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Dear Monika Lechleitner,

Re: GER-2021-8-38 - Effect of exercise on acute senescent lymphocyte counts: a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Thank you for passing on the reviewers comments and for giving us the opportunity to revise the manuscript. We would like to thank the reviewers and section editor for their comments which helped us to improve the quality of our manuscript. We have revised our manuscript with all changes marked in red and our detailed response to each comment is described below.

We look forward to your response.

Yours sincerely

Section Editor comments:
This review and meta-analysis about the role of exercise on acute senescent lymphocyte counts is of clinical interest.

According to the statements of the reviewers there remain some minor points of concerns:
- the authors have included several immunosenescence markers, however, p16 and p21 were not included.

Answer: We did not exclude studies using these classic markers, however, the only two studies testing the effects of exercise on SA-β-gal (Wu et al., 2018) and p16INK4a (Yang et al., 2018) did so in muscle and endothelial progenitor cells, respectively, which was out of the scope of our analysis. In the immune field the markers of senescence used tend to be different to non-immune cells and focus on the cell membrane phenotype, such as expression of CD57. We now include a comment on this point in the methods section (page 6, L 149).

- did the authors consider the differences in the mode of exercise? (such as bicycle versus treadmill)

Answer: Originally we did include this variable but the results showed no difference between exercise type (treadmill vs cycling) and we decided not to show the data as the number of studies in the different categories were very unbalanced, ranging from 2 to 40 studies. We now mention this finding in the discussion section (page 13, L 347), but do not show the data, we hope this is acceptable.

- units should be added to the data

Answer: As stated in the methods (page 9, L 216) “We analysed the absolute cell count as the outcome measure, considering the standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% of confidence interval between baseline levels and post exercise time-points since the units of measure were not consistent across studies”. This allowed us to overcome the issue of different units being used in different studies and instead bases the analysis on effect size.
- in the introduction section the purpose of the review should be more clearly defined

Answer: We have revised the paragraph to clarify the aim of the study and hope that this is now satisfactory (page 6, L 149).

Reviewer 1:
My only criticism is the very generous use of the term senescence. In the context of T cell differentiation, senescence is an ill-defined term and CMV-specific T cells in a 40 yo as included in this study does not necessarily have cellular senescence and it is not clear where effector functions of T cells ends and SASP start. Although transient loss of CD28 in effector T cells is normal in an immune response and not senescent (see for example the studies by Rafi Ahmed), the authors should use the introduction to discuss this issue and give a clear operative definition for the purpose of this paper.

Answer: This is a good point and the field of immunesenescence does have distinct features from senescence in non-immune cells. We have revised the introduction in order to clarify these issues in more detail (page 4, L 78).

Also, one of the limitations of the study that should be mentioned is that senescent cells in this study are a mixed bag. CD57 TEMRAs (representing the cell type that is closest to senescence) are not specifically identified in the published papers. Moreover, TEMRAs and CD8 EM that may be negative for CD27 or CD28 are quite different differentiation stages.

Answer: This is a valid comment, and we were of course aware of this limitation. We have now added a comment on this point to the limitations section in the discussion (page 14, L 379).

A minor issue is that data are given without units. I suppose that the included papers provide absolute numbers and not percentages and the unit is per ul.

Answer: This issue was also raised by the section editor and our response is shown above.

Reviewer 2:
Authors have included several immunosenescence markers, however, were classic senescence markers like p16, p19, p21 also looked at? For e.g. studies have shown that p16 and p21 expression was higher in CD28+ CD57+ senescent T cell populations.

Answer: This point was also raised by the section editor and our response is given above.

Was the mode of aerobic exercise – bicycle vs. treadmill – normalized in anyway? In table 1, Azali Alamdari et. al., and Turner use a treadmill in their study vs. other authors that use a
bicycle. Therefore, what was the rationale/parameter for clubbing both these modes under “aerobic exercise” for the meta-analysis?

Answer: Please see the comment above to the section editor.

**In table 1, how does intensity “until exhaustion” correspond to a VO2max value?**

Answer: The studies classified as “until exhaustion” analysed the frequency of senescent lymphocytes immediately after a maximum test, which could be considered as 100% of effort, thus equivalent to VO2max intensity.
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Abstract

Background: Highly differentiated, senescent lymphocytes are pro-inflammatory and contribute to age-related systemic inflammation, inflammageing. There are several reports of acute changes in senescent lymphocyte counts post-exercise which potentially has consequences for systemic inflammation. However, there is little consensus since the studies differ with respect to participants, exercise protocols, cellular markers assessed, and the time point of assessment post-exercise.

Objective: We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to assess the impact of exercise on senescent lymphocyte counts in blood immediately, 1h and 2h post exercise.

Methods: The search was performed in PubMed (MEDLINE), Web of Science, Embase, Scopus and Cochrane, on January 11, 2021. The 13 studies selected tested aerobic exercise effects, mainly in young men. They assessed the counts of lymphocytes (CD4 T cells, CD8 T cells, NK cells), with the following immune cell marker combinations: KLRG1+, CD57+ (only NK cells), EMRA T cells (CD45RA+CCR7-CD28-CD27-), CD28-CD27-, KLRG1+CD28- and CD28-. Independent extraction of articles by 2 researchers.

Results: Standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence interval between baseline and immediately post exercise showed significant increases (SMD > 0.9, p<0.003) in all types of lymphocyte counts at 1h post exercise senescent CD4 T cells returned to baseline values (p=0.74), CD8 T cells were reduced (-0.26 [-0.41; -0.11], p=0.001), and senescent NK cells were raised (0.62 [0.14; 1.10], p=0.01) above baseline. By 2 hours post exercise, senescent CD4 T cells were reduced (-0.94 [-1.40; -0.48], p<0.001), CD8 T cells remained below baseline (-0.53 [-1.04; -0.009], p=0.04), and NK cells had returned to baseline values (-0.29 [-0.64; 0.07], p=0.11). The main determinants of heterogeneity between studies were cytomegalovirus (CMV) serostatus and the characteristics of exercise protocols. CMV+ individuals had a higher immediate lymphocytosis and 1h post lymphopenia than CMV- individuals. Exercise performed at higher intensities and shorter durations led to higher magnitude of change in senescent lymphocyte counts at all time-points.

Conclusion: The differing effects of exercise on senescent NK cells and CD4 and CD8 T cells suggest differing susceptibility to factors modulating lymphocyte extravasation such as adrenaline and exercise intensity.
Introduction

Immunosenescence, the gradual remodelling of the immune system, is an integral component of the ageing process [1]. Advanced age impairs innate immune responses, contributes to chronic low-grade inflammation (inflammageing) and reduces immunity, increasing the risk of infections, autoimmunity and overall poor health in the older adult [2,3]. Among the features associated with adaptive immunosenescence are the atrophy of the thymus, which reduces naïve T cell output, and the subsequent increased number of highly differentiated, senescent T cells in the circulation [3,4]. Senescent cells are one of the causes of detrimental effects to the body during ageing, contributing to chronic diseases, such as idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, diabetes, and osteoarthritis [5]. It has been shown recently that mice with high levels of senescent T cells, due to dysfunctional mitochondria, enter premature senescence and a broad range of age-related diseases [6]. Immunosenescence, especially T cell senescence, may therefore be a major contributor to the ageing process.

Senescent cells undergo a state of cell quiescence with permanent cell cycle arrest induced by different sources of stress and damage to the cell. These cells produce a senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP), which is composed of pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, growth factors and proteases. Cells releasing SASP alter the tissue microenvironment, affect neighboring cells, and are thus deleterious [7,8]. In the immune system there are some subtle differences. For example, T cells can have a functionally exhausted phenotype resulting from chronic stimulation, which is distinct from a senescent phenotype resulting from ageing or chronic infection. These phenotypes can be differentiated by cell surface markers [9]. We have therefore used the markers identified as relating to senescent T cells such as loss of CD28 and CD27 and expression of KLRG1 and CD57. Importantly, senescent T cells also produce a SASP that is highly pro-inflammatory and similar in content to that of non-immune senescent cells [10], therefore they are likely to contribute to inflammageing and tissue compromise during ageing.

The immunomodulatory effects of exercise have been widely explored and could be associated with the reduction in senescent cell counts [10], for example obese mice provided with an exercise wheel had reduced numbers of senescent cells in their adipose tissue [11]. Exercise has been reported to have a range of immune enhancing effects including reducing chronic low-grade inflammation [12], improving responses to vaccination [13], reducing the risk of infection [14,15], improving the immune response against viruses and bacteria and reducing the burden of latent viral infections [16–18]. Among the main physiological mechanisms mediating the immunomodulatory
benefits of exercise are the reduction in body fat and the release of anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-1RA, by the exercising muscle [12,19].

Recently, Duggal et al. [20] have reported the benefits of sustained physical activity in to old age on adaptive immune phenotype and immunosenescence. They reported that thymic health, as measured by the frequency of naïve T cells and recent thymic emigrants (RTE), was better preserved in older exercisers (cyclists) compared to inactive elders. Older cyclists also had significantly higher serum levels of the thymoprotective cytokine interleukin-7 (IL-7), higher B regulatory cell frequency, lower IL-6 and reduced Th17 polarization, all markers of an aged immune system. However, they also reported that the age-related increase in senescent T cells was not prevented in the cyclists [20].

Despite the chronic benefits of exercise being well established, whether acute exercise increases susceptibility to infection or confers immune protection is still a matter of debate [21]. However, an increase in lymphocyte counts in the blood (lymphocytosis), followed by a decrease (lymphocytopenia) post exercise has generally been reported [22]. Lymphocytes are proposed to migrate from the marginal pool, the spleen and lymph nodes in to the blood, as well as increased release from the bone marrow to produce the lymphocytosis. This migration is mediated by exercise-induced shear stress on blood vessels and catecholamines, as well as cortisol and to some extent cytokines such as IL-6 [23–25].

What is less clear is the impact of exercise on specific immune cell types and their differentiation state, notably senescent immune cells. This is important bearing in mind their pro-inflammatory nature and potential role in driving inflammageing and the aged phenotype [26]. Studies investigating senescent lymphocyte counts in circulation post-exercise have shown a variety of responses [27–31], including a reduction on leukocyte counts [32]. However, senescent, or highly differentiated lymphocytes appear to be more likely to increase in blood with exercise than lymphocytes in earlier stages of differentiation. This could be beneficial in leading to their subsequent removal by NK cells or CD8 T cells which can detect senescent cells and kill them by apoptosis [22,33].

