Structural Determinants of Unique Properties of Human IgG4-Fc
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Abstract

Human IgG4, normally the least abundant of the four subclasses of IgG in serum, displays a number of unique biological properties. It can undergo heavy-chain exchange, also known as Fab-arm exchange, leading to the formation of monovalent but bispecific antibodies, and it interacts poorly with FcγRII and FcγRIII, and complement. These properties render IgG4 relatively “non-inflammatory” and have made it a suitable format for therapeutic monoclonal antibody production. However, IgG4 is also known to undergo Fc-mediated aggregation and has been implicated in auto-immune disease pathology. We report here the high-resolution crystal structures, at 1.9 and 2.35 Å, respectively, of human recombinant and serum-derived IgG4-Fc. These structures reveal conformational variability at the CH3–CH3 interface that may promote Fab-arm exchange, and a unique conformation for the FG loop in the CH2 domain that would explain the poor FcγRII, FcγRIII and C1q binding properties of IgG4 compared with IgG1 and -3. In contrast to other IgG subclasses, this unique conformation folds the FG loop away from the CH2 domain, precluding any interaction with the lower hinge region, which may further facilitate Fab-arm exchange by destabilisation of the hinge. The crystals of IgG4-Fc also display Fc–Fc packing contacts with very extensive interaction surfaces, involving both a consensus binding site in IgG-Fc at the CH2–CH3 interface and known hydrophobic aggregation motifs. These Fc–Fc interactions are compatible with intact IgG4 molecules and may provide a model for the formation of aggregates of IgG4 that can cause disease pathology in the absence of antigen.

© 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The four subclasses of human IgG display a high degree of sequence homology in their constant regions, yet they play distinct roles and exhibit different patterns of receptor interactions. These functional differences may be attributed in part not only to the lengths and sequences of their hinge regions, but also to subtle sequence variations in the Cγ2 domain [1]. IgG1 and IgG3 play important roles in antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC) and the activation of complement, through binding to high affinity Fc receptors and C1q, respectively [1,2]. With the exception of FcγRI, IgG4 binds Fcγ receptors with lower affinity [2], and in lacking the effector functions of IgG1 and IgG3, including C1q binding, is considered to be anti-inflammatory [3,4]; however, a clinical trial involving an IgG4 subclass antibody still gave rise to an unexpected systemic inflammatory response [5].
While IgG4 is normally the least represented IgG subclass in serum, levels are elevated in rheumatoid arthritis [6], IgG4-related disease (IgG4-RD) and autoimmune pancreatitis [7,8], as well as under conditions of chronic exposure to an antigen, or after allergen-specific immunotherapy [9,10]. It does however exhibit a unique property, namely the ability for the two heavy (H) chains to disengage, forming a “half-molecule”, and then re-assemble with H chains of another IgG4 antibody, perhaps with different specificity, to form a bispecific antibody that is monovalent with respect to each specificity [9,11,12]. This phenomenon, known as Fab-arm exchange (FAE), occurs in vivo and is proposed to further contribute to the anti-inflammatory properties of IgG4 [3,13]. The core sequence of the IgG4 hinge (residues 226–230), which promotes formation of intra- rather than inter-H chain disulfide bonds, and residue Arg409 at the C_{H3}–C_{H3} interface, which weakens the non-covalent association between these domains, are requirements for FAE to occur, and dissociation of the C_{H3} domains is a rate-limiting step in the exchange mechanism [3,14–17].

Of the four IgG subclasses, IgG1 has been studied most extensively in structural terms. There are crystal structures for human IgG1-Fc alone (e.g., Refs. [18–21]) and in complex with FcyRII [22], FcyRIII [23–26], staphylococcal protein A [27], streptococcal protein G [28], TRIM21 (tripartite motif 21) [29], HSV-1 (herpes simplex virus 1) Fc receptor [30] and rheumatoid factor [31], as well as a structure of the whole antibody [32]. More recently, crystal structures for human IgG2-Fc have also been reported [33,34]. However, despite its use as a therapeutic monoclonal antibody and intriguing biological properties, high-resolution structural information for IgG4 is limited. The structure of IgG4 has been studied in solution [35,36], and only one low-resolution crystal structure (3.15 Å) of IgG4-Fc in complex with a rheumatoid factor, and one high-resolution crystal structure (1.8 Å) of the isolated C_{H3} domain dimer, have been solved [17,37].

We report here the crystal structures of IgG4-Fc obtained from papain digestion of IgG4 from patient sera (sdFc), and recombinant IgG4-Fc (rFc), both at substantially higher resolution (2.35 Å and 1.9 Å, respectively) than the previous Fc study. Consequently, we reveal the effects of variation in Arg409 conformations on the C_{H3} interface, providing a better understanding of this controlling factor for FAE. A novel Fc–Fc interaction is also observed that may provide a model for the aggregation of IgG4 in disease, and a general model for IgG aggregation in therapeutic monoclonal antibody preparations. Finally, and unexpectedly, the FG loop in the C_{H2} domain of IgG4-Fc, which in other IgG subclasses is involved in both Fcy receptor [23,24] and C1q binding [19], is found to adopt a conformation that would disrupt these activities. Interaction between this loop and the lower hinge region, as in IgG1, would also be impossible, with further implications for FAE. The structural determinants for many of the unusual functional properties of IgG4 are thus revealed in this study.

