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Abstract

Physical activity shows promise as a modifiable lifestyle intervention to benefit pathological symptoms of dementia. However, little is known about the factors associated with participation in physical activity in community dwelling adults with dementia. A systematic review was undertaken to identify physical activity correlates. Two independent reviewers searched major electronic databases and extracted data on studies reporting quantitative correlates of physical activity participation in community dwelling adults with dementia. Physical activity correlates were analysed using the summary code approach within the socio-ecological model. Out of a potential of 118 articles, 12 met the eligibility criteria encompassing 752 participants. We conducted secondary analysis on 9 data sets. Increased energy intake, resting metabolic rate, fat free mass, gait speed, global motor function, overall health related quality of life (HRQOL), physical HRQOL, higher levels of social functioning and reduced apathy were positively associated with physical activity. Taking ≥ four medications, dizziness, lower ADL function, a history of falls, less waking hours in the day, more autonomic problems and delirium were negatively associated with physical activity. Increasing age and lower global cognition were not consistently associated with physical activity participation. It is surprising that increasing age and lower global cognition do not appear to influence physical activity participation. All significant correlates should be confirmed in prospective studies with particular focus on the relationship of physical activity and gait speed, ADL function, falls history and dietary intake and the progression of frailty and nursing home admission as a priority.
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1. Introduction

The ageing population and the number of people affected by dementia are reaching epidemic levels (Prince et al 2013). The inherent cognitive decline observed in dementia is associated with profound loss of independence, increased falls risk, a reduction in capacity to undertake activities of daily living (ADL), nursing home admission and increased mortality (Prince et al 2013, Butler and Radhakrishnan 2012, Smith et al 2013, Pitkala et al 2013). Unsurprisingly, the prevention and management of dementia is an International political and health priority (Prince et al 2013).

Within recent years interest has risen in physical activity as an effective non-pharmacological intervention in the prevention and management of dementia (Ahlskog et al 2011). For instance, Erikson et al (2012) recently concluded that there is convincing evidence that physical activity reduces the risk of Alzheimer’s disease (AD), improves brain health and decreases the risk of pathological symptoms associated with AD. Other recent reviews (Potter et al 2011, Pitkala et al 2013, Blankevoort et al 2010) have established that physical activity interventions can improve mobility and functional limitations in people with dementia. This is exemplified in a recent randomised control trial (Hauer et al 2012) involving physical activity which resulted in significant improvements in strength (p<0.001) and functional performance (p<0.001) in community dwelling adults with mild and moderate dementia. In a recent updated Cochrane review, Forbes et al (2013) concluded that structured physical activity (exercise) can have a positive influence on cognition and a person with dementia’s ability to undertake their ADL. In addition, a recent RCT (Lowry et al 2013) demonstrated that physical activity programme for people with dementia resulted in
a reduction of caregiver burden from 23% to 17% (p=0.01) whilst the burden doubled in the control arm.

Despite these positive findings, there remain concerns that community-dwelling adults with dementia are physically inactive (James et al 2012). A recent randomised control trial found that only 30.7% achieved the prescribed frequency of the physical activity intervention and the authors concluded that it is essential research is undertaken to identify factors influencing participation in physical activity in community dwelling adults with dementia (Lowry et al 2013). A systematic review of quantitative research would identify potential factors associated with physical activity and this information can be used to target future physical activity interventions for persons with dementia. One approach that is potentially useful to understand the factors associated with physical activity uptake is the socio-ecological model (Sallis et al 2006). This model posits that multiple levels may impact upon an individual’s participation in physical activity, including intrapersonal factors (e.g. socio-demographic or psychological) factors, interpersonal factors (e.g. social support); environment factors (e.g. access to facilities) and policy factors (e.g. regulations) (Sallis et al 2006, Bauman et al 2010). The socio-ecological model has been successfully used to identify influential factors in the uptake of physical activity within the general population (Sallis et al 2006, Bauman et al 2010), persons with mental illness (Vancampfort et al 2014). Given the promising benefits associated with physical activity for community dwelling adults with dementia, it is important to understand the multiple levels over which physical activity participation may be affected.

