

Neo-villeiny and the service sector: The case of hyper flexible and precarious work in fitness centres

Harvey, Geraint

DOI:

[10.1177/0950017016638023](https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017016638023)

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Harvey, G 2016, 'Neo-villeiny and the service sector: The case of hyper flexible and precarious work in fitness centres', *Work, Employment & Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017016638023>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility: 01/03/2016

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Neo-Villeiny and the Service Sector: The Case of Hyper Flexible and Precarious Work in Fitness Centres

Geraint Harvey, Birmingham Business School, University of Birmingham B15 2TT

Carl Rhodes, University of Technology Sydney Business School, Ultimo, New South Wales 2007

Sheena Vachhani, School of Economics, Finance and Management, University of Bristol, Clifton BS8 1TU.

Karen Williams, School of Management, Swansea University, SA1 8EN.

Corresponding author: Geraint Harvey (g.harvey@bham.ac.uk)

Abstract

This article presents data from a comprehensive study of hyper flexible and precarious work in the service sector. A series of interviews were conducted with self-employed personal trainers along with more than 200 hours of participant observation within fitness centres in the UK. Analysis of the data reveals a new form of hyper flexible and precarious work that is labelled neo-villeiny in this article. Neo-villeiny is characterised by four features: bondage to the organisation; payment of rent to the organisation; no guarantee of any income; and extensive unpaid and speculative work that is highly beneficial to the organisation. The neo-villeiny of the self-employed personal trainer offers the fitness centre all of the benefits associated with hyper flexible work, but also mitigates the detrimental outcomes associated with precarious work. The article considers the potential for adoption of this new form of hyper flexible and precarious work across the broader service sector.

Keywords: gig economy; hyper flexibility; neo-villeiny; non-standard work; precarious work; service sector; and workplace flexibility.

Introduction

The ways that people are employed by organisations has, in recent years, undergone significant change. Chief amongst these has been a global shift away from the once standard employment model characterised by full time, permanent contracts, reasonable pay levels, good job quality and prospects for career progression. Increasingly and especially prevalent in the service sector, is employment based on temporary, short term, part time, informal job arrangements as well as various forms of self-employment and subcontracting (Mandl et al., 2015; ILO, 2015; OECD, 2015). Such work arrangements affect 75 percent of the global workforce (ILO, 2015) with 50 percent of the jobs created in the OECD since the mid-1990s being of this type (OECD, 2015: 15). In the US there has been a move towards what has been labelled the ‘gig economy’ – a positive sobriquet for flexible working associated with the free spirited musician – that denotes a work relationship that meets the specific and short term needs of the organisation but one that is devoid of commitment on the part of the organisation to the worker (see Friedman, 2014). In the United Kingdom there has been a large increase in ‘on-call’ work based on zero hours contracts replacing more standard types of employment (Mandl et al., 2015).

The nature of flexible employment such as the zero hours contract is that the employee is not guaranteed any work or pay, employers have complete discretion over the hours worked and paid for and it entails few of the employment rights

enjoyed by workers on more standard contracts. This mode of precarious employment has become known as ‘hyper flexible working’ whereby employers are able to make rapid changes to the size of their workforce, to the working hours of individual employees and to the employment costs incurred (see Rose, 2009; Reilly, 1998).

This article identifies a new form of hyper flexible work arrangement that combines high levels of worker flexibility with high levels of emotional labour and, ostensibly, organisational commitment from the worker; the latter having traditionally been seen as something sacrificed by the adoption of new forms of labour flexibility (Burgess et al., 2013). This new form of work is labelled neo-villeiny in that it reflects the defining characteristics of a villein, a work relationship that existed in medieval Britain between serfs and Lords. These characteristics are: bondage to the landlord/employer; payment of rent to the landlord/employer; no guarantee of any income (rent merely guarantees the opportunity to earn); and extensive unpaid and speculative work that is highly beneficial to the landlord/employer. Neo-villeiny is an extension of hyper-flexible work: one that creates new levels of worker precarity and exploitation. Crucially, it is one that de facto *requires* the worker to behave in a manner that displays a high level of organisational commitment, such as offering extensive and high calibre customer service.

The objectives of this article are first to describe the characteristics of neo-villeiny as a contemporary work arrangement; second to illustrate this with the empirical case of self-employed personal trainers (SEPTs); and third to consider the implications of this new form of work for both the fitness industry and for the service sector more generally. The next section of the article examines the defining characteristics of

medieval villeiny and develops the concept of neo-villeiny. Thereafter, the research methods used to investigate an occupational group that typifies the concept of neo-villeiny are explained. This is followed by an illustration of neo-villeiny based on empirical material generated by research into the fitness industry and the work of self-employed personal trainers (SEPT). The ways in which the work arrangements of the SEPTs involve different forms of worker exploitation are then considered in terms of the intellectual, aesthetic and emotional labour provided by them (see Harvey et al., 2014). The article concludes by pointing to some of the wider implications of this type of work for the extension of the capitalist exploitation of labour, specifically in the service sector.

Medieval Villeiny and Neo-Villeiny

Whereas it has long been established that flexible working provides tangible or quantitative benefits for the firm, it also comes furnished with downsides (Jahn et al., 2012). The lack of commitment on the part of the organisation to the employee that is manifest in hyper flexible work in particular is likely to be reciprocated by employees who will also demonstrate a lack of commitment towards the organisation (Burgess et al., 2013). This may be expressed in an absence of discretionary effort and organisational citizenship behaviours (Boxall and Purcell 2011: 222), or “resistant emotional misbehaviour”, whereby employees “self-consciously pursue interests that are known to be alternative to those of their employer” (Vincent 2011: 1376; see Ackroyd and Thompson, 1999). The absence of organisational commitment can be especially damaging in the service industry where high levels of discretionary and emotional labour are critical (Boxall and Purcell, 2011: 222; Hochschild, 1983;

Korczynski, 2002). The negative impact of hyper flexible work on employees invariably negatively affects service quality and customers' experience of their interaction with the service provider (see Heskett et al., 1997; Judge et al., 2001). The precariousness of hyper flexible work can also undermine continuance commitment, or the employee's assessment of the costs associated with leaving the firm (Meyer and Allen, 1991; Meyer et al., 2012), rendering organisations more susceptible to labour turnover (Somers 1995, 2009) and leading to the loss of tacit and explicit knowledge, skills and abilities and increased recruitment and training costs.

