Active Aging and Public Health: Evidence, Implications, and Opportunities
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Abstract
By 2050, 20% of the world’s population will be over the age of 65 years, with projections that 80% of older adults will be living in low- to middle-income countries. Physical inactivity and sedentary time are particularly high in older adults, presenting unique public health challenges. In this article, we first review evidence that points to multiple beneficial outcomes of active aging, including better physical function, cognitive function, mental health, social health, and sleep, and we suggest the need to shift the research focus from chronic disease outcomes to more relevant...
outcomes that affect independence and quality of life. Second, we review the critical role of age-
friendly environments in facilitating active aging equitably across different countries and cultures.
Finally, we consider emerging opportunities related to social engagement and technology-enabled
mobility that can facilitate active aging. In all these contexts, it is a priority to understand and
address diversity within the global aging population.

1. BACKGROUND

The prevalence of chronic diseases is increasing globally, with the highest observed in older adults,
those over the age of 65 years (135). Active aging involving regular physical activity and avoidance
of prolonged time spent sitting is associated with a reduced risk of premature mortality, morbidity,
better management of chronic disease, and a higher quality of life. However, movement patterns
among older adults remain suboptimal (106). The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pan-
demic has exacerbated this problem; some studies suggest a decrease of 900–1,700 steps per day
and an increase of 30 min of sitting per day (12). Calls for physical distancing and the increased
risk of hospitalization and death from COVID-19 among older adults resulted in the closure of
many activity-related programs (54), leading to increased physical inactivity, social isolation, and
functional decline (58). Thus, now more than ever, the role of public health in encouraging an
active lifestyle is critical for supporting healthy aging.

Contemporary evidence points to new and promising directions for research and public health
initiatives that involve an integrated approach to physical activity and sedentary time (112). Fur-
ther development of the evidence base required to inform public health policies, guidelines, and
practices and to address equity issues relevant to older adults is critically needed in order to address
sedentary time and its interrelationship with physical activity (25, 37, 43, 105). Here, we review
the positive potential of active aging, emphasizing the importance of age-friendly environments,
social engagement, and opportunities related to social engagement and technology across the full
24-hour day for older adults.

2. VARIATIONS IN ACTIVE AGING BETWEEN
AND WITHIN SOCIETIES

In 2019, there were approximately 700 million older adults worldwide; this number is expected
to double by 2050, and the number of older adults over the age of 80 is expected to triple by
2050 (131). The fastest growth as a proportion of the population is occurring in East and South-
east Asia, Latin America, and the Caribbean. While population aging is a global phenomenon,
the life expectancy of older adults varies by socioeconomic status, health status, and region.
For example, although life expectancy has improved in sub-Saharan Africa, the average life ex-
pectancy of 60.5 years is still 18 years below that of those living in North American and European
countries (131).

The experiences of active aging within and between countries and cultures vary significantly.
Cultural practices such as morning walks, tai chi, and yoga may be associated with higher levels
of habitual physical activity among those living in India or China (11, 96), whereas cultural norms
such as working past the age of 65 years may be associated with higher incidental or occupa-
tional levels of physical activity in those living in countries such as Norway, Iceland, Japan, and
South Korea (103). Similarly, pervasive negative aging stereotypes, such as the belief that older
adults are forgetful, frail, and weak or are unable to do intense activities, may limit engagement
in active living or may act to promote more sedentary time among older adults in Western countries (36).

Findings from 12 countries suggest that living in a low-income/high-deprivation area and a lack of safety within the community can serve as significant barriers to physical activity among older adults (56). Within high-income countries, older adults of middle and higher socioeconomic status have higher levels of physical activity participation (93), whereas among low-income countries, those in lower-income categories are more likely to be physically active (52). This contrasting evidence points to the importance of considering socioeconomic disadvantage in the context of countries and cultures for understanding and influencing active aging across the global population.