Another important confounding factor in the various exercise intervention studies, is infection by cytomegalovirus (CMV) that increases with age and has deleterious effects on lymphocyte immunity, accelerating immunosenescence [22,34,35]. The higher baseline cell counts of senescent lymphocytes in CMV+ individuals could lead to higher magnitude of change in these individuals after exercise, and thus the CMV serostatus might be an important confounding factor between studies [27,28]. Other factors that may cause different results between studies are: the
comparison between absolute cell counts and the frequency of cells in the circulation; the different
types of lymphocytes assessed; the membrane markers used to identify cell senescence;
characteristics of the study population (age, sex and physical activity level) and the exercise protocols
used (type of exercise, volume and intensity).

To derive a consensus from the literature it is important to isolate the variety of confounding
factors among the studies and to run a pooled effects meta-analysis. Thus, we aimed to identify the
impact of acute exercise on the frequency of senescent T cells and NK cells, taking in to account
variables such as CMV serostatus, age, training status and specifics of the exercise protocols.

Methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis was registered on PROSPERO under the number
CRD42021267078, that can be assessed at https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/, and it was
reported in accordance with the recommendations of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic
Reviews and Meta Analyses (PRISMA) statement [36].

Search strategy

On January 11, 2021 the search was updated at PubMed (Medline), Web of Science, Embase, Scopus
and Cochrane. It combined the synonyms of “senescent markers” and “exercise” according to each
data base descriptor and field of search as detailed in the Supplementary material.

Eligibility criteria

Figure 1 shows the study selection process, completed by two independent reviewers. We included
studies: (1) of acute interventional exercise; (2) with no associated intervention, i.e. exercise only
group; (3) in humans from both sexes; (4) comparing resting and immediately, 1h and 2h post
exercise condition; (5) assessing any bona fide markers of immunosenescence; (6) assessing CD4+ or
CD8+ T cells, or NK lymphocytes; (7) written in English.

Immunosenescence cell markers

Markers of senescence traditionally used for non-immune cells, such as p16\(^{ink4a}\) and SA-\(\beta\)Gal have not
been used in studies of immunosenescence which focus on cell membrane markers. We therefore
selected several broadly accepted markers of immunosenescence to use in this study and the
characteristics of each of them are described below.
CD57+. CD57+ NK cells have been attributed a senescent-like phenotype due to their short telomeres and inability to proliferate [1,37,38].

CD28 CD27. CD27 and CD28 are costimulatory receptors and T cells lacking CD27 and CD28 are thought to be fully differentiated T cells exhibiting shorter telomere length [39]. When the expression of CD27 and CD28 is lost, there is no evidence of subsequent re-expression and the downregulation of these molecules are linked to dysfunctional T cells with a SASP secretome [40,41].

KLRG1+. T-lymphocytes expressing KLRG1 have impaired capacity to proliferate, yet maintain immediate effector cell capabilities such as the recognition and killing of target cells [42].

EMRA (CD45RA+CCR7 CD28 CD27). EMRA, for terminally differentiated effector memory cells re-expressing CD45RA, have the key features of cell senescence, with low proliferation response and a highly inflammatory phenotype [10]. They also have high levels of DNA damage and loss of telomerase activity [43]. However, due to their ability to proliferate under specific conditions their phenotype is distinct from non-immune senescent cells which cannot proliferate [44].

Exclusion criteria

We excluded studies that: (1) did not have original data or did not undergo peer-review such as reviews, commentaries, editorials, letter to the editors, case reports or conference abstracts; (2) assessed other senescence markers such as telomere shortening, or telomerase activity; (3) had not tested exercise effects; (4) had not assessed immunosenescence in humans; (5) assessed senescence in other cells, besides lymphocytes and NK cells; (6) were not written in English.

Data collection and data items

Data collection was performed by two independent researchers. The means and a measure of dispersion of the senescent cell counts were extracted for each subgroup within studies. Mean, standard deviation (SD) and sample number (n) were used for the meta-analyses. Standard error (SE) was converted to SD by the equation $SD = SE \times (\sqrt{n})$, if SD was not provided in the original study.

For subgroup analysis we extracted information about participants sex, age, level of training, health condition and CMV serostatus, type of lymphocytes assessed, membrane markers used, unit of measurement, and the characteristics of the exercise bout such as intensity, volume, and type of equipment.
The sample of studies was classified as young, middle aged and old according to the mean age reported (young [<30yrs], middle aged [30-40yrs] and older adults [>50yrs]).

The participants were considered “trained” when the studies classified them as elite athletes, trained, physically active, cyclists or when the VO\(_2\)max was above the 50% percentile according to their age [45]; they were considered “untrained” when the studies classified them as untrained, or doing no regular physical activity or sedentary. The studies that did not report the participant’s physical activity level or reported a too wide range of physical activity level among their participants were excluded from this subgroup analysis. Individuals undergoing exercise chronic intervention were considered trained [32,46]; while the individuals undergoing non-exercise intervention in Wang et. al. [32] were classified as untrained and the individuals undergoing non-exercise intervention in Azali Alamdari et al. [46] were excluded for training status analysis, since they were athletes at baseline.

Regarding health status, only Curran et al. [47] have included individuals with type I diabetes, while the other studies only included healthy participants.

The exercise intensity was classified according to the percentage of VO\(_2\)max described by the American college of Sports Medicine [48], in which 46-63% is moderate, 64-90% is vigorous and >91% is near maximum. The intensity reported on Ingram et al. study [49], in watts was estimated as 73.7% of maximum according to data from participants of a similar age. Another study tested different protocols according to their lactate threshold (5% under LT, 5% above LT and 15% above LT) in the same individuals and each of them were included in the meta-analysis as a separate study [27]. The intensity was also converted to percentage according to Farina et al. [50], in which 5% <LT was considered 61.1%, 5%>LT was considered 71.1%, and 15% >LT was considered 81.1%. The studies reporting percentage of estimated maximum power or percentage of ventilatory threshold work rate, instead of VO\(_2\)max, were classified for subgroup analysis as these markers were proportionally equivalent.

The studies applying incremental maximum effort tests and other protocols expected to last less than 20 min were considered short, the ones applying 30 min duration were considered moderate and above this they were considered long duration.

Only Azali Alamdari et al.[46] had a control group, and thus, the change of control group was subtracted from the exercise change to increase the robustness of the analysis. Although Turner et al. [51] had also reported a control group, they did not present the effects of the control period on
CD28-CD27- markers, in this way the control group was not considered for analysis. Two studies presented acute exercise effects before and after a variety of chronic interventions [32,46] and thus we included only their post intervention session to avoid sample overlapping in the analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

We analysed the absolute cell count as the outcome measure, considering the standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% of confidence interval between baseline levels and post exercise time-points since the units of measure were not consistent across studies.

The 3 main meta-analyses, for each time point (immediately, 1h and 2h post exercise) and the subgroup analyses were performed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software, version 3.3.070. When there was statistical significance for heterogeneity, randomized effect models were selected and when there was no significant heterogeneity, fixed effects were applied. The inconsistency between studies was reported as a percentage ($I^2$), based on difference between expected heterogeneity (df) and true heterogeneity (Q-value).

For subgroup analysis we tested the influence of the following confounding factors: sex (men and women); age (young [<30yrs], middle aged [30-40yrs] and older adults [>50yrs]); type of lymphocytes (CD4+, CD8+ and NK); type of senescence marker (KLRG1+, CD57+, EMRA [CD45RA+CCR7-CD28-CD27-], CD28-CD27-, KLRG1+CD28- and CD28-), level of training (trained and untrained); health condition (healthy and diseased); CMV serostatus (CMV+ and CMV-); exercise intensity (moderate, vigorous, near maximum); and exercise volume (short, moderate and long). Q tests were applied to group comparisons, considering 95% confidence.

Egger's tests were performed to check the risk of publication bias in each meta-analysis [52].

**Results**

We included thirteen studies [27–29,32,46,47,49,51,53–57] testing acute aerobic exercise effects on senescent T lymphocytes and NK cell counts (shown in Figure 1). It is noteworthy that some studies had to be excluded due to the absence of specific description of absolute senescent lymphocyte counts [30,58–64]. Most studies included, reported their results among different subgroups of individuals with different sex, ages, CMV serostatus, types of exercise protocols and time points of analysis that were analyzed as a sub-study.

***please insert Figure 1 here***

**Study characteristics**
Table 1 shows the characteristics of the studies included. Only Curran et al.[47] included a type 1 diabetes group, while the other studies only included healthy participants. While twelve studies tested exercise effects on males, just one tested exercise effects on participants from both sexes [27], and thus comparisons between men and women were not possible in subgroup analysis. One study included middle aged [28], two included older adults [28,29] and all of them (thirteen) tested young adults. Our analysis reported the effect of exercise on T CD4+, T CD8+ and NK cell counts. All studies tested the effects of aerobic exercise, the majority of them used bicycle, and a few used treadmill [46,51].

Three of the studies included young adults [28,29,30]. The effect of exercise on senescent CD4+ T cells was significant in two studies [28,29], while the effect on senescent CD8+ T cells was only significant in one study [30]. However, all those analyses were heterogeneous, reinforcing the need for further subgroup analyses. Furthermore, the analysis of senescent CD4 T cells and CD8 T cell counts had significant risk of bias, evidencing that studies with low precision conducted the main effects.

Table 2 shows no effect of age (p= 0.46) or training status (p=0.35) on outcomes immediately post exercise. On the other hand, the intensity and duration of exercise protocols and CMV status influenced the post exercise senescent lymphocyte counts (Table 2). Specifically, the higher magnitude of increase in senescent lymphocytes were seen in the maximum intensity and short duration protocols (SMD 1.81 [1.45; 2.1], p<0.001) compared to the others (SMD <0.85, p<0.05).

