A Smart Rail and Grid Energy Management System for increased synergy between DC Railway Networks & Electrical Distribution Networks
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Abstract— This paper presents results of the H2020 European E-LOBSTER project which is to propose an innovative Railway to Grid (R+G) Management system, combined with advanced power electronics and storage technologies (the smart Soft Open Point and the electric storage developed in the framework of the project). In particular, the R+G management system will be able to make the best use of the available energy on both grids by increasing their mutual synergies and increasing the energy efficiency of two networks through electric energy storages and at the same time by creating synergy with charging stations for Electric Vehicles (EV). This paper demonstrates an overview of the state of the art of the proposed smart R+G energy management with simulation results of the main case studies as well as an overview smart mobility through Electric Vehicles, charging stations for EVs, e-mobility in general and its synergies with railways.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Energy efficiency is one of the main aims regarding worldwide industry. Considering the weight of transport in terms of energy consumption (over 24% of global CO2 emissions in 2016 [1]), energy savings in this sector play an important role. EU Commission sets a strong goal towards the transport sector decarbonisation and the role of railways, which is likely to be vital for the future of sustainable transport. The Transport White Paper reinforces this vision with a 60% GHG reduction target by 2050 for transport and sets a path for the energy efficiency of the system [2].

Smart management of railway networks has already been subject of study and several projects have settled indications about its implementation in existing facilities. The origin of the very concept of railway smart management might come from the opportunity that regenerative braking offers. There are several studies that argue the train’s capability of energy regeneration is between 30% and 40% of the energy consumed. For several reasons, most of the metropolitan lines there are rheostat consumption losses of around 10-12%, which limits the real savings obtained by regenerative braking [3].

In the technology roadmap "European railway energy roadmap: towards 2030" the European Rail Research Advisory Council stated the importance of developing energy storage and battery technologies for future rail infrastructure. [4-5]. In addition, European organisations have made progress regarding smart management of electric grids, publishing smart grid standards and policies, which are fundamental to foster its implementation in railway infrastructure facilities. In terms of railway operation, smart management systems offer new business opportunities and also add new technical and organisational problems to be tackled. Regarding the business side, smart management integration into the system means the expansion of the very same railway system, taking into consideration that new actors come into play (management of EV, renewables, energy storage systems, etc.), and, therefore, the entry of new potential business fields, like energy purchasing and integrated transport systems [6]. This potential is based on the fact that railway system will no longer be a passive load, consuming energy from the grid, but it will be part of a larger smart grid and communicate with “non-railway” systems such as smart buildings, electrical vehicles charging station, renewable energy resources, etc. This is in line with the smart grid framework in Europe which is customer-oriented, and the grid is being designed to be flexible, accessible, reliable and economical for customers [7].

This paper will focus on the new concept of Rail and Grid (R+G) management system developed in E-Lobster project. Two Metro Lines have been simulated and the results have been investigated to analyse and compare the potential braking energy can be used to feed back to grid or to be stored in energy storage. Smart mobility framework has been evaluated in two different case studies as integrated solution of railway and EV uptake in grid. Finally, paper concludes with closing remarks and next step.

II. SIMULATION PLATFORM

This section presents the framework of the two simulators under study: railway and smart-grid simulators developed in MATLAB software [8-9].

A. Numerical railway simulation results of Metro Line

Two Metro Lines of Spanish Urban Metro have been selected for simulation study[8] and results of railway simulator of these two Metro lines have been presented briefly in this section in order to investigate the effect of the headway on the energy consumptions alongside with the energy losses in the railway networks. Additionally, a 24-hour time schedule for both lines has been demonstrated and the energy evaluation have been analysed in such conditions.
Firstly, the following energy equation have been utilized in this section in order to indicate each energy component in the railway network:

\[ E_s + E_{\text{braking}} = E_{\text{braking,loss}} + E_{\text{braking,available}} + E_{\text{traction}} + E_{\text{aux}} \]

Where:
- \( E_s \) = Supplied energy by the substations to the traction system within the headway time
- \( E_{\text{braking}} \) = Effective regenerative braking energy available after the braking resistances
- \( E_{\text{braking,loss}} \) = Energy losses of all the substations
- \( E_{\text{braking,available}} \) = Energy losses of the electrification system (overhead supply and return rails)
- \( E_{\text{traction}} \) = Drawn energy by all the train to complete the journey
- \( E_{\text{aux}} \) = Energy consumed by auxiliaries