Lack of equity in active aging remains a fundamental concern within and between countries and intersects with gender, poverty, race/ethnicity, and social class. Physical activity security, that is, “[w]hen all people, at all times, have physical and economic access to sufficient, safe and enjoyable physical activity to meet, not only their health needs, but to promote physical and emotional well-being and social connectedness, for an active and healthy life” (86, p. 1448), is thus an essential goal to ensure implementation of policies and programs suitable for older adults across the globe. Below we highlight the importance of age-friendly environments, but it is critical to note that the availability of these environments, and their effects on different domains of activity (leisure versus occupational or incidental activity) will be dramatically different across subgroups of older adults. There is an immediate need for research evidence and a focus on inclusion in public policies and guidelines pertaining to active aging (62).

3. 24-HOUR MOVEMENT PATTERNS AND CONTEXT

3.1. Movement Patterns

Advances in measurement technology have been pivotal in helping to broaden the behavioral targets for the promotion of active aging (see Section 3.2) and have underscored the importance of addressing the full 24 hours (112). As shown in Figure 1, the fundamental distinction is between time spent sleeping and time spent in awake behaviors (129). For behaviors during waking hours, the enhanced granularity afforded through device-based measures has underpinned a contemporary perspective that classifies behaviors as either movement or nonmovement, with movement behaviors now occurring across a spectrum of intensity, ranging from light-intensity to vigorous-intensity activities.

Earlier public health approaches to active aging have typically emphasized moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (commonly referred to as exercise). However, reviews of the relevant evidence indicate significant benefits of resistance training or muscle strengthening activity (21% lower risk of all-cause mortality, very low quality of evidence) and balance and functional training (reduced fall rate, high quality of evidence) (44, 94). Among older adults who meet the guidelines for aerobic activity, participating in any strength training is associated with better balance, mobility, body composition, perceived health, and healthy aging (26). In contrast, high levels of sedentary behavior are unfavorably associated with multiple health outcomes (30, 114).

The World Health Organization (WHO) 2020 guidelines (16) on physical activity and sedentary behavior for older adults, those for the United Kingdom (119) and Australia (6), and also the Canadian 24-hour movement guidelines for older adults (112) emphasize addressing the key components of sedentary time, resistance/muscle strengthening activity, aerobic activity, and balance/functional activities. Such guidelines tend not to use the term exercise, despite exercise being what has been examined in some of the most robust evidence available. This preference is likely due to the importance of terminology and messaging in affecting behavior change (48). As the science
Let's break down the document into natural text and relevant points:

### 3.2. Context of Movement and Measurement

The 24-hour behaviors occur within different domains, that is, occupation, leisure, transport, and household; they have different dimensions, that is, frequency, intensity, time, and type; and they have different determinants, that is, where, why, and with whom. The complexity of the behaviors progresses, these guidelines will be subject to further refinements to identify quantifiable targets across the full movement behavior spectrum, including light-intensity physical activity and sit-to-stand transitions, for which promising evidence of favorable health benefits is beginning to emerge (38, 73, 85). It will also be critical to consider broader weekly patterns of behavior, as previous research suggests that an increase in physical activity volume or intensity on one day may lead to a compensatory decrease on the following days (55).

---

**Figure 1**

Active living opportunities for older adults in a 24-h cycle, showing (from left to right) 24-h behaviors first categorized as sleep (yellow) or awake (green); then, for awake behaviors as approximate METs for nonmovement (light green) and movement behaviors (dark green); finally, specific components of the 2020 World Health Organization physical activity and sedentary behavior guidelines and the 2020 Canadian 24-hour movement guidelines (both for adults aged 65 years and older; the World Health Organization guidelines do not include sleep). Sleep is defined as “a normal, reversible, recurrent state of reduced responsiveness to external stimulation that is accompanied by complex and predictable changes in physiology” (45). Abbreviations: METs, metabolic equivalents; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; PA, physical activity.
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**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>24-hour behaviors</th>
<th>Sleep</th>
<th>Nonmovement behaviors</th>
<th>Awake</th>
<th>Movement behaviors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Sleep</td>
<td>• Nonmovement behavior</td>
<td>• Awake</td>
<td>• Movement behavior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sedentary</td>
<td>• Active &gt;2.0 METs</td>
<td>• Physical activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Active</td>
<td>• Passive ≤2.0 METs</td>
<td>Light-intensity (1.5–3.0 METs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sit</td>
<td>• Sitting ≤1.5 METs</td>
<td>Moderate-intensity (3.0–6.0 METs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reclining</td>
<td>• Reclining ≤1.5 METs</td>
<td>Vigorous-intensity (&gt;6.0 METs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lying</td>
<td>• Lying ≤1.5 METs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** METs, metabolic equivalents; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; PA, physical activity.
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and the contexts in which they occur provide challenges for measurement. Measures need to be valid, reliable, and sensitive to change. While self-report measures have been, and continue to be, used for cost and feasibility reasons, they are often subject to reporting errors related to social desirability bias (1), cognitive impairment (67), or comprehension (66).