There was a trend to higher senescent lymphocyte counts in CMV positive participants compared to CMV- (p=0.09). The CMV status analysis for each subgroup of T lymphocyte showed higher increase in senescent CD8+ T cells for CMV+ (SMD 1.60 [ 0.73; 2.46], p<0.001) compared to CMV- (SMD 0.58 [0.33; 0.83], p<0.001), with no difference for senescent CD4+ T cells regarding CMV status (SMD CMV+: 0.42 [0.02; 0.82], p=0.038 and CMV−: 0.50 [0.18; 0.82], p=0.002).

*Please, insert Figure 2 here*

Lymphocyte counts one hour post exercise. Figure 3 shows senescent CD8+ T cell counts were lower compared to baseline levels (SMD -0.28 [-0.44; -0.13], p<0.001), while CD4+ T cell counts returned to baseline levels (SMD -0.13 [-0.37; 0.11], p=0.28) and NK cells were still above baseline values (SMD

***please insert Table 1 here***

**Syntheses of the results**

**Lymphocyte counts immediately post exercise.** Figure 2 shows there were significant increases on senescent CD4 T cells (SMD 0.96 [0.67; 1.25], p<0.001), CD8 T cells (SMD 1.26 [0.93; 1.59], p<0.001) and NK cells counts immediately post exercise (SMD 1.04 [0.35; 1.72], p=0.003). However, all those analyses were heterogeneous, reinforcing the need for further subgroup analyses. Furthermore, the analysis of senescent CD4 T cells and CD8 T cell counts had significant risk of bias, evidencing that studies with low precision conducted the main effects.

Table 2 shows no effect of age (p= 0.46) or training status (p=0.35) on outcomes immediately post exercise. On the other hand, the intensity and duration of exercise protocols and CMV status influenced the post exercise senescent lymphocyte counts (Table 2). Specifically, the higher magnitude of increase in senescent lymphocytes were seen in the maximum intensity and short duration protocols (SMD 1.81 [1.45; 2.1], p<0.001) compared to the others (SMD <0.85, p<0.05).

There was a trend to higher senescent lymphocyte counts in CMV positive participants compared to CMV- (p=0.09). The CMV status analysis for each subgroup of T lymphocyte showed higher increase in senescent CD8+ T cells for CMV+ (SMD 1.60 [ 0.73; 2.46], p<0.001) compared to CMV- (SMD 0.58 [0.33; 0.83], p<0.001), with no difference for senescent CD4+ T cells regarding CMV status (SMD CMV+: 0.42 [0.02; 0.82], p=0.038 and CMV−: 0.50 [0.18; 0.82], p=0.002).

**Lymphocyte counts one hour post exercise.** Figure 3 shows senescent CD8+ T cell counts were lower compared to baseline levels (SMD -0.28 [-0.44; -0.13], p<0.001), while CD4+ T cell counts returned to baseline levels (SMD -0.13 [-0.37; 0.11], p=0.28) and NK cells were still above baseline values (SMD
These analyses were homogeneous (p>0.53, I²=0%), confirming that each of these senescent cells have very consistent response 1h post exercise across the different studies.

Table 2 shows there was a significant reduction in the senescent lymphocyte count only in CMV+ and not CMV- individuals, with significant difference between groups. Regarding each subgroup of T lymphocyte there was no significant reduction for senescent CD4+ T or CD8+ cells in CMV- (SMD CD4+: 0 [-0.30; 0.31], p=0.97 and CD8+: -0.13 [-0.36; 0.09], p=0.25) while there was a trend of senescent CD4+ reduction in CMV+ individuals (SMD -0.35 [-0.74; 0.04], p=0.075), and reduction of senescent CD8+ T cells in CMV+ (SMD -0.46 [-0.75; -0.18] p=0.001). Only vigorous intensity and long duration exercise protocols led to significant reduction of senescent lymphocytes (SMD -0.5 [-0.8; -0.2], p<0.001) while the other intensities and durations did not vary significantly (p>0.16).

Lymphocyte counts two hours post exercise. Figure 4 shows senescent CD4 T cells were reduced (SMD -0.94 [-1.40; -0.48], p<0.001), CD8 T cells remained below baseline (SMD -0.53 [-1.04; -0.009], p=0.04), and NK cells had returned to baseline values (SMD -0.29 [-0.64; 0.07], p=0.11). There was significant risk of publication bias for the analysis of senescent CD4 T cells (Egger test p-value <0.001), evidencing that studies with low precision conducted the main effects in this analysis.

All these three meta-analyses were heterogeneous, however, due to the low number of subgroups in these analyses, only training status, intensity and volume of exercise protocols were analyzed. No difference between trained and untrained individuals was noticed (p=0.81) and only maximum intensity and short duration protocols reduced senescent cell counts (SMD -0.7 [-1; -0.4], p<0.001), however, it is noteworthy there was very low number of studies in the other categories (Table 2).

Discussion

The main findings of the present meta-analysis were the significant increase in senescent CD8+, CD4+ and NK cell counts immediately post exercise followed by a reduction in senescent CD8+ T cells at 1h and 2h post exercise, a reduction in senescent CD4+ T cells at 2h post exercise and maintenance of increased NK senescent cells at 1h post exercise with a return to baseline at 2h post exercise (Figure 5). Although there is no consensus about the exact role of these redistributions of senescent lymphocytes post exercise, it has been proposed that senescent lymphocytes are preferentially
recruited for immune surveillance and removal by NK and CD8+ T cells, resulting in an exercise-induced senolytic effect [22].

In fact, it is known that T-cells with high cytotoxic capabilities and tissue migration potential, which are characteristics of highly differentiated lymphocytes, are preferentially mobilised by acute stress and exercise [65]. These lymphocytes could be recruited due to their high β2-adrenergic receptor expression [66] even though they have impaired replicability and co-stimulatory potential. Furthermore, in mice, NK cells are the main mediator of the antitumor effects of exercise. These effects depend on the mobilisation of these cells [67], which are the most responsive lymphocyte subset to acute exercise due to their high β-adrenergic receptor expression [68]. Mobilisation of the senescent, less functional form of these cells could be beneficial if they are then removed, improving the overall quality of the lymphocyte pool.

Following their mobilisation it is possible that T-lymphocytes egressing to the peripheral tissues may experience a pro-apoptotic environment [69], as Kruger et. al. [70] showed the number of highly differentiated CD3+ T cells remained reduced 3h and 24h post exercise. Another possibility could be the return of senescent cells to lymph nodes but most of these cells lack CCR7, a secondary lymphoid organ-homing marker, this is unlikely.

In theory, when senescent T-lymphocytes undergo apoptosis, a subsequent feedback loop could increase the output of naïve T-lymphocytes from the thymus, restoring the peripheral T-lymphocyte pool [22,59]. In fact, naïve lymphocytes counts are increasing 1h post exercise [30,59]. Furthermore, older adults involved in regular exercise have higher serum levels of the thymoprotective IL-7 and higher frequency of RTE than sedentary controls [20], which could be stimulated by senescent lymphocyte clearance post each exercise bout.

In an opposite way, exercise-induced hematopoiesis [25,71], could also affect the thymic feedback loop, increasing the stimuli for senescent lymphocyte removal. Cross-sectional studies showed physically active individuals have lower markers of senescent T lymphocytes [10,20] and master athletes have longer lymphocyte telomere length than untrained controls [72]. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that highly differentiated, senescent lymphocytes are less sensitive to apoptotic signals [73,74], and the exercise effects on senescent cell apoptosis is still unknown.

Most studies tested the influence of CMV serostatus on exercise responses. CMV reactivation can be triggered through catecholamine-responsive elements [75] and stress hormone levels, which are known to correlate strongly with CMV reactivation in astronauts before and after spaceflight
Thus, it is believed that CMV+ individuals have reduced sensitivity to β-adrenergic stimulation and decreased β2-adrenergic receptor expression to prevent CMV reactivation [58]. However, a reduced β-adrenergic sensitivity of T cells in CMV+ individuals is not supported by our analysis, and in fact we saw a larger magnitude of changes in CMV+ individuals. Thus, we believe the expected higher baseline senescent lymphocyte counts in CMV+ individuals [28,77], especially for senescent CD8+ T cells, explains the higher magnitude of change with exercise in this population.

There was a greater magnitude of increase in senescent lymphocyte counts immediately post maximum intensity and short duration protocols and greater magnitude of reduction 2h post exercise compared to lower intensities and longer duration protocols. These differences could be explained by higher sympathetic activation and sustained release of epinephrine reported in higher intensities protocols [70,78,79]. However, there is also evidence that cortisol affects lymphocyte counts during exercise [70,80–83]. Exercise of high intensity leads to greater release of cortisol in to the blood and for a longer time and may explain the reduced cell counts at later time points since cortisol induces apoptosis in lymphocytes [84]. We also considered the type of exercise and whether this may make a difference to the senescent cell response. However, we found no difference at any of the time points between treadmill and cycling protocols (data not shown), though this is with the caveat that the number of studies per subgroup category varied greatly.

It is unlikely that IL-6 released by muscle cells during exercise [85], explains the difference between exercise protocols. It is known, that IL-6 attracts lymphocytes to the circulation together with β-adrenergic signaling during exercise [67], however, there is a higher release of IL-6 within exercise protocols with higher energetic demand, such as the higher volume and during regimens [85–87] which do not agree with our findings.

Finally, exercise hypoxia may explain at least part of the changes in T lymphocytes and NK counts with exercise, possibly mediated by the same neuroendocrinological factors released by other stress conditions (i.e.: catecholamines, cortisol) [57,88].

Limitations

The first limitation of this study was that most studies included young individuals. The unbalanced subgroup analysis suggested there is higher magnitude of change on senescent counts in young than older or middle-aged individuals immediately and 1h post exercise. Whether it is a true effect is unclear, it could be explained by reduced β2-adrenergic receptor sensitivity with ageing [89], which in
turn increases the threshold for catecholamine-induced lymphocyte recruitment. In this way, it is important to confirm these results with a larger sample of older adults.

Comparisons between men and women were also not possible due to the lack of studies in women. An exploratory analysis showed immediately post exercise there was a large (p<0.001) increase of senescent lymphocytes in men (1.23 [0.99; 1.47], p<0.001, k=44) compared to studies with mixed sex samples (0.48 [0.19; 0.78], p<0.001, k=6), while there was no difference between these subgroups 1h post. Future studies should test to what extent the results in men are also applied to women.

