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1. Introduction

Solids motion can be classified into translational and rotational motions, and both of them play an important role in heat and mass transfer in a wide range of engineering processes. For example, a number of food processing problems involve the transport and thermal processing of solid–liquid mixtures that are of high solids fraction (often >40%) and with carrier fluids that are viscous and non-Newtonian (Barigou, Mankad, & Fryer, 1998; Lareo, Branch, & Fryer, 1997; Lareo, Nedderman, & Fryer, 1997). The heat transfer coefficient between solid and liquid is critical in determining process times and overall product characteristics, and is greatly dependent on both rotational and translational behaviours of the solid. The translational motion controls the residence time of solids in different position of the process (Fairhurs, Barigou, Fryer, Pain, & Parker, 2001), while the rotational motion is significant in defining the interphase heat transfer coefficients which may control the particle heating and cooling rates (Mankad, Branch, & Fryer, 1995; Mankad & Fryer, 1997; Mankad, Nixon, & Fryer, 1997).

A number of studies have focused on fluid dynamics of food flows and heat transfer in order to optimize thermal processes, and to minimize the heat applied to ensure commercial sterility or pasteurization without unacceptable quality loss (Kızıltas, Erdöğdu, & Palazoglu, 2010; Legrand, Berthou, & Fillaudeau, 2007), such as quantifying solid rotation by using high-speed photography to capture the marked solids (such as Kale, Ramezan, & Anderson, 1989; Lee & Hsu, 1996; Tsuji, Morkawa, & Mizumo, 1985; White, 1982; White & Schulz, 1977). However, optical tracking techniques are limited to transparent systems and suffer a low resolution due to refraction of light. A significant amount of food is processed after packing into cans or pouches, and the solid and liquid motions cannot be tracked through optical technique. A number of models have been developed for such systems, such as Chen and Ramaswamy (2002), García, Balsa-Canto, Alonso, Banga, & Palazón, (2002), Gonzalez, Balsa-Canto, Alonso, Banga, & Palazón, (2002), Abdul Ghani and Farid (2006), Jun and Sastry (2007), Kannan and Sandaka (2008).

Positron Emission Particle Tracking (PEPT) was developed at the University of Birmingham for tracking a single particle accurately and non-invasively (Bakalis, Cox, Russell, Parker, & Fryer, 2006; Cox et al., 2003; Parker, Broadbent, Fowles, Hawkesworth, & McNeil, 1993; Yang, Fan, Bakalis, Parker, & Fryer, 2008a). The significant advantage of the method is that PEPT can track particles accurately through 20–30 mm of metal. The equipment used thus need not be
to back, and joint at the tracer position. However, in practice, many location algorithm for tracking a single particle (Parker et al., 1993) has been developed from the principle that all the uncorrupted events from the strongest tracer. Trajectories passing close to the located tracer are then removed from the dataset. The locations of the second and the third tracers are calculated in a similar way. The Multiple-PEPT technique is briefly described below.

For a selected set S of sequential trajectories \( I_1, \ldots, I_N \) which are recorded as data from the camera, the sum of distances from any point \((x, y, z)\) to the \(\gamma\)-ray trajectories can be stated as follows.

\[
D_S(x, y, z) = \sum_i d_i(x, y, z)
\]

(1)

where \(d_i(x, y, z)\) is the distance of the \(i\)th trajectory from the point \((x, y, z)\).

To get the minimum sum of distances, the minimum solution must be obtained by

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial D_i(x, y, z)}{\partial x} = 0 \\
\frac{\partial D_i(x, y, z)}{\partial y} = 0 \\
\frac{\partial D_i(x, y, z)}{\partial z} = 0
\end{cases}
\]

(2)

From Eq. (2), the minimum distance point \((x_0, y_0, z_0)\) can be obtained as the first approximation. The mean deviation of these trajectories from the minimum distance point is then

\[
d(x_0, y_0, z_0) = D_i(x_0, y_0, z_0)/N(S)
\]

(3)

where \(N(S)\) is the number of events in the set \(S\).

The distance \(d_i(x_0, y_0, z_0)\) of the \(i\)th trajectory from the point \((x_0, y_0, z_0)\) is calculated for a given set of trajectories. The trajectories for which \(d_i(x_0, y_0, z_0)\) is larger than \(k\) are discarded, where \(k\) is a fixed parameter. This leaves a subset \(S_i\) of events and a new (smaller) mean deviation \(d_{0i}(x_i, y_i, z_i)\), from which an improved location \((x_i, y_i, z_i)\) of the strongest tracer is calculated. The algorithm proceeds until only a specified fraction \(f\) of the initial trajectories remains, i.e. terminates at step \(n\), where \(N(S_n) = fN(S)\).