Device-based measures have lower levels of variability when compared with self-report measures (41); nevertheless, human judgment is required in the use of devices, such as determining how study participants are briefed, adherence to device-wear protocols, the number of days of monitoring, or the definition of a valid day. The position (thigh, waist, wrist, other) and type (uniaxial/triaxial accelerometer, inclinometer) of device may also influence the estimates produced. As the cost of devices continues to decline, their inclusion in large population-based studies is growing. For example, a wrist-worn accelerometer was used to derive a phenotype representing overall activity type in more than 100,000 participants in the UK Biobank Study (39). Studies have also analyzed data from smartphone users; data from 717,527 people from 111 countries were used to analyze 68 million days of physical activity (number of steps) (2). While there is great promise in harnessing data from commercially available consumer devices, which can be inexpensive and accessible, critical analysis and a broader scientific consensus are needed to minimize misinterpretation of such big data evidence. Of note, there are currently no device-based assessments of resistance/muscle strengthening activity, balance/functional activities, or particular sedentary behaviors. To date, only small-scale studies have trialed the use of wearable cameras to capture activities and their context across the day (87). Thus, for the foreseeable future, self-report and device-based measures will need to continue to coexist.

In the future, high-quality device-based measurement is likely to deliver important new insights into the prevalence of and trends in active aging, particularly in the context of countries, cultures, and socioeconomic status. Available evidence indicates that adults from Southeast Asia appear to be the most active (approximately 70% meeting physical activity guidelines), followed by older adults from Africa, the Western Pacific, Europe, and the Eastern Mediterranean, with older adults from the Americas being the least active (less than 40% meeting guidelines) (59). While initiatives such as the Global Physical Activity Observatory provide country-level data on physical activity from more than 150 member countries, they often do not include data by age group, nor do they consistently include information related to strength or balance training. A more age-specific and complete approach to surveillance is needed.

4. ACTIVE AGING AND KEY HEALTH OUTCOMES

The prevalence of multimorbidity is ~95% in some populations of older adults (135). Nevertheless, older adults with chronic diseases report good perceived health (126), and perceived health appears to be more important than chronic disease for active aging (35). Thus, an approach that goes beyond the simple presence or absence of chronic disease can enrich perspectives on active aging and public health. Geriatricians use “geriatric syndromes,” an approach that considers conditions such as frailty and falls, cognitive impairment, urinary incontinence, polypharmacy, and depressive symptoms (72), which is a measure of severity and/or impact of chronic conditions on quality of life and independence.

Here, we suggest that active aging research and public health initiatives should focus on physical function, cognitive function, mental health, social health, and sleep, which together provide a breadth of perspective that is less constrained by a focus on the prevention and management of particular chronic diseases (25, 37). This broader, multidisciplinary approach and its major components should allow for the creation of more inclusive and relevant research outputs and public health messages that facilitate active aging.
4.1. Physical Function

In later life, there is a population-wide transition from independence and adequate physical function toward frailty (24, 100, 104). Frailty and associated comorbidities compromise quality of life and contribute major societal costs to health and social care services (27, 82), both directly to people who live with frailty and to their family and caregivers. Frailty has been touted as “the new frontier of medicine” (33, p. 1384); many of its impacts make it a frontier for public health as well.