In response to these potential negative consequences, organisations are impelled to find work arrangements that allow them to enjoy the benefits of hyper flexibility without sacrificing employee commitment. One such new arrangement, defined in this article as neo-villeiny, blurs the distinction between employed and self-employed workers, such that self-employed workers carry out many of the activities formerly carried out by employees. In effect they act as representatives of the organisation just as would an employee. Before elaborating on this, however, it is first necessary to describe the medieval villeiny on which this idea is based. An important turning point in feudalism in Britain occurred in the middle of the twelfth century with the differentiation between free peasants (or freemen) and villein peasants. The former were under the jurisdiction of the Crown and the latter subject to the power of lords and their manor courts. This change gave the Crown "a social basis upon which its jurisdiction could be distinguished from the domestic powers of the lords" as well as being "necessary for the intensification of the exploitation of villein peasants on customary tenures by the lord of the manor" (Kennedy, 2008: 71).

The medieval villein was bonded to the landlord as “part of the landlord’s agricultural equipment” (Harding, 2001: 224) and paid a rent to the landlord in order to use the lord’s land (see Kanzaka, 2002; Dyer, 2002; Miller and Hatcher, 1978). The arrangement between the villein and the landlord required the villein to work on the lord’s land in addition to the work they performed on their rented plot. The landlord was in no way obliged to compensate the villein should the villein encounter a bad harvest. Moreover, the villein had no protection under the law if he was evicted by the lord and had no right to claim damages (Miller and Hatcher, 1978). Legally the villein could not leave the service of his lord with the only way of severing feudal relations being to abscond (Antony, 1977). Such an arrangement very much favoured the lord because “the very dependence of the villeins enabled lords to insist that their holdings were kept intact or nearly so in order that they would be capable of sustaining families that would provide the reservoir of labour the lord *might* need” (Miller and Hatcher, 1978: n.p. italics added).

From the discussion above it is possible to distil four key characteristics of medieval villeiny: i) bondage to the lord; ii) payment of rent to the lord to work the land; iii) insecurity due to the lack of a guaranteed crop outcome; and iv) the provision of extensive, unpaid, speculative work. In one sense the idea of the villein can appear archaic, relying as it does on out-dated notions of serfdom, servitude and slavery (see Cooke, 2003), underscored by inequities under the law that no longer exist in the West at least. Such forms of work relationship might well be relegated to a pre-capitalist history where freedom was not the legal right of all persons: where feudal society dictated the relations between nobles and the peasantry. Nevertheless, on closer examination, it is possible to imagine that the structure of feudal relationships

can survive or reappear in different forms within capitalism over time as a means to 'solve' managerial problems that restrict the amount of surplus that can be extracted from labour. While of course not exactly the same as the medieval concept, there are elements in present day forms of the work relationship that share important characteristics with the relationship between the lord and his villein.

The defining characteristics of villeiny can be identified in contemporary capitalism in a scaled down format in the relationship between the owner of capital and certain workers (the neo-villeins). While the socio-economic and political context between the two eras is radically different, the structure that sustains exploitation has enough similarities to be able to apply the notion of neo-villeiny. First, the neo-villein is bonded to an organisation and to the physical resources possessed by that organisation. The bond under capitalist neo-villeiny is to the means of service 'production'. Importantly this differentiates neo-villeiny from modern day slave labour. While both are a form of bondage, for the neo-villein the subjugation is less tangible as it is ensconced in the right to access capital resources to provide a service. Moreover, for the neo-villein the arrangement can include the personal perception that s/he is economically better off, or at least potentially so, than if they engaged in other working arrangements. Bondage for the neo-villein also represents a form of continuance commitment that constrains, circumscribes and in the extreme case curtails choice. The neo-villein is bonded to a workplace because of the actual or potential benefits of remaining therein, their inability otherwise to secure their own capital resources and the high financial cost of leaving.

Second, the neo-villein must pay some form of rent to the organisation in order to secure the opportunity of work. While the medieval villein paid rent in the form of produce, animals, fees and fines in return for a tenured landholding (Forgeng and McClean, 2009: 16) the neo-villein may pay cash rent or engage in beneficial activities as a form of rent in kind in return for the use of premises, physical resources and capital equipment. The neo-villein requires resources which they cannot acquire independently in order to provide economic value from their labour - forming the basis of the 'work relationship' with the organisation. The rent gives the neo-villein the right to use the organisation's resources in predefined ways; it is up to him or her to deploy those resources in ways that will generate an income.

Third, the neo-villein suffers insecurity due to the lack of a guaranteed outcome from their labour. Neo-villeiny is a contractual relationship characterised by wage insecurity in that the contract sees no income directly flowing from the organisation to the worker. The rent paid only grants the worker an opportunity for the self-generation of income. For the medieval villein the arrangement was such that "once he had paid what he owed and done those services incumbent on him, what remained of the fruits of his land and his labour were his own" (Miller and Hatcher, 1978: xi). The same holds for the neo-villein albeit under capitalist conditions the neo-villein is a non-capital owning entrepreneur whose income comes from whatever surplus s/he can generate from income over and above the rent that they are obligated to pay the organisation.