Results

Overall structure and glycosylation

The overall IgG4-Fc domain topology resembles that of other IgG-Fc fragments [1] and residues 236–445 (chain A) and 236–444 (chain B), and 237–444 (chain A) and 238–443 (chain B) were built for the recombinant IgG4-Fc (rFc) and serum-derived IgG4-Fc (sdFc) structures, respectively. The B-factors for the C_{H2} domain of chain B in both structures were higher than those for the other C_{H2} and C_{H3} domains, as were those for the N-linked oligosaccharides attached to these two domains. A complex biantennary core was modelled in both structures, and with the exception of chain B of the sdFc structure, a fucose residue, attached to the first N-acetylgalactosamine residue covalently bound to Asn297, was also built. In both rFc and sdFc structures, the α(1–3) oligosaccharide branch mannose residues form a hydrogen bond with one another.

The sdFc structure was solved using the previously characterised Rea IgG4 myeloma protein [38]. The Rea IgG4 oligosaccharide is a 70% G(0) glycoform that was later enzymatically galactosylated to yield a 100% G(2) glycoform [39]. In both chains, a galactose residue attached to the α(1–6) branch forms hydrogen bonds with surrounding protein residues, but the α(1–3) branch galactose residue was disordered.

The terminal α(1–6) galactose residue is absent in the rFc structure, and instead, additional water molecules fill the space. Terminal galactose is observed in recombinant IgG structures where protein has been produced in CHO cells (e.g., Refs. [26] and [30]), and we attribute the absence of galactose in the rFc structure to the use of HEK cells [40]. Apart from this difference in glycosylation, the overall structures of rFc and sdFc are essentially identical.

Arg409 and the C_{H3}–C_{H3} interface

Arg409 was recently identified as the key IgG4 C_{H3} domain residue controlling FAE [14]. In IgG1, IgG2 and IgG3, which do not undergo FAE, this residue is lysine. The high-resolution crystal structure of the IgG4 C_{H3} domain dimer showed how Arg409 weakened the C_{H3}–C_{H3} interface by disrupting a network of conserved water molecules that mediate inter-domain hydrogen bonds, reducing
contact at the edge of the interface through DE loop movement, and lowering its buried surface area [17]. The conformation of Arg409 seen in this high-resolution C\textsubscript{H}3 dimer structure differed from that observed in the earlier IgG4-Fc structure [37], but the low resolution of the latter prevented any conclusions from being drawn concerning conformational variation of Arg409. However, we can now see clearly in the two structures reported here that unlike Lys409 in IgG1, which is conformationally conserved in all known structures, Arg409 can indeed adopt two alternative conformations at the interface, upon which they have different effects (Fig. 1).

In conformation 1, found in the A chains of both rFc and sdFc, as well as the low-resolution Fc structure, the Arg409 guanidinium group is oriented towards Asp399$^\prime$ (of the other H chain), forming an electrostatic interaction (Fig. 1). In the rFc structure, three conserved water molecules (W1–W3) are present at the CH3–CH3 interface, while in the sdFc structure, two conserved water molecules (W3–W4) are present, and the hydrogen bond network is comparable to that found in IgG1. The network is also observed in IgG2, which does not undergo FAE, where residue 409 is lysine [33,34]. Crucially, the rFc structure demonstrates the ability of W2 to bind at the C\textsubscript{H}3–C\textsubscript{H}3 interface in the presence of Arg409. In conformation 2, however, seen in the B chain of rFc and the high-resolution C\textsubscript{H}3 dimer structure, the Arg409 guanidinium group forms hydrogen bonds with both Asp399$^\prime$ (of the other H chain) and Ser400$^\prime$. In occupying the location of W2, and affecting the conformation of Lys370$^\prime$ and the position of W1, conformation 2 disrupts the network of water molecules that mediate inter-domain hydrogen bonds. On the other hand, in chain B of the sdFc structure, B-factors for residues at the C\textsubscript{H}3 domain surface, close to the C\textsubscript{H}3–C\textsubscript{H}3 interface, are considerably higher than those for the rest of the domain. The Ser400$^\prime$ side chain points away from Asn390, its hydrogen bonding partner, and other interface residues, including Arg409, are partially disordered.

Arg409 conformation 1 is thus more akin to an IgG1-like C\textsubscript{H}3–C\textsubscript{H}3 interface, while conformation 2 clearly weakens the interface through disrupting the water molecule network. The buried surface area for the interface with conformation 2 (calculated for residues in the vicinity of Arg409) is ~55 Å\textsuperscript{2} less than that for an interface with Arg409 in conformation 1, which is in turn comparable to that of IgG1.