The prognosis for older adults with deteriorating physical function (indicated by poor lower limb physical function) can be bleak (42, 63). However, several observational studies and systematic reviews have highlighted the positive impact of exercise programs on physical function, independent living, falls, hospital admissions, and mortality. New evidence addressing methodological limitations such as small sample sizes, short duration of interventions, and lack of long-term follow-up (5, 28, 51) is promising. The US Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders (LIFE) efficacy trial with 1,635 physically inactive men and women aged 70–89 with physical limitations showed that exercise can reduce the risk of developing major mobility disability (107). However, being an efficacy trial, the LIFE intervention was resource intensive, and there was no long-term follow-up. Preliminary data from the REtirement in ACTion (REACT) study showed that a low-resource, one-year exercise and behavior maintenance intervention improved physical functioning in community settings in the United Kingdom, with clinically meaningful benefits sustained over at least 24 months in older adults who were frail or prefrail (123, 124, 144). The REACT study provides important evidence that the trajectory of declining physical functioning with age is preventable and, importantly, may even be reversible.

This evidence base is particularly timely, given the decrease in physical condition during the COVID-19 pandemic. Maintaining independence and mobility in later life is critical for ensuring that older adults can age in place, an important consideration for quality of life in later years (143).

4.2. Cognitive Function

Declines in cognitive function range from mild cognitive impairment to dementia and confer large personal, social, and economic costs. The incidence rate for dementia has declined by 13% per decade over the past 25 years (145), but global aging is expected to cause an increase in cases. The number of people living with dementia increased from 20.2 million in 1990 to 43.8 million in 2016 (101). Up to 40% of dementia cases can be prevented or delayed; estimates show that a 2% reduction can be achieved if physical inactivity in later life were to be eliminated (92). Thus, the WHO guidelines on risk reduction of cognitive decline and dementia recommend physical activity for healthy adults and those with mild cognitive impairment (141).

In addition to the protective effect of total physical activity on the development of Alzheimer’s disease (9), patterns of physical activity such as slow gait speed are significantly associated with an elevated risk of cognitive decline and dementia (109). Acute and long-term physical activity interventions lead to improved brain structure and function in older adults (46). An umbrella review reported that physical activity interventions have shown improvements in cognition in people with mild cognitive impairment and dementia and that resistance training interventions had a larger impact on global cognition than did mixed physical activity interventions (31). Recent trials focusing on reducing dementia risk have adopted a multidomain approach whereby researchers target physical activity as well as other lifestyle factors, such as diet, in conjunction with cognitive training, social engagement, and management of medical risk factors (79).

Observational studies suggest that higher levels of sedentary time are associated with poorer cognition (25, 47). However, it is unclear whether it is the sedentary time or the particular
behaviors while sedentary that are driving the association. For example, recent studies have
designated some seated activities as mentally passive, e.g., watching television, and other activities
as mentally active, e.g., reading (61). Nevertheless, decreasing sedentary time may improve some
of the metabolic processes underlying cognitive decline such as glucose control and blood pressure
(139). Taken together, the evidence suggests that some of the significant potential of active aging is
in the prevention of cognitive impairment; experimental evidence is urgently needed to go beyond
the limitations of observational findings to better support recommendations for sedentary time,
strength training, and physical activity for both prevention and management of cognitive function.

4.3. Mental Health

Significant life changes associated with aging—such as declines in health, loss of family and friends,
and financial pressure—as well as a loss of control can result in depression and anxiety. Both condi-
tions are associated with significant comorbidity and decreases in quality of life (29, 75).

Physical activity can be protective against depression. Evidence on aerobic exercise, resistance
training, and mind-body exercise (e.g., tai chi, fitness qigong, and yoga) from prospective and exper-
imental studies is favorable (148). In fact, exercise can be an effective alternative to medication
in treating depression in older people (148). Mentally passive sedentary behaviors have been associ-
ated with an increased risk of depression (70); however, more frequent interruptions to sedentary
time have been found to be associated with lower odds of depression/anxiety symptoms (60). In
addition, a recent meta-analysis reported that exercise leads to lower anxiety scores (75). Anxiety
has been found to be associated with double the likelihood of being highly sedentary (eight hours
der per day or more compared with less than eight hours per day) (133). Promising evidence from
four countries has suggested that replacing 30 min per day of sedentary time with light-intensity
or moderate-intensity physical activity may improve anxiety symptoms (130).

The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated mental health problems across age groups. Findings
from a recent cross-sectional study of 1,046 adults aged 50 years and older in North America
suggest that even light-intensity physical activity may be sufficient to alleviate the negative mental
health effects that older adults have experienced during the COVID-19 pandemic (18). Thus,
the evidence on increasing physical activity and reducing or breaking up sedentary time in the
prevention and management of anxiety and depression appears promising.