Fourth, the neo-villein must engage in extensive unpaid and speculative work that does not necessarily guarantee them an income but which is highly beneficial to the

organisation. In medieval times, while “rent was an important feature of villeinage [...] labour services were its defining feature” (Bailey, 2014: 48). Similarly, the neo-villein pays a rent for access to capital resources to be used in the generation of income but also engages in other forms of productive labour for the organisation for which they receive no direct financial reward. These forms of productive labour can be many and varied involving intellectual, physical and emotional labour. The organisation thereby benefits from a low cost and highly flexible worker, but it is also able to exploit the full range of its worker’s human capital.

Elements of neo-villeiny can be observed across the contemporary service sector, for example in the work of the self-employed hairstylist who rents space and facilities in a beauty salon (see Cohen, 2010), in sex work in legal brothels (Wagenaar, 2006) or even in the relationship between cabin crew and the European ultra-low fares airline Ryanair. In the latter case, for example, the work relationship is mediated by an agency whereby cabin crew must pay the significant cost of training in order to work at the airline (Harvey , 2012). As outlined earlier the objectives of this study are to define characteristics of neo-villeiny and map historical notions of the villein onto contemporary service work. The context of personal trainers provides a useful case to illustrate different dimensions of neo-villeiny. The article explores how the frame of neo-villeiny sheds light on the dark side of changing flexible work arrangements that offer autonomy on their surface but shift financial and other responsibilities to the worker and which present an ominous future for service work. Thus, the focus of this article is an example of neo-villeiny where the work arrangements conform to all of the characteristics of neo-villeiny. This example, to which the article now turns, is

based on a comprehensive study of the work of self-employed personal trainers (SEPT).

Data Collection Methods

The data used to illustrate neo-villeiny comes from an ethnographic study (see Atkinson et al, 2002) conducted between February 2009 and April 2011 in two fitness centres in South Wales, UK. In large part the fieldwork was comprised of participant observation at the two fitness centres (cf. Sassatelli, 1999; Sparkes, 2009; van Maanen, 1998) and semi-structured interviews with SEPTs, some of whom worked at the sites of observation while others worked at alternative fitness centres in South Wales. This methodology facilitated an examination of the social organisation of SEPTs and an investigation of their working lives in context and in their everyday accomplishment (Atkinson et al., 2002; Clifford and Marcus, 1986; Hammersley and Atkinson, 2007).

The focus on the emotional and aesthetic dimensions of the interaction between SEPTs and clients of the fitness centres meant that ethnographic methods, especially the use of observation, were the most appropriate in order to understand the nature of the work as it was conducted in relation both to the site (fitness centre) and the (potential) client. The semi-structured interviews concentrated on the realities of work for the SEPT, allowing an exploration of the different dimensions of neo-villeiny. As far as it was practicable to do so all interviews followed the same schedule whereby participants were asked general questions about their experience of work and then encouraged to think more specifically about the qualities that were needed to become

a successful SEPT. This enabled a discussion of issues of importance to the respondents that they themselves raised during the interview (Fontana and Frey, 1994; Kvale, 1996; Mason, 2002; Rubin and Rubin, 1995).

A total of 17 interviews were conducted with male and female SEPTs ranging in age from 21 to 40. The interviews were conducted outside of the fitness centre and lasted between 45 minutes and two hours (see Table 1). The interviews were held towards the end of the observation period so that the interviewer was able to discuss findings from the observation with the interviewees. These data were especially important for understanding the frequent interactions between SEPT and fitness centre members and their rationale for exercising within the fitness centre where the SEPT operated. The interviews were transcribed and then manually coded by theme and sub-theme in order to identify key terms, behaviours, events and activities (Charmaz, 2006; Coffey and Atkinson, 1996). Over 200 hours of observation were carried out at the two fitness centres. The first fitness centre, Gym 1, was part of a national chain and at the time of study accommodated more than 5,000 members. The number of SEPTs operating at Gym 1 increased from five to 15 during the period of study. The second fitness centre, Gym 2, was a smaller independent business with approximately 500 members and 5 SEPTs.

Being a member of both fitness centres allowed one of the research team to observe the SEPTs at work (see Buchanan et al., 1988). Field notes were taken unobtrusively during light workouts undertaken by the researcher that formed the observation periods. Workout sessions lasted no longer than one hour so that the researcher's time at the fitness centre was not noticeably different from the average time a member

would spend there. The research activity was thus highly participatory (see Adler and Adler, 1987). The field notes recorded visual aspects of the work and also anecdotal instances or ‘critical incidents’ (Brandt, 1972) of the SEPTs’ use of aesthetic capital, for example. These notes are, of course, subject to the observer’s “conscience, understanding and interpretation” (Coffey, 1996: 66; see also, Emmerson et al., 2011). Permission to undertake the research was sought from management at both research sites and all the SEPTs operating in each site were also aware of the presence of the researcher and the purpose of the study.

TABLE 1 NEAR HERE

The Self-Employed Personal Trainer (SEPT) as a Neo-Villein

Personal trainers can either be employed directly by a fitness centre or self-employed, however, the study participants all viewed the former merely as a precursor to the latter. Many of the respondents cited either (or in some cases both) the absence of control over one’s schedule or the potential to increase their income as a reason why they exchanged employment in the fitness industry for self-employment in that same industry. Whereas the employed personal trainer receives a wage from the fitness centre, they must surrender a sizeable portion of a client’s fee, paid in exchange from a personal training session, to the fitness centre (up to around 65 percent of the fee). Under conditions of self-employment the self-employed personal trainer (SEPT) receives the whole fee paid by the client for their services, but the fitness centre benefits from the unique characteristics of what was delineated earlier as neo-villeiny.

