UNIVERSITYOF **BIRMINGHAM**

University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Should Prison Architecture be Brutal, Bland or **Beautiful?**

Jewkes, Yvonne; Moran, Dominique

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Jewkes, Y & Moran, D 2014, 'Should Prison Architecture be Brutal, Bland or Beautiful?', *Scottish Justice Matters*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 8-11. http://scottishjusticematters.com/wp-content/uploads/SJM_2_1_PrisonArchitectureLo-Res.pdf

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

Moran_2014_Scottish_ Justice_ Matters

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 16. Apr. 2024

scottish justice matters

Scottish Justice Matters is a publication of the Scottish Consortium of Crime and Criminal Justice (SCCCJ). The Consortium is an alliance of organisations and individuals committed to better criminal justice policies. It works to stimulate well informed debate and to promote discussion and analysis of new ideas: it seeks a rational, humane, constructive and rights-based approach to questions of justice and crime in Scotland.

Editorial Board

Niall Campbell, Hazel Croall, Nancy Loucks, Alan Mairs, Mary Munro, Alec Spencer, Alan Staff, Cyrus Tata

Managing editor: **Mary Munro**

Consulting editor:

Hazel Croall

Thematic editors for this edition:

Sarah Armstrong and Kirsten Sams

Administrator:

Helen Rolph

If you would like to contribute to SJM or have a proposal for content, please contact editor@scottishjusticematters.com

Website: www.scottishjusticematters.com

Twitter: @SJMJournal

Magcloud: www.magcloud.com

SJM is free to read digitally but relies on grants, advertising and donations.
To make a donation please go to:
www.scottishjusticematters.com

Email us at:

info@scottishjusticematters.com

Copyright: Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 2.5 UK: Scotland license. Before using any of the contents, visit:

http://wiki.creativecommons.org/UK:_Scotland

Disclaimer: Publication of opinion in SJM does not imply endorsement by the SCCCJ. So far as we are aware, people used in the images have consented to such use.

ISSN 2052-7950 (Print)

ISSN 2052-7969 (Online)

Scottish Consortium on Crime and Criminal Justice is a registered charity [SC029241]



contents

Volume 2:1 March 2014

■ Theme: Arts and Justice	
Theme Editorial by Sarah Armstrong and Kirsten Sams	2
Evaluating Arts and Justice Initiatives: Inspiring Change by Richard Sparks And Kirstin Anderson	5
Should Prison Architecture Be Brutal, Bland or Beautiful? by Yvonne Jewkes And Dominique Moran	8
The Journey: Co-Production and Performance in Criminological Research by Lesley Mcara	11
Every Person, Every Voice: An Exploration Into the Making of Devised Theatre in Priso by Jess Thorpe	n 13
Music in Scottish Prisons: A New Direction? by Kirstin Anderson	14
Questioning Audiences: Reading and Writing in Prisons by Kate Hendry	15
Responsibility and the 'Real': Sculpture and Environmental Art (SEA) Student Placements in Criminal Justice Settings <i>by Paul Cosgrove</i>	17
Making Women Visible: Creative Practice Within Prisons by Kevin Harrison and Lorna Callery	19
Women Only Workshops Alloa: Innovation in Community Justice and Arts for Womer by Emma Wilson	ր 20
Community Justice and Arts: Connecting People by Justina Murray	21
Justice With or Justice For? by Alison Urie	23
■ International	
Lieux Fictifs: Art in Marseille Prison, A Process of Displacement and Change by Caroline Caccavale	25
■ Current issues	
Time For Change: Advocacy and Empowerment For Scotland's High Risk Young Wom by Gail Wilson	nen 28
Take Five: Five Politicians Respond to SJM's Questions	30
Public Social Partnerships: The Way Forward for Better Justice Services in Scotland? by Rob Strachan	32
Losing Count: The Missing History of Stop and Search in Scotland by Kath Murray	33
Towards a New Approach to Restorative Justice in Scotland: A Short History by Mary Munro and David Orr	35
■ Statistics	
Prison Population Forecasts: Using the Future to Predict the Past by Sarah Armstrong	36
■ History	
The Easterhouse Project: Youth, Social Justice and The Arts in Glasgow, 1968-1970 by Angela Bartie And Alistair Fraser	38
■Interview	
Founder of Evolved Arts, Wullie Sinclair talking to Nancy Loucks	39
A Day In The Life Of A Potential Juror by Andrew Tickell	42
Book Reviews	43
Scottish Justice Brief	45



Scottish Justice Matters is available on the HP MagCloud service. Here you can download and view for free on the MagCloud iPad or desktop apps. If you would prefer a printed copy, MagCloud offers a print-on-demand service.