Another limitation was the lack of a control group, i.e. without exercise, within the original studies which precluded a proper risk of bias assessment. In the other hand, the comparison of the same participants along time removes the between subjects’ effects, which in turn contributes to the isolation of exercise effects. Furthermore, we explored possible influences of the confounding factors in subgroup analysis. At last, it is noteworthy that only two studies investigated exercise effects on senescent NK cell counts, with is a limitation of the literature and future studies should fill these gaps to strengthen knowledge in the field.

Lastly, one additional issue was the use of markers to identify the different stages of T cell differentiation and their relation to T cell senescence. Thus, no studies enumerated CD57 TEMRA cells, the ones that are closest to a senescent phenotype.

Conclusions

Senescent lymphocyte counts change significantly in the acute response to aerobic exercise. However, a complex picture has emerged where senescent CD8+ cells had a higher immediate lymphocytosis and subsequent lymphopenia (1h and 2h post), senescent CD4+ T cells followed a similar profile but with lower magnitude of change, and senescent NK cells increased but had a delayed return to baseline levels. There was higher magnitude of lymphocytosis and lymphocytopenia for CMV+ individuals and near maximum intensity and short duration protocols. The differing effects of exercise on senescent NK cells and CD4+ and CD8+ T cells suggest differing susceptibility to factors modulating lymphocyte extravasation such as adrenaline that is also regulated by exercise intensity. More studies are needed for understanding exercise effects on senescent NK cells, in older adults and in women.
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Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Flowchart of study selection.

Fig. 2. Forest plot of standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence interval for the overall effect immediately post exercise compared to baseline values. CMV: Cytomegalovirus; H-AT: hypoxic-absolute exercise; HC: hypobaric control; H-C: hypoxic resting; HE: hypobaric exercise; HI: High intensity; H-RT: hypoxic-relative exercise; HSV1: herpes simplex virus 1; LL: Lower limit of 95% confidence interval; LT: Lactate threshold; MI: Moderate intensity. NC: normobaric control; N-C: normoxic resting; NE: normobaric exercise; N-T: normoxic exercise; TD1: Type 1 diabetes; TR: Trained; UL: Upper limit of 95% confidence interval; UN: Untrained.

Fig. 3. Forest plot of standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence interval for the overall effect 1h post exercise compared to baseline values. CMV: Cytomegalovirus; H-AT: hypoxic-absolute exercise; HC: hypobaric control; H-C: hypoxic resting; HE: hypobaric exercise; HI: High intensity; H-RT: hypoxic-relative exercise; HSV1: herpes simplex virus 1; LL: Lower limit of 95% confidence interval; LT: Lactate threshold; MI: Moderate intensity. NC: normobaric control; N-C: normoxic resting; NE: normobaric exercise; N-T: normoxic exercise; TD1: Type 1 diabetes; TR: Trained; UL: Upper limit of 95% confidence interval; UN: Untrained.

Fig. 4. Forest plot of standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence interval for the overall effect 2h post exercise compared to baseline values. CMV: Cytomegalovirus; H-AT: hypoxic-absolute exercise; HC: hypobaric control; H-C: hypoxic resting; HE: hypobaric exercise; HI: High intensity; H-RT: hypoxic-relative exercise; HSV1: herpes simplex virus 1; LL: Lower limit of 95% confidence interval; LT: Lactate threshold; MI: Moderate intensity. NC: normobaric control; N-C: normoxic resting; NE: normobaric exercise; N-T: normoxic exercise; TD1: Type 1 diabetes; TR: Trained; UL: Upper limit of 95% confidence interval; UN: Untrained.

Fig. 5. The figure summarizes the lymphocytes count fold change from baseline to each time point for the senescent cells: CD8 T cells (in blue), CD4 T cell (in dark pink) and NK cells (in light pink and black centre). The position of the cells represents the SMD of each meta-analysis at each time-point post exercise.
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Abstract

Background: Highly differentiated, senescent lymphocytes are pro-inflammatory and contribute to age-related systemic inflammation, inflammageing. There are several reports of acute changes in senescent lymphocyte counts post-exercise which potentially has consequences for systemic inflammation. However, there is little consensus since the studies differ with respect to participants, exercise protocols, cellular markers assessed, and the time point of assessment post-exercise.

Objective: We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to assess the impact of exercise on senescent lymphocyte counts in blood immediately, 1h and 2h post exercise.

Methods: The search was performed in PubMed (MEDLINE), Web of Science, Embase, Scopus and Cochrane, on January 11, 2021. The 13 studies selected tested aerobic exercise effects, mainly in young men. They assessed the counts of lymphocytes (CD4 T cells, CD8 T cells, NK cells), with the following immune cell marker combinations: KLRG1+, CD57+ (only NK cells), EMRA T cells (CD45RA+CCR7-CD28-CD27-), CD28-CD27-, KLRG1+CD28- and CD28-. Independent extraction of articles by 2 researchers.

Results: Standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence interval between baseline and post-exercise showed significant increases (SMD > 0.9, p<0.003) in all types of lymphocyte counts immediately post exercise. At 1h post exercise senescent CD4 T cells returned to baseline values (p=0.74), CD8 T cells were reduced (-0.26 [-0.41; -0.11], p=0.001), and senescent NK cells were raised (0.62 [0.14; 1.10], p=0.01) above baseline. By 2 hours post exercise, senescent CD4 T cells were reduced (-0.94 [-1.40; -0.48], p<0.001), CD8 T cells remained below baseline (-0.53 [-1.04; -0.009], p=0.04), and NK cells had returned to baseline values (-0.29 [-0.64; 0.07], p=0.11). The main determinants of heterogeneity between studies were cytomegalovirus (CMV) serostatus and the characteristics of exercise protocols. CMV+ individuals had a higher immediate lymphocytosis and 1h post lymphopenia than CMV- individuals. Exercise performed at higher intensities and shorter durations led to higher magnitude of change in senescent lymphocyte counts at all time-points.

Conclusion: The differing effects of exercise on senescent NK cells and CD4 and CD8 T cells suggest differing susceptibility to factors modulating lymphocyte extravasation such as adrenaline and exercise intensity.
Introduction

Immunosenescence, the gradual remodelling of the immune system, is an integral component of the ageing process [1]. Advanced age impairs innate immune responses, contributes to chronic low-grade inflammation (inflammageing) and reduces immunity, increasing the risk of infections, autoimmunity and overall poor health in the older adult [2,3]. Among the features associated with adaptive immunosenescence are the atrophy of the thymus, which reduces naïve T cell output, and the subsequent increased number of highly differentiated, senescent T cells in the circulation [3,4]. Senescent cells are one of the causes of detrimental effects to the body during ageing, contributing to chronic diseases, such as idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, diabetes, and osteoarthritis [5]. It has been shown recently that mice with high levels of senescent T cells, due to dysfunctional mitochondria, enter premature senescence and a broad range of age-related diseases [6]. Immunosenescence, especially T cell senescence, may therefore be a major contributor to the ageing process.

Senescent cells undergo a state of cell quiescence with permanent cell cycle arrest induced by different sources of stress and damage to the cell. These cells produce a senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP), which is composed of pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, growth factors and proteases. Cells releasing SASP alter the tissue microenvironment, affect neighboring cells, and are thus deleterious [7,8]. In the immune system there are some subtle differences. For example, T cells can have a functionally exhausted phenotype resulting from chronic stimulation, which is distinct from a senescent phenotype resulting from ageing or chronic infection. These phenotypes can be differentiated by cell surface markers [9]. We have therefore used the markers identified as relating to senescent T cells such as loss of CD28 and CD27 and expression of KLRG1 and CD57. Importantly, senescent T cells also produce a SASP that is highly pro-inflammatory and similar in content to that of non-immune senescent cells [10], therefore they are likely to contribute to inflammageing and tissue compromise during ageing.

The immunomodulatory effects of exercise have been widely explored and could be associated with the reduction in senescent cell counts [10], for example obese mice provided with an exercise wheel had reduced numbers of senescent cells in their adipose tissue [11]. Exercise has been reported to have a range of immune enhancing effects including reducing chronic low-grade inflammation [12], improving responses to vaccination [13], reducing the risk of infection [14,15], improving the immune response against viruses and bacteria and reducing the burden of latent viral infections [16–18]. Among the main physiological mechanisms mediating the immunomodulatory
benefits of exercise are the reduction in body fat and the release of anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-1RA, by the exercising muscle [12,19].

Recently, Duggal et al. [20] have reported the benefits of sustained physical activity in to old age on adaptive immune phenotype and immunosenescence. They reported that thymic health, as measured by the frequency of naïve T cells and recent thymic emigrants (RTE), was better preserved in older exercisers (cyclists) compared to inactive elders. Older cyclists also had significantly higher serum levels of the thymoprotective cytokine interleukin-7 (IL-7), higher B regulatory cell frequency, lower IL-6 and reduced Th17 polarization, all markers of an aged immune system. However, they also reported that the age-related increase in senescent T cells was not prevented in the cyclists [20].

Despite the chronic benefits of exercise being well established, whether acute exercise increases susceptibility to infection or confers immune protection is still a matter of debate [21]. However, an increase in lymphocyte counts in the blood (lymphocytosis), followed by a decrease (lymphocytopenia) post exercise has generally been reported [22]. Lymphocytes are proposed to migrate from the marginal pool, the spleen and lymph nodes in to the blood, as well as increased release from the bone marrow to produce the lymphocytosis. This migration is mediated by exercise-induced shear stress on blood vessels and catecholamines, as well as cortisol and to some extent cytokines such as IL-6 [23–25].

What is less clear is the impact of exercise on specific immune cell types and their differentiation state, notably senescent immune cells. This is important bearing in mind their pro-inflammatory nature and potential role in driving inflamming and the aged phenotype [26]. Studies investigating senescent lymphocyte counts in circulation post-exercise have shown a variety of responses [27–31], including a reduction on leukocyte counts [32]. However, senescent, or highly differentiated lymphocytes appear to be more likely to increase in blood with exercise than lymphocytes in earlier stages of differentiation. This could be beneficial in leading to their subsequent removal by NK cells or CD8 T cells which can detect senescent cells and kill them by apoptosis [22,33].

