homeless rate in the region is reported to be four times higher than the rate of England.

Data on PEH were obtained by searching general practice-level computerised patient records

Table 1 A list of codes used to search EMIS software to identify persons experiencing homelessness

Homeless	Sleeping in night shelter
Homelessness	Living in temporary council accommodation
No fixed abode	Living in B&B accommodation
Sleeping rough	Living in B&B accommodation
Rough sleeper	Living in lodgings
Squatter	Living in a bedsit
Lives in lodgings	Living in bedsitter

B&B = bed and breakfast.

(EMIS database) from the period 1 June 2015–31 May 2019 using the terminologies presented in **Table 1**. The terminologies were derived through discussion with clinical staff in the study practices, published literature including policy documents, and authors' experiences of research and clinical practice with PEH. The 4 years of data collection period was selected to capture patients who are often temporarily registered in practice given the transient nature of PEH populations in primary care.^{1,12,13}

Demographic data regarding age, sex, smoking status, and ethnic group were obtained. Medications (both acute and repeat) prescribed over the study period were also extracted from

Table 2 Demographic characteristics of registrants experiencing homelessness

	Female <i>n</i> = 16 <i>n</i> (%)	Male <i>n</i> = 27 <i>n</i> (%)	All <i>n</i> = 43 <i>n</i> (%)
Mean age (SD), years	42.6 (19.1)	41.7 (16.8)	42.1 (17.4)
Age range, years			
20–29	5 (31.3)	9 (33.3)	14 (32.6)
30–39	3 (18.8)	7 (25.9)	10 (23.3)
40–49	3 (18.8)	2 (7.4)	5 (11.6)
50–59	2 (12.5)	5 (18.5)	7 (16.3)
60–69	2 (12.5)	3 (11.1)	5 (11.6)
70–79	–	–	–
80–89	–	1 (3.7)	1 (2.3)
90–99	1 (6.3)	–	1 (2.3)
Ethnic group			
White British	11 (68.8)	9 (33.3)	20 (46.5)
Mixed	1 (6.3)	1 (3.7)	2 (4.7)
Mixed British	3 (18.8)	9 (33.3)	12 (27.9)
Other	1 (6.3)	2 (7.4)	3 (7.0)
Unknown	–	6 (22.2)	6 (14.0)

were between the ages of 20 and 39 years. A total of 20 (46.5%) were from a White British ethnic group, followed by approximately a third from a Mixed British ethnic group (*n* = 12, 27.9%) (**Table 2**). A total of 69.8% currently smoke, and 70% of these were male. The majority of those who smoke were between the ages of 20 years and 39 years (*n* = 30, 64.3%).

Mental health conditions were the most prevalent of the 53 total diagnoses (*n* = 30, 56.6%) followed by cardiovascular diseases (*n* = 7, 13.2%). Depression and alcohol dependence were the most prevalent mental health disorders (**Table 3**). Out of the 14 registrants who were diagnosed with a mental health disorder, such as depression, mania, hypomania, psychosis, and bipolar disorders, seven (50.0%) of these were concurrently diagnosed with substance misuse related either to alcohol, opioid, or heroin dependence.

Multimorbidity

A total of 15/43 (34.9%) of PEH had two or more diagnosed health conditions. The age category with the greatest proportion of individuals suffering from multimorbidity (two or more diagnoses) was 50–59 years (85.7%).

Prescribed medicines

The total number of prescriptions issued over the study period among the PEH registrants was 967. Over 95% of the patients (41/43) were prescribed at least one medicine, and 33/41 (80.5%) had been prescribed four or more concurrent medicines (Supplementary Table S1). Central nervous system (CNS) chapter of the BNF accounted for over a third of the prescriptions issued (36.1%). Of these prescriptions, 41.4% were for analgesic medications, of which the mean number of prescriptions issued per patient for both opioid and non-opioid analgesics was 3.4 (4.1 and 3.8, respectively). Additionally, 40.9% of the CNS-related prescriptions were associated with antidepressants, such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), tricyclic antidepressants, and tetracyclic antidepressants. The

the patient medical records. The prevalence of 22 common health conditions were extracted, including cardiovascular, endocrine, respiratory, mental health, neurological, gastrointestinal disorders, infections, other diseases including rheumatoid arthritis, leg ulcers, and learning disability. Data were extracted by clinical practice staff with routine access to patient medical records and anonymised, and small numbers suppressed before electronic transfer to the research team. Data were descriptively analysed (owing to the small sample size) to identify the prevalence of PEH within the study area, their demographic characteristics, disease prevalence, and frequently prescribed medications. Data on disease prevalence were compared with UK and international homeless and the general populations from the published literature. Data on prescribed medicines were categorised as per the *British National Formulary* (BNF) chapters.¹⁴

