Exploring variation in dimensions of obstetric forceps

Ismail, Abdul Qader T.; Ismail, Khaled M.k.

DOI:

[10.1016/j.ejogrb.2015.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2015.12.007)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Ismail, AQT & Ismail, KMK 2015, 'Exploring variation in dimensions of obstetric forceps', *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2015.12.007>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Eligibility for repository: checked 10/02/16

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Exploring variation in dimensions of Obstetric forceps

Author: Abdul Qader T. Ismail Khaled M.K. Ismail

PII: S0301-2115(15)00451-0

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.ejogrb.2015.12.007>

Reference: EURO 9225

To appear in: *EURO*

Received date: 26-11-2015

Accepted date: 10-12-2015

Please cite this article as: Ismail AQT, Ismail KMK, Exploring variation in dimensions of Obstetric forceps, *European Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Reproductive Biology* (2015), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2015.12.007>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Title page

Full title:

Exploring variation in dimensions of Obstetric forceps

Authors:

Abdul Qader T. Ismail¹ [MRCPCH], Khaled M K Ismail² [FRCOG]

Affiliation:

1- Birmingham Heartlands Hospital, Birmingham, West Midlands, B9 5SS, UK

2- Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, College of Medical and Dental

Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK.

Corresponding author:

Abdul Qader T. Ismail, **Address:** Birmingham Heartlands Hospital, Birmingham, West

Midlands, B9 5SS, UK **Email:** aqt.ismail@bnc.oxon.org, **Phone:** +44 121 424 2000

Despite increasing rates of caesarean section and introduction of vacuum extraction devices obstetric forceps still account for 6.6% of deliveries in England (1), with Neville Barnes' (NBF) and Wrigley's (WF) the most commonly used types in the UK. Neonatal complications of forceps assisted delivery include soft tissue trauma to the face and scalp (cuts and bruises), facial nerve injury, and less commonly depressed skull fractures and intracranial haemorrhage. Maternal complications include damage to nerves and soft tissue (vagina, perineum, and pelvic floor), which can cause genital prolapse and affect sphincter function leading to urinary and faecal incontinence (2). We believe dimensions of forceps play an important role in determining these outcomes, therefore we investigated the degree of consistency in dimensions between: a) different pairs of forceps of the same type, b) NBF and WF, and c) product specification between different manufacturers.

We measured the dimensions of 100 pairs of forceps (50 NB and 50 Wrigley's) at two UK hospitals [table 1]. Measurements included: [A] - length of handle and shank; [B] - blade length; [C] - greatest distance between the blades; [D] - distance between blade tips; and [E] - width of the blades [figure 1]. Five European manufacturers provided us with their specifications [table 1].

Our results showed variation in all recorded measurements in line with previous studies (3, 4). As in most obstetric units the instruments were accumulated over time from different manufacturers, and are seldom replaced due to their metal construction. Obstetric forceps are covered by the European Union Medical Device Directive 93/42/EEC (5), and while this requires surgical devices to comply with 'essential requirements', few of these apply to forceps (e.g. grade of surgical steel). There are no prescriptive or 'harmonized' standards for their dimensions and so each manufacturer uses individual specifications. It is not clear why dimensions have undergone changes over time but this probably happened secondary to

historical requests by obstetricians. This, combined with a 2-5mm acceptable margin of error during production (personal communication) are the most likely explanations for our findings.

Obstetric forceps were initially designed for use following protracted labour, with the fetal head still high within the birth canal, having undergone severe moulding (becoming flattened and elongated). This explains their design, with extended shanks and long blades with a shallow curve (inter-blade distance corresponding to the average BPD at 29-30 weeks gestation) (6), allowing further compression of the head to ease extraction (3, 4). Current obstetric practice prevents prolonged labour, head moulding is not as severe, and for deliveries where the fetal head is high, caesarean section is performed. During delivery every effort is made to avoid excessive compression of the fetal head. Nevertheless, it is not possible to guarantee this with the current forceps' dimensions and variability therein.

Therefore it is concerning that our measurements are similar to the original dimensions of NBF and WF [table 1]. While manufacturing specifications show a degree of evolution [table 1], paradoxically this will only increase variation due to the different specifications used by different companies, and as new forceps are incorporated into current stock. Moreover, while differences in dimensions of handle and shank length between NBF and WF is understandable, blade dimensions should not differ since they are both designed for term deliveries.

We believe it is time to redesign obstetric forceps based on biometrics of the fetal and neonatal head. The recent advent of 3D printing and modern properties of plastics should make it possible to mass-produce single-use forceps. This would ensure consistency of measurements more suited for the neonatal head, so reducing risk of complications for mother and baby.

Declaration of Interest statement

KI conceived the idea of Safeceps® (a regulated obstetric forceps that measures, displays and archives traction and compression forces). KI is a board director for Promedical Innovations, a university Spin-off that was set up to see the development and marketing of Safeceps®.

AQI reports no declarations of interest.

References

1. HSCIC. NHS Maternity Statistics - England, 2012-13. 2013
2. Keriakos R, Sugumar S, Hilal N. Instrumental vaginal delivery--back to basics. *J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2013;**33**:781-6
3. Hibbard BM, McKenna DM. The obstetric forceps--are we using the appropriate tools? *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1990;**97**:374-80
4. Forster FM. Robert Barnes and his obstetric forceps. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1971;**11**:139-47
5. EU. Medical Device Directive 93/42/EEC. 1993.
6. Kurmanavicius J, Wright EM, Royston P, *et al.* Fetal ultrasound biometry: 1. Head reference values. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1999;**106**:126-35

Table 1 Measurements of Neville Barnes' and Wrigley's forceps compared to original specifications and measurements of master instruments [2, 3], and current manufacturing specifications from a sample of European companies (anonymised) [personal communication].

	Neville Barnes' forceps			Wrigley's forceps		
	Original specification (mm)	Mean measurement (n=50) (mm)	Current manufacturing specifications (n=4) (mm)	Measurements of master instruments (mm)	Mean measurement (n=50) (mm)	Current manufacturing specifications (n=5) (mm)
A		220 (SD=12, range 200 - 245)	230		127 (SD=5, range 110 - 136)	125-145
B	171.0	163 (SD=7, range 153 - 177)	160-170	131 - 134	146 (SD=5, range 138 - 166)	130-160
C	76.0	83 (SD=4, range 73 - 93)	85-90	77 - 79	77 (SD=3, range 68 - 84)	80-95
D	25.4	24 (SD=3, range 17 - 31)	20-30	23 - 24	23 (SD=3, range 13 - 28)	20-30
E		53 (SD=3, range 46 - 57)	50-60		49 (SD=2, range 45 - 52)	50-60

Fig. 1 Graphical representation of obstetric forceps (created using Google SketchUp)