To explore and explain further the article now considers the work of the SEPT in relation to the four characteristics of neo-villeiny.

Bondage and SEPT work

The first characteristic of neo-villeiny is that the workers are bonded to an organisation and to the physical resources possessed by that organisation. A consistent theme throughout the interviews was the SEPTs' perceived dependence upon (or bondage to) the fitness centre. This dependence was expressed by SEPTs in their definition of the fitness centre both as a source of potential clients and a space in which to work with them. Each of the gym members represented a potential client for the SEPT and the fitness centre was thus an ideal context for the SEPTs to generate work. The interview data shows how all the study participants recognised the importance and even the necessity of using the fitness centre in order to advertise their services. The ability to interact well with the members of the fitness centres was also widely considered to be fundamental to the success of their business (Harvey et al., 2014). Alfie, for example, phrased it in this way: "you have to be out there with clients, booking taster sessions and getting known" (interview notes, May 2009). Alfie claimed to be "in work" for around 60 hours per week of which "30 hours is personal training. The rest is being around, talking to people, getting to know them. That's what gets you business". (Interview notes, May 2009) A consistent feature of the observation was the "friendly SEPT" actively engaging members in conversation as a means of promoting their services. The "super-fit SEPT" also displayed their physical capital with the promise of what might be achieved under their tutelage (this is further explored below).

Bondage to the fitness centre was also expressed in discussion of the difficulties of working outside the fitness centre. Sally spoke of her attempt to set up a “network of personal trainers throughout Wales”, independent of fitness centres, with exercise being conducted either within the home or on public ground. This business venture had, however, failed due to logistical challenges and because the business was unable “to attract enough clients” (Interview notes, April 2009). Similarly, Phil spoke of the obstacles to operating a personal training business outside the fitness centre in the mode of British Military Fitness (BMF), where ex-armed services personnel conduct armed forces style fitness sessions outdoors to groups of clients. He commented: “that’s the way forward. Group your clients together so they pay less and you get paid more per hour” (Interview notes, May 2009). However, Phil acknowledged the difficulties of doing this for a single operator without the financial capital for marketing or an established brand. He explained the problems of operating without the constant access to a potential client base in the fitness centre. As he put it: “it’s more difficult to get people [attract clients] outside the gym – people go to the gym for a reason”. (Interview notes, May 2009)

Bondage for the SEPT may be seen as a form of continuance commitment that binds them to the fitness centre because of the benefits available within it and the costs of operating outside of it. Although they were all theoretically free to terminate their relationship with the fitness centre at any point, such a decision would have meant surrendering an integral and invaluable source of clientele and income. The clients themselves were also bonded to the fitness centre for a variety of reasons such as convenience, satisfaction or a membership contract and would have been reluctant to move elsewhere should the SEPT have ended their relationship with the fitness centre.

Consequently, bondage for the SEPT was enforced through the relationship between their client and the fitness centre.

Rents and SEPT work

The second characteristic of neo-villeiny is that the worker must pay some form of rent to the organisation in order to secure the opportunity of work. In order to operate within a fitness centre (that is to use the facilities and equipment and, more importantly, gain access to a potential client base) a SEPT must pay rent to the fitness centre in order to ‘work-the-land’. At Gym 1, rent was set at between £350 and £450 per calendar month, depending on the range and extent of activities undertaken by the SEPT on behalf of the fitness centre. Rent at Gym 1 could be reduced if the SEPT agreed to undertake nominated activities for the fitness centre such as conducting exercise classes, inducting new members, staffing the reception area, basic equipment maintenance and even cleaning, or as Sally put it: “We all do a bit of cleaning... We all do class instruction in the studio.” (Interview notes, April 2009). In reducing the monetary rent paid by the SEPT, these activities represented a system of rent payment “in kind”, as consistent with the concept of medieval villeiny outlined earlier.

Rent in kind was most obvious at Gym 2 where SEPTs were given the opportunity to forego monetary rent if they carried out a full and extensive range of such activities. The reception area at Gym 2 was often staffed entirely by SEPTs rather than employees and it was common to observe SEPTs tidying away exercise equipment such as dumbbells and weight plates at both fitness centres and engaging members in conversation as they did so. Importantly, by carrying out these activities the SEPT

eroded employment for other workers in the fitness industry as, in some cases, paid staff were no longer required to carry out these roles.

Insecurity of Income and SEPT work

The rent paid by SEPTs guaranteed them the opportunity to attract clients but did not guarantee them any income. Phil was keen to point out that this fee did not generate business in itself; it merely provided the opportunity for the SEPT to attract clients who might then solicit their services. Usually this service comprised of a series of one-to-one sessions where the SEPT devised an individual exercise programme and motivated the client to achieve exercise goals. The sessions were normally either thirty minutes or one hour in duration. In Gym 1 the amount charged per session was the standardised personal training rate set by the gym for all SEPTs: £15 per half hour and £25 per hour. Notably, the fees charged by the SEPTs dramatically increased the client's expenditure on fitness over and above what they paid for gym membership. For example one single half hour session per month with a personal trainer at Gym 1 doubled a member's monthly fitness expenditure (the membership cost was £15 per month). As personal training sessions often occurred weekly the cost implications and the financial disincentives of using the service provided by the SEPTs are clear. This demonstrated the precarious and often seasonal nature of the fitness instructor's work and also highlighted the difference between the SEPT and other self-employed service workers such as hair stylists. Simply put, the fitness centre client was able to exercise without the paid assistance of the SEPT, whereas it is uncommon for the average customer of the hair salon to enter the premises and style their own hair.

The seasonal nature of demand for personal training also posed a problem for the SEPTs. One interviewee operating at Gym 1, Phil, put it this way:

‘Before I make any money each month I have to cover around £400 for rent, insurance and stuff. That means I have to instruct 10 clients before I break even... It’s fine after Christmas – loads of people trying to stick to their New Year’s resolutions. It’s really tough in December though because everyone’s partying... not in the gym.’ (Interview notes, May 2009).