![Fig. 1. The environment of Arg409 at the CH3–CH3 interface. (a) Arg409 and nearby residues are shown for the CH3 domain dimer structure in pink (PDB ID 4B53 [17]), chain A (green) and chain B (yellow) of the recombinant IgG4-Fc (rFc) structure. IgG1-Fc (PDB ID 3AVE [21]) is coloured grey. Conserved water molecules are labelled W1–W4. Arg409 conformation 2 in the CH3 domain and chain B of the rFc structure prevents W2 binding, while conformation 1 in chain A of the rFc structure does not. (b) Alternative view of the two conformations adopted by Arg409 in IgG4. For clarity, Glu357, Lys370', W1, W3 and W4 are not shown.](image-url)
**Fc–Fc interactions revealed through crystal packing**

Interactions mediated through antibody Fc regions, particularly those of the IgG4 subclass, are of interest because they have been implicated in disease-related immune complex formation [6,7]. Antibody aggregation is also critically important to the therapeutic antibody market, which includes a number of IgG4 monoclonal antibodies[41–44].

Crystal contacts in the IgG4-Fc structures were analysed, and two extensive interfaces were identified (Fig. 2a and b). Interface I (Fig. 2a) comprises two Fc molecules related by a twofold rotation, buries a surface area of ~1480 Å² and involves contact between Cᵢ₂ and Cᵢ₃ domains of different chains. Van der Waals interactions predominate, and there is a salt bridge (Arg255–Glu382), all of which are duplicated due to the twofold nature of the interaction. A PISA [45] search of the Protein Data Bank (PDB) revealed a similar twofold related interface in other IgG1-Fc structures, with buried surface areas ranging from 1020 Å² to 1990 Å² but virtually identical orientations of the Fc pairs.

Interface II (Fig. 2b) involves the “top” of the Cᵢ₂ domain of one Fc molecule, close to Asn297 to which oligosaccharide is attached, interacting with the “side” of the Cᵢ₂ domain of a second Fc molecule, close to the Cᵢ₂–Cᵢ₃ interface; the overall orientation of the two IgG4-Fc molecules resembles the interaction contact between Cᵢ₂ and Cᵢ₃ domains of different chains. Van der Waals interactions predominate, and there is a salt bridge (Arg255–Glu382), all of which are duplicated due to the twofold nature of the interaction. A PISA [45] search of the Protein Data Bank (PDB) revealed a similar twofold related interface in other IgG1-Fc structures, with buried surface areas ranging from 1020 Å² to 1990 Å² but virtually identical orientations of the Fc pairs.

**Fig. 2.** Fc–Fc interfaces identified through crystal packing. (a) Orientation of the two Fc molecules in interface I. The two Fc molecules are related to one another by a twofold rotation. Interfacing residues from one Fc molecule (chain B, grey), and another Fc molecule (chain A, cyan), are depicted as spheres. (b) Orientation of the two Fc molecules in interface II. The top of the Cᵢ₂ domain from one Fc molecule (chain A, pink) interacts with the side of the Cᵢ₂ domain from another molecule (chain A, yellow), close to the Cᵢ₂–Cᵢ₃ interface. Interfacing residues are depicted as spheres. Inset: Detailed view of the interface, showing residues Glu293, Glu294, Phe296 and Tyr300 from the first molecule (pink), and residues Ile253, Leu309, His310 and Gln311 from the second (yellow). For clarity, Gln268 is not shown. (c) Fc molecules interacting through interface I can be assembled in a linear fashion. In each chain, residue 327 at the N-terminus of the Cᵢ₂ domain, where the Fc region is connected in turn to hinge and Fab regions, is coloured red. Fab regions would be oriented alternately above and below the plane of assembly. (d) An assembly of Fc molecules created by interface II. The assembly is formed from interactions between chain A of successive Fc molecules. Once again, residue 327 is coloured red, and the approximate position of Fab regions from two adjacent molecules is indicated.
between IgG-Fc and FcRn [46]. The buried surface area is ~860 Å² and hydrophobic interactions predominate [e.g., Phe296 and Tyr300 of one chain (the "top") and Leu309 and Ile253 (the "side") of the other; Fig. 2b, inset], although there are also hydrogen bonds (e.g., Glu293–Gln311 and Glu294–His310; Fig. 2b, inset). To our knowledge, interface II has not been observed in any human IgG-Fc structure reported to date, although Fc–Fc interfaces involving residues 253 and 296 have been observed in rabbit and mouse IgG-Fc (Fig. S1) [47,48].

The IgG4 C4 domain FG loop adopts a different conformation

When compared with high-resolution IgG1-Fc structures (e.g., Refs. [19–21]), the FG loop in both C4 domains of IgG4-Fc is seen to adopt a quite different conformation between residues Ser324 and Ser331 (Fig. 3). This difference folds the FG loop away from the C4 domain to the extent that the Cα atoms for residues 327 and 329 differ by 9.9 Å and 6.7 Å, respectively, compared with IgG1-Fc. The conformation is essentially identical to that observed in one domain of an IgG2-Fc mutant structure (the top portion of the other C4 domain was disordered), where the IgG4-Fc C4 FG loop was recreated through two point mutations [34].

