Re: Defining Progression in Nonmuscle Invasive Bladder Cancer: It is Time for a New, Standard Definition: D. Lamm, R. Persad, M. Brausi, R. Buckley, J. A. Witjes, J. Palou, A. Böhle, A. M. Kamat, M. Colombel and M. Soloway J Urol 2014;191:20-27

Bryan, R T; Cheng, K K; James, N D; Zeegers, M P; Wallace, D M A

DOI:

[10.1016/j.juro.2014.01.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2014.01.011)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Bryan, RT, Cheng, KK, James, ND, Zeegers, MP & Wallace, DMA 2014, 'Re: Defining Progression in Nonmuscle Invasive Bladder Cancer: It is Time for a New, Standard Definition: D. Lamm, R. Persad, M. Brausi, R. Buckley, J. A. Witjes, J. Palou, A. Böhle, A. M. Kamat, M. Colombel and M. Soloway J Urol 2014;191:20-27', *International Journal of Urology*, vol. 191, no. 6, pp. 1930-1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2014.01.011>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked October 2015

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 26. Apr. 2023

1 **RE: DEFINING PROGRESSION IN NON-MUSCLE-INVASIVE BLADDER CANCER:**

2 **IT IS TIME FOR A NEW, STANDARD DEFINITION**

3 **RT Bryan^a, ND James^b, MP Zeegers^c, KK Cheng^d, DMA Wallace^e**

4
5 ^a School of Cancer Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK.

6 ^b University of Warwick, UK.

7 ^c Department of Complex Genetics, Cluster of Genetics and Cell Biology, NUTRIM School for
8 Nutrition, Toxicology and Metabolism, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, The
9 Netherlands.

10 ^d School of Health and Population Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK

11 ^e University of Western Australia, Fremantle Hospital, Fremantle, Perth, Western Australia.

12
13 **Word count: 236**

14
15 **Correspondence to:** Mr. RT Bryan, School of Cancer Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston,
16 Birmingham B15 2TT, UK. E: r.t.bryan@bham.ac.uk. T: +44 7785 396958.

We write in agreement with Lamm et al in proposing a new standard definition for progression in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC)¹. We too recognised that defining a state of progression before the development of muscle-invasion would be a useful tool for clinical trials in NMIBC. Consequently, in 2005 we went through a very similar process to Lamm et al when writing the protocol for the West Midlands' (UK) Bladder Cancer Prognosis Programme (BCPP, incorporating the SELENIB clinical trial), defining progression as "a recurrence with an increase in tumour grade from 1/2 to grade 3, or an increase in TNM stage, or the new occurrence of carcinoma *in situ* (CIS) in a bladder previously free from such lesions, or the new occurrence of multiple tumours following resection of a solitary tumour, or the need for a cystectomy because of refractory disease"³. Clearly, there are many similarities between our definition of progression for the purposes of BCPP/SELENIB and that proposed by Lamm et al, and we support their rationale and endeavour¹. As we have observed with the change in terminology from "superficial bladder cancer" to "NMIBC" over the last ten years or more^{4,5}, the key to adoption is utilising a term which is universally applicable and acceptable. In BCPP/SELENIB we have always qualified our definition of progression by describing it as "biological progression". Perhaps "biological progression" could be the term that best describes Lamm et al's new definition?

References

1. Lamm, D., Persad, R., Brausi, M., Buckley, R., Witjes, J. A., Palou, J. et al.: Defining Progression in Nonmuscle Invasive Bladder Cancer: It is Time for a New, Standard Definition. J Urol, 2013.
2. Wallace, D. M., Bryan, R. T., Dunn, J. A., Begum, G., and Bathers, S.: Delay and survival in bladder cancer. BJU Int, 89: 868, 2002.
3. Zeegers, M. P., Bryan, R. T., Langford, C., Billingham, L., Murray, P., Deshmukh, N. S. et al.: The West Midlands Bladder Cancer Prognosis Programme: rationale and design. BJU Int, 105: 784, 2010.
4. Bryan, R. T. and Wallace, D. M.: 'Superficial' bladder cancer - time to uncouple pT1 tumours from pTa tumours. BJU Int, 90: 846, 2002.
5. Bryan, R. T. and Wallace, D. M.: Have We Abandoned the "Superficial" in Bladder Cancer? Eur Urol, 2009.