4.4. Social Health

A number of factors contribute to social health: the ability to form and engage in positive and
meaningful relationships with others, the ability to adapt to different social settings, and the sense
of belonging to a community. Among older adults, social engagement has been associated with
a number of relevant health outcomes, including dental health, mental health, and dementia in-
cidence (40). Social connections, social participation, and volunteering impact social support and
social cohesion, which together significantly impact healthy aging (40).

Evidence indicates that these social constructs identify factors that are critical for active aging.
For example, the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing showed that socially isolated participants
reported higher volumes of sedentary behavior and less time engaging in physical activity (116); oth-
other evidence suggests that older adults who live on their own have less social support and are
involved in less physical activity than are those who live with other people (147). A prospective
cohort study with 311 older adults who were hospitalized found that improvements in physical
function six months after release were associated with better social health measures, such as contact
with relatives and reduced social isolation (111). Some sedentary activities, such as visiting others,
may provide important social benefits as they are associated with an improved sense of belonging to a community, satisfaction with life, and mental health outcomes in older adults (102).

Engaging in social activities leads to an increase in physical activity, and being physically active ensures the physical capability necessary to continue to be socially active. Thus, an emphasis on social components may facilitate physical activity engagement (83). Strategies to reduce sedentary behavior may require close consideration of the context in which sedentary time is accumulated, and the design of creative interventions should ensure that social activity is not compromised when reducing sitting time in older adults.

4.5. Sleep

Although sleep is a biological necessity and a key determinant of the ability to thrive and maintain general functioning and physical and mental health, insufficient sleep duration or poor sleep quality or both is widespread among older adults (99). Approximately 50% of older adults report having sleep problems, which exacerbate fatigue and daytime sleepiness and are adversely associated with multiple health outcomes and inactivity (95, 128). Both short and long sleep durations are associated with adverse health outcomes, including mortality, incident cardiovascular disease, incident type-2 diabetes, incident depression, and incident obesity (moderate to high-quality evidence) (21). Dose–response evidence indicates that 7–8 hours per night is the sleep duration most favorable for health outcomes; age does not modify this association. Sleep characteristics, such as later sleep timing and greater sleep variability, are generally associated with adverse health outcomes (22). Thus, sleep is a behavior that not only reflects underlying health conditions, but also has a bidirectional association with active aging.

Strong evidence indicates that both acute bouts of physical activity and lifestyle physical activity improve a variety of sleep outcomes in healthy adults, with generally consistent effects across age groups (81). In older adults, moderate-intensity exercise programs, with a frequency of 3 times per week and a duration of 12 weeks up to 6 months, have been shown to be the most efficacious for sleep outcomes (134). Nevertheless, prominent research gaps still remain in relation to the differential impacts of various types, intensities, doses, and patterns of both physical activity and sedentary behavior on sleep parameters in older adults. New perspectives from studies on associations of the composition of 24-hour movement behaviors with health suggest that if sleep duration is insufficient, benefits could occur if time was reallocated into sleep from sedentary time, but not if it was reallocated from physical activity, particularly moderate-to-vigorous intensity activity (74). Evidence is needed to better understand the effects of inadequate sleep on active aging outcomes and the impact of chronic comorbidities and polypharmacy.

4.6. Summary

For older adults, well-being is multidimensional and extends beyond physical health to include mental, social, developmental, and financial aspects (121). According to older adults, having something worthwhile to do, maintaining balance between abilities and challenges, having positive personal characteristics, and enlisting valuable external resources are key aspects of a meaningful life (13). The five health outcomes reviewed above highlight a growing body of evidence that includes observational and experimental findings, supporting the beneficial role of movement for overall quality of life in older adults (Table 1).