Besides,
- \( E_{\text{braking,available}} \) = All available regenerative braking energy from all trains
- \( \eta_{\text{regen}} \) = Efficiency of regenerative braking, calculated as \( \frac{E_{\text{braking}}}{E_{\text{braking,available}}} \times 100\% \)
- \( E_{\text{saving}}\% \) = Energy Saving percentage by using the effective regenerative braking energy, calculated as \( \frac{E_{\text{braking}}}{(E_{\text{braking}} + E_s)} \times 100\% \)

The first Metro Line (Line A) under study is 14.031 km with 20 stations and 5 traction substations. The operating voltage of this line is 1500 V DC. The system energy consumptions have been calculated in railway simulator and summarized in Table I for various headway values. This refers to the energy drawn from all the traction substations during train service.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Headway (s)</th>
<th>( E_s ) (kWh)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{braking}} ) (kWh)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{braking,loss}} ) (kWh)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{braking,available}} ) (kWh)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{traction}} ) (kWh)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{aux}} ) (kWh)</th>
<th>( \eta_{\text{regen}} ) (%)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{saving}}% )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>660</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>7861</td>
<td>7861</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.1 to Fig.2 illustrate respectively the different energy components in the railway, along with the energy saving and braking efficiency according to the headways. The results show that the energy consumption of the traction system increases when the headway decreases, as there are more trains running simultaneously on the line. In fact, the average power increases from 1.59 MW when the headway is 660 S to 7.71 MW when the headway is 120 S. Similar trend can be identified on power losses, and their impact increases from 5% when the headway is 660 S to 7% when the headway is 120 S.

The losses variation however is different when the headway changes. Clearly, the energy lost in the transmission system increases significantly for shorter headways. This can be explained by the higher regeneration rate when the headway is shorter as less energy is supplied by Traction Power Substation (TPSS) and more energy is exchanged between the traction system within the headway time and the available braking energy and the efficiency of the regenerative braking increases from 76% when the headway is 660 S to 91% when the headway is 120 S.

A 24-hour time schedule is given for Line A, trains are in operation from 6:05 am to 2:00 am with a time period of 19 hours and 55 mins in a working day (Monday to Friday) with the following number of trains in the corresponding headways:
- 191 trains work every 5 mins
- 10 trains work every 6 mins
- 8 trains work every 7.5 mins
- 8 trains work every 15 mins

The different energy components in such condition is provided in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Headway (s)</th>
<th>( E_s ) (kWh)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{braking}} ) (kWh)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{braking,loss}} ) (kWh)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{braking,available}} ) (kWh)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{traction}} ) (kWh)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{aux}} ) (kWh)</th>
<th>( \eta_{\text{regen}} ) (%)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{saving}}% )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>660</td>
<td>57929</td>
<td>1738</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>97727</td>
<td>59284</td>
<td>7861</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>57929</td>
<td>1738</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>97727</td>
<td>59284</td>
<td>7861</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>57929</td>
<td>1738</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>97727</td>
<td>59284</td>
<td>7861</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>57929</td>
<td>1738</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>97727</td>
<td>59284</td>
<td>7861</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>57929</td>
<td>1738</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>97727</td>
<td>59284</td>
<td>7861</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second Metro Line under study (Line B) is a 40.9 km circle line with 28 stations and 11 traction substations. The total journey time is around 60 min. The operating voltage of this line is 1500 V DC. The system energy consumptions within a headway period are summarized in Table III for various headway values. This refers to the energy drawn from all the TPSS during train service. Fig.3 to Fig.4 illustrate respectively the different energy components in the railway,
along with the energy saving and braking efficiency according to the headways. The results show that the energy consumption of the traction system increases when the headway decreases, as there are more trains running simultaneously on Line B. The substation loss is determined by the power from substation, and the transmission loss depends on the power flowing in the network.