Another important confounding factor in the various exercise intervention studies, is infection by cytomegalovirus (CMV) that increases with age and has deleterious effects on lymphocyte immunity, accelerating immunosenescence [22,34,35]. The higher baseline cell counts of senescent lymphocytes in CMV+ individuals could lead to higher magnitude of change in these individuals after exercise, and thus the CMV serostatus might be an important confounding factor between studies [27,28]. Other factors that may cause different results between studies are: the
comparison between absolute cell counts and the frequency of cells in the circulation; the different types of lymphocytes assessed; the membrane markers used to identify cell senescence; characteristics of the study population (age, sex and physical activity level) and the exercise protocols used (type of exercise, volume and intensity).

To derive a consensus from the literature it is important to isolate the variety of confounding factors among the studies and to run a pooled effects meta-analysis. Thus, we aimed to identify the impact of acute exercise on the frequency of senescent T cells and NK cells, taking into account variables such as CMV serostatus, age, training status and specifics of the exercise protocols.

Methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis was registered on PROSPERO under the number CRD42021267078, that can be assessed at https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/, and it was reported in accordance with the recommendations of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses (PRISMA) statement [36].

Search strategy

On January 11, 2021 the search was updated at PubMed (Medline), Web of Science, Embase, Scopus and Cochrane. It combined the synonyms of “senescent markers” and “exercise” according to each data base descriptor and field of search as detailed in the Supplementary material.

Eligibility criteria

Figure 1 shows the study selection process, completed by two independent reviewers. We included studies: (1) of acute interventional exercise; (2) with no associated intervention, i.e. exercise only group; (3) in humans from both sexes; (4) comparing resting and immediately, 1h and 2h post exercise condition; (5) assessing any bona fide markers of immunosenescence; (6) assessing CD4+ or CD8+ T cells, or NK lymphocytes; (7) written in English.

Immunosenescence cell markers

Markers of senescence traditionally used for non-immune cells, such as p16\(^\text{ink4a}\) and SA-\(\beta\)-Gal have not been used in studies of immunosenescence which focus on cell membrane markers. We therefore selected several broadly accepted markers of immunosenescence to use in this study and the characteristics of each of them are described below.
**CD57**+. CD57+ NK cells have been attributed a senescent-like phenotype due to their short telomeres and inability to proliferate [1,37,38].

**CD28 CD27**. CD27 and CD28 are costimulatory receptors and T cells lacking CD27 and CD28 are thought to be fully differentiated T cells exhibiting shorter telomere length [39]. When the expression of CD27 and CD28 is lost, there is no evidence of subsequent re-expression and the downregulation of these molecules are linked to dysfunctional T cells with a SASP secretome [40,41].

**KLRG1**+. T-lymphocytes expressing KLRG1 have impaired capacity to proliferate, yet maintain immediate effector cell capabilities such as the recognition and killing of target cells [42].

**EMRA (CD45RA⁺CCR7 CD28 CD27)**. EMRA, for terminally differentiated effector memory cells re-expressing CD45RA, have the key features of cell senescence, with low proliferation response and a highly inflammatory phenotype [10]. They also have high levels of DNA damage and loss of telomerase activity [43]. However, due to their ability to proliferate under specific conditions their phenotype is distinct from non-immune senescent cells which cannot proliferate [44].

**Exclusion criteria**

We excluded studies that: (1) did not have original data or did not undergo peer-review such as reviews, commentaries, editorials, letter to the editors, case reports or conference abstracts; (2) assessed other senescence markers such as telomere shortening, or telomerase activity; (3) had not tested exercise effects; (4) had not assessed immunosenescence in humans; (5) assessed senescence in other cells, besides lymphocytes and NK cells; (6) were not written in English.

**Data collection and data items**

Data collection was performed by two independent researchers. The means and a measure of dispersion of the senescent cell counts were extracted for each subgroup within studies. Mean, standard deviation (SD) and sample number (n) were used for the meta-analyses. Standard error (SE) was converted to SD by the equation $SD = SE \times (\sqrt{n})$, if SD was not provided in the original study.

For subgroup analysis we extracted information about participants sex, age, level of training, health condition and CMV serostatus, type of lymphocytes assessed, membrane markers used, unit of measurement, and the characteristics of the exercise bout such as intensity, volume, and type of equipment.
The sample of studies was classified as young, middle aged and old according to the mean age reported (young [<30yrs], middle aged [30-40yrs] and older adults [>50yrs]).

The participants were considered “trained” when the studies classified them as elite athletes, trained, physically active, cyclists or when the \( \text{VO}_2\text{max} \) was above the 50% percentile according to their age [45]; they were considered “untrained” when the studies classified them as untrained, or doing no regular physical activity or sedentary. The studies that did not report the participant’s physical activity level or reported a too wide range of physical activity level among their participants were excluded from this subgroup analysis. Individuals undergoing exercise chronic intervention were considered trained [32,46]; while the individuals undergoing non-exercise intervention in Wang et. al. [32] were classified as untrained and the individuals undergoing non-exercise intervention in Azali Alamdari et al. [46] were excluded for training status analysis, since they were athletes at baseline.

Regarding health status, only Curran et al. [47] have included individuals with type I diabetes, while the other studies only included healthy participants.

The exercise intensity was classified according to the percentage of \( \text{VO}_2\text{max} \) described by the American college of Sports Medicine [48], in which 46-63% is moderate, 64-90% is vigorous and >91% is near maximum. The intensity reported on Ingram et al. study [49], in watts was estimated as 73.7% of maximum according to data from participants of a similar age. Another study tested different protocols according to their lactate threshold (5% under LT, 5% above LT and 15% above LT) in the same individuals and each of them were included in the meta-analysis as a separate study [27]. The intensity was also converted to percentage according to Farina et al. [50], in which 5% <LT was considered 61.1%, 5%>LT was considered 71.1%, and 15% >LT was considered 81.1%. The studies reporting percentage of estimated maximum power or percentage of ventilatory threshold work rate, instead of \( \text{VO}_2\text{max} \), were classified for subgroup analysis as these markers were proportionally equivalent.

The studies applying incremental maximum effort tests and other protocols expected to last less than 20 min were considered short, the ones applying 30 min duration were considered moderate and above this they were considered long duration.

Only Azali Alamdari et al. [46] had a control group, and thus, the change of control group was subtracted from the exercise change to increase the robustness of the analysis. Although Turner et al. [51] had also reported a control group, they did not present the effects of the control period on
CD28-CD27- markers, in this way the control group was not considered for analysis. Two studies presented acute exercise effects before and after a variety of chronic interventions [32,46] and thus we included only their post intervention session to avoid sample overlapping in the analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

We analysed the absolute cell count as the outcome measure, considering the standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% of confidence interval between baseline levels and post exercise time-points since the units of measure were not consistent across studies.

The 3 main meta-analyses, for each time point (immediately, 1h and 2h post exercise) and the subgroup analyses were performed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software, version 3.3.070. When there was statistical significance for heterogeneity, randomized effect models were selected and when there was no significant heterogeneity, fixed effects were applied. The inconsistency between studies was reported as a percentage ($I^2$), based on difference between expected heterogeneity (df) and true heterogeneity (Q-value).

For subgroup analysis we tested the influence of the following confounding factors: sex (men and women); age (young [<30yrs], middle aged [30-40yrs] and older adults [>50yrs]); type of lymphocytes (CD4+, CD8+ and NK); type of senescence marker (KLRG1+, CD57+, EMRA [CD45RA+CCR7-CD28-CD27-], CD28-CD27-, KLRG1+CD28- and CD28+), level of training (trained and untrained); health condition (healthy and diseased); CMV serostatus (CMV+ and CMV-); exercise intensity (moderate, vigorous, near maximum); and exercise volume (short, moderate and long). Q tests were applied to group comparisons, considering 95% confidence.

Egger’s tests were performed to check the risk of publication bias in each meta-analysis [52].

**Results**

We included thirteen studies [27–29,32,46,47,49,51,53–57] testing acute aerobic exercise effects on senescent T lymphocytes and NK cell counts (shown in Figure 1). It is noteworthy that some studies had to be excluded due to the absence of specific description of absolute senescent lymphocyte counts [30,58–64]. Most studies included, reported their results among different subgroups of individuals with different sex, ages, CMV serostatus, types of exercise protocols and time points of analysis that were analyzed as a sub-study.

***please insert Figure 1 here***

**Study characteristics**
Table 1 shows the characteristics of the studies included. Only Curran et al. [47] included a type I diabetes group, while the other studies only included healthy participants. While twelve studies tested exercise effects on males, just one tested exercise effects on participants from both sexes [27], and thus comparisons between men and women were not possible in subgroup analysis. One study included middle aged [28], two included older adults [28,29] and all of them (thirteen) tested young adults. Our analysis reported the effect of exercise on T CD4+, T CD8+ and NK cell counts. All studies tested the effects of aerobic exercise, the majority of them used bicycle, and a few used treadmill [46,51].

***please insert Table 1 here***

**Syntheses of the results**

**Lymphocyte counts immediately post exercise.** Figure 2 shows there were significant increases on senescent CD4 T cells (SMD 0.96 [0.67; 1.25], p<0.001), CD8 T cells (SMD 1.26 [0.93; 1.59], p<0.001) and NK cells counts immediately post exercise (SMD 1.04 [0.35; 1.72], p=0.003). However, all those analyses were heterogeneous, reinforcing the need for further subgroup analyses. Furthermore, the analysis of senescent CD4 T cells and CD8 T cell counts had significant risk of bias, evidencing that studies with low precision conduced the main effects.

Table 2 shows no effect of age (p= 0.46) or training status (p=0.35) on outcomes immediately post exercise. On the other hand, the intensity and duration of exercise protocols and CMV status influenced the post exercise senescent lymphocyte counts (Table 2). Specifically, the higher magnitude of increase in senescent lymphocytes were seen in the maximum intensity and short duration protocols (SMD 1.81 [1.45; 2.1], p<0.001) compared to the others (SMD <0.85, p<0.05). There was a trend to higher senescent lymphocyte counts in CMV positive participants compared to CMV- (p=0.09). The CMV status analysis for each subgroup of T lymphocyte showed higher increase in senescent CD8+ T cells for CMV+ (SMD 1.60 [0.73; 2.46], p<0.001) compared to CMV- (SMD 0.58 [0.33; 0.83], p<0.001), with no difference for senescent CD4+ T cells regarding CMV status (SMD CMV+: 0.42 [0.02; 0.82], p=0.038 and CMV-: 0.50 [0.18; 0.82], p=0.002).