Results

Of the 320 932 patients registered in the 48 general practices, 43 registrants were coded as PEH during the 4-year study period. The majority of the PEH registrants were male (*n* = 27, 62.8%) and the mean age was 42.1 (SD 17.4) years (range 19–90 years). The majority of registrants

Table 3 The prevalence of health conditions among the registrants

Health conditions category, n (%)	Diagnoses	Prevalence, n (%) ^a	Prevalence from UK literature, %	Prevalence from international literature, %
Mental health conditions, 30 (56.6)	Depression	10 (18.9)	29.7, Leicester ³⁶ 11.6, Birmingham ⁹ 50.0, Dublin ³⁷ 42.1, Glasgow ¹⁰ 55.0, Edinburgh ¹¹ 33.0, Glasgow ¹¹	Not available
	Mental health register	–	6.5, Birmingham ⁹	Not available
	Alcohol dependence	10 (18.9)	29.0, Leicester ³⁶ 21.3, Birmingham ⁹ 53.0, Dublin ³⁷ 56.4, Glasgow ¹⁰ 37.0, Edinburgh ¹¹ 54.0, Glasgow ¹¹	37.9, Western countries ³⁸
	Substance dependence	4 (7.5)	66.0, Leicester ³⁶ 13.5, Birmingham ⁹ 33.0, Dublin ¹⁴ 62.4, Glasgow ¹⁰ 73.0, Edinburgh ¹¹ 62.0, Glasgow ¹⁰	24.2, Western countries ³⁸
Neurological disorders, 3 (5.7)	Epilepsy	–	1.4, Birmingham ⁹ 8.0, Dublin ³⁷	8.1, Paris ³⁹ 6.0, Canada ⁴⁰
	Migraine	2 (3.8)	1.1, Birmingham ⁹	25–36, Canada ^{41,42}
Cardiovascular disease, 7 (13.2)	Hypertension	4 (7.5)	4.2, Birmingham ⁹	27.0, US ⁴³
	Coronary heart disease	–	1.5, Birmingham ⁹	Not available
	Stroke or transient ischaemic attack	1 (1.9)	0.3, Birmingham ⁹	20.0, US ⁴⁴
	Heart failure	–	Not available	Not available
	Atrial fibrillation	1 (1.9)	0.2, Birmingham ⁹	Not available
	Angina	–	Not available	Not available
Infections, 3 (5.7)	Hepatitis C	2 (3.8)	11.3, Leicester ³⁶ 6.3, Birmingham ⁹ 23.0, Dublin ³⁷ 24.8, Glasgow ¹⁰	Not available
	HIV	–	0.5, Leicester ³⁶ 0.6, Birmingham ⁹ 6.0, Dublin ³⁷	Not available
	Sexually transmitted diseases	1 (1.9)	9.4, Birmingham ⁹ 8.0, Dublin ¹⁴	0.9–52.5, US ⁴⁵
Respiratory diseases, 3, (5.7)	COPD	1 (1.9)	1.7, Leicester ³⁶ 1.5, Birmingham ⁹ 3.0, Dublin ³⁷	4–5, UK, Europe, and US ⁴⁶
	Asthma	1 (1.9)	16, Leicester ³⁶ 4.2, Birmingham ⁹ 21, Dublin ³⁷	Not available
Endocrine disorders, 1 (1.9)	Diabetes	1 (1.9)	2.8, Birmingham ⁹ 8.0, Ireland ⁴⁷ 8.0, Dublin ³⁷ 7.3, Edinburgh ¹¹ 4.5, Glasgow ¹¹	8.0, US ⁴¹ 6.1, Paris ³⁹ 4.0, Canada ³⁸

continued on next page

Table 3 Continued

Health conditions category, n (%)	Diagnoses	Prevalence, n (%) ^a	Prevalence from UK literature, %	Prevalence from international literature, %
Gastrointestinal disorders, 2 (3.8)	Gastrointestinal bleeds or ulcers	2 (3.8)	0.6, Birmingham ⁹ 11.0, Dublin ³⁷ 14.7, Edinburgh ¹¹ 24.0, Glasgow ¹¹	Not available
Other, 4 (7.5)	Leg ulcers	1 (1.9)	6.5, Birmingham ⁹	Not available
	Learning disability	–	0.3, Birmingham ⁹	36.0, Canada ⁴⁸
	Rheumatoid arthritis	–	0.1, Birmingham ⁹ 6.0, Dublin ³⁷	Not available

^aBlank fields may represent small numbers being suppressed for preserving patient anonymity. COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. HIV = human immunodeficiency virus.

second highest category for prescriptions was for infections, comprising 14.0% of the total number of prescriptions issued (Supplementary Table S1).