5. AGE-FRIENDLY ENVIRONMENTS AND POLICIES

Applying a social-ecological perspective to active aging, we propose four levels of influence. Individual factors, such as retirement or marital status, age, sex, gender, and socioeconomic status,
Table 1  Active aging and key health outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health outcome</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical function</td>
<td>Maintain independence and prevent frailty</td>
<td>Improve balance, strength, and mobility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive function</td>
<td>Prevent cognitive decline</td>
<td>Use multidomain approaches, physical activity, and resistance training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>Maintain resilience and sense of agency</td>
<td>Interrupt sedentary time, engage in light-intensity physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social health</td>
<td>Promote a sense of belonging and social engagement</td>
<td>Prioritize physical capability, physical activity with social components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>Increase daytime vigor</td>
<td>Engage in moderate-intensity physical activity, reallocate sedentary time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...as well influence whether an older adult engages in adequate levels of physical activity (120). In a study of six low-to-middle-income countries, age, employment, and household wealth were most consistently associated with meeting physical activity guidelines in adults aged 50 and older (52). However, in addition to these individual-level factors, neighborhood-level socioeconomic status can significantly impact health-related outcomes (17) and active aging (146). Thus, a broader environmental approach is needed.

Sociocultural factors, such as social support, age stereotypes, or cultural expectations, may limit or facilitate active aging. For example, a large epidemiological study of older adults in Europe indicated that loneliness was an independent risk factor for physical inactivity, as well as for relevant outcomes such as cognitive impairment (53). As discussed above, social engagement and physical activity are likely to be mutually related, particularly among retired older adults and older women (97). Positive expectations regarding aging are also significantly associated with engagement in physical activity (3, 36).

While efforts to increase physical activity levels in older adults have most often focused on individual-level behavior change (57), a growing body of evidence highlights the importance of contextual factors affecting behavioral choices (64). The characteristics of the built and natural environment in which older adults reside (Sections 5.1 and 5.2), such as access to destinations (stores and services), pedestrian and cycling infrastructure, safe crosswalks, and greenness, have been shown to be important determinants of physical activity (80). Recent research points to the impact of perceived environmental characteristics on active aging (108, 118), suggesting the need to examine the relevance of self-reported metrics when assessing the association between the environment and movement in older adults.

Finally, age-friendly policy can influence engagement in physical activity as well. For example, age-friendly municipalities may have regulations, guidelines, and programs that prioritize the needs of older adults, such as subsidizing physical activity programming or maintaining places where individuals can visit and interact (e.g., parks with amenities and facilities).

Responding to evidence that the mainstream urban design and planning principles do not explicitly incorporate older adults’ needs and concerns (15), the WHO published a guide in 2008 for age-friendly cities that focused on eight dimensions (140). It has since put forward a more comprehensive approach on creating age-friendly environments that includes a focus on combating ageism, enabling autonomy, and supporting healthy aging in policies at all levels (142). This guidance aligns with lessons learned from The Blue Zones, which describes how the vast majority of centenarians engage in movements in nature or simply have an active lifestyle that includes incidental physical activity related to household work (14).
5.1. Built Environment Evidence from Community-Dwelling Older Adults

The body of evidence on the ways in which neighborhood environmental attributes may influence physical activity is rapidly growing (132). These attributes include a range of factors, such as the proximity of destinations relevant to older adults, safe routes for walking or bicycle use, and perceptions of neighborhood safety.

Designing age-friendly communities requires careful consideration of the variability in functional capacities present in older populations (20). Personal and interpersonal determinants such as maintenance of good health, functional ability, and supportive social networks are critical for supporting active aging (122). In particular, opportunities for physically active transport can be a key to maintaining regular habits of active living. Several environmental attributes have been identified in this context (19, 80). These include higher levels of residential density and street connectivity, convenience of access to services and destinations, greater variety in local land uses, and pedestrian-friendly street design, all of which can be key elements of neighborhood walkability. Thus, city planning strategies such as “the 15-minute city” can enhance age-friendliness (98). Much of the available evidence, however, is from cross-sectional studies (19). A prospective study examining the role of environmental attributes found that higher perceived levels of proximity, a larger number of utilitarian destinations, better street connectivity, and higher quality of walking paths were associated with more positive changes in frequency of walking for transport (127). Further prospective studies (e.g., natural experiments examining the effects of environmental modification or residential relocation) need to identify the kinds of destinations that will be most important for encouraging active transport and how these might vary by country, culture, socioeconomic status, and degree of urbanization (7, 19).