However, comparison of main chain φ and ψ torsion angles reveals that values are only substantially different for two residue pairs, 326 and 327 (Lys–Ala in IgG1, Lys–Gly in IgG4) and 330 and 331 (Ala–Pro in IgG1, Ser–Ser in IgG4), while values for Ser324, Asn325, Leu328 and Pro329 (all of which are conserved in IgG) are comparable. That some local structural aspects of the loop are retained implies that these key amino acid differences between IgG1 and IgG4, Pro329Ser and Ala327Gly, both of which introduce conformational flexibility into the loop, are responsible. The adjacent BC loop is, unsurprisingly, affected by the FG loop changes, and residues 270–272 also adopt a different conformation in IgG4 (Fig. 3).

The FG loop conformation in IgG4 is not an artefact of crystal packing. Despite their different packing environments, the conformation is the same in both chains. While an IgG1-like conformation in chain B would clash due to crystal contacts, in chain A, the FG loop is free to adopt either conformation.

C4 domain FG loop conformation modulates the Fcγ receptor interaction

The FG loop in the C4 domain is a major determinant of FcγR binding in IgG1 and, together with residues of the lower hinge region, constitutes one of two sub-sites. Pro329 forms a hydrophobic "proline sandwich" with two tryptophan residues in the receptor, a feature seen in both IgG1-FcγRII [22] and IgG1-FcγRIII [23–26] crystal structures, and the same interaction is conserved (with Pro426) in the IgE-FcεRI complex [49]. The FG loop conformation in IgG4-Fc shifts the position of Pro329 considerably (Fig. 4a). In IgG1, the two sites of receptor engagement, along with the lower hinge region, bury a surface area of ~1620 Å² (~1170 Å² without the lower hinge region). In moving away from the C4 domain, the different FG loop conformation in IgG4 is unable to contact the receptor, with the loss of ~150 Å² buried surface area from the interface.

C4 domain FG loop conformation alters the C1q binding site

In human IgG1, residues Asp270 (BC loop), Lys322 (strand F), and Pro329 and Pro331 (FG loop) have been implicated in C1q binding [19,50,51]. In IgG4, the position of the conserved Lys322 is the same as in IgG1, but Ser331 replaces Pro331 (Fig. 4a), and although conserved, both Asp270 and Pro329 occupy very different positions due to the unique conformation of the FG loop, and the effect that this has upon the adjacent BC loop (Figs. 3 and 4b).

Comparison of the FG loop sequences of human IgG1–IgG4 (Fig. 4c) indicates that a striking, and distinguishing feature of IgG4 in this region, is the Pro to Ser replacement at position 331. Indeed, its role in complement binding has been...
demonstrated experimentally: the mutation Ser331-Pro in IgG1 and IgG3 reduces C1q binding [19,50], while the Pro331Ser mutation partially restores C1q binding to IgG4 [50,52]. However, an IgG4-like FG loop conformation was not observed in an IgG1-Fc crystal structure containing the Pro331Ser mutation, as crystal packing precluded the formation of such a loop structure [53].

No contact predicted between the C\text{H}3 interface in chain B of the sdFc structure and the lower hinge region or Pro238, with potential structural and functional implications.

Discussion

Overall structure

The structures reported here of recombinant (rFc) and serum-derived (sdFc) IgG4-Fc represent the first high-resolution crystal structures for this antibody subclass. The overall structure of the Fc fragment is similar to that already reported for the highly homologous IgG1 and IgG2 subclasses, but unique structural features of IgG4-Fc have now been revealed.

Implications for FAE

The rFc and sdFc IgG4 structures have revealed how Arg409 can adopt two different conformations, each of which affects the C\text{H}3 interface differently. While conformation 1 is similar to that seen in IgG1 structures, conformation 2 weakens the interface by disrupting the water structure. With the exception of chain B of the sdFc structure where Arg409 is disordered, Arg409 adopts conformation 1 in three chains (PDB ID 1ADQ [37]; rFc chain A and sdFc chain A) and conformation 2 in three chains (PDB ID 4B53; chains A and B [17] and rFc chain B). Only conformation 2 was observed in the C\text{H}3 domain crystal structure, but overall, the two Arg409 conformers are equally populated.

Intriguingly, the high B-factors for residues at the C\text{H}3 domain surface, and partial disorder at the C\text{H}3 interface in chain B of the sdFc structure, may represent the transition of Arg409 between the two conformations, or may even provide an early snapshot of the dissociation process itself.