The parameter \(k\) determines the rate at which trajectories are discarded. Values of \(k\) between 1 and 1.5 have been investigated. The optimum lies somewhere between these two extremes (Parker et al., 1993).

If the parameters \(f_1, f_2\) and \(f_3\) are defined as the first-, second- and third-tracer fractions of the initial trajectories respectively and many \(\gamma\)-rays are corrupted and are not back to back. The location algorithm is used to discard the corrupt events, whose trajectories are broadcast randomly in space and do not in general pass close to the true particle location. The location is then recalculated using just the uncorrupted events. From successive locations, the velocity of the labelled particle can be found as it passes through the view of the camera (Parker, Allen, et al., 1997; Parker, Broadbent, Fowles, Hawkesworth, & McNeil, 1996; Parker, Dijkstra, Martin, & Severl, 1997; Parker, Forster, Fowles, & Takkar, 2002).

To track multiple particles, the tracers are labelled at different levels of radioactivity. For a given set of events, most \(\gamma\)-rays originate from the tracer with the strongest radioactivity. Thus, the most active tracer can be located by using the single particle tracking technique while the trajectories from the remaining tracers are regarded as corrupt trajectories. The first point which minimizes the sum of perpendicular distances to the various trajectories will be close to the strongest tracer. Those passing furthest away are discarded and the minimum distance point recalculated using the remaining subset. The iteration procedure continues until it is believed that all corrupt trajectories have been discarded and the location of the strongest tracer is calculated using just the uncorrupted events from the strongest tracer. Trajectories passing close to the located tracer are then removed from the dataset. The locations of the second and the third tracers are calculated in a similar way. The Multiple-PEPT technique is briefly described below.

For a selected set \(S\) of sequential trajectories \(I_1, \ldots, I_N\) which are recorded as data from the camera, the sum of distances from any point \((x, y, z)\) to the \(\gamma\)-ray trajectories can be stated as follows.

\[
D_S(x, y, z) = \sum_i d_i(x, y, z)
\]

(1)

where \(d_i(x, y, z)\) is the distance of the \(i\)th trajectory from the point \((x, y, z)\).

To get the minimum sum of distances, the minimum solution must be obtained by

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial D_i(x, y, z)}{\partial x} = 0 \\
\frac{\partial D_i(x, y, z)}{\partial y} = 0 \\
\frac{\partial D_i(x, y, z)}{\partial z} = 0
\end{cases}
\]

(2)

From Eq. (2), the minimum distance point \((x_0, y_0, z_0)\) can be obtained as the first approximation. The mean deviation of these trajectories from the minimum distance point is then

\[
d(x_0, y_0, z_0) = D_i(x_0, y_0, z_0)/N(S)
\]

(3)

where \(N(S)\) is the number of events in the set \(S\).

The distance \(d_i(x_0, y_0, z_0)\) of the \(i\)th trajectory from the point \((x_0, y_0, z_0)\) is calculated for a given set of trajectories. The trajectories for which \(d_i(x_0, y_0, z_0)\) is larger than \(k\) are discarded, where \(k\) is a fixed parameter. This leaves a subset \(S_i\) of events and a new (smaller) mean deviation \(d_{0i}(x_i, y_i, z_i)\), from which an improved location \((x_i, y_i, z_i)\) of the strongest tracer is calculated. The algorithm proceeds until only a specified fraction \(f\) of the initial trajectories remains, i.e. terminates at step \(n\), where \(N(S_n) = fN(S)\).

The parameter \(k\) determines the rate at which trajectories are discarded. Values of \(k\) between 1 and 1.5 have been investigated. The optimum lies somewhere between these two extremes (Parker et al., 1993).

If the parameters \(f_1, f_2\) and \(f_3\) are defined as the first-, second- and third-tracer fractions of the initial trajectories respectively and
another parameter \( \rho \) as the fraction of the desired trajectories in the entire original set \( S \), the specified fraction \( f \) of the initial trajectories is equal to \( \rho f_1 \) for the first strongest tracer. The parameter \( \rho \) has been investigated, and its optimum value lies between 0.20 and 0.33 (Parker et al. 1993).

After the strongest tracer is located, trajectories passing close to the located tracer are then removed from the dataset. In a similar way, repeating the above procedure, the locations of the second and the third tracers are then calculated.