Nancy explained the instability and insecurity in work opportunities in this way: “personal training tends to come in peaks and troughs. New Year – everyone’s throwing money at you. Now, summer holidays, everyone wants personal training. Then you have months in between with nothing.” (Interview notes, June 2009)

Unpaid and Speculative Work and the SEPT

SEPTs were able to reduce the rent they pay to the fitness centre by engaging in additional activities that would otherwise be carried out by unskilled staff. However, rent reduction was not the sole reason why SEPTs undertook these additional responsibilities. Indeed it was clear from both the interviews and the observation that SEPTs engaged in what might in different circumstances be considered to be discretionary effort.

The research participants stated that they had undertaken certain activities not because doing so would reduce their cash rent payment, but because it would give them the opportunity to interact with potential clients. For Joe this involved offering unsolicited guidance to members on posture and form between sessions with paying

clients. Joe used humour to establish a rapport with clients. He told us: “I’d say ‘do it this way because if you don’t I’ll need to call an ambulance’. Get them laughing. Get them onside.’ (Interview notes, April 2009). Sally identified the importance of conducting exercise classes since: “that’s where you pick up extra clients because they relate to you as an instructor.” (Interview notes, April 2009) Likewise Phil talked of being happy to do most things with the exception of cleaning the toilets because these activities usually brought him into contact with potential clients (Interview notes, May 2009). During observation at Gym 2 Malcolm was regularly seen ‘spotting’ members – ‘spotting’ involved assisting a gym member by enabling them to lift heavy weights and exercise to failure without the risk of injury and was thus viewed as an extremely useful and valuable activity by the members. This kind of unpaid and speculative activity was performed solely as a marketing exercise for the SEPT but importantly these activities were also intrinsically beneficial to the fitness centres in terms of providing good customer service.

The unpaid and speculative work of the SEPT, understood by many as a necessity in order to attract clients (as explained above), represents a variation of what Standing (2011) refers to as work-for-labour, or unpaid labour, which was required by the job. According to Standing, work-for-labour is complementary activity that is not recognised financially but which is necessary in order to get the job done. It is noteworthy that the work-for-labour of the SEPT required the exploitation of a wide range of different forms of human capital, especially intellectual and physical capital, but most importantly emotional capital, as discussed below.

Neo-Villeiny, Work-for-Labour and Human Capital

In terms of intellectual capital, a degree of codified expertise is needed, for example, the successful completion of Level 3 Certificate in Personal Training or Level 3 NVQ Diploma in Personal Training that included modules on anatomy and physiology, health, safety and principles of exercise (OCR Fitness Instructing and Personal Training Qualifications), in order to operate as a personal trainer. In the course of customer interactions SEPTs were keen to showcase their expertise of anatomy or fitness so as to demonstrate the extent of their intellectual capital. By using intellectual capital, defined as “knowledge and knowing capability” (Nahapiet and Ghoshal, 1998: 245), the SEPT conveyed the quality and quantity of their fitness expertise to the client. Examples included their understanding of the human body and the safe, appropriate and most effective use of fitness equipment.

As a consequence of the powerful commercial rationale for the SEPT to ensure that their knowledge was current, the fitness centre did not need to incentivise SEPTs to maintain their knowledge, skills and abilities with knowledge contingent pay. Nor did it need to provide SEPTs with any training. Continued membership of professionalised trade associations such as the Register of Exercise Professionals (REP) was subject to meeting the “continuing professional development” (CPD) requirements whereby members had to attain 24 CPD points every two years. Points were received for completing online training through the REP websiteⁱ. With this program professional requirements guaranteed voluntary training and skills upgrading by the SEPTs to maintain their membership.

This enhancement and demonstration of intellectual capital represents work-for-labour as the advice provided to members of the fitness centre was not directly

remunerated by the centre nor did it necessarily result in remuneration from clients. Nevertheless, it benefited the fitness centre as members had access to qualified and invariably enthusiastic experts whose presence in the fitness centre was perceived as a perk of membership. In this way the SEPT acted to encourage the member to maintain their membership with the fitness centre.

Work-for-labour in this context also incorporated an aesthetic dimension. The concept of physical or embodied capital emphasises the idea that the body itself is a form of capital (Shilling, 1991), which can confer advantage or disadvantage over others (Bourdieu, 1986). Physical capital is linked to the concept of aesthetic labour or the commodification of physical presence where the aesthetic labourer leases their ‘embodied competencies’ (Warhurst et al., 2000) to the firm. The aesthetic labour of the employee is beneficial to the firm because the employee is constructed as a ‘walking billboard’ (Zeithaml and Bitner, 1996) for the business. Warhurst and Nickson’s (2009) findings of the importance for workers in the service industry to ‘look good’ and ‘sound right’ is especially true of the fitness industry. Through their physical capital the SEPT demonstrated the benefits of belonging to a particular fitness centre. Aesthetic labour was also extremely important for the SEPT’s own business; their adornment, physique and physical capacity were all key factors in their success in attracting clients (Harvey et al., 2014). As Deirdre put it: “if you have all the [relevant fitness] knowledge, but you’re an 18 stone PT ... [clients] aren’t going to start with you because they see the way you look and think I don’t want to look like that”. (Interview notes, April 2009)

Both Gym 1 and Gym 2 appropriated the physical capital of the SEPTs who operated within the premises by requiring them to wear a standardised fitness centre uniform polo shirt. The words “Personal Trainer” appeared on the back of the polo shirt which meant that the SEPTs were consequently indistinct from one another and indistinguishable as independent entrepreneurs. Although self-employed, the SEPTs operating within both fitness centres *appeared* to be employed by the fitness centres themselves.