The altered C\text{H}2 domain FG loop conformation may also play a role in FAE. Isomerisation from intra-chain disulphide bonds in the hinge region are believed to be a prerequisite for this process, and may be facilitated by flexibility in the core hinge, arising from a Pro (IgG1)/Ser (IgG4) substitution at position 238. The IgG4 C\text{H}2 FG loop precludes any contact with the lower hinge, and a more mobile lower hinge could in turn enhance flexibility in the core hinge.

Low-angle X-ray solution scattering data for whole IgG4 revealed a change in overall structure from a symmetric shape to an asymmetric shape when Ser331 from the C\text{H}2 FG loop was mutated to proline [35]. Given the potential for contact between the lower hinge and the FG loop, it is thus possible that overall antibody structure, and function, might be linked to the FG loop conformation.

Fc–Fc interfaces I and II utilise conserved “consensus binding site” residues

Analysis of interfaces between IgG-Fc and various protein binding partners, FcRn [46], rheumatoid factor [37], protein A [27] and protein G [28], led to the identification of a common “consensus binding site” comprising residues Met252, Ile253, Ser254, Asn434, His435 and Tyr436, from both C\text{H}2 and C\text{H}3 domains, located on the side of IgG-Fc [18]. Both interfaces I and II utilise these consensus residues: interface I incorporates residues 254, 434 and 436, while interface II incorporates residue 253. In the IgG4-Fc crystals, both interfaces I and II exist simultaneously; that is, one Fc chain utilises the consensus site to engage two others.

Interfaces I and II share similarities with consensus site residue interactions. In interface II, a hydrogen bond is formed with the backbone of Ile253, as in FcRn, rheumatoid factor, protein A and protein G interactions. Additionally, in interface II, Ile253 is surrounded by Gln268, Glu294 and Tyr300, similar to the interface with FcRn (Glu135, Trp133) and protein A (Gln128, Gln129, Phe132). In interface I, Ser254 forms van der Waals interactions with Tyr436, similar to the interface with protein G (Ser254/Tyr45) and rheumatoid factor (Ser254/Trp52A). Thus, interfaces I and II share...
generally conserved features of interfaces between IgG and a variety of protein binding partners. (While not forming part of the consensus site, Leu309 is also a contact residue for protein A [27] and rheumatoid factors [55] and, in IgG4, is the site of a leucine/valine isoallotypic variation [56].)
Residues from Fc–Fc interface II belong to aggregation-prone “motifs”

A recent computational approach identified hydrophobic “motifs” in IgG1 C1r1, C1r2 and C1r3 domains and hinge region, which are prone to aggregation [42]. Motifs involving residues Ile253, Tyr296 (Phe296 in IgG4) and Leu309, all involved in IgG4 Fc–Fc interface II, were among those identified in the study. The same authors found that mutating certain hydrophobic residues, for example, Ile253 and Leu309, to a hydrophilic lysine, indeed improved antibody stability [57].

Interfaces I and II provide clues about antibody–antibody interactions

With a buried surface area of 1480 Å², Fc–Fc interface I, observed in both IgG1 and IgG4, is comparable with those for Fc binding to the neonatal IgG receptor, rheumatoid factor, protein A, protein G, FcγRIII and HSV-1 receptor (which range from 1350 Å² to 2000 Å²). IgG4 Fc–Fc interface II is smaller (860 Å²), but could form under certain conditions such as high concentration. IgG Fc–Fc interactions have been documented in vitro [58], and in vivo in diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis [6] and auto-immune pancreatitis [7], where IgG4 antibody levels are elevated [6], and there is also some evidence that therapeutic monoclonal IgG4 antibodies have a higher tendency to aggregate, compared with IgG1 [44]. However, the equivalent residue to Phe296 from IgG4 is Tyr296 in IgG1, suggesting that a similar interface could also form in this subclass. The concentrations required for therapeutic antibodies, which include both IgG1 and IgG4 [41], may promote such an interaction.

Assemblies using a whole antibody structure [54] as a template can be generated using IgG4-Fc interfaces I and II, in a manner that can also accommodate both Fab regions (Fig. 2c and d, Fig. S2). Novel interfaces, such as those observed in human IgG4, and previously described for mouse IgG2 [48], may therefore indeed be relevant to understanding, and thus preventing, aggregation of whole IgG antibodies.

The Cγ2 FG loop conformation is unique to IgG4

A DALI structural similarity search [59] of the PDB, using IgG4-Fc as the search term, returned over 150 hits for immunoglobulin heavy chains, including IgG (human, rabbit, mouse, rat), IgE (human), IgA (human), IgY (chicken) and IgM (mouse) isotypes. In the structures of IgG and IgE, isotypes known to engage receptor through a “proline sandwich” interaction, the conformation of the Cγ2 and Cε3 domain FG loop was broadly similar to that found in IgG1, despite crystal packing in the majority of cases that would allow an IgG4-like conformation to be adopted. In only a few heavy chains were slightly different FG loop conformations found, and with the exception of an IgG2 mutant, discussed below, none were similar to that found in IgG4, and the relative position of receptor binding Pro329 (Pro426 in IgE) was unaffected. The structural conservation of the FG loop conformation in IgG1 and IgE thus reflects its role in receptor binding. However, in an IgG2-Fc mutant, in which Ala330 and Pro331 were both mutated to serine [34], the FG loop adopts a conformation similar to that found in IgG4. Since IgG2 naturally has a glycine at position 327 (Fig. 4c), these two additional mutations essentially recreate the IgG4 Cγ2 FG loop in IgG2.