To our knowledge, no author has systematically reviewed the literature to establish the factors associated with physical activity participation in community dwelling adults with
dementia. This information would provide essential information that can be utilised by clinicians, researchers and policy makers. With this in mind we conducted a systematic review to establish quantitative correlates of physical activity participation in community dwelling adults with dementia utilising the socio-ecological approach.
2.1 Methods

2.2 Data Sources and Searches

Two independent reviewers conducted an electronic search of PsycINFO, CINAHL, PubMed and Embase from the inception of these databases until October 2013. The medical subject headings used were ‘physical activity’ or ‘exercise’ or ‘physical inactivity’ or ‘sedentary’ and ‘dementia’, or ‘Alzheimer’s disease’ or ‘vascular dementia’ or ‘frontotemporal dementia’ or ‘Lewy Body dementia’ in the title, abstract or index term fields. We also conducted manual searches of the reference lists of identified articles.

2.3 Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion criteria were: (a) studies published in a peer review journal providing quantitative data, (b) including community dwelling adults with a confirmed diagnosis of dementia (assessed with a structured clinical diagnostic interview, or the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV; APA 1995), or the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria (NINCDS-ADRDA, McKhann 1984) and (c) the dependent variable was a measure of physical activity participation. We did not place a restriction on the selection of the outcome measure or the language of manuscripts that were considered within the review. When we encountered cohort or interventional studies, we utilized the physical activity outcome measurement and correlates from the baseline data. Authors of all potential and included studies were contacted up to three times in order to obtain correlates if this information not given and in order to identify any potential additional correlates not reported in the paper.
Articles were excluded if the dependent variable was aerobic fitness, physical activity intention, motivation, self-efficacy or other non-behavioral measures because we aimed for variables that were a direct indicator of actual participation in physical activity. In addition, we excluded case reports, conference abstracts, qualitative research, reviews and expert opinion pieces. If studies were encountered that included a population with multiple diagnoses (for example mild cognitive impairment and dementia) or participants residing in mixed settings (e.g. nursing home residents and community dwelling participants) we contacted the primary author in order to provide us with the dementia specific information. If we did not receive a response after three requests and it was not possible to extract the information from the paper, we subsequently excluded the paper.

2.4 Data Collection

Two reviewers independently extracted data from the included studies using a predetermined form. The data extracted included socio-demographic information (mean age, % male), details of dementia diagnosis (including information on Mini Mental State scores if available) and the type and quality of the physical activity measure.

In accordance with previous reviews (Vancampfort et al 2014) we coded the quality of the self-reported physical activity measures as follows: (a) self-report with poor, unknown or not reported reliability/validity in dementia, (b) self-report with reported and acceptable reliability/validity in dementia, and (c) acceptable objective measurements for dementia. The categorization of the quality of physical activity measure was conducted by two reviewers and a third reviewer was available for guidance. We did not utilize a code for acceptability of objective based measures, rather we identified objective measures as motion sensors such as accelerometers, pedometers, actigraphs and the doubly labeled
water method (Warren et al 2010). In order to establish if the quality of physical activity measure had any effect upon the proportion of significant correlates in each study, we conducted a Chi squared test.

We classified all variables as ‘related’ or ‘not related’ to physical activity based upon statistical significance and the direction of the association for each related variable was coded. The data was summarized for each variable to provide an overview of the literature on each different variable (see table 1).

2.5 Selection and Categorization of Variables.

When we encountered studies based upon the same sample examining the same correlates, we included the most recent data and/or those with the largest sample size. This approach enables the identification of domains which have been explored in the literature and to elucidate the multidimensional perspective of potential influencing factors upon physical activity participation in older adults with dementia (Bauman et al 2010). In accordance with previous reviews (Vancampfort et al 2014) and following the socio-ecological model, we examined and grouped physical activity correlates within the following categories: (a) demographic, (b) biological, (c) psychological / cognitive / emotional, (d) behavioral attributes/skills, (e) social/cultural factors, (f) physical environment, and (g) policy factors.