*Please, insert Figure 2 here*

**Lymphocyte counts one hour post exercise.** Figure 3 shows senescent CD8+ T cell counts were lower compared to baseline levels (SMD -0.28 [-0.44; -0.13], p<0.001), while CD4+ T cell counts returned to baseline levels (SMD -0.13 [-0.37; 0.11], p=0.28) and NK cells were still above baseline values (SMD
These analyses were homogeneous (p>0.53, I²=0%), confirming that each of these senescent cells have very consistent response 1h post exercise across the different studies.

Table 2 shows there was a significant reduction in the senescent lymphocyte count only in CMV+ and not CMV- individuals, with significant difference between groups. Regarding each subgroup of T lymphocyte there was no significant reduction for senescent CD4+ T or CD8+ cells in CMV- (SMD CD4+: 0 [-0.30; 0.31], p=0.97 and CD8+: -0.13 [-0.36; 0.09], p=0.25) while there was a trend of senescent CD4+ reduction in CMV+ individuals (SMD -0.35 [-0.74; 0.04], p=0.075), and reduction of senescent CD8+ T cells in CMV+ (SMD -0.46 [-0.75; -0.18] p=0.001). Only vigorous intensity and long duration exercise protocols led to significant reduction of senescent lymphocytes (SMD -0.5 [-0.8; -0.2], p<0.001) while the other intensities and durations did not vary significantly (p>0.16).

*Please, insert Figure 3 here*

**Lymphocyte counts two hours post exercise.** Figure 4 shows senescent CD4 T cells were reduced (SMD -0.94 [-1.40; -0.48], p<0.001), CD8 T cells remained below baseline (SMD -0.53 [-1.04; -0.009], p=0.04), and NK cells had returned to baseline values (SMD -0.29 [-0.64; 0.07], p=0.11). There was significant risk of publication bias for the analysis of senescent CD4 T cells (Egger test p-value <0.001), evidencing that studies with low precision conducted the main effects in this analysis.

All these three meta-analyses were heterogeneous, however, due to the low number of subgroups in these analyses, only training status, intensity and volume of exercise protocols were analyzed. No difference between trained and untrained individuals was noticed (p=0.81) and only maximum intensity and short duration protocols reduced senescent cell counts (SMD -0.7 [-1; -0.4], p<0.001), however, it is noteworthy there was very low number of studies in the other categories (Table 2).

*Please, insert figure 4 here*

**Discussion**

The main findings of the present meta-analysis were the significant increase in senescent CD8+, CD4+ and NK cell counts immediately post exercise followed by a reduction in senescent CD8+ T cells at 1h and 2h post exercise, a reduction in senescent CD4+ T cells at 2h post exercise and maintenance of increased NK senescent cells at 1h post exercise with a return to baseline at 2h post exercise (Figure 5). Although there is no consensus about the exact role of these redistributions of senescent lymphocytes post exercise, it has been proposed that senescent lymphocytes are preferentially
recruited for immune surveillance and removal by NK and CD8+ T cells, resulting in an exercise-induced senolytic effect [22].

In fact, it is known that T-cells with high cytotoxic capabilities and tissue migration potential, which are characteristics of highly differentiated lymphocytes, are preferentially mobilised by acute stress and exercise [65]. These lymphocytes could be recruited due to their high β2-adrenergic receptor expression [66] even though they have impaired replicability and co-stimulatory potential. Furthermore, in mice, NK cells are the main mediator of the antitumor effects of exercise. These effects depend on the mobilisation of these cells [67], which are the most responsive lymphocyte subset to acute exercise due to their high β-adrenergic receptor expression [68]. Mobilisation of the senescent, less functional form of these cells could be beneficial if they are then removed, improving the overall quality of the lymphocyte pool.

Following their mobilisation it is possible that T-lymphocytes egressing to the peripheral tissues may experience a pro-apoptotic environment [69], as Kruger et. al. [70] showed the number of highly differentiated CD3+ T cells remained reduced 3h and 24h post exercise. Another possibility could be the return of senescent cells to lymph nodes but most of these cells lack CCR7, a secondary lymphoid organ-homing marker, this is unlikely.

In theory, when senescent T-lymphocytes undergo apoptosis, a subsequent feedback loop could increase the output of naïve T-lymphocytes from the thymus, restoring the peripheral T-lymphocyte pool [22,59]. In fact, naïve lymphocytes counts are increasing 1h post exercise [30,59]. Furthermore, older adults involved in regular exercise have higher serum levels of the thymoprotective IL-7 and higher frequency of RTE than sedentary controls [20], which could be stimulated by senescent lymphocyte clearance post each exercise bout.

In an opposite way, exercise-induced hematopoiesis [25,71], could also affect the thymic feedback loop, increasing the stimuli for senescent lymphocyte removal. Cross-sectional studies showed physically active individuals have lower markers of senescent T lymphocytes [10,20] and master athletes have longer lymphocyte telomere length than untrained controls [72]. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that highly differentiated, senescent lymphocytes are less sensitive to apoptotic signals [73,74], and the exercise effects on senescent cell apoptosis is still unknown.

Most studies tested the influence of CMV serostatus on exercise responses. CMV reactivation can be triggered through catecholamine-responsive elements [75] and stress hormone levels, which are known to correlate strongly with CMV reactivation in astronauts before and after spaceflight.
Thus, it is believed that CMV\(^+\) individuals have reduced sensitivity to \(\beta\)-adrenergic stimulation and decreased \(\beta_2\)-adrenergic receptor expression to prevent CMV reactivation [58]. However, a reduced \(\beta\)-adrenergic sensitivity of T cells in CMV\(^+\) individuals is not supported by our analysis, and in fact we saw a larger magnitude of changes in CMV+ individuals. Thus, we believe the expected higher baseline senescent lymphocyte counts in CMV\(^+\) individuals [28,77], especially for senescent CD8\(^+\) T cells, explains the higher magnitude of change with exercise in this population.

There was a greater magnitude of increase in senescent lymphocyte counts immediately post maximum intensity and short duration protocols and greater magnitude of reduction 2h post exercise compared to lower intensities and longer duration protocols. These differences could be explained by higher sympathetic activation and sustained release of epinephrine reported in higher intensities protocols [70,78,79]. However, there is also evidence that cortisol affects lymphocyte counts during exercise [70,80–83]. Exercise of high intensity leads to greater release of cortisol in to the blood and for a longer time and may explain the reduced cell counts at later time points since cortisol induces apoptosis in lymphocytes [84]. We also considered the type of exercise and whether this may make a difference to the senescent cell response. However, we found no difference at any of the time points between treadmill and cycling protocols (data not shown), though this is with the caveat that the number of studies per subgroup category varied greatly.

It is unlikely that IL-6 released by muscle cells during exercise [85], explains the difference between exercise protocols. It is known, that IL-6 attracts lymphocytes to the circulation together with \(\beta\)-adrenergic signaling during exercise [67], however, there is a higher release of IL-6 within exercise protocols with higher energetic demand, such as the higher volume and during regimens [85–87] which do not agree with our findings.

Finally, exercise hypoxia may explain at least part of the changes in T lymphocytes and NK counts with exercise, possibly mediated by the same neuroendocrinological factors released by other stress conditions (i.e.: catecholamines, cortisol) [57,88].

**Limitations**

The first limitation of this study was that most studies included young individuals. The unbalanced subgroup analysis suggested there is higher magnitude of change on senescent counts in young than older or middle-aged individuals immediately and 1h post exercise. Whether it is a true effect is unclear, it could be explained by reduced \(\beta_2\)-adrenergic receptor sensitivity with ageing [89], which in
turn increases the threshold for catecholamine-induced lymphocyte recruitment. In this way, it is important to confirm these results with a larger sample of older adults.

Comparisons between men and women were also not possible due to the lack of studies in women. An exploratory analysis showed immediately post exercise there was a large (p<0.001) increase of senescent lymphocytes in men (1.23 [0.99; 1.47], p<0.001, k=44) compared to studies with mixed sex samples (0.48 [0.19; 0.78], p<0.001, k=6), while there was no difference between these subgroups 1h post. Future studies should test to what extent the results in men are also applied to women.

Another limitation was the lack of a control group, i.e. without exercise, within the original studies which precluded a proper risk of bias assessment. In the other hand, the comparison of the same participants along time removes the between subjects’ effects, which in turn contributes to the isolation of exercise effects. Furthermore, we explored possible influences of the confounding factors in subgroup analysis. At last, it is noteworthy that only two studies investigated exercise effects on senescent NK cell counts, with is a limitation of the literature and future studies should fill these gaps to strengthen knowledge in the field.

Lastly, one additional issue was the use of markers to identify the different stages of T cell differentiation and their relation to T cell senescence. Thus, no studies enumerated CD57 TEMRA cells, the ones that are closest to a senescent phenotype.

Conclusions

Senescent lymphocyte counts change significantly in the acute response to aerobic exercise. However, a complex picture has emerged where senescent CD8+ cells had a higher immediate lymphocytosis and subsequent lymphopenia (1h and 2h post), senescent CD4+ T cells followed a similar profile but with lower magnitude of change, and senescent NK cells increased but had a delayed return to baseline levels. There was higher magnitude of lymphocytosis and lymphocytopenia for CMV+ individuals and near maximum intensity and short duration protocols. The differing effects of exercise on senescent NK cells and CD4+ and CD8+ T cells suggest differing susceptibility to factors modulating lymphocyte extravasation such as adrenaline that is also regulated by exercise intensity. More studies are needed for understanding exercise effects on senescent NK cells, in older adults and in women.
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**Figure Legends**

Fig. 1. Flowchart of study selection.

Fig. 2. Forest plot of standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence interval for the overall effect immediately post exercise compared to baseline values. CMV: Cytomegalovirus; H-AT: hypoxic-absolute exercise; HC: hypobaric control; H-C: hypoxic resting; HE: hypobaric exercise; HI: High intensity; H-RT: hypoxic-relative exercise; HSV1: herpes simplex virus 1; LL: Lower limit of 95% confidence interval; LT: Lactate threshold; MI: Moderate intensity. NC: normobaric control; N-C: normoxic resting; NE: normobaric exercise; N-T: normoxic exercise; TD1: Type 1 diabetes; TR: Trained; UL: Upper limit of 95% confidence interval; UN: Untrained.