5.2. Built Environment Evidence from Those in Assisted Living Environments

Assisted living can be defined as residences for older adults that offer supportive living environments to ensure that residents can maintain independence. These are distinct from nursing homes or long-term care, as the support offered is not medical in nature (113). Thus, assisted living should be considered in public health initiatives.

While individual factors certainly play a role in activity levels of older adults in assisted living, many environmental-level factors contribute to inactivity. In a qualitative study of 31 older adults in assisted living in Canada, several environmental barriers to decreasing sedentary time were identified. These included a lack of activities outside of business hours, safety concerns, and social norms (137). This finding is in line with research on physical activity that suggests that gender, cognitive and mental health, social support, and satisfaction with staff and activities were determinants of physical activity levels among 171 residents studied at four assisted living homes (69). When a multicomponent intervention developed using a social-ecological approach was implemented in assisted living with the intent of reducing prolonged sitting time, older adults with lower physical function showed clinically meaningful improvements after six weeks (136). Similarly, in a 12-month cluster randomized controlled trial conducted with older adults in assisted living, a multicomponent physical activity program that included a staff champion, environmental changes, and individualized goals led to changes in functional decline and ambulatory status. That the greatest improvements were seen toward the end of the 12-month program was attributed to the changes related to policy and practice taking longer to implement (110).

As age-friendly environments continue to be developed, we need to consider inequities; otherwise, environmental interventions may exacerbate disparities and reduce active aging in some, while facilitating it in others (Figure 2).
Figure 2
An ecological perspective addresses a broad-based population health approach to active aging, although individual factors should also be considered in the implementation and evaluation of such approaches. The influence of active aging on relevant health outcomes requires consideration of 24-h behaviors and social engagement. There are several public health opportunities to facilitate active aging; however, caution is needed to ensure that such initiatives do not further exacerbate disparities across countries, cultures, and socially disadvantaged groups.

6. IMPLICATIONS AND EMERGING OPPORTUNITIES
To look to the future, we focus on two major opportunities that have the capacity to spark change in active aging research and the development of age-friendly public health policies and programs. It is important to note, however, that these opportunities are accompanied by a great challenge, that is, ensuring equity and inclusion. Increasing active aging among those of higher socioeconomic status alone should not simply be considered a victory, as it exacerbates existing inequities in health. Programming and policies need to ensure that older adults across cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds are able to increase their daily movement. While some nations and organizations are aware of this challenge, and have created plans to address such inequities (62, 65), many are still focused on recreation or leisure physical activity alone, which will further “privilege[e] the privileged” (125). It is thus the responsibility of researchers to provide details about recruitment processes, sample characteristics, efforts to be inclusive of diverse older adults, and results by different sociodemographic characteristics. Transparency is needed in how programming, policies, and research prioritize equity and inclusion.

6.1. Social Engagement
Physical distancing due to the COVID-19 pandemic has negatively affected the physical and mental health of older adults (117); however, the issue of social engagement was one of significant concern even prior to the pandemic. More walkable neighborhoods are associated with better social health (90), pointing to a significant opportunity for active aging: creating a “third place” in neighborhoods where older adults can visit and interact with neighbors.

Third places are public or commercial places where people can gather (71). Such places can be particularly important for retired older adults who do not have opportunities to socialize at work.
For example, a study in the United States found that third places were a significant predictor of social support and social connectedness (88). In an innovative study conducted in Japan, community salons (i.e., hubs) intended to provide opportunities for social interaction among older residents found a 6.3% lower incidence in functional disability (68). This association may be at least partially mediated by physical activity such that social participation facilitates physical activity (84), and physical activity reduces the risk of geriatric-relevant health outcomes. While local parks (7) and higher amounts of perceived green space are associated with better social health (76), evidence suggests that the number of establishments that can serve as a third place has been declining (49). Research on third places is still in its infancy: Little is known about the types and attributes of third places that can facilitate social interactions and active aging. Clearly, the built environment can influence mobility in the community, which can influence social engagement (77). Future research is needed to carefully investigate the effects of environmental-level interventions on social participation and active aging, with some consideration directed to the effects of intergenerational interactions in such spaces (89) and to the influence of countries, cultures, and the socioeconomic status of older adults.