Finally, a 24-hour time schedule is given for Line B, trains are in operation from 6:05 am to 2:00 am with a time period 19 hours and 55 mins in a working day (Monday to Friday) with the following number of train in the corresponding headways:

- 112 trains work every 7.5 mins
- 8 trains works every 15 mins

The different energy components in such condition is provided in Table IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Headway</th>
<th>$E_t$ [kWh]</th>
<th>$E_{loss}$ [kWh]</th>
<th>$E_{braking}$ [kWh]</th>
<th>$E_{regen}$ [%]</th>
<th>$E_{aux}$ [kWh]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120 S</td>
<td>28087</td>
<td>2375</td>
<td>134276</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>103920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180 S</td>
<td>3118</td>
<td>2375</td>
<td>134276</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>103920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240 S</td>
<td>3118</td>
<td>2375</td>
<td>134276</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>103920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 S</td>
<td>3118</td>
<td>2375</td>
<td>134276</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>103920</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Electrical Distribution Railway Network simulation

In modern railways, the DC traction substations are usually equipped with transformers and rectifiers, drawing electricity from distribution networks. Each traction substation is usually connected to an internal electrical network (for example 15kV in Spain and 11 kV in UK) owned by the metro system operator. Due to the magnitude and variability of the traction load of a metro railway, the connection to the public grid must be at a higher voltage level. Connections to the public grid are therefore made at “Grid Supply Points” and then distributed to the traction substations. The common configuration of railway network with connection to Distribution System Operator (DSO) substations for the metro line under study is illustrated in Fig. 5. The whole electrical internal network is fed through 15 kV cables in traction substations and in transformation stations by different cables characteristics as provided in Table V. From point of connection to primary substations of DSO, Metro as qualified customer do not share feeder cables with other customers. In addition, qualified customers as Metro do not usually require a double feed because their own private medium voltage network provides the necessary redundancy. In this typical traction scheme, each TPSS in the internal electrical network has a dual redundancy through two adjacent traction substations in a way that two different DSO substations are never connected to each other. In transformer stations, which are usually located closed to train passenger stations, there are 2 transformers of 15kV to 0.4kV, of which one is connected, and one is in stand-by as a backup. These are the end points of the network.

![Fig. 5- Common configuration of the metro line under study with metro feeder connection to DSO supply points](image-url)
TABLE V- Electrical characteristics of the AC cables of Metro internal electrical network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cable</th>
<th>R(Ω/km)</th>
<th>X(Ω/km)</th>
<th>C(μF/km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red (main metro feeder)</td>
<td>0.0379</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green (TPSS feeder)</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue (Train station feeder)</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consumption in trains is very irregular because they can change rapidly their state as they can be braking or coasting at one moment and motoring at the following instant. Consequently, the power demanded in traction substations (TPSS) is very variable, too. Instant power telemetering samples every 30 sec show clearly the intermittency nature of metro load as box plotted in Fig.6 for a working day for a TPSS substation in Line B. The other traction substations follow the same trend of consumption.

The hourly accumulated energy in Fig.7 for one of DSO substation of Line B reveals the pattern of energy usage by trains. The pattern follows up the timetable of train which the peak happening between 7:00 am to 9:00. Based on the time-schedule of Metro, there is no train from 2:30am to 5:30am, so demand is in the minimum in this time schedule. The economic impact associated with energy consumption peaks have a great impact on energy demand charge too.

III. E-LOBSTER SOLUTION

With respect to E-LOBSTER, electrical energy storage will play a shared asset between grid and railway. It is a trade-off between electrical grid and railway network which services will be given priority in terms of energy efficiency of whole system. The control strategy will be developed through smart Soft Open Point (sSOP) as the brain of Rail and Grid (R+G) energy management system providing interexchange electricity towards mutual benefits. The concept of E-LOBSTER solution is illustrated in Fig.8. The sSOP with advanced power convertors provides recovery of braking energy from the rail system and feeds this energy through a DC link to either the distribution grid or a battery energy storage.

Fig.6 to Fig.7 clearly show, at rush hours, the energy consumption is higher as there are more trains in service however in the same time the regeneration efficiency is higher. Results of railway simulator in Table II and IV show that for headway of 120 S (2 min) the regeneration efficiency is around 91% in Metro Line A and 100% in Metro Line B. In addition, when there are less train running and headway increases for example with headway of 660 S (11 min) which will be at not busy times such as late evening and early morning or at night, the regeneration efficiency will be decreased to 76% for Metro Line A and 88% for Metro Line B, so the braking energy needs to be stored in energy storage to increase the energy efficiency of railway.