Fig. 3. Forest plot of standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence interval for the overall effect 1h post exercise compared to baseline values. CMV: Cytomegalovirus; H-AT: hypoxic-absolute exercise; HC: hypobaric control; H-C: hypoxic resting; HE: hypobaric exercise; HI: High intensity; H-RT: hypoxic-relative exercise; HSV1: herpes simplex virus 1; LL: Lower limit of 95% confidence interval; LT: Lactate threshold; MI: Moderate intensity. NC: normobaric control; N-C: normoxic resting; NE: normobaric exercise; N-T: normoxic exercise; TD1: Type 1 diabetes; TR: Trained; UL: Upper limit of 95% confidence interval; UN: Untrained.

Fig. 4. Forest plot of standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence interval for the overall effect 2h post exercise compared to baseline values. CMV: Cytomegalovirus; H-AT: hypoxic-absolute exercise; HC: hypobaric control; H-C: hypoxic resting; HE: hypobaric exercise; HI: High intensity; H-RT: hypoxic-relative exercise; HSV1: herpes simplex virus 1; LL: Lower limit of 95% confidence interval; LT: Lactate threshold; MI: Moderate intensity. NC: normobaric control; N-C: normoxic resting; NE: normobaric exercise; N-T: normoxic exercise; TD1: Type 1 diabetes; TR: Trained; UL: Upper limit of 95% confidence interval; UN: Untrained.

Fig. 5. The figure summarizes the lymphocytes count fold change from baseline to each time point for the senescent cells: CD8 T cells (in blue), CD4 T cell (in dark pink) and NK cells (in light pink and black centre). The position of the cells represents the SMD of each meta-analysis at each time-point post exercise.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year (subgroup)</th>
<th>Time points</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mean age ± SD, or range</th>
<th>Training status</th>
<th>CMV serostatus</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Intensity &amp; duration</th>
<th>Cells analysed</th>
<th>Senescent marker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018 [46] (post HC, HE, NC, NE)</td>
<td>0-15'' &amp; 1'</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4 Groups mean: 21.8 ± 1.58†</td>
<td>TR &amp; NR*</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Treadmill</td>
<td>Until exhaustion</td>
<td>Incremental</td>
<td>Maximum &amp; short</td>
<td>CD4+ &amp; CD8+</td>
<td>KLRG1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krzywkowski, 2001 [54]</td>
<td>0-15'' &amp; 2' &amp; 1'</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>37 (25-48)</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>75% VO₂max -5% BLT, +5% BLT &amp; +15% BLT</td>
<td>120min</td>
<td>Vigorous &amp; long Moderate &amp; moderate</td>
<td>CD4+ &amp; CD8+</td>
<td>CD28-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2017 [27]</td>
<td>0-15' &amp; 1'</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>30.9 ± 5.0</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>CMV- &amp; CMV+</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>70% VO₂peak</td>
<td>30min</td>
<td>Vigorous &amp; Moderate Maximum &amp; short</td>
<td>CD4+ &amp; CD8+</td>
<td>CD28-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross, 2018 [29]</td>
<td>0-15'' &amp; 1'</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60-75 &amp; 18-25</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>CMV-</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>Until exhaustion</td>
<td>Incremental</td>
<td>Maximum &amp; short</td>
<td>CD4+ &amp; CD8+</td>
<td>CD28-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32] (post H-AT, H-C, H-RT, N-C, N-T)</td>
<td>0-15'' &amp; 1'</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5 groups mean: 22.46 ± 0.6†</td>
<td>UN &amp; TR</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bigley, 2012 [56]</td>
<td>0-15'' &amp; 1'</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2 Groups mean: 28.55 ± 5.35</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>CMV- &amp; CMV+</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>85% EMP</td>
<td>30min</td>
<td>Vigorous &amp; Moderate</td>
<td>CD8+</td>
<td>KLRG1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curran, 2019 [53] (Control &amp; TD1)</td>
<td>0-15'' &amp; 1'</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2 Groups mean: 31 ± 7.15</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>80% VO₂max</td>
<td>30min</td>
<td>Vigorous &amp; Moderate</td>
<td>CD8+</td>
<td>EMRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2014 [55] (HSV1+ &amp; HSV1-)</td>
<td>0-15'' &amp; 1'</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4 Groups mean: 38.72 ± 15.22</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>CMV- &amp; CMV+</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>80-85% EMP</td>
<td>30min</td>
<td>Vigorous &amp; Moderate</td>
<td>CD8+</td>
<td>KLRG1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spielmann, 2014 [28]</td>
<td>0-15'' &amp; 1'</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2 Groups mean (Older): 55.35 ± 4.1 2 Groups mean (Younger): 28.5 ± 4.9</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>CMV- &amp; CMV+</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>80-85% PP</td>
<td>30min</td>
<td>Vigorous &amp; Moderate</td>
<td>CD8+</td>
<td>KLRG1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner, 2010 [51]</td>
<td>0-15'' &amp; 1'</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>35 ± 14</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>CMV- &amp; CMV+</td>
<td>Treadmill</td>
<td>80% VO₂max 265 ± 27 Watts</td>
<td>55:12 min</td>
<td>Vigorous &amp; long Vigorous &amp; long</td>
<td>CD8+</td>
<td>CD28-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingram, 2015 [49] (Disrupted)</td>
<td>0-15'' &amp; 1'</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>27 ± 8</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>CMV- &amp; CMV+</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the studies included.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>Total Time</th>
<th>Training Intensity</th>
<th>CD57+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curran, 2020 [47]</td>
<td>0-15'' M</td>
<td>2 Groups</td>
<td>NR NA</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>80% VO2max</td>
<td>30min</td>
<td>Vigorous &amp; Moderate</td>
<td>NK CD57+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; 1'</td>
<td>31 ± 7.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2009 [57] (HI &amp; MI)</td>
<td>0-15'' M</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>UN NA</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>Until exhaustion &amp; 50% PD VO2max</td>
<td>Incremental &amp; 30min</td>
<td>Maximum &amp; short; Moderate &amp; moderate</td>
<td>NK CD57+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; 2'</td>
<td>24.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:** BLT: blood lactate threshold; C: Control; CMV: cytomegalovirus; EMP: estimated max power; EMRA: CD45RA+CCR7-CD28-CD27-; H-AT: hypoxic-absolute exercise; HC: hypobaric control; H-C: hypoxic resting; HE: hypobaric exercise; HI: High intensity; H-RT: hypoxic-relative exercise; HSV1: herpes simplex virus 1; MI: Moderate intensity. NA: not applicable (when did not use just one subgroup); NC: normobaric control; N-C: normoxic resting; NE: normobaric exercise; NR: not reported; ; NR*: groups undergoing control period (NC and HC) were not analysed for training status, since they were trained at baseline and it was not clear how much untrained they became after intervention; N-T: normoxic exercise; PP: peak power; TD1: Type 1 diabetes; TR: Trained; UN: Untrained; USA: United States of America; VTWR: ventilatory threshold work rate †Standard error.
Table 2. Subgroups comparison for the effects of exercise on aged immune cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time-point</th>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>UL</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>p-diff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Training status</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UN</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMV status</td>
<td>CMV-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CMV+</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Middle-aged</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Older adults</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Young adults</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>449</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intensity &amp; duration</td>
<td>Vigorous &amp; long</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate &amp; moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vigorous &amp; Moderate</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum &amp; short</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>206</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1h post exercise</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Middle-aged</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Older adults</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Young adults</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>209</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMV status</td>
<td>CMV-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CMV+</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intensity &amp; duration</td>
<td>Vigorous &amp; long</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate &amp; moderate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vigorous &amp; Moderate</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2h post exercise</td>
<td>Training status</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UN</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intensity &amp; duration</td>
<td>Vigorous &amp; long</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate &amp; moderate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum &amp; short</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: SMD: Standardized mean difference; K: number of study groups; LL: Lower limit of 95% confidence interval; UL: Upper limit of 95% confidence interval; p-value: p-value for significance (<0.05) change of senescent cell counts within categories of subgroup; p-diff: p-value for significance (<0.05) change of senescent cell counts between categories of subgroup; TR: trained individuals; UN: untrained individuals; a: different of Moderate & moderate; b: Different of Maximum & short; c: different of Vigorous & long.
Records identified through database searching (1222): PubMed (292), Web of science (195), Embase (308), Scopus (365), Cochrane (62).

Duplicates excluded by automatic filter (573).

Records excluded (594): no original data (199), No specific senescence outcome (144), No exercise effects (127), Not humans (98), senescence of other cells (16), duplicate (4); case study (3), not in English language (3).

Studies included in quantitative synthesis (meta-analysis) (13):
Acute exercise effects.

Full-text articles excluded (10): not interventional studies (10).

Articles selected by title and abstract analysis (55).

Records selected after removing duplicates (651).

Full-text articles included in qualitative synthesis (45).

Full-text articles excluded (32): did not assessed immunosenescent markers (10); did not analysed the cells of interest (2); multiple publication (2); no exercise effects on immunosenescence (1); assessed only percentage of senescent cells (12); only chronic effects of exercise intervention (5).

Records included after removing duplicates (651).