We next examined FG loop conformation in the other immunoglobulin isotypes returned by the DALI search. IgA engages FcαRI at a site located at the Ca2–Ca3 interface, and not through the Ca2 domain FG loop, and the structurally equivalent residue to the receptor binding proline in IgG1 and IgE is lysine [60]. Nevertheless, despite this key difference, and some local perturbation about the lysine residue, the overall conformation is essentially similar to the Cγ2 and Cε3 domain FG loops in IgG1 and IgE, respectively. Structural conservation of the Ca2 FG loop, discussed below, is attributed to two proline residues, which flank the loop on either side.

Less is known about the interactions between IgG and IgM and their receptors. IgY, found in birds and reptiles, engages one receptor, CHIR-AB1, at the Fcu3–Fcu4 domain interface [61,62]. The Fcu3 domain FG loop was later identified as a binding site for a second receptor, ggFcR [63]. The conformation of the IgY Fcu3 domain FG loop is...
essentially identical to that found in IgG1 and IgE [64], and the position of Pro439, equivalent to Pro329 (IgG) and Pro426 (IgE), suggests engagement in a manner similar to that of IgG/FcγR and IgE/FcεRI. The recently published NMR structure of the mouse IgM Fcμ3 domain also revealed an FG loop with a similar conformation to those found in IgG1, IgE and IgY [65]. Thus, to the best of our knowledge, among native antibody isotypes, the IgG4 C_{\text{H2}G} loop adopts a unique conformation.

Fig. 5. The C_{\text{H2}F}G loop and Fcy receptor binding via the proline sandwich. (a) IgG1 FG loop (orange) forms a proline sandwich with FcyRIII (yellow) (PDB ID 1E4K [23]). (b) IgG4-Fc (grey) superposed onto IgG1-Fc from PDB ID 1E4K demonstrates that the proline sandwich interaction with FcyRIII (yellow) is disrupted, and there would be no interaction between the IgG4 FG loop and FcyRIII. (c) Comparison with the structure of IgG1 in complex with FcyRII (PDB ID 3RY6 [22]) reveals that there would be no interaction between the IgG4 FG loop (grey) and FcyRII (green). (d) Superposition of IgG4-Fc (grey) onto IgG1-Fc from PDB ID 1E4K, and FcyRI (pink) (PDB ID 3RJD [70]) superposed onto FcyRIII from PDB ID 1E4K, reveals that, with a change in conformation, Arg102 could form two hydrogen bonds, indicated with black lines, with Pro329 and Ser330 from the IgG4 FG loop. The hydrogen bond with Pro329 is preserved in IgG1 and IgG4/FcyRII interactions while that with Ser330 is unique to IgG4. The conformation of Arg102 found in the FcyRI structure is shown in pink, and the modelled conformation is in grey.
IgG, IgE, IgY and IgM, the first, receptor binding (or putative receptor binding), proline residue is found at position 329, 426, 499 and 312, respectively. IgG, IgY and IgM have a second, structurally equivalent proline residue at position 331, 441 and 314, respectively. In IgE, this residue is alanine, and a second proline residue is instead at position 423. IgA does not have a receptor binding proline residue, and the overall conformation of the Cα2 FG loop is instead maintained by two flanking proline residues. On one side of the loop, Pro333 is structurally equivalent to Pro331 from IgG1, Pro441 from IgY and Pro314 from IgM, while on the other, Pro328 is structurally equivalent to Pro423 from IgE. IgG4 does not have a second proline residue located within the Cα2 domain FG loop, and the implications of this are discussed later.

**Does the Cα2 FG loop modulate receptor binding in IgG4?**

A strictly conserved feature of the Cα2 domain FG loop in IgG is a proline residue at position 329, which is important not only for receptor interaction, but also for C1q binding (Fig. 4c). In IgG1, mutation of Pro329 to alanine significantly reduces binding to all Fc receptors and C1q [19,66]. In IgG3, mutation of Pro331 to serine reduces affinity for receptor [67]. The disrupted proline sandwich interaction, caused by the different Cα2 FG loop conformation, is certainly consistent with the lower affinity of IgG4 for FcYRIIa (K_a of 1.7 x 10^5 M^{-1} and 2.1 x 10^5 M^{-1} for the H131 and R131 variants, respectively) and FcYRIIb (K_a of 2.0 x 10^5 M^{-1} and 2.5 x 10^5 M^{-1} for the F158 and V158 variants, respectively), compared with IgG1 [K_a values of 5.2 x 10^6 M^{-1} (FcYRIIa, H131), 3.5 x 10^6 M^{-1} (FcYRIIa, R131), 1.17 x 10^6 M^{-1} (FcYRIIb, F158) and 2.0 x 10^6 M^{-1} (FcYRIIb, V158)] [2]. Modelling of the IgG4-Fc structure onto the crystal structures of the IgG1-Fc complexes with FcYRII and III illustrates this clearly (Fig. 5a–c).