And then the amount of \( \gamma \)-rays is recalculated around each located tracer for the entire original set \( S \) of trajectories to make sure the first, second and third highest amount of \( \gamma \)-rays around the tracers correspond to the first, second and third strongest tracers respectively.

The final outcome is that the subsets \( S_{F1}, S_{F2} \) and \( S_{F3} \) of trajectories are selected from the original set, from which the locations of tracers 1, 2 and 3 are calculated as their minimum distance points \( (x_1, y_1, z_1), (x_2, y_2, z_2) \) and \( (x_3, y_3, z_3) \) respectively during the time interval covered by these subsets. Each event \( t_i \) has its time of measurement \( t_i \) recorded, and the location thus arrived at is considered to represent the tracers’ position at time

\[
t = \frac{1}{N_S} \sum t_i
\]

where \( N_S = N(S_F) \) is the number of trajectories in the final subset, and \( S_F = S_{F1} \cup S_{F2} \cup S_{F3} \).

Having located the tracers once, the new set starts immediately after trajectories have been discarded in the previous set.

2.2. Reconstruction of translational and rotational motions

Translational and rotational motions of any regular shape solid can be reconstructed by tracking three tracer particles if the positions of the particle are well designed. This paper uses cubed potato as an example to demonstrate the reconstructions. The best way to reconstruct the solid translational and rotational motions easily in a three-dimensional space is

(i) to place two tracers at the ends \((a + b)\) of any side (ii) and the third at any opposite corner \((c)\).

so that the line ac goes through the centre of mass \( m \) as shown in Fig. 2A. This is shown in Fig. 2A (Yang et al., 2008b). The reconstruction consists of three steps: (i) reconstruction of the centre of the solid using the three tracked locations on the solid to obtain solids translational motion, (ii) reconstruction of the three tracked locations on the solid by using the known size of the cubed solid to improve the accuracy of the three tracked locations, and (iii) reconstruction of solids rotational motion using the three improved locations and the centre of cubed solid. Details of the reconstruction of solids rotational and translation motions can be found in Yang et al. (2008b).

2.3. Reconstruction of the centre of cubed potato

If the length of a side of the cube is \( S \), the following equations can be obtained from Fig. 2A.

\[
|\overline{am}| = |\overline{bm}| = |\overline{cm}| = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} S
\]

Thus the function

\[
\left( |\overline{bm}| - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} S \right)^2 + \left( |\overline{am}| - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} S \right)^2 + \left( |\overline{cm}| - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} S \right)^2
\]

will equal zero if the distances are correctly found by the algorithm. Therefore, a function of \( \overline{m} \) is defined,

\[
y = f(\overline{m}) = \left( |\overline{bm}| - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} S \right)^2 + \left( |\overline{am}| - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} S \right)^2 + \left( |\overline{cm}| - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} S \right)^2
\]

so by minimization of the \( f(\overline{m}) \), the centre of the cube can be identified. By tracking the three tracer positions at the corners \( a, b \) and \( c \) respectively, the motion of the centre of the cube \( m \) can be found. This represents the solid translational motion.

2.4. Reconstruction of solid rotational motion in a three-dimensional space

From Fig. 2B, the velocity of “a” relative to “m” (Smith & Smith, 2000, pp. 254–269) is

\[
\overline{r}_a = \overline{u}_a \times \overline{r}_a
\]

where \( \overline{u}_a \) is angular velocity, and \( \overline{u}_a = (\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z) \).

The actual velocity of “a” will therefore be

\[
\overline{V}_a = \overline{V}_m + \overline{u}_a \times (\overline{a} - \overline{m})
\]

Thus

\[
\overline{V}_b = \overline{V}_m + \overline{u}_b \times (\overline{b} - \overline{m})
\]

\[
\overline{V}_c = \overline{V}_m + \overline{u}_c \times (\overline{c} - \overline{m})
\]

where the velocity is calculated by three successive locations as follows.

\[
V_a(t_i) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x(t_{i+1}) - x(t_i)}{t_{i+1} - t_i} + \frac{x(t_i) - x(t_{i-1})}{t_i - t_{i-1}} \right)
\]
In a similar way, the velocity in y and z directions can be obtained. For a rigid body, the angular velocity of any point in the rigid body round the mass-centre should be same, and described by \( \omega \).