Discussion

Summary

This is the first study in the UK to investigate healthcare issues of PEH who utilise mainstream general practices. This study addresses the gap that exists in previous literature, which has largely explored the population registered with specialist homeless healthcare services.

The findings of this study show under-recording of homelessness in comparison with national homelessness rates, in an area expected to have higher rates owing to socioeconomic characteristics of the study region. In addition, the results also suggest a potential lack of utilisation of mainstream GP practices by PEH, thus accentuating their limited access to primary healthcare services. The 43 registrants who identified as PEH within this study accounted for 0.013% of the population within the region; a region that has four times as many statutory PEH compared with the English national average. It is clear that this observed proportion is several folds smaller in comparison with the PEH prevalence of 0.5% in the English general population.²

The low numbers of PEH identified through the data searches also suggests potential under-coding of homelessness in primary care medical records. When presenting to services, patients may not always declare their homelessness or may use their last permanent residence or temporary residence. The low numbers may also be explained by the itinerant nature of PEH, because patients may not reside for long enough at a fixed address within the mainstream practice's local catchment area, leading to lack of opportunity for practices to fully register patients. As homelessness overlaps with mental ill health, substance misuse, and long-term health conditions, capitalising on windows of opportunity to register patients (for example, when presenting for help for acute conditions) is a starting point for consistent coding in medical records and a subsequent offer of tailored support.

Strengths and limitations

This is the first study to investigate the healthcare issues of PEH in mainstream general practices. The electronic medical records software, EMIS, was used to collect the data for this study using a wide range of keywords for both homelessness and health conditions. This study did not investigate the forms of homelessness in the identified sample or the duration of their homelessness. Furthermore, data were unavailable to account for how often the registrants experiencing homelessness visited the mainstream general practices, analysis of which may have offered another useful indicator to help assess the extent of their utilisation of services. Although a total of 48 general practices were covered in the search of PEH, all belonged to one CCG, potentially limiting the generalisability of the findings.

Comparison with existing literature

The demographic characteristics of the PEH in this study are comparable with the published data in England.⁹ However, the prevalence of key health conditions, particularly mental ill health and substance

misuse, and multimorbidity, were far lower compared with the published literature, demonstrating potential underdiagnosis, undertreatment, or under-coding of key health conditions in this population. Previous literature has shown that despite their mean age being in the late thirties, the extent of multimorbidity is comparable with those in their late eighties.¹⁰ While these data suggest a need to improve screening of health conditions and their coding in mainstream GP practices, the way this is offered to patients with no fixed abode may influence the uptake and success of the service. For example, assertive outreach is likely to be more successful than static building-based service provision.

Implications for practice and research

This study highlights the inequity of provision and access to healthcare services in primary care for PEH. Previous literature suggests that many PEH prefer to use specialist homeless healthcare services.⁸ While commissioning more specialist primary healthcare centres could be one way to improve access of health services to PEH, mainstream services need to be adapted to be inclusive of PEH. Previous studies show that frontline primary healthcare staff are often unaware of patient registration guidelines.¹⁵ There is a need for training and education of such staff to reinforce the registration guidelines allowing patients with no fixed abode to register without any problems. National distribution of 'My right to access healthcare' cards to PEH, as piloted in many geographical areas,¹⁶ should be extended. There is a need for health services to comply with the Homelessness Reduction Act 2017¹⁷ to ensure that hospitals refer and provide liaison for the patients to primary care services. In addition, education of healthcare professionals should incorporate the healthcare issues of PEH. Previous studies have also shown that perceived stigma and discrimination in mainstream general practices by healthcare professionals and other patients are key barriers to accessing services.⁶ Such factors also act as a barrier to patient transition from specialist homeless healthcare services to mainstream practices when patients find a permanent residence.⁸ Anti-stigma intervention for healthcare professionals can be useful.¹⁸