2.6 Coding Associations with Physical Activity

Within the published papers we encountered a variety of statistical techniques to evaluate correlates of physical activity, including uni-/ bivariate analyses such as correlations, t-tests, and ANOVA. Sometimes, only multivariate analyses were reported,
including linear regression or logistic regression. In order to gain consistency whenever possible we used the uni/bivariate analysis available in the paper or those authors had provided us in response to our request for additional data. We contacted the corresponding author of all included studies requesting either (a) uni/bivariate correlation with physical activity as the dependent variable or (b) the raw data so that we could conduct the analysis. If the author did not respond after three requests we included the variables available in the paper. If these were not available in the paper or if authors did not respond to our email requests, we excluded the paper.

The information regarding the correlates of physical activity were collated and grouped under the socio-ecological themes in table 2. Within table 2 the column ‘related to physical activity’ denotes that there was a significant association with physical activity participation and the column ‘unrelated to physical activity’ denotes that there was a non-significant correlation to physical activity participation. For those correlates identified as being significantly associated with physical activity we employed the symbol ‘+’ or ‘-’ based upon whether or not it was positively or negatively associated with physical activity participation.

2.7 Summary Codes

A summary code for each correlate was calculated and presented in accordance with the established method of Sallis et al (2006). This summary code (see table 2) provides an overall reflection of the findings from the literature for the association of that variable with physical activity participation. We calculated total percentage of studies supporting or negating each correlate by dividing the number supporting an association by the overall number investigating each correlate. In accordance with previous reviews (Vancampfort et
al 2014) associations were coded with: ‘0’ (0-33% of studies supporting association); ‘?’ (34%-59% of studies supporting an association); or ‘+’ or ‘‐’ (60%-100% of studies supporting an association). When we encountered four or more studies supporting or refuting an association, we coded the summary as ‘00’, ‘‐‐’, or ‘++’. The ‘??’ code indicated a variable that was studied four (or more) times but that there was a lack of consistency in the findings.
3.1 Results

3.2 Study Selection

We identified 2,603 articles from the searches and following screening of these we obtained the full text of 118 articles to assess their eligibility. At this stage, we contacted 19 research groups requesting additional information on the correlates with physical activity and nine provided us with additional analysis and/ or provided their data set so we could conduct secondary analysis of their data set (See acknowledgements). Seven groups were not contactable or able/ willing to provide additional data and were subsequently excluded as there was not any information on the correlates with physical activity in the published paper. Three papers were still included as there was at least one correlate of physical activity reported in the published paper. In total, 106 articles were excluded with reasons and the complete search strategy is outlined in Figure 1. Twelve articles met the eligibility criteria and were included in the review.

Insert figure 1 about here

3.3 Participant and Study Characteristics

In total 752 unique adults with dementia were included within our analysis and most (n=671, 89%) had a diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease. It was possible to establish the percentage of males in 11 of the 12 studies and on average 48% of the samples were male (± 13%). The largest sample was the Allen et al (2006) study (n=156) whilst the smallest (n=24) was Cedervall et al (2012). All of the studies confirmed a diagnosis of dementia with the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria and/ or the DSM-IV. Seven studies adopted a cross sectional design (Allan et al 2006, Christofoletti et al 2011, David et al 2011, Dvorak & Poehlman 1998, Erickson et al 2013, Vital et al 2012, Watts et al 2013), four were cohort studies (Auyeung et
al 2008, Cedervall et al 2012, James et al 2012, Winchester et al 2013) and one was a randomized controlled trial (McCurry et al 2010). However all studies adopted cross sectional measurement of physical activity and the subsequent correlates. Four studies (33%; David et al 2011, Dvorak & Poehlman 1998, Erickson et al 2013, James et al 2012) captured physical activity with an objective measure, whilst the remaining 8 studies (66%) used a range of self-report measures to capture physical activity. None of the self-report physical activity measures were validated for use in community dwelling adults with dementia. Table 1 presents the characteristics of the included participants, the quality of physical activity assessment and statistical analysis undertaken.