6.2. Technology-Enabled Mobility

Technology offers several opportunities for enhancing the mobility of older adults. First, a shift away from cars to shared or public transport and active travel or electric-assisted micromobility may be invaluable for ensuring autonomy. While car use is the predominant mode of travel in many countries, many older adults stop driving owing to the loss of ability to drive safely (4). This limitation on driving has negative impacts on well-being and social engagement (23). In addition to the conventional modes of travel (i.e., private motor vehicles, public transit), there are now diverse ways to move within a city, including car sharing, bicycle sharing, ride sharing, and on-demand transport (34). However, adequate infrastructure and age-friendly policies are needed to facilitate active travel, as they have in some parts of the world (32). For example, in the Netherlands, the CycleOn program aims to educate older adults on safety with local community partners (138). Technology related to micromobility, particularly pedal assist electric bikes (e-bikes), shows great promise. Such e-bikes require pedaling, but motor assistance is available for longer distances, hilly terrain, or windy days. Research has shown that the use of an e-bike is still considered of sufficient intensity to be classified as light or moderate physical activity (10) and that e-bike riders tend to be older (50).

Second, wearable devices have been used with great success in motivating older adults to sit less and move more (91); however, controlled trials are needed to better understand the potential benefits. A promising approach is to harness technology in the form of mobile applications and citizen science. The Our Voice program of research has conducted 14 projects with older adults across 5 continents to work effectively with local stakeholders to enact meaningful environmental and policy changes that can help to promote healthy aging (78). For example, they developed an age-friendly “golden path” walking map in Haifa, Israel (78, p. 7). Similarly, information technology and wearable devices can be an integral part of urban mobility (115). Such approaches can provide insight into the movement of older adults who live in different regions, countries, and cultures, allowing researchers to better understand the determinants of physical activity and sedentary time across diverse groups of older adults. That local knowledge can facilitate the design and implementation of targeted and more effective activity initiatives that are tailored to the needs and opportunities of specific environmental and socioeconomic contexts.

Last, innovations under the rubric of smart cities and smart homes can be leveraged to collect relevant data on movement patterns to better understand the types of interventions with potential
and to facilitate movement by providing real-time support. As with the two aforementioned opportunities, this approach may be relevant only to those in higher-income countries. For example, SmartWalk was designed to promote walking in older adults by collecting data from different sensors in smart cities and providing information to caregivers to help plan routes (8). New technologies are emerging regularly; thus, it is on researchers in the area of active aging to collaborate across disciplinary siloes to ensure that the most-effective interventions and age-friendly environments are being created.

The sidebar titled Public Health Opportunities for Active Aging summarizes several dimensions of social engagement as well as rapidly emerging options for technology-enabled mobility.

7. CONCLUSIONS

Our goal has been to provide a perspective on recent evidence relating to the beneficial effects of active aging and to highlight emerging ideas and opportunities for novel public health initiatives. Several opportunities are apparent. First, we need to think more broadly about how we define older adults, considering the differences in life expectancy, the diversity of the aging experience, and the inequities across different countries and cultures. Second, evidence supports broadening the focus from physical activity and exercise to include sedentary behavior and to consider a wider range of outcomes relevant to health and quality of life. Third, while individual behavior change continues to be a target for active aging strategies, environmental and policy-focused initiatives will be important for broad-based health benefits. Fourth, new and emerging social and technological opportunities can be leveraged to facilitate active aging. Finally, in order to promote active aging at a population level, we particularly need to take into account the physical and socioeconomic heterogeneity of our aging population to develop inclusive, equitable, and relevant approaches to active living for all older adults. Environmental, social, and economic equity between and within countries will fundamentally determine older persons’ opportunities for healthy and active aging. Innovative public health research that incorporates interdisciplinary approaches will create a more robust body of evidence that can be applied to a broader population base of older adults. The future of this field may also depend on the impacts of climate change; it is now time to start considering this critical intersection with active aging research.

SUMMARY POINTS

1. A more inclusive definition of aging incorporating a global perspective is needed.
2. Opportunities for active aging across the full 24 hours need to be highlighted in public health messages.
3. A greater emphasis needs to be placed on outcomes related to autonomy and quality of life.

4. Evidence suggests a need to shift the focus from individual behavior change to environmental-level interventions.

5. Social engagement may be critical for supporting 24-hour movement.
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