Appropriate coding of homelessness and associated health conditions is imperative to identify those in need of primary health care and to apply prevention measures. Recent studies conducted in primary care practices in Canada have demonstrated that screening patients for poverty, including housing insecurity, is feasible and leads to correct identification of patients facing social disadvantages.^{19–21} As inequalities such as poverty and homelessness are modifiable (similar to drug misuse or smoking), proactive screening of such social circumstances using validated tools, which are acceptable for both patients and healthcare professionals, can identify patients at risk and those already facing adversities, leading to appropriate coding and provision of tailored support. In addition, homelessness also appears as a code in the international classification of disease (ICD-11 — QD71), allowing clinicians to record such status where applicable.²² There is substantial literature showing the overlap between severe mental ill health, substance misuse, and early mortality.²³ Appropriate coding will prompt signposting and referral to support programmes that are relevant to health conditions that overlap with homelessness, such as mental ill health and substance misuse. This will facilitate appropriate follow-up, screening, timely treatment, and management practices.

Low levels of primary healthcare access by PEH make them high users of emergency departments. However, they often present late to the service, and their mortality in the emergency department is shown to be 12 times higher than the general population.²⁴ In addition to strengthening the inclusivity of mainstream practices to PEH, further research is also necessary to develop novel primary care services that can improve PEH access. Outreach-based interventions offered by non-medical prescribers, including pharmacists and nurses, have been shown to be effective in identifying undiagnosed health conditions and minimising use of emergency departments.^{25,26} Qualitative studies show that PEH value such dedicated services.^{27,28} Establishment of tailored interventions, including outreach-based services, have been advocated in the NHS *Long Term Plan*.²⁹ There is also scope to widen the roles of community pharmacies as PEH utilise pharmacies on a regular basis for substance misuse treatment, needle exchange, and prescription collection.^{30–33} Clinical guidelines should be inclusive of social outcomes, such as homelessness, when providing services for substance misuse and severe mental illnesses.^{34,35} As this research only covered general practices within one CCG, a large-scale study capturing wider areas of the UK is needed to improve the generalisability of the findings. Larger national primary care databases, such as Clinical Practice Research Datalink and The Health Improvement Network database, can offer such opportunities. As the data in the present study

compared health status of study participants with health status of PEH and general populations as reported in the published literature, future studies should consider a matched cohort design, with comparison of health status of PEH with the general populations within the same general practices.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that PEH are under-represented in mainstream general practices. There is a need to improve access of PEH to mainstream care and improve coding of homelessness in patient medical records. As homelessness overlaps with mental ill health, substance misuse, and long-term health conditions, consistent coding of homelessness in medical records is imperative in order to offer tailored support when patients present for services.

Funding

This study was funded by the University of Birmingham.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was received by the University of Birmingham Pharmacy Safety and Ethics Subcommittee (Ethical approval number: 2019–43). The study involved analysis of routinely collected anonymised data and did not require NHS ethical approval.

Provenance

Freely submitted; externally peer reviewed.