We conducted a two tailed Chi squared test and found there was no statistical significant difference between the proportion of related correlates reported in studies utilizing objective or self-report physical activity measures (p=0.203).

3.4 Correlates of Physical Activity in community dwelling adults with Dementia

In total 38 correlates were examined within the literature across five of the domains of the socio-ecological model. A summary of the correlates with physical activity are presented in Table 2 and these will be considered below.

3.5 Demographic correlates

In total 6 demographic correlates were examined. The most commonly assessed correlate was the influence of age upon physical activity. Increasing age was not consistently associated with physical activity with only 2/8; (25%, James et al 2012, Erickson et al 2013)
finding a negative association between increasing age and physical activity. Education was examined in four studies and the results were inconsistent (2/4, 50%, Christofoletti et al 2011, Vital et al 2012 found a positive association). Evidence from three studies suggest that the duration of dementia does not appear to be associated with physical activity participation (1/3, 33% Vital et al 2012, found a positive association). Only one study investigated socioeconomic status, gender and ethnicity and none found any association with physical activity.

3.6 Biological correlates

In total 14 biological correlates were investigated. We did not identify any consistent correlates (reported in four or more studies), but there is evidence from two studies (100%, Auyeung et al 2008, Watts et al 2013) that faster gait speed/ better walking capacity is positively associated with participation in physical activity. There is inconsistent evidence that body mass index (BMI) is related to physical activity with 40% (2/5 studies, Auyeung et al 2008, Erikson et al 2013) finding an increased BMI was negatively associated with physical activity participation. There is an indication from the findings of single studies that global motor function, lower fat free mass, resting metabolic rate and higher energy intake are all positively associated with physical activity participation. One study (Allen et al 2006) found that dizziness was negatively associated with physical activity. Surprisingly, other biological correlates including lower limb strength, VO2 peak and balance were not related to physical activity although investigation of these were limited to one study.

3.7 Behavioural attributes and skills
Two correlates were studied within this category and the most commonly investigated variable was how a participant’s difficulty completing their activities of daily living (ADL) affected physical activity participation. This analysis established that 3 out of 5 studies (60%, Auyeung et al 2008, James et al 2012, Allan et al 2006) found that difficulties engaging in ADL was negatively associated with physical activity. There is some evidence from another study (Allen et al 2006) that a history of falls is negatively associated with physical activity.

3.8 Psychological, cognitive and emotional correlates

In total 15 correlates were analysed within this domain. We found consistent evidence from ten studies that lower global cognition is not related to physical activity participation with 90% (9/10, Dvorak & Poehlman 1998, McCurry et al 2011, Auyeung et al 2008, James et al 2012, Vital et al 2012, David et al 2011, Christofoletti et al 2011, Allan et al 2006, Cedervall et al 2012) finding no significant association. There is some evidence from two studies (Auyeung et al 2008, Allen et al 2006) that higher physical health related quality of life (QOL) is positively associated with physical activity. There were inconsistent findings regarding the influence of mental health related QOL whilst sleep and depression were not related to physical activity participation. There was some evidence from investigations limited to a single study that overall health related QOL and reduced apathy were positively associated with physical activity, whilst waking hours in the day and delirium were negatively associated with physical activity.

3.9 Social and cultural factors
Only one study (Allen et al. 2006) investigated a correlate within this domain and this study found that higher social functioning was positively associated with physical activity participation.

3.10 Physical environment and policy factor correlates

Within this systematic review we did not identify any study that investigated the influence of the physical environment or policy factors upon physical activity participation.
4.1 Discussion

To the authors’ knowledge this review is the first to detail the quantitative correlates of physical activity participation in community dwelling adults with dementia. We were able to identify significant correlates in four of the five domains across the socio-ecological model. Increased dietary (energy) intake, resting metabolic rate, fat free mass, gait speed, global motor function, overall HRQOL, physical HRQOL, higher levels of social functioning and reduced apathy were all positively associated with physical activity. In addition, taking four or more medications, dizziness, lower ADL function, a history of falls, less waking hours in the day, more autonomic problems and delirium were negatively associated with physical activity participation. However, most of these associations were only supported by findings in one or two studies and we did not find any consistently reported (in four or more studies) statistically significant correlates.