The fitness centre also benefited from the emotion capital of the SEPT made manifest through their emotional labour. Observation at both sites revealed the great effort made by the SEPTs to engage with fitness centre members by offering advice or, more often, friendly conversation. For the SEPT, refusal to provide appropriate emotional labour or engaging in what Vincent (2011) refers to as ‘resistant emotional misbehaviour’ would have been highly detrimental to their own personal/professional interests as well as to those of the fitness centre. If they contravened what are commonly referred to as the emotion rules of the business (see Noon and Blyton, 2007: 180), the SEPT risked ostracising potential clients from whom they derived their income. Their adherence to emotional norms was thus enforced not by the commercial rules (see Bolton and Boyd, 2003) of the fitness centre but by the self-determined and self-enforced internalised rules that influenced the success of their own business.

Emotional labour was a recurrent theme in all of the interviews revealing the widespread perception of its importance to the success of the SEPT. For example, Sally claimed that: “You need to talk to people, to get to know them ... You have to

show an interest in them ... The personality is very important. They always say that people don't buy the product, they buy the person" (Interview notes, April 2009). Similarly Alfie made the point that: "... if you're a good PT then you get referrals, but if you've got a good personality and you're approachable and you're good at approaching people then you'll get business" (Interview notes, May 2009). Interviewees shared the belief that enthusiastic interactions with fitness centre members enhanced their success as a SEPT. Thus this provision of emotional labour and high calibre customer service directly benefited not only their own business interests but also those of the fitness centre.

The emotional aspect of work-for-labour is crucial to neo-villeiny as a new form of work. Hyper flexible work relationships demonstrate a lack of commitment on the part of the employer to employees and workers contracted in this way are likely to reciprocate with a lack of organisational commitment. This lack of organisational commitment may be manifest in the absence of discretionary effort or in emotional indifference and/or emotional misbehaviour. Such a response is extremely hazardous to the success of the firm especially within the service sector. The neo-villein work model helps to bridge this schism by representing a form of hyper flexible working where the normal trade-off between flexibility/ low costs and lower service quality is overcome. This type of hyper flexible working produces workers who willingly shoulder all the insecurities and risks of self-employed status while also providing an ancillary revenue stream for the organisation and high calibre services to customers. In sum, the benefits to the organisation are high, while the risks are low.

Conclusions

This article began by highlighting the global shift away from standard employment and towards non-standard forms of employment. Hyper flexible working is a manifestation of non-standard work *in extremis*. Whereas advocates identify the advantages of hyper flexible working for the firm in terms of temporal, numerical and financial flexibility, these must be weighed against the disadvantages for the firm in offering precarious work including the negative impact on organisational commitment and its consequences such as emotional misbehaviour which is particularly damaging in service sector work.

Neo-villeiny represents a new form of hyper flexible and precarious work that unlike other variants of non-standard work, offers organisations considerable and unequivocal benefits. It combines all the benefits to business of hyper flexible working (such as reduced labour costs and increased managerial discretion) together with those benefits commonly associated with high levels of organisational commitment of workers such as extensive discretionary effort and high calibre emotional labour. Moreover, the neo-villein also contributes financially to the firm, not only in terms of unpaid labour, but also in the payment of rents to the organisation in order to be able to work there.

Due to the unequivocal and unilateral benefit of neo-villeiny to the organisation, it is important to comment on the prospects for wider diffusion of this model in the broader service sector. It is important to point out that the case of the SEPT as the archetypal neo-villein is unique. Many of the participants in this study willingly surrendered their former employment contract with fitness centres in favour of self-

employment in order to realise the potential, although evidently not inevitable, benefits of becoming ‘entrepreneurs’. In this way, the SEPTs are complicit in their own exploitation and fitness centres are spared any conflict that otherwise might have ensued were employment contracts for workers to be unilaterally withdrawn by the employers.

However, forms of self-employment or entrepreneurialism have already been used to replace former employment contracts sometimes referred to as the rising ‘gig economy’. Moreover, with the increase in the ranks of the self-employed that now constitute around 15 per cent of the total UK workforce (ONS 2014), firms already have a sizeable hyper flexible workforce to draw upon. The potential ‘benefits’ of entrepreneurialism are being promoted throughout the economy as the new (and often the only) way to generate jobs and income in the future. The retail sector, for example, is a leader in the fragmentation of work and is experimenting with a variety of options for using cheaper labour and deploying it only as needed (Carre et al, 2010: 259). The long term sustainability of the sector’s strategies are being called into question based, as they currently are, on cost cutting and maintaining market share with service and efficiency goals on the one hand using a workforce characterised by high turnover, low skills and low commitment on the other (ibid). In this context then, there is great potential for management to form neo-villeiny that reconciles the costs associated with the prevailing low road approach to employment.

The existence of new levels of work precarity and exploitation extends the possibility for capitalist exploitation of labour in the service sector and offers a seductive solution to managerial problems. While this article has focused on a form of hyper

flexibility in fitness centres, neo-villeiny is certainly feasible across the service industry and thus provides a dubious blueprint for management and postulates an ominous outlook for the future of work and employment in the service sector.

Acknowledgements

Our thanks to Alan Felstead, Paul Edwards, Marek Korczynski, Scott Taylor, the editor and three anonymous reviewers for their input into this project.