References

1. FEANTSA. ETHOS — European typology on homelessness and housing exclusion. 2021; <https://www.feantsa.org/en/toolkit/2005/04/01/ethos-typology-on-homelessness-and-housing-exclusion> (accessed 26 May 2021).
2. Shelter. 280,000 people in England are homeless with thousands more at risk. 2019; https://england.shelter.org.uk/media/press_release/280,000_people_in_england_are_homeless,_with_thousands_more_at_risk (accessed 26 May 2021).
3. Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government. Rough sleeping snapshot in England: autumn 2019. 2020; <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/rough-sleeping-snapshot-in-england-autumn-2019/rough-sleeping-snapshot-in-england-autumn-2019> (accessed 26 May 2021).
4. van Laere IR, de Wit MA, Klazinga NS. Pathways into homelessness: recently homeless adults problems and service use before and after becoming homeless in Amsterdam. *BMC Public Health* 2009; **9**(1): 3. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-9-3>
5. Salhi BA, White MH, Pitts SR, Wright DW. Homelessness and emergency medicine: a review of the literature. *Acad Emerg Med* 2018; **25**(5): 577–593. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.13358>
6. Gunner E, Paudyal V, et al. Perspectives of homeless individuals on the provision and accessibility of primary healthcare services: a qualitative study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019; **69**(685): e526–e536. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X704633>
7. Crane MA, Cetrano G, Joly LMA, et al. *Inventory of specialist primary health care services in England for people who are homeless*. London: Social Care Workforce Research Unit, King's College London; 2018.
8. Smith KG, Paudyal V, MacLure K, et al. Relocating patients from a specialist homeless healthcare centre to general practices: a multi-perspective study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2018; **68**(667): e105–e113. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp18X694577>
9. Bowen M, Marwick S, Marshall T, et al. Multimorbidity and emergency department visits by a homeless population: a database study in specialist general practice. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019; **69**(685): e515–e525. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X704609>
10. Queen AB, Lowrie R, Richardson J, Williamson AE. Multimorbidity, disadvantage, and patient engagement within a specialist homeless health service in the UK: an in-depth study of general practice data. *BJGP Open* 2017; **1**(3): bjgpopen17X100941. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgpopen17X100941>
11. Zeitler M, Williamson AE, Budd J, et al. Comparing the impact of primary care practice design in two inner city UK homelessness services. *J Prim Care Community Health* 2020; **11**: 215013272091056. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/2150132720910568>
12. Public Health England. Homelessness: applying all our health. 2019; <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/homelessness-applying-all-our-health/homelessness-applying-all-our-health> (accessed 26 May 2021).
13. Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government. Homelessness data: notes and definitions. 2013; <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/homelessness-data-notes-and-definitions> (accessed 26 May 2021).
14. Joint Formulary Committee. *British National Formulary* 75. London: BMJ Group and Pharmaceutical Press; 2018.
15. Rae BE, Rees S. The perceptions of homeless people regarding their healthcare needs and experiences of receiving health care. *J Adv Nurs* 2015; **71**(9): 2096–2107. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.12675>
16. Healthy London Partnership. 'My right to access healthcare' cards. 2019; <https://www.healthylondon.org/our-work/homeless-health/healthcare-cards/> (accessed 26 May 2021).