It is of interest that we found that increasing age, education and global cognition were not consistently associated with lower participation in physical activity. The finding from 8 studies that increasing age is not negatively associated with physical activity participation suggests that regardless of age community-dwelling adults with dementia can still engage in physical activity and therefore potentially obtain the multiple benefits associated with participation in it (Potter et al 2011, Pitkala et al 2013). Similarly, nine out of ten studies showed that lower global cognition is not associated with lower levels of physical activity in community dwelling adults with dementia. This is a somewhat surprising finding and a possible explanation could be that caregivers compensate with additional assistance to engage the person with dementia to be more active. A recent qualitative study of people with Alzheimer’s disease and their caregivers gave an insight into this
phenomenon and stressed the importance of dual involvement of the caregiver in order for physical activity participation to be successfully increased (Malthouse and Fox 2013). Clearly as the dementia advances the promotion of physical activity becomes more complex and it is imperative that caregivers are involved in order for physical activity uptake to be successful but this has also been demonstrated to result in reductions in burden (Lowry et al 2013). From this review, it appears that within dementia, other factors such as polypharmacy, difficulties in ADL and functional decline are more pertinent factors negatively associated with physical activity than cognition.

It is also of interest that HRQOL and in particular physical HRQOL are positively associated with physical activity but the results are limited to one and two studies respectively. A randomised controlled trial (Teri et al 2003) including community dwelling adults with Alzheimer’s disease established that a structured physical activity program improved physical HRQOL in the intervention group compared to the control group. The group undertaking the physical activity program also had lower levels of depression and improved physical function. The findings from our review are backed up by this RCT that physical HRQOL is a key factor associated with physical activity participation in dementia. Somewhat surprisingly, we found inconsistent results regarding the association between depression and physical activity.

Perhaps the most interesting biological correlate we identified is that higher gait speed and walking capacity were positively associated with physical activity (two studies). Whilst this may not be surprising, it is important, since variations in gait speed and in particular slow gait are associated with an elevated risk of falls (Callisaya et al 2010, Barak et al 2006) and it should be noted that falls are a travesty in community dwelling adults with
dementia (Wesson et al 2013). We also found evidence from one study that a history of falls was negatively associated with physical activity participation (Allan et al 2006). This highlights the challenge that clinicians face when older adults with dementia start to develop slow walking speed, their falls risk increases and they actually start falling. Within the general older adult setting, targeted physical activity programs are the most effective interventions to prevent falls (Sherrington et al 2008) yet their role of physical activity programs in preventing falls in community dwelling adults with dementia is unclear and warrants exploration (Wesson et al 2013).

Within the behavioural attributes domain of the socio-ecological model we found that older adults with dementia who experienced difficulty undertaking their ADL were significantly less active. The relationship between physical activity and ADL is well established in the general older population with a recent review establishing that increasing physical activity is effective in slowing the progression of ADL disability, frailty and ultimately dependency (Tak et al 2013). A recent Cochrane review established that physical activity can have a positive influence upon people with dementias ability to undertake their ADL (Forbes et al 2013). Whilst increasing difficulty participating in ADL is a key feature of the progression of dementia, it remains unclear if physical activity can prevent this progression. The current review demonstrates that difficulties with ADL and a history of falls were negatively associated with physical activity whilst a higher gait speed is positively associated with physical activity participation. Whilst it is not possible to make any claims regarding causation, current results do suggest the importance of maintaining physical activity in older adults with dementia particularly when the wider well established benefits of physical activity are considered.
Within the literature (Bilota et al 2012, Gray et al 2013) there has been an increased discussion regarding the importance of preventing the development of frailty. Frailty is related with a number of factors that we found were associated with physical activity including weight loss (energy intake), exhaustion, muscle weakness, slow walking and physical inactivity (Kulmala et al 2013). Preventing the development of frailty in community dwelling adults with dementia is vitally important since this is considered an independent predictor of death in outpatients with Alzheimer’s disease (Bilota et al 2012). In addition frailty and dependence in ADL are associated with nursing home admission (Gaugler et al 2009). Physical activity is an important component to prevent sarcopenia and frailty (Cooper et al 2012, Landi et al 2010) and so maintaining physical activity is clearly important. Whether increasing physical activity levels may actually prevent the development of frailty in community dwelling adults with dementia and postpone nursing home admission is purely speculative at this stage but warrants exploration in prospective longitudinal studies.