If a function of \( \omega \) is defined as

\[
y = f(\omega) = |V_a - V_m - \omega \times (\vec{a} - \vec{m})|^2 \\
+ |V_b - V_m - \omega \times (\vec{b} - \vec{m})|^2 \\
+ |V_c - V_m - \omega \times (\vec{c} - \vec{m})|^2
\]

(14)

the \( \omega \) can be calculated by the minimization of (14).

Then the observed internal spin rate of the cube can be calculated as in Eq. (15).

\[
N = \frac{\langle \omega \rangle}{2\pi}
\]

(15)

To find how the cube spin varies with their position, the can was divided by several 2 mm \( \times \) 2 mm \( \times \) 119 mm cuboids, the solid spin was calculated by using the average for the cube which the centre of the cube was captured by the cuboid, as described in (Yang et al., 2008b). Thus, the average cube spin rate \( N \) was given by

\[
N_j = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} N(j, i)
\]

(16)

where \( N(j, i) \) denoted the instantaneous spin rate for the \( i \)th position of the cube in the \( j \)th cuboid.

The statistic internal spin rate of the cube, (i) average of internal spin rate (\( \mu \)) and (ii) the standard deviation of internal spin rate (\( \sigma \)), were obtained by the following equations:

\[
\mu = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} N_j
\]

(17)

\[
\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (N_j - \mu)^2}
\]

(18)

### 2.5. Cans and liquids

The experiments similar to those in Yang et al. (2008a), tracking 3 tracers in three liquids, were performed. The cans throughout this study were supplied by Stratford Foods Ltd, Stratford UK and measured 119 mm high with a diameter of 100 mm. The experiments were designed for the observation of the effect of solids fraction and liquid viscosity on solids rotational and translational motions. The liquids used were water, dilute golden syrup and golden syrup with viscosities of 0.001, 2 and 27 Pa s, respectively. For each liquid, the experiments were carried out at four solids fractions, which were 10, 20, 40 and 50% (v/v). The dilute golden syrup was a solution of the golden syrup in 23% water. The solids used were cubed potatoes with a dimension of approximate 12 mm. The density of potato was 1080 kg/m\(^3\), the density of the dilute golden syrup 1319 kg/m\(^3\), and the density of the golden syrup 1423 kg/m\(^3\).

In each run, the headspace used was 10% (v/v). The cans were rotated on a horizontal tube roller at 12 rpm anticlockwise, as shown in Fig. 3. The three tracers had iso-density with respect to the cubed potato, were initially labelled with radioactivity: 3.1 MBq, 15.5 MBq and 8.8 MBq. To reconstruct the rotation of the cubed potato and the centre of the cube easily, two tracers were placed at the corners (labelled a and b in Fig. 2A) of any side and the third tracer at any opposite corner of the cubed potato (labelled c in Fig. 2A). All experiments were performed at the ambient temperature.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Effect of liquid viscosity and solids fraction on solids translational speed

Since the results are very similar for the solids fractions of 40% and 50%, this paper only gives the details for the solids fractions of 10%, 20% and 40%. Fig. 4 shows the speed of can body. Figs. 5–7 present translational speed of solids in the can over a 20-min period from the side view of YOZ plane.

In Fig. 4, the speed of can body was given by Eq. (19) at a given radius.

\[
u(r) = 2\pi Nr
\]

(19)

where \( u \) is a speed of can body, and \( N \) is rotational speed of the can (revolutions per second).

In Figs. 5–7, solids speed was calculated by combining the velocities in y and z directions, as formulated in Eq. (20), because the velocity in the x direction is too small and negligible, compared to these in the y and z directions.

\[
V = \sqrt{V_y^2 + V_z^2}
\]

(20)

where \( V_y \) and \( V_z \) are solids velocities in y and z directions respectively.

From Figs. 5–7, it can be seen that the translational speed of solids in the can is related to the flow pattern of the bulk solids, and depends greatly on the liquid viscosity, the solids fraction, and the density difference between the solids and liquid as described in Yang et al. (2008b). The white space in the figures means that the tracer potato never reached the space. It is either head space in the can or the solids deposit on the can wall.
In water, solids in the can can be divided into two layers, namely, a ‘passive’ layer where solids are carried up by the can wall, and an ‘active’ layer where solids cascade down, as described in Yang et al. (2008a, 2008b). The passive layer was located at the region adjacent to the right-side wall, where solids moved almost as a packed rigid body and followed the can’s rotation with a slightly slow speed. When solids were lifted to the top of the dynamic repose angle, the gravitation of the solids became a dominant drag force by comparing the density of potato with water. The solids slumped downwards over the passive layer, forming an active layer, where solids moved faster than the rotating can. Solids speed in the active layer was also dependent on the solids fraction within the can. It varied from 40 mm/s to 120 mm/s when the solids fractions were 10% (w/w) and 20% (w/w). The variation of solids speed in the active layer might give a good contribution to the agitation of the solid—liquid mixture in the can, therefore enhancing convective heat transfer. However, when the solids fraction increased to 40% (w/w), the solids speed was very close to that of solid body (Figs. 4 and 5C). Solids nearly followed a concentric flow and moved, more or less, as a rigid body, and acted as scraper to the surface reducing the boundary layer at the inner wall and enhancing heat transfer in the low viscosity liquid.