17. Paudyal V, Saunders K. Homeless reduction act in England: impact on health services. *Lancet* 2018; **392**(10143): 195–197. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)31383-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31383-7)
18. Knaak S, Patten S. A grounded theory model for reducing stigma in health professionals in Canada. *Acta Psychiatrica Scand* 2016; **134**(Suppl 446): 53–62. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12612>
19. Pinto AD, Bondy M, Rucchetto A, et al. Screening for poverty and intervening in a primary care setting: an acceptability and feasibility study. *Fam Pract* 2019; **36**(5): 634–638. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmy129>
20. Brcic V, Eberdt C, Kaczorowski J. Corrigendum to "Development of a tool to identify poverty in a family practice setting: a pilot study". *Int J Family Med* 2015; **2015**: 418125. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/418125>
21. Buchman S. Screening for poverty in family practice. *Can Fam Physician* 2012; **58**(6): 709–710.
22. World Health Organization. ICD-11 for mortality and morbidity statistics (version 05/2021). 2020; <https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en#/http://id.who.int/icd/entity/1018097273> (accessed 26 May 2021).
23. Aldridge RW, Story A, Hwang SW, et al. Morbidity and mortality in homeless individuals, prisoners, sex workers, and individuals with substance use disorders in high-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2018; **391**(10117): 241–250. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)31869-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31869-X)
24. Paudyal V, Ghani A, Shafi T, et al. Clinical characteristics, attendance outcomes and deaths of homeless persons in the emergency department: implications for primary health care and community prevention programmes. *Public Health* 2021. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2021.05.007>
25. Lowrie R, Stock K, Lucey S, et al. Pharmacist led homeless outreach engagement and non-medical independent prescribing (Rx) (PHOENIX) intervention for people experiencing homelessness: a non-randomised feasibility study. *Int J Equity Health* 2021; **20**(1): 19. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-020-01337-7>
26. Lowrie F, Gibson L, Towle I, Lowrie R. A descriptive study of a novel pharmacist led health outreach service for those experiencing homelessness. *Int J Pharm Pract* 2019; **27**(4): 355–361. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpp.12520>
27. Jagpal P, Barnes N, Lowrie R, et al. Clinical pharmacy intervention for persons experiencing homelessness: evaluation of patient perspectives in service design and development. *Pharmacy* 2019; **7**(4): 153. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmacy7040153>
28. Johnsen S, Cuthill F, Blenkinsopp J. Outreach-based clinical pharmacist prescribing input into the healthcare of people experiencing homelessness: a qualitative investigation. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2021; **21**(1): 7. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-06013-8>
29. NHS England. The NHS long term plan. 2019; <https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/nhs-long-term-plan-version-1.2.pdf> (accessed 26 May 2021).
30. Jagpal P, Saunders K, Plahe G, et al. Research priorities in healthcare of persons experiencing homelessness: outcomes of a national multi-disciplinary stakeholder discussion in the United Kingdom. *Int J Equity Health* 2020; **19**(1): 86. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-020-01206-3>
31. Paudyal V, Gibson Smith K, MacLure K, et al. Perceived roles and barriers in caring for the people who are homeless: a survey of UK community pharmacists. *Int J Clin Pharm* 2019; **41**(1): 215–227. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11096-019-00789-4>
32. Paudyal V, MacLure K, Forbes-McKay K, et al. 'If I die, I die, I don't care about my health': Perspectives on self-care of people experiencing homelessness. *Health Soc Care Community* 2020; **28**(1): 160–172. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12850>
33. Paudyal V, MacLure K, Buchanan C, et al. 'When you are homeless, you are not thinking about your medication, but your food, shelter or heat for the night': behavioural determinants of homeless patients' adherence to prescribed medicines. *Public Health* 2017; **148**: 1–8. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2017.03.002>
34. Alsuhaibani R, Smith DC, Lowrie R, et al. Scope, quality and inclusivity of international clinical guidelines on mental health and substance abuse in relation to dual diagnosis, social and community outcomes: a systematic review. *BMC Psychiatry* 2021; **21**(1): 209. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-021-03188-0>
35. Ali RA, Jalal Z, Paudyal V. Barriers to monitoring and management of cardiovascular and metabolic health of patients prescribed antipsychotic drugs: a systematic review. *BMC Psychiatry* 2020; **20**(1): 581. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-020-02990-6>
36. Hewett N, Hiley A, Gray J. Morbidity trends in the population of a specialised homeless primary care service. *Br J Gen Pract* 2011; **61**(584): 200–202. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp11X561203>
37. Keogh C, O'Brien KK, Hoban A, et al. Health and use of health services of people who are homeless and at risk of homelessness who receive free primary health care in Dublin. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2015; **15**(1): 58. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-015-0716-4>
38. Fazel S, Khosla V, Doll H, Geddes J, Seena F, Vivek K, Helen D, John G. The prevalence of mental disorders among the homeless in Western countries: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *PLoS Med* 2008; **5**(12): e225. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0050225>
39. Laporte A, Rouvel-Talleg A, Grosdidier E, et al. Epilepsy among the homeless: prevalence and characteristics. *Eur J Public Health* 2006; **16**(5): 484–486. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckl011>
40. Khandor E, Mason K. The street health report 2007. 2007; <http://www.streethealth.ca/downloads/the-street-health-report-2007.pdf> (accessed 27 May 2021).
41. Hwang SW, Wilkins E, Chambers C, et al. Chronic pain among homeless persons: characteristics, treatment, and barriers to management. *BMC Fam Pract* 2011; **12**(1): 73. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2296-12-73>
42. Topolovec-Vranic J, Schuler A, Gozdzik A, et al. The high burden of traumatic brain injury and comorbidities amongst homeless adults with mental illness. *J Psychiatr Res* 2017; **87**(7): 53–60. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.12.004>

43. Bernstein RS, Meurer LN, Plumb EJ, Jackson JL. Diabetes and hypertension prevalence in homeless adults in the United States: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Public Health* 2015; **105**(2): e46–e60. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2014.302330>
44. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Health Care for Homeless People. *Homelessness, Health, and Human Needs*. Washington DC: National Academies Press (US); 1988.
45. Williams SP, Caccamo AE, Kachur R, Bryant KL. P4.94 STI rates among homeless persons in the US. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017; **93**(Suppl 2): A225–A227.
46. Snyder LD, Eisner MD. Obstructive lung disease among the urban homeless. *Chest* 2004; **125**(5): 1719–1725. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.125.5.1719>
47. Scott J, Gavin J, Egan AM, et al. The prevalence of diabetes, pre-diabetes and the metabolic syndrome in an Irish regional homeless population. *QJM* 2013; **106**(6): 547–553. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/qjmed/hct063>
48. Patterson ML, Moniruzzaman A, Frankish CJ, Somers JM. Missed opportunities: childhood learning disabilities as early indicators of risk among homeless adults with mental illness in Vancouver, British Columbia. *BMJ Open* 2012; **2**(6): e001586. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001586>