However, interaction between IgG4 and the lower affinity FcYRII and FcYRIII receptors is further complicated by differences between receptor variants. While IgG4 binds FcYRIIa, it does not bind FcYRIIib [2]; residues for these two receptors are identical in the immediate vicinity of the proline sandwich, and the contrasting affinity is attributed to sequence differences at positions 147 and 158 [2]; critically, position 147 is located at the second receptor binding sub-site on the other Cα2 domain. Furthermore, IgG4 binds FcYRII receptors with lower affinity, but FcYRIib is bound with higher affinity than FcYRIIa [2]. An arginine residue is found at position 131 in FcYRIib, whereas in FcYRIIa, the equivalent residue is histidine. Incidentally, an arginine/histidine difference at this position is also responsible for the high- and low-responder forms of FcYRIIa [68]. Of the FcYRII receptor variants, the low-responder form of FcYRIIa, with histidine at position 268, is bound by IgG4 with the lowest affinity. Intriguingly, in the IgG1-Fc/FcYRIIa crystal structure, Arg131 from the receptor lies close to residue 268 from the BC loop [22], which is histidine in IgG1 and glutamine in IgG4. If Arg131 were to adopt a different conformation, the higher affinity of IgG4 for FcYRII variants with arginine at this position could, in part, be explained by a hydrogen bond with Gln268 from the BC loop.

The affinity of IgG4 for FcYRI is not only higher than that for FcYRII and FcYRIII, but of the same order of magnitude as that for IgG1 (K_a of 6.5 x 10^7 M^{-1} and 3.4 x 10^7 M^{-1} for IgG1 and IgG4, respectively) [2]. In contrast to FcYRII and FcYRIII, where IgG immune complexes are responsible for receptor interaction, monomeric IgG4 is responsible for the interaction with FcYRI [2,69]. Although there is no crystal structure for the IgG1-Fc complex with FcYRI, a model based upon the FcYRI crystal structure has been proposed [70], and if IgG4-Fc is substituted for IgG1-Fc in this model, a hydrogen bond, additional to that between Arg102 and Pro329 (main chain), may be made between Arg102 of FcYRI (which is unique to this receptor) and Ser330 (side-chain) in the FG loop conformation of IgG4 (Fig. 5d).

Could the additional hydrogen bond between Arg102 and Ser330 side chains compensate in the absence of a proline sandwich in the IgG4/FcYRI receptor interaction, allowing IgG4 to bind with an affinity comparable to that of IgG1? The FcYRI crystal structure suggested that the presence of a tyrosine residue at position 176 in FcYRI, rather than the structurally equivalent valine in FcYRII, created an additional hydrogen bond between FcYRI and the lower hinge that could account for the difference in isotype specificity and, therefore, affinity, between FcYRI and FcYRII for IgG4 [70].

In summary, the altered Cα2 FG loop conformation in IgG4 cannot solely account for the differences in affinity between IgG4 and Fc receptors, but conformational variation in the loop may act together with sequence differences between the Fc receptors, in addition to alternative interactions with the lower hinge, to modulate IgG4 affinity. It remains to be determined whether, unaffected by crystal packing restrictions, the native IgG4 loop could also adopt the conserved IgG1-like conformation, potentially adding a further level of complexity in control of receptor affinity.

**Which residues are responsible for the different Cα2 FG loop conformation in IgG4?**

Point mutations have confirmed the role of FG loop residues in controlling IgG function, and two decades ago it was speculated that sequence variation in this region might affect FG loop conformation [71]. IgG4 does not possess a second proline residue in its FG loop (Fig. 4c) and Pro331 is replaced by serine. Furthermore, IgG4 is different from IgG1,
IgG3 and IgE in that, like IgG2, it has a glycine residue located within its FG loop, at position 327. The crystal structure of IgG2-Fc revealed no effect on FG loop conformation due to packing constraints [33]. Likewise, the structure of an IgG1-Fc mutant engineered to remove effector functions, containing a Pro331Ser mutation, revealed no effect on the FG loop, but once again, steric restrictions due to crystal packing precluded formation of an IgG4-like FG loop conformation [53]. A recent structural study of an IgG2-Fc mutant [34] led to the suggestion that the altered position of Pro329 ultimately resulted from disruption of an electrostatic interaction between His268 and Glu294 at the CH2 domain surface. However, this particular electrostatic interaction shows inter-species variation and, even in human IgG, does not always form. When the interaction is not formed, when the BC loop is disordered, or indeed in one structure, conformationally different, the conformation of the FG loop is not affected.