Identification

Screening

Eligibility

Included
## 2.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>UL</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018 [46]</td>
<td>HC</td>
<td>1.078</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>1.821</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018 [46]</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>1.431</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018 [46]</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>1.372</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>2.195</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018 [46]</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>1.585</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>2.472</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krzywkowski, 2001 [54]</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2017 [27]</td>
<td>CMV-/+15%LT</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>-0.133</td>
<td>1.382</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2017 [27]</td>
<td>CMV-/+5%LT</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td>1.513</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2017 [27]</td>
<td>CMV-/5%LT</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>-0.352</td>
<td>1.080</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2017 [27]</td>
<td>CMV+/+15%LT</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>-0.235</td>
<td>1.136</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2017 [27]</td>
<td>CMV+/+5%LT</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td>1.372</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2017 [27]</td>
<td>CMV+/5%LT</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>-0.463</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross, 2018 [29]</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>-0.474</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross, 2018 [29]</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>1.575</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>H-AT</td>
<td>1.579</td>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>2.508</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>H-C</td>
<td>1.222</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>2.041</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>H-RT</td>
<td>2.928</td>
<td>1.503</td>
<td>4.354</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>N-C</td>
<td>1.457</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td>2.347</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>N-T</td>
<td>3.172</td>
<td>1.650</td>
<td>4.694</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Summarized random effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>UL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>1.247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity tests: Q=39.18, df=17, p=0.001, I²=56.62%; Hypothesis test: Z=6.59, p<0.001; Egger test: p<0.001.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>UL</th>
<th>p-ValueTotal</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018 [46]</td>
<td>HC</td>
<td>2.968</td>
<td>1.594</td>
<td>4.342</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018 [46]</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>1.739</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>2.636</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018 [46]</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>2.247</td>
<td>1.138</td>
<td>3.356</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018 [46]</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>2.336</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>3.476</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bigley, 2012 [56]</td>
<td>CMV-</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>1.417</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bigley, 2012 [56]</td>
<td>CMV+</td>
<td>1.164</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>2.062</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curran, 2019 [53]</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>-0.075</td>
<td>1.196</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curran, 2019 [53]</td>
<td>TD1</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>-0.691</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingram, 2015 [49]</td>
<td>Disrupted sleep</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>-0.135</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingram, 2015 [49]</td>
<td>Undisrupted sleep</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>-0.273</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krzywkowski, 2001 [54]</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>1.940</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2014 [55]</td>
<td>CMV+HSV1-</td>
<td>2.224</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>3.516</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2014 [55]</td>
<td>CMV+HSV1+</td>
<td>2.573</td>
<td>1.135</td>
<td>4.012</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2014 [55]</td>
<td>CMV-HSV1-</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>1.610</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2014 [55]</td>
<td>CMV-HSV1+</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>-0.127</td>
<td>1.391</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross, 2018 [29]</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>-0.271</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross, 2018 [29]</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>-0.080</td>
<td>1.352</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spielmann, 2014 [28]</td>
<td>CMV-/older</td>
<td>1.290</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>2.228</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spielmann, 2014 [28]</td>
<td>CMV-/young</td>
<td>1.804</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>2.927</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spielmann, 2014 [28]</td>
<td>CMV+/older</td>
<td>1.566</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>2.600</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spielmann, 2014 [28]</td>
<td>CMV+/young</td>
<td>2.597</td>
<td>1.148</td>
<td>4.046</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner, 2010 [51]</td>
<td>CMV-</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
<td>-0.571</td>
<td>0.477</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner, 2010 [51]</td>
<td>CMV+</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>-0.283</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>H-AT</td>
<td>1.825</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>2.837</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>H-C</td>
<td>1.343</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>2.198</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>H-RT</td>
<td>1.953</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>3.010</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>N-C</td>
<td>3.361</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>4.959</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summarized random effects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>UL</th>
<th>p-ValueTotal</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.261</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>1.596</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity tests: Q=111.94, df=27, p<0.001, I²=75.88%;
Hypothesis test: Z=7.38, p<0.001; Egger test: p<0.001.
### 2.c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>UL</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curran, 2020 [47]</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>-0.147</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curran, 2020 [47]</td>
<td>TD1</td>
<td>2.155</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>3.346</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2009 [57]</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>1.512</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>2.230</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2009 [57]</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summarized random effects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>UL</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>1.719</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Heterogeneity tests:**

- $Q = 11.02$, df=3, $p=0.011$, $I^2=72.79\%$
- Hypothesis test: $Z=2.98$, $p=0.002$; Egger test: $p=0.13$.

**SMD and 95% CI for senescent NK cells**

- Immediately post exercise
3.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>UL</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2017 [27]</td>
<td>CMV-/+15%LT</td>
<td>-0.124</td>
<td>-0.820</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2017 [27]</td>
<td>CMV-/+5%LT</td>
<td>-0.163</td>
<td>-0.860</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2017 [27]</td>
<td>CMV-/5%LT</td>
<td>-0.272</td>
<td>-0.978</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2017 [27]</td>
<td>CMV+/+15%LT</td>
<td>-0.499</td>
<td>-1.192</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2017 [27]</td>
<td>CMV+/+5%LT</td>
<td>-0.189</td>
<td>-0.848</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2017 [27]</td>
<td>CMV+/5%LT</td>
<td>-0.390</td>
<td>-1.067</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross, 2018 [29]</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.620</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross, 2018 [29]</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>-0.111</td>
<td>1.308</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summarized fixed effects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>-0.132</strong></td>
<td><strong>-0.372</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.109</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.284</strong></td>
<td><strong>70</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity tests: Q=6.07, df=7, p=0.53, $I^2=0\%$;
Hypothesis test: Z=-1.07, p=0.28; Egger test: p=0.90.
3.b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>UL</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bigley, 2012 [56]</td>
<td>CMV-</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>-0.429</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bigley, 2012 [56]</td>
<td>CMV+</td>
<td>-0.219</td>
<td>-0.920</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curran, 2019 [53]</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>-0.311</td>
<td>-0.916</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curran, 2019 [53]</td>
<td>TD1</td>
<td>-0.325</td>
<td>-0.995</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingram, 2015 [49]</td>
<td>disrupted sleep</td>
<td>-0.450</td>
<td>-1.100</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingram, 2015 [49]</td>
<td>undisrupted sleep</td>
<td>-0.361</td>
<td>-1.001</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2014 [55]</td>
<td>CMV+HSV1-</td>
<td>-0.569</td>
<td>-1.316</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2014 [55]</td>
<td>CMV+HSV1+</td>
<td>-0.333</td>
<td>-1.045</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2014 [55]</td>
<td>CMV-HSV1-</td>
<td>-0.132</td>
<td>-0.828</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavoy, 2014 [55]</td>
<td>CMV-HSV1+</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>-0.731</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross, 2018 [29]</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>-0.250</td>
<td>-0.880</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross, 2018 [29]</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>-0.156</td>
<td>-0.813</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spielmann, 2014 [28]</td>
<td>CMV-/older</td>
<td>-0.183</td>
<td>-0.882</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spielmann, 2014 [28]</td>
<td>CMV-/young</td>
<td>-0.165</td>
<td>-0.863</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spielmann, 2014 [28]</td>
<td>CMV+/older</td>
<td>-0.433</td>
<td>-1.157</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spielmann, 2014 [28]</td>
<td>CMV+/young</td>
<td>-0.313</td>
<td>-1.023</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner, 2010 [51]</td>
<td>CMV-</td>
<td>-0.274</td>
<td>-0.808</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner, 2010 [51]</td>
<td>CMV+</td>
<td>-0.793</td>
<td>-1.394</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summarized fixed effects**

-0.283 -0.438 -0.129 0.000 171 100

Heterogeneity tests: Q=7.02, df=17, p=0.98, I²=0%; Hypothesis test: Z=-3.59, p<0.001; Egger test: p=0.69.
### 3.c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>UL</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curran, 2020 [47]</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.306</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>53.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curran, 2020 [47]</td>
<td>TD1</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>-0.133</td>
<td>1.276</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>46.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summarized fixed effects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.616</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.138</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.095</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.012</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SMD and 95% CI for senescent NK cells

Heterogeneity tests: $Q=0.03$, df=1, $p=0.87$, $I^2=0\%$; Hypothesis test: $Z=2.53$, $p=0.011$; Egger test: NA.

Δ 1h post exercise
### 4.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>UL</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018 [46]</td>
<td>HC</td>
<td>-1.064</td>
<td>-1.804</td>
<td>-0.324</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018 [46]</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>-0.764</td>
<td>-1.407</td>
<td>-0.121</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018 [46]</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>-0.687</td>
<td>-1.344</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018 [46]</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>-1.108</td>
<td>-1.826</td>
<td>-0.389</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krzywkowski, 2001 [54]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.653</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>H-AT</td>
<td>-1.461</td>
<td>-2.352</td>
<td>-0.570</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>H-C</td>
<td>-1.739</td>
<td>-2.722</td>
<td>-0.757</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>H-RT</td>
<td>-1.917</td>
<td>-2.961</td>
<td>-0.873</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>N-C</td>
<td>-1.579</td>
<td>-2.508</td>
<td>-0.650</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011 [32]</td>
<td>N-T</td>
<td>-0.073</td>
<td>-0.693</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summarized random effects**

-0.958 -1.361 -0.556 0.000 105 100

Heterogeneity tests: $Q=24.97$, df=9, $p=0.003$, $I^2=63.96\%$; Hypothesis test: $Z=-4.67$, $p<0.001$; Egger test: $p<0.001$. 

![Graph showing SMD and 95% CI for senescent CD4 T cells](image)
4.b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>UL</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018</td>
<td>HC</td>
<td>-0.605</td>
<td>-1.248</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>-0.098</td>
<td>-0.665</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>-0.714</td>
<td>-1.376</td>
<td>-0.052</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azali Alamdari, 2018</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>-0.641</td>
<td>-1.262</td>
<td>-0.020</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krzywkowski, 2001</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.000</td>
<td>-1.800</td>
<td>-0.200</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011</td>
<td>H-AT</td>
<td>-0.459</td>
<td>-1.111</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011</td>
<td>H-C</td>
<td>-0.983</td>
<td>-1.738</td>
<td>-0.228</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011</td>
<td>H-RT</td>
<td>-0.805</td>
<td>-1.518</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011</td>
<td>N-C</td>
<td>-0.916</td>
<td>-1.655</td>
<td>-0.178</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011</td>
<td>N-T</td>
<td>1.875</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>2.904</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summarized random effects**

-0.488 - 0.880 - 0.096 0.015 105 100

**Heterogeneity tests:** Q=28.05, df=9, p=0.001, I²=67.92%;
**Hypothesis test:** Z=-2.44, p=0.015; Egger test: p=0.29.
4.c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>UL</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>SMD and 95% CI for senescent NK cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2009 [57]</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>-0.369</td>
<td>-0.876</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48.88</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2009 [57]</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>-0.208</td>
<td>-0.703</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>51.12</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summarized fixed effects</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.287</td>
<td>-0.641</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity tests: Q=0.20, df=1, p=0.65, I^2=0%; Hypothesis test: Z=-1.59, p=0.11; Egger test: NA.
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