4.2 Strengths and limitations

We conducted a comprehensive systematic review to identify the multiple layers of factors over which physical activity participation is influenced. In order to reduce heterogeneity we only included participants only with a confirmed diagnosis with dementia according to recognised criteria and excluded those with mild cognitive impairment. In addition, nine research groups (out of 12) sent us their raw data and/ or conducted additional analysis on our behalf, thus our results go considerably beyond what is available in the published literature. However, it is important that the results of this review are interpreted with caution in view of a number of limitations. First, all of the studies collected physical activity and the accompanying correlates with a cross sectional measurement, thus
it is not possible to identify causal relationships but only refer to association. On the other
hand, others (Bauman et al 2010) have argued that this is also a strength enabling potential
mediators to be established for planning interventions and to enable the prioritisation of
target population groups. Secondly, two thirds of the studies within this review measured
physical activity with self-report outcomes that are of unknown reliability and validity in
dementia. Clearly there are concerns about the accuracy of the physical activity
measurement obtained from self-report in this population, but we did not find any
statistical difference in the proportion of correlates found in studies with studies using self-
report or objective measures. Third, dementia is a very heterogeneous group and most
within our review had a diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease (89%), but one study (Allan et al
2006) included participants with other known types of dementia and it is unclear how this
may have impacted the results of our review. Fourth, as previous authors have noted
(Bauman et al 2010, Vancampfort et al 2014) this approach to studying correlates only
enables the consistency of associations to be established and not the magnitude, thus meta-
analysis is rarely possible. Meta-analysis is also very difficult due to the heterogeneity in the
use of outcome measures, assessments tools and statistical procedures. Finally, most of the
included studies had relatively small sample sizes and included a limited number of
correlates.

4.3 Clinical overview and implications

This review offers relevant information for the stimulation of physical activity
participation in clinical practice and in clinical trials within community settings. It has
highlighted that participation in physical activity is complex and affected by multiple factors.
Future research endeavours should explore in RCTs whether the identified variables
associated with physical activity, in particular ADL, gait speed and dietary intake could reduce the onset of frailty, progression of dementia, functional dependence, and ultimately nursing home admission (Kulmala et al. 2013). At this stage, this link is purely speculative and theoretical but warrants investigation. We did not find any correlates within the domains of physical environment and policy factors of the socio-ecological model. This is not uncommon since these factors have been understudied in other populations; however their importance on physical activity levels cannot be underestimated (Bauman et al. 2010). It may be interesting to determine differences in physical activity participation between urban and rural settings or whether level of physical activity participation depends on coverage of physiotherapy programs by health care insurance. When conducting future correlate investigations of physical activity authors should seek to use appropriate measures to calculate physical activity and prioritise the use of objective measures.