When the water was replaced by the golden syrup, the solids suspended in the golden syrup or stayed by the can wall due to the increased density and viscosity of the liquid. Solids were dragged upwards by the rotating can, fell down when they reached the headspace, the solids speed was relatively uniform (Fig. 6) and very

Fig. 5. Translational speed of solids in water (A: 10% solids, B: 20% solids, C: 40% solids).

Fig. 6. Translational speed of solids in the golden syrup (A: 10% solids, B: 20% solids, C: 40% solids).
similar to the speed of the can body (Fig. 4). It means that the solids for any fraction moved, more or less, as a nearly rigid body within the entire can, giving little contribution to the convective heat transfer from the wall to the centre.

In the diluted golden syrup, the solid flow pattern was different. The solids floated over the central region of the can. On the right side of the can, solids tended to move straight upwards, rather than (i) reposed on the wall of the can as observed in water or (ii) suspended in the golden syrup as observed in the undiluted golden syrup. On the left side of the can, solids tended to move close to the can wall. The upward speed was higher than the speed of solid body, particularly in the central region. The downward speed was less than the speed of solid body (Figs. 4 and 7). The speed distribution from the side view of YOZ plane was non-uniform. This non-uniform motion of the solids in the can will agitate the mixture and this might enhance the convective heat transfer. Through comparing the solids motion in the diluted and undiluted golden syrup, it can be seen that a slight dilution of the golden syrup might significantly change the solids motion, therefore the heat and mass transfer in the can.

3.2. Effect of liquid viscosity and solids fraction on solids spin

Figs. 8–10 present internal spin rate of solids over a 20-min period from the side view of YOZ plane. Fig. 11 shows the range of internal spin rate of solids over a 20 min period. Table 1 shows...
internal spin rate of solids in the three liquids, calculated from Eqs. (17) and (18).

It is very interesting to note that the solids spin is related to the translational motion, and is dependent on the solids fraction, the liquid viscosity and the solids position within the rotating can.

3.2.1. Internal spin rate of solids in water

When the can was rotated in an anticlockwise direction, solids in water reposed on the right-side wall, and rotated upwards. The right-side wall applied a drag force to the solids near the can wall. The passive layer was located adjacent to the right-side wall, where solids moved almost as a packed rigid body. Within this layer, the solids spin was limited. The spin rate was therefore much more uniform and lower than that in the active layer as shown in Fig. 8.

The active layer was at the left-side of the can, as shown in Fig. 5A and B. The collision and fast motion of solids resulted in the violent spin in the active regimes as shown in Fig. 8A and B. With increase in the solid fraction, the active regime was shrinking, and close to the headspace. The spin rate became much uniform within most of the can, except the region close to the headspace (Fig. 8C). The range of internal spin rate significantly decreased (Fig. 11A). It lay somewhere between (i) 3 and 30 rpm for the solids fraction of 10% (w/w), (ii) 1.8 and 24 rpm for the solids fraction of 20% (w/w), and (iii) 1.8 and 14.4 rpm for the solids fraction of 40% (w/w), and the average was 9.08, 9.94 and 7.36 rpm, respectively. The uniformity of the spin increased with the solids fraction (Table 1).

3.2.2. Internal spin rate in golden syrup

When the water was replaced by the golden syrup, the solids was suspended or stayed by the can wall due to the high liquid viscosity (27 Pa s) and liquid density (1422.5 kg/m³). The solids moved more or less as a rigid body. The solids spin was slightly high in the region close to the can wall while it was slightly low at the central region of the can, as shown in Fig. 9. With increase in the solid fraction, a large stagnant core zone can be seen in the central region of the can (Fig. 6C), where the solid concentration was too high and limited the solids motion. The maximum internal spin rate almost kept a constant (Fig. 11B), the internal spin rate was between (i) 3 and 16.8 rpm for the solid fraction of 10% (w/w), (ii) 0.6 and 16.8 rpm for the solids fraction of 20% (w/w), and (iii) 1.2 and 17.4 rpm for the solids fraction of 40% (w/w), and the average was 8.12, 7.54 and 8.54 rpm, respectively. The solids spin was quite low. This further demonstrates that the rotation is determined by the flow pattern of the bulk solids, the solids concentration, the liquids viscosity, and the density difference between the solids and liquid.