We have revealed the structure of the CH2 FG loop in native IgG4-Fc, unaffected by crystal packing, and instead propose that conformational differences between the IgG4 CH2 domain FG loop and that of IgG1 are due to the introduction of conformational flexibility through the presence of Gly327 and Ser331. Further structural data will be required to establish the essential residues that determine the FG loop conformation that we have observed.

Conclusions

The first high-resolution structures for IgG4-Fc reported here have shed light on the unique functional features of this human antibody subclass. Variations in conformation at the Cγ2–Cγ3 interface involving Arg409 indicate how this residue, unique to IgG4, may destabilise interaction between the heavy chains and contribute to FAE. The FG loop in the Cγ2 domain of IgG4 is also seen to adopt a conformation distinct from that which is common to all other IgG subclasses and antibody classes (IgA, IgM, IgY); since this loop plays a key role in receptor and complement interactions, the non-inflammatory

---

Table 1. Data processing and refinement statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Recombinant Fc (rFc)</th>
<th>Serum-derived Fc (sdFc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data processing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P2₁;2;2₁</td>
<td>P2₁;2;2₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>a, b, c (Å)</td>
<td>74.84, 78.97, 97.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>74.84–1.90 (1.94–1.90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of unique reflections b</td>
<td>46,409 (2957)b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%) b</td>
<td>99.9 (99.9)b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity b</td>
<td>14.4 (14.4)b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (</td>
<td>h/α</td>
<td>φ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge (%) b</td>
<td>10.6 (154.5)b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rfree (%) b</td>
<td>2.9 (42.1)b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson B-factor (Å²)</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refinement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwork/Rfree (%)</td>
<td>17.01/20.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD</td>
<td>18.79/23.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond lengths (Å)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
<td>1.490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate error (Å)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of atoms c</td>
<td>3351</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate</td>
<td>290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent</td>
<td>290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other d</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B-factor (Å²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein: Cγ2 A/B</td>
<td>32.96/46.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein: Cγ3 A/B</td>
<td>33.28/33.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate: A/B</td>
<td>45.83/59.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other d</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran plot (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favoured</td>
<td>99.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowed</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

* Numbers in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

b Data scaled with Aimless [74].

Includes alternative positions.

d Ethylene glycol and MES buffer.

e Ramachandran plot generated by MolProbity [78].
properties of IgG4 may now be understood. This conformation also precludes potentially stabilising interactions with the hinge region, which may further promote FAE. Finally, extensive intermolecular (crystal packing) contacts, including one interaction not previously observed in other IgG-Fc structures, may explain the tendency of IgG to aggregate. These results all have implications not only for the engineering of therapeutic monoclonal antibodies, but also for understanding the role of IgG4 antibodies in disease pathology.

Materials and Methods

Protein production

Serum-derived (sdFc) and recombinant (rFc) IgG4-Fc were prepared as described previously [39,58]. Both proteins were dialysed into 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.

Crystallisation

Crystals of both rFc and sdFc were grown at 291 K using a reservoir comprising 100 μL of 100 mM 4-morpholineethanesulfonic acid (MES) pH 6.5, 18–20% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 10,000, and protein concentrations of 3 mg/mL and 2.5 mg/mL, respectively. Drop sizes were 100 nL protein and 100 nL reservoir, and 300 nL protein and 300 nL reservoir, for the rFc and sdFc crystals, respectively. Crystals typically appeared after 2 days and were briefly cryoprotected in a solution of 100 mM MES pH 6.5, 20% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 10,000 and 20% (v/v) ethylene glycol before flash-cooling in liquid nitrogen.

Data processing, structure determination and refinement

Data were collected at beamline I03 at the Diamond Light Source (Harwell, UK). Integration was performed with XDS as implemented in the xia2 package [72,73], and further processing was carried out using the CCP4 suite [74]. Both structures belong to space group P2_12_1, with one molecule in the asymmetric unit, and were solved by molecular replacement using MOLREP [75]. The rFc structure (1.9 Å resolution) was solved using IgG4-Fc protein atoms from PDB ID 1ADQ [37] as a search model, and the sdFc structure (2.35 Å resolution) was solved using a partially refined recombinant IgG4-Fc structure. Refinement was performed with PHENIX [76], and manual model building was performed with Coot [77]. Carbohydrate residues were refined with 100% occupancy. 5% of reflections were assigned to the R_free reflection set using PHENIX, and TLS groups were assigned with PHENIX. Overall structure quality was assessed with MolProbity [78] and POLYGON [79] within PHENIX, and carbohydrate was assessed using CARP [80]. The final R_work/R_free values were 17.0%/20.86% and 18.80%/23.94% for the rFc and sdFc structures, respectively. Full data processing and refinement statistics are provided in Table 1. For consistency, all buried surface area calculations were performed with CNS [81] using protein atoms only from entries available from the PDB. Figures were produced with PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.1r1, Schrödinger, LLC).

Accession numbers

Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited at the PDB with IDs 4C54 and 4C55 for the rFc and sdFc structures, respectively.
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