It is known that carers and in particular family members are faced with many challenges including difficulty in encouraging people with dementia to engage in physical activity. Future research should investigate the influence of carers upon participation as this is likely to be essential but this was not established in our review. However, there is promising research that engaging in physical activity can reduce carer burden (Orgeta and Claudia Miranda-Castillo 2014). Future research should therefore seek to determine the amount and type of social support required to initiate or maintain physical activity in community dwelling adults with dementia. Previous research (Teri et al. 2003) has established the importance of social support from caregivers in engaging people with dementia in physical activity and this is likely to be influential in its uptake. Other professionals such as physiotherapists are likely to be able to provide advice on the
appropriate forms of physical activity that can be matched to the individual. This may be particularly useful when a person’s functional abilities decline, balance deteriorates and falls risk increases and it becomes important to encourage the participation in physical activity that is safe for the individual.

4.4 Conclusion

There are indications that difficulty in undertaking ADL, gait speed, dietary intake and physical HRQOL are important factors associated with physical activity participation. From the review we were able to determine that increasing age and lower global cognition do not appear to be negatively associated with participation in physical activity. However, we found no consistent correlates (reported in >4 studies) of factors associated with physical activity in community dwelling adults with dementia. With the mounting political and health interest in physical activity in dementia, it is integral that future research is required to investigate these and other correlates further with objective measurement of physical activity and prospective measurement being a priority.
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Figure 1. PRISMA (2009) flow diagram for search strategy
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- We investigated all factors associated with PA in people with dementia.

- Faster gait speed and improved function are positively associated with PA.

- Higher HRQOL & social functioning & reduced apathy are positively associated with PA.

- Polypharmacy, falls and lower ADL function are negatively associated with PA.

- However, we were unable to identify any consistent correlates (reported>4 studies).
Table 1. Characteristics of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Quality of PA</th>
<th>Statistical tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ref nr]</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Age (mean±SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allan et al</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>- 46 PDD</td>
<td>76.2±6.5 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td>- 32 DLB 40 AD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 38 VAD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diagnosis confirmed by 2, or if necessary, 3 clinicians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auyeung et al</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Diagnosed by psychiatrist in accordance to DSM-IV</td>
<td>All &gt; 70 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedervall et</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>73 years (55-79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>MMSE 25 (21-30)</td>
<td>range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diagnosis according to DSM-IV and NINCDS-ADRDA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Characteristics of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Quality of PA</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ref nr]</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Age (mean±SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christofoletti et al 2011</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>23 AD</td>
<td>76 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17 mixed dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19 VAD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DSM-IV and according to NINCDS-ADRDA / NINCDS-AIREN criteria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David et al 2011</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>AD*</td>
<td>77.5±6.7 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NINCDS-ADRDA criteria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MMSE 21.4±4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dvorak &amp; Poehlman 1998</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>74±8 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NINCDS-ADRDA criteria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MMSE 17±8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Characteristics of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Quality of PA</th>
<th>Statistical tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ref nr]</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Age (mean±SD)</td>
<td>% Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erickson et al</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>76.8±9.3 y</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>NINCDS-ADRDA criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James et al</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Clinical diagnosis with 3 stage</td>
<td>85.6±6.5 y</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>process, NINCDS-ADRDA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCurry et al</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>81.2±8.3 y</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>NINCDS-ADRDA criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MMSE 19.2±6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital et al</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>78.8±7 y</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>DSM-IV and CDR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MMSE 17±4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Characteristics of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Quality of PA</th>
<th>Statistical tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ref nr]</td>
<td>[N]</td>
<td>Diagnoses</td>
<td>Age (mean±SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts et al 2013</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Early stage AD</td>
<td>74.9±6.4 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NINCDS-ADRDA criteria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winchester et al 2013</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>81±6.54 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NINCDS-ADRDA criteria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: Physical Activity measurement grading: A=self-report of poor or unknown reliability/validity in people with dementia, B=self-report with acceptable reliability/validity in people with dementia, C= objective measure of physical activity