References

- Ackroyd S, Thompson P (1999) *Organizational Misbehaviour*. London: Sage.
- Adler PA, Adler P (1987) *Membership Roles in Field Research*. London: Sage.
- Antony PD, (1977) *The Ideology of Work*, London: Tavistock.
- Atkinson P, Coffey A, Delamont S, Lofland J, and Lofland L (eds) (2002) *Handbook of Ethnography*. London: Sage.
- Bailey M (2104) *The Decline of Serfdom in Late Medieval England*. Woodbridge: Boydell Press.
- Bolton SC, Boyd C (2003) Trolley Dolly or Skilled Emotion Manager? Moving on from Hochschild's Managed Heart. *Work, Employment and Society*, 17(2): 289-308.
- Bourdieu P (1986) The forms of capital. In Richardson, JE, (ed) *Handbook of theory of research for the sociology of education*. New York, NY: Greenwood Press, 241–258.
- Boxall P, Purcell J (2011) *Strategy and Human Resource Management*, Basingstoke: Palgrave.
- Brandt RM (1972) *Studying behaviour in natural settings*. New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

Brinkley I (2013) *Flexibility or insecurity? Exploring the rise in zero hours contracts*, London: The Work Foundation.

Buchanan D, Boddy D, and McCalman J (1988) Getting in, getting on, getting out and getting back, in Bryman A (ed), *Doing Research in Organizations*. London: Routledge.

Burgess J, Connell J, and Winterton J (2013). Vulnerable workers, precarious work and the role of trade unions and HRM. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(22), 4083-4093.

Carre, F, Tilly, C, Van Klaveren, M, and Voss-Dahm, D (2010) Retail jobs in comparative perspective, in Gautie, J and Schmitt, J (eds) *Low-Wage Work in the Wealthy World*, Russell Sage Foundation, New York, 211-268

Charmaz K (2006) *Constructing grounded theory – A Practical Guide through Qualitative Data Analysis*. London: Sage.

Clifford J, Marcus GE (eds) (1986) *Writing Culture – The Poetics and Politics of Writing Ethnography*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Coffey A (1996) The power of accounts: Authority and authorship in ethnography. *Quantitative Studies in Education*, 9: 61–74.

Coffey A, Atkinson P (1996) *Making Sense of Qualitative Data Analysis: Complimentary Research Strategies*. London: Sage.

Cohen RL (2010) When it pays to be friendly: employment relationships and emotional labour in hairstyling. *Sociological Review*, 58(2): 197-218.

Cooke B (2003) The denial of slavery in management studies, *Journal of Management Studies*, 40(8): 1895-1918.

Dyer C (2002) *Making a Living in the Middle Ages: The People of Britain 850-1520*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

- Eisenberger R, Huntington R, Hutchison S, and Sowa D (1986) Perceived Organizational Support. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 71: 500–507.
- Emmerson RM, Fretz RI, and Shaw LL (2011) *Writing Ethnographic Field notes* (2nd ed.). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Fontana A, Frey JH (1994) Interviewing: The Art of Science, in Denzin N, and Lincoln Y (eds), *Handbook of Qualitative Research*. London: Sage.
- Forgeng JL, McLean, W (2009) *Daily Life in Chaucer's England*. Westport: Greenwood.
- Friedman, G, (2014) Workers without employers: shadow corporations and the rise of the gig economy. *Review of Keynesian Economics*, 4(1): 171-188.
- Hammersley M, Atkinson P (2007) *Ethnography: Principles in Practice*. London: Routledge.
- Harding A (1993) *England in the Thirteenth Century*. Cambridge: Cambridge Medieval Textbooks.
- Harvey G, and Turnbull P (2012) The Development of the Low Cost Model in the European Civil Aviation Industry. *European Transport Workers' Federation*, Brussels (August).
- Harvey G, Vachhani SJ, and Williams K (2014) Working Out: Aesthetic Labour, Affect and the Fitness Industry Personal Trainer. *Leisure Studies*, 32: 91-104.
- Heskett JL, Sasser WE, and Schlesinger L (1997) *The Service Profit Chain*. New York: Free Press.
- Hochschild A (1983) *The Managed Heart: Commercialization of Human Feeling*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- ILO (2015), *World Employment and Social Outlook: the changing nature of jobs*, ILO, Geneva.

Jahn EJ, Riphahn RT, and Schnabel C (2012). Feature: Flexible Forms of Employment: Boon and Bane. *The Economic Journal*, 122(562): F115-F124.

Judge TA, Thoresen CJ, Bono JE, and Patton GK (2001) The job satisfaction-job performance relationship: A qualitative and quantitative review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 127: 376-407.

Kanzaka J (2002) Villein rents in thirteenth-century England: An Analysis of the Hundred Rolls of 1279-1280. *Economic History Review*, LV, 4: 593-618.

Kennedy G (2008) *Diggers, Levellers and Agrarian Capitalism*. Plymouth: Lexington Books.

Khan S (2000) The bottom-line impact of nonstandard jobs on companies' profitability and productivity. In Carré F J, Ferber MA, Golden L, and Herzenberg SA (eds) *Nonstandard work: The nature and challenges of changing employment arrangements*. Pp. 235-165. Champaign: Industrial Relations Research Association.

Korczynski M (2002), *Human Resources Management in Service Work*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan Ltd.

Kvale S (1996) *Interviews: An Introduction to Qualitative Research Interviewing*. London: Sage.

Mandl I, Curtarelli M, Riso S, Vargas O, and Gerogiannis E (2015) *New Forms of Employment in Europe*. Eurofound, Dublin.

Mason J (2002) Qualitative interviewing: Asking, listening and interpreting. In May T (ed) *Qualitative Research in Action*. London: Sage

Meyer JP, Stanley DJ, Jackson TA, McInnis KJ, Maltin ER, and Sheppard L (2012) Affective, normative and continuance commitment levels across cultures: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Vocational Behaviour*, 80: 225-245.

Meyer J, Allen N (1991) A three-component conceptualization of organizational

commitment. *Human Resource Management Review*, 1: 61-89.