SHOULD PRISON ARCHITECTURE BE BRUTAL, BLAND OR BEAUTIFUL?

Yvonne Jewkes and Dominique Moran

IN 2013 the Ministry of Justice for England and Wales announced proposals for a new prison holding up to 2,500 inmates, to be constructed in Wrexham, north Wales. Built on the site of a former tyre and rubber factory that previously provided employment in the area, the planned privately run facility is due to open in 2017. When Wrexham was announced as the 'winner' of the competitive process to get the new 'super-prison', many local councillors treated the news as if they had won the lottery which, in a sense, they had, as the new prison is expected to create 1,000 jobs and bring £23m a year to the local economy (BBC News, 2013). Drawings and plans of HMP Wrexham show that it will look virtually identical to other recently built prisons in England and Wales: so dull and characterless that it practically disappears.

What do these large, bland warehouses say about society's attitudes to prisoners? Differing so markedly from the heavy, decorative symbolism encrypted in the designs of nineteenth century prisons (which were modelled largely on medieval dungeons and Gothic palaces), do these new prison designs have something equally meaningful to say about the boundary between prisoners and community? Might the nondescript external appearance of new-build prisons be regarded as a visual metaphor for the loss of public

empathy for the excluded offender? Do we turn a blind eye to the plight of those confined if we cast an invisible cloak over them with architecture that might most kindly be described as 'municipal'? These questions are informing a major research project we are conducting called "'Fear-suffused environments' or potential to rehabilitate? Prison architecture, design and technology and the lived experience of carceral spaces" (ESRC Standard Grant ES/K011081/1). In this project, we will be investigating how differently Nordic countries have approached prison design compared to England and Wales. Although a benign façade might, superficially, suggest a benevolent regime, it has recently been argued that security within many countries' penal systems has risen to a level of prominence that eclipses every other consideration, including what it means to be human (Drake, 2012). To illustrate, the designers and constructors of England's most recent prison, HMP Oakwood, 'future-proofed' it (Jewkes, 2014). Although a Category C facility, holding those prisoners deemed unlikely to try to escape, the prison has been built with all the security paraphernalia of a Category B institution, designed to hold prisoners for whom the potential for escape should be made very difficult. The rationale is that, if at some point in the future, it needs to be used to accommodate high security inmates, it

Scottish Justice Matters: March 2014

can do so without the need for expensive retro-fitting of security.

As a facility that accommodates up to 2,000 prisoners relatively cheaply (that is, £13,200 per inmate per year as opposed to the England and Wales average of £21,600 per year for Cat C prisoners and £31,300 for all prisoners) the G4S run Oakwood has been lauded as a 'model' prison by the Secretary of State for Justice. In a sense, it is a showcase prison used, by the government that commissioned it, as a symbolic manifestation of its penal policy and philosophy. However, in recent months, the relatively minor difficulties that it reportedly was experiencing (passed off as 'teething troubles') have escalated significantly, causing alarm among residents living nearby. The local newspaper, Express & Star, reported in January that the prison "has dominated the lives of people here since it was first mooted more than four years ago...Now it looms over them both physically and emotionally".

The relationship between a prison's physical appearance, layout and location and public feelings about offenders and punishment is a neglected topic we will be interrogating in our research. Several commentators have focused on the traditional opposition of communities to location of prisons in their midst, based on concerns similar to those expressed by Oakwood's neighbours; that a prison lowers property values, increases levels of crime, endangers residents' safety, attracts 'undesirable' elements and damages the reputation of the area. But increasingly, this resistance is tempered or submerged by the demands of local councillors and business leaders for the building of prisons to stimulate local economic development.