AD= Alzheimer’s disease, ANOVA=analysis of variance, ANCOVA analysis of covariance, BMI=body mass index, CDR= clinical dementia rating scale, DLB= dementia with Lewy bodies, DSM-IV= Diagnostic Statistical Manual American Psychiatric Association 4th edition, MDT= multidisciplinary team, MMSE mini mental state examination, NA = not available, NINCDS-ADRDA= National Institute for Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke-Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorder Association, PA=physical activity, PDD = Parkinson’s disease dementia, y= years, VAD= vascular dementia
Table 2. Summary of determinants of physical activity in patients with dementia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Determinant variable</th>
<th>Related to PA Study</th>
<th>Assoc.</th>
<th>Unrelated to PA Study</th>
<th>Summary code</th>
<th>Assoc.</th>
<th>% studies reporting assoc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of dementia (longer)</td>
<td>Vital et al 2012</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Christofoletti et al 2011, Allan et al 2006</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33% (1/3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td>Auyeung et al 2008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0% (0/1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Erikson et al 2013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0% (0/2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>Erikson et al 2013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0% (0/1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity (higher nr. of conditions)</td>
<td>McCurry et al 2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0% (0/1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat % (lower)</td>
<td>Dvorak &amp; Poehlman 1998</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0% (3/3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting metabolic rate (higher)</td>
<td>Dvorak &amp; Poehlman 1998</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy intake (higher)</td>
<td>Dvorak &amp; Poehlman 1998</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Summary of determinants of physical activity in patients with dementia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Determinant</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>V Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grip strength (higher)</td>
<td>Auyeung et al 2008</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0% (0/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait speed &amp; walking capacity (faster)</td>
<td>Auyeung et al 2008, Watts et al 2013</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>100% (2/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global motor function (higher)</td>
<td>James et al 2012</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>Allan et al 2006</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower limb strength</td>
<td>Watts et al 2013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2 peak</td>
<td>Watts et al 2013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>Watts et al 2013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications (&gt;4)</td>
<td>Allan et al 2006</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Behavioural attributes/ skills</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL function (lower)</td>
<td>Auyeung et al 2008, James et al 2012, Allan et al 2006</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60% (3/5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of falls</td>
<td>Allan et al 2006</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psychological, cognitive, emotional</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRQOL overall (higher)</td>
<td>Allan et al 2006</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>100 (1/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical HRQOL (higher)</td>
<td>Auyeung et al 2008, Allan et al</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>100% (2/2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Summary of determinants of physical activity in patients with dementia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>?</th>
<th>50% (1/2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental HRQOL (higher)</td>
<td>Allan et al 2006 +</td>
<td>Auyeung et al 2008 ?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia severity (higher)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Christofoletti et al 2011 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPI patient (lower scores)</td>
<td>Christofoletti et al 2011 +</td>
<td>Allan et al 2006 ?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPI caregiver (lower scores)</td>
<td>Allan et al 2006,</td>
<td>Christofoletti et al 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep (better)</td>
<td></td>
<td>McCurry et al 2011, David et al 2011 0</td>
<td>33% (1/3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression (higher)</td>
<td>Allan et al 2006 -</td>
<td>McCurry et al 2011, David et al 2011 0</td>
<td>33% (1/3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waking hours in day (less)</td>
<td>McCurry et al 2011 -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised Memory and Behavior Problem Checklist - Disruption scale</td>
<td></td>
<td>McCurry et al 2011 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomic symptom scale (higher=more problems)</td>
<td>Allan et al 2006 -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delirium</td>
<td>Allan et al 2006 -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apathy (lower)</td>
<td>David et al 2011 +</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social and cultural</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>Allan et al 2006 +</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100% (1/1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:**

Assoc=association; - = negative, + = positive; 0= no relation; ?= indeterminate, ++, --, 00 and ?? = four or more studies investigating a correlate.
Table 2. Summary of determinants of physical activity in patients with dementia

ADL function = activities of daily living functioning, BMI= body mass index, CAMCOG = The Cambridge Examination for Mental Disorders of the Elderly (Cognitive Section), CDR = clinical dementia rating scale, DLB = dementia with Lewy bodies, HRQOL = health related quality of life, NPI patient = neuropsychiatric inventory patient, NPI caregiver = neuropsychiatric inventory caregiver, PA = physical activity; PDD = Parkinson’s disease dementia,