The supply of the railway traction from ESS and/or LV grid is not considered here mainly because both ESS and the LV grid have power ratings substantially smaller than that of the trains demand and, hence, would not be capable of providing...
an effective contribution. Furthermore, it is unlikely that TPSSs would become overloaded, as they are designed with a reserve capacity of up to three times the nominal ratings to supply the trains in case of faults of one or more TPSSs [10].

The Energy storage in E-LOBSTER solution can support grid to accommodate the uptake of EVs with sharing the infrastructure. The braking energy from rail can also be used for EV charging stations. This will open a market for railway operators to the action of selling the energy that comes from train braking to the grid.

The results of rapid EV charging station of a Nissan Leaf (24kWh, 2011 model) demonstrated in Newcastle University Lab is presented in Table VI for two different charging modes [12-13]. Clearly, rapid charging of 80kW will have a considerable increasing demand on distribution grid in a very short time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metro Line</th>
<th>Braking energy back to grid (MWh)</th>
<th>Nissan (24kWh)</th>
<th>Nissan (40kWh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Line A</td>
<td>8.513</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line B</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The impact of EV demand on LV grid is also investigated in a case study. The LV grid under study is a residential LV radial network powered from a secondary transformer substation (11kV/433V, 800kVA) and feeding 244 households through five feeders as illustrated in Fig.11. Each feeder has several customers in which 64 customers in Feeder 1; 57 customers in Feeder 2; 60 customers in Feeder 3; 49 customers in Feeder 4 and 14 customers in Feeder 5. A diverse number of customers have also been distributed in each busbar of the feeders in Fig.11. For example, bus-Feeder 2.1 is feeding 11 households and bus-Feeder 3.5 is feeding 9 households, etc. Real time data were acquired from smart meters data collected as half-hourly electrical energy consumption in kWh and then converted to average half-hourly power in kW for analysis [14]. The measurements of EVs involved customers who owned an electric vehicle and had access to a home charger, were being monitored in 143 homes. The EV demand was averaged across all households,
exhibits a significant peak in the evening of about 0.9 kW at around 9:00 pm, broadly equivalent to the house-only consumption peak that occurs at similar time. Like household load, the electric vehicle load drops through the overnight period, but stays around 0.1-0.2 kW during morning and afternoon periods. This behavior in the demand profile is consistent with EVs being used as primary mode of transport, as the owners travel on their electric vehicle during daytime and plug-in it to charge upon returning to home during evening time. However, the peak load during the evening time drops quickly after 10 pm indication that some electric vehicle batteries were fully charged at this point thus reducing the load demand. The load flow results of voltage profile and losses in the network is summarized in Table VIII.

Fig. 11 - LV residential Network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Maximum Voltage (pu)</th>
<th>Minimum Voltage (pu)</th>
<th>Maximum of total Losses (kW)</th>
<th>Peak Demand (kW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Household consumption</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9786</td>
<td>2.3216</td>
<td>226.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household consumption +EV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9587</td>
<td>8.7917</td>
<td>436.516</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results clearly show that in a residential area, where EVs are the primary way of transport, domestic charging of EVs could have a major impact on the network in terms of peak demand and increasing losses in the network. This case study clearly shows that integration of railway and electrical distribution network in E-Lobster solution will support LV grid in different ways in particular through the shared asset of energy storage.

CONCLUSION

As mobility is considered one of the key issues regarding the sustainability of modern cities, the flexibility of transport solutions and a smart integrated approach gain in importance as a key point. This paper presented obtained results of the E-LOBSTER project which is aiming to provide a Rail & Grid (R+G) management system that uses a new smart soft open point to actively control the flow of energy through the DC railway network and electrical distribution grid. Railways have an enormous potential in the implementation of smart management, considering their advantages of being permanently connected to the electricity grid and interacting with it. Integrated infrastructure systems enable new energy management functions that can bring benefits to the asset owners and operators. The simulation study of Metro Lines showed that not-used barking energy will be a power source to support LV grids in different ways in E-Lobster solution. Results showed E-Lobster solution will support distribution grid to accommodate EV integration within grid to develop smart mobility urban platform concept through integrating two transport systems EVs & Metro. The E-Lobster project is an on-going project and will go through the next steps as the development continues to the demonstration in Lab and real substation environment.
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