In this sense, Wrexham's perceived need for the generation of profit through punishment is following the lead of many small rural towns in the US, where policymakers are actively locating prisons in 'lagging' communities. It has been suggested that policymakers in states including California, have located 'inferior' public facilities in less affluent communities because there was less 'NIMBY' protest than in prosperous neighbourhoods and because, unable to attract private commerce, these areas are seemingly more willing to accept opportunities 'discarded' by others.



Correctional facility, Nuuk, Greenland. Schmidt Hammer Lassen Architects.



Halden Fængsel, Østfold, Norway. Erik Moller Architects

A different approach

Prisons such as those in England and Wales are not the only facilities held up by the governments that commissioned them as models which communicate something of their countries' attitudes to offenders. When Halden prison in Norway was opened in 2009, its Governor told Time magazine (Adams, 2010) that Halden was proud to be called "the world's most humane prison". The first prison to employ interior designers, Halden's varied colour palettes, natural construction materials, emphasis on maximising daylight (there are no bars on any windows) and location in a scenic forest might be regarded as a physical manifestation of the Norwegian prison system's focus on "human rights and respect" (ibid).

Now, a number of other countries are following Norway's lead and building humane, sensuous, architecturally innovative facilities. In some cases, this approach goes well beyond avoiding an institutional feel and aims to design prisons that, perhaps not uncontroversially, might be described as beautiful. Architects' websites give a flavour not only of the leading-edge designs being employed but also the penal philosophies underpinning them. For example, a new state prison on the island of Falster in Denmark is to be built by architects C. F. Møller. They say:

we have deliberately created a very varied and stimulating environment of different spaces and landscape features - hopefully this will contribute to the re-socialization of the individual and to create renewed confidence in the community and mutual respect for society as a whole

(http://www.dezeen.com/2011/01/07/danish-state-prison-by-c-f-møller/)

Another Danish architectural company, Schmidt Hammer Lassen, has won the competition to design Greenland's first closed prison (Nuuk Correctional Institution) which aims to be the "world's most scenic prison". Set within a stunning, rugged landscape, the prison will exploit the "contrast between the rough and the beautiful":

The whole idea behind the project is to add qualities to the complex that will enhance rehabilitation and diminish physical and psychological violence... The thought process behind this is that access to nature – watching the clouds, birds, daylight, weather and so on, can aid in rehabilitation

(http://www.archdaily.com/375056/ny-anstalt-correctional-facility-winning-proposal-schmidt-hammer-lassen-architects/).





Closed State Prison, Falster. CF MØller Architects.



Conclusion

Whether aesthetic considerations in their environment are significant matters of concern to prisoners is a moot point, although plenty of prisoner autobiographies suggest that architecture and design are intrinsically related to the pain and harms inflicted by incarceration. Meanwhile, the heated discussion that accompanies proposals for any new prison suggests that architectural and aesthetic considerations matter to most people in the wider community. Of course, it is not just a prison's external appearance that elicits controversy, and public opinion about what a prison should look like may be complex and conflicted, with tensions surfacing between a desire to make prisons look like places of punishment and a more self-interested desire to allow them to blend unobtrusively into their surroundings. Bland, functional, and sometimes austere, the aesthetics of the new prisons in England and Wales succeed in communicating authority, efficiency and, above all, security, but do not possess qualities that might be interpreted as human or humane, far less enriching or beautiful. We wait with interest to see how Scotland's new prisons, informed by a 'communityfacing' agenda, respond to the divergent approaches of its Nordic and southern neighbours.

Adams, W. L. (2010) 'Norway builds the world's most humane prison', *Time* 10th May. http://content.time.com/time/magazine/article/0.9171,1986002,00.html

BBC News (2013) 'Firms set to cash in on Wrexham £250m super-prison', 5th September. http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-wales-northeast-wales-23965267

Drake, D. (2012) *Prisons, Punishment and the Pursuit of Security*, London: Palgrave

Jewkes, Y. (2014) 'Afterword: Abolishing the Architecture and Alphabet of Fear', in T. Mathiesen (ed.) *The Politics of Abolition, second revised edition*, London: Routledge

Yvonne Jewkes is professor at the Department of Criminology, University of Leicester.

Dominique Moran is senior lecturer at the School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham.



latest issue.

arts to change and enrich our lives

Read Scotland's multi award winning creative arts magazine by prisoners for prisoners.