3.2.3. Internal spin rate in the diluted golden syrup

When the solids were in the diluted golden syrup, the internal spin rate was changed significantly with the solids fraction. It was much higher at the solid fraction of 20% (w/w) than that at the solids fractions of 10% (w/w) and 40% (w/w). The solids spin was also much less uniform than that in water and in golden syrup as shown in Fig. 10. As well expected, in the diluted golden syrup, the buoyancy was dominated the solids motions, by comparing the densities between potato (1080 kg/m³) and the dilute golden syrup (1318.6 kg/m³). Solids floated in the can and tended to stay close to the headspace, leaving much more space in the region close to the right-side of the can. The solids tended to move straight upwards with a higher
speed, and no longer travelled as a rigid body following the can’s rotation (Fig. 7). The space in the right-side of the can gave a high opportunity for solids collision, resulting in a much violent spin (Fig. 10). The internal spin rate was therefore higher in the region close to the head space due to the less limitation.

The internal spin rate of solids took a much wider distribution than that in golden syrup (Fig. 11B and C). The internal spin rate was between (i) 1.2 and 21 rpm, (ii) 1.8 and 29.4 rpm, and (iii) 1.2 and 18.6 rpm when the solid fractions were 10, 20 and 40% (w/w), respectively. The average value varied with the solids fraction. It was 7.69, 9.20 and 7.87 rpm for the solids fractions of 10, 20 and 40% (w/w), respectively. When the solid fraction increased from 10% to 20% (w/w), the average spin rate increased by 15%, and the uniformity of the spin decreased, as shown in Table 1. As described above, the solids no longer moved as rigid body as that in golden syrup, the internal spin rate increased by 19%, compared to the solids golden syrup at a solids fraction of 20% (w/w). This indicates that the solids spin in the diluted golden syrup might give a good convective heat transfer from the wall to the centre region of the can.

To demonstrate the solids spin, the three-dimensional cube at any time can be reconstructed by tracking multiple tracer particles. Part of the trajectories of solids spin in the three liquids is shown in Fig. 12, where the solids fraction was 20% (w/w). The cubes were pictured 7 times at regular intervals over a circulation period.

4. Conclusions

Solids translational and rotational motions within a food can be monitored simultaneously through non-invasively tracking three radioactively labelled tracers mounted at the corners of the solid. The results indicate that translational motion and rotational motion are related to each other, both are dependent on the solids fraction, the liquid viscosity, and the solids location. In water (viscosity = 0.001 Pa s), solids spin was generally slow in the passive layer where particles were packed and reposed on the rising wall, but fast in the active layer where the space between solids is large. The uniformity of the spin rate within the entire can increased with the solids fraction as the distribution of

![Fig. 11. The range of internal spin rate of solids over a 20 min period in the three liquids (A: water; B: golden syrup, C: diluted golden syrup).](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liquids</th>
<th>Solids fraction (%)</th>
<th>Max internal spin rate (rpm)</th>
<th>Min internal spin rate (rpm)</th>
<th>μ Average of internal spin rate (rpm)</th>
<th>σ Standard deviation of internal spin rate (rpm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilute golden syrup</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden syrup</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
translational motion was closer to that of solid body. In the golden syrup (viscosity = 27 Pa s), the solids suspended in the golden syrup or stayed by the can wall. The internal spin rate and translational speeds were quite low, and slightly changed with the solids fraction. However, when the golden syrup was diluted by adding 23% of water (viscosity = 2 Pa s), the solids floated in the can. Due to the high buoyancy and low viscous drag force, solids tended to move straight upwards, rather than reposed on the wall of the can as observed in water or in the golden syrup. The internal spin and translational speeds were much higher and their distributions were much wider than that in golden syrup. Because of the violent and non-uniform distributed spin, the solids no longer travelled as a rigid body even though the solids fraction increased up to 40% (w/w). When a canned food is processed under these conditions, the solid motion might give a better convective heat transfer from the wall to the centre region of the can.

The results further demonstrated that Multiple-PEPT can be used to provide a deep insight into the heat mass transfer phenomena in food processing through the translational and rotational motion of solids.
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