Miller E, Hatcher J (1978) *Medieval England: Rural Society and Economic Change, 1086 – 1348*. London: Longman.

Nahapiet J, Ghoshal S (1998) Social Capital, Intellectual Capital and the Organizational Advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(2): 242-266.

Noon P, Blyton P (2007) *The Realities of Work* (3rd ed). Basingstoke: Palgrave.

OECD (2015), *In it together: Why less inequality benefits all*. OECD Publishing, Paris.

ONS (2014) *Self-employed Workers in the UK – 2014*, Office for National Statistics, available at: http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/dcp171776_374941.pdf

Reilly PA, (1998) Balancing Flexibility—Meeting the Interests of Employer and Employee. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 7(1):7-22.

Rose, M (2009) The Impact of Flexibility on Employee Moral and Involvement, in Ramsdal H, Skorstad EJ (Eds) *Flexible organizations and the new working life: A European perspective*. pp. 43-78, Surrey: Ashgate.

Rubin HJ, Rubin IS (1995). *Qualitative Interviewing: The Art of Hearing Data*. London: Sage.

Sassatelli R (1999) Interaction order and beyond: A field analysis of body culture within fitness gyms. *Body & Society*, 5: 227–248.

Shilling C (1991) Educating the Body: Physical Capital and the Production of Social Inequalities. *Sociology*, 25(4): 653-672.

Somers MJ (2009) The Combined Influence of Affective, Continuance and Normative Commitment on Employee Withdrawal, *Journal of Vocational Behaviour*, 74: 75-81.

Somers MJ (1995) Organizational Commitment, Turnover and Absenteeism: An Examination of Direct and Interaction Effects, *Journal of Organizational Behaviour*, 16: 49-58.

- Sparkes AC (2009) Ethnography and the senses: Challenges and possibilities. *Qualitative Research in Sport. Exercise and Health*, 1: 21–35.
- Standing G (2011) *The Precariat: The New Dangerous Class*. London: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Van Maanen J (1988) *Tales of the Field*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Vincent S (2011) The Emotional Labour Process: An Essay on the Economy of Feelings. *Human Relations*, 64(10): 1369-1392.
- Wagenaar H (2006) Democracy and Prostitution Deliberating the Legalization of Brothels in the Netherlands. *Administration & Society*, 38(2): 198-235.
- Warhurst C, Nickson D (2009) Who's Got the Look? Emotional, aesthetic and sexualized labour in interactive services. *Gender, Work and Organisation*, 16(3): 385–404.
- Warhurst C, Nickson D, Witz A, and Cullen, AM (2000) Aesthetic labour in interactive service work: Some case study evidence from the 'new' Glasgow. *The Service Industry Journal*, 20: 1–18.
- Zeithaml VA, Bitner MJ (1996) *Services Marketing*. New York, NY: McGraw Hill.

Author Biographies

Geraint Harvey is a Senior Lecturer in Industrial Relations and HRM at the Birmingham Business School, University of Birmingham. He has published in the area of employment relations and flexible working with a focus on the civil aviation industry. He has recently conducted two large-scale studies of non-standard working in the European airline industry with Peter Turnbull.

Carl Rhodes is Professor of Organization Studies at University of Technology Sydney. His current research interrogates the ethical and political environment in which contemporary organizations operate. This work endeavours to contribute to the rigorous and critical questioning of what we appreciate organizations to be about, as well as a reformulation, expansion and democratization for how we go about understanding them. Carl's most recent books are *The Companion to Ethics and Politics in Organizations* (Routledge, 2015 with Alison Pullen), and *Organizations and Popular Culture* (Routledge, 2012 Simon Lilley).

Sheena Vachhani is a Senior Lecturer in Management. Her research centres around themes of embodiment, difference, ethics, identity and the feminine in organization: broadly concerned with critical approaches to the study of management and organisation, especially post-structuralist perspectives and critiques of power. Sheena has a particular interest in feminist post-structuralism including, but not limited to, the work of Luce Irigaray. Sheena is currently engaged in projects on leadership and materiality, ethico-political feminist resistance, dirty work, practices of remembering, and corporeality in physical labour. I have published in scholarly journals such as *Organization Studies*, *Organization and Culture & Organization*, as well as contributed to various edited collections. I have recently edited a special issue of *Leadership* on 'The Materiality of Leadership' (with Alison Pullen).

Karen Williams is an associate professor in international employment relations in the School of Management, Swansea University. Her background is in linguistics (German and French) and international relations. Research interests include the transfer of employment relations strategies and practices in multinational companies

and the influence of different societal and organisationally based systems of employment on the world of work. Karen has published in the Journal of Management Studies, Human Relations, International Human Resource Management among other key journals as well as a co-edited book and book chapters.

Table 1. Interview schedule.

Pseudonym	Gender	Age range	Location	Date
Roy	Male	35-40	Other	April 2009
Deirdre	Female	20-25	Other	April 2009
Joe	Male	25-30	Other	April 2009
Sally	Female	35-40	Other	April 2009
Danny	Male	35-40	Gym 2	April 2009
-	-	-	-	April 2011
Dee	Female	25-30	Other	May 2009
Alfie	Male	20-25	Gym 1	May 2009
Phil	Male	20-25	Gym 1	May 2009
Sam	Male	25-30	Gym 1	May 2009
Alan	Male	20-25	Gym 1	May 2009
Colin	Male	25-30	Other	June 2009
Nancy	Female	25-30	Gym 1	June 2009
Bill	Male	20-25	Gym 2	November 2009
Liz	Female	20-25	Gym 2	November 2009
Malcolm	Male	20-25	Gym 2	November 2009
Malcolm	Male	20-25	Gym 2	May 2010

Endnotes

ⁱ See, for example, <http://www.exerciseregister.org/cpd-training/continued-professional-development>.