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ABSTRACT

Bioactive molecules can be considered to be comprised of core scaffolds displaying pharmacophoric groups to enable them to interact with their target receptor. Glycoproteins, for example, can contain multiple carbohydrate groups on a protein surface which are involved in multivalent interactions with lectins that can alter a variety of cellular and physiological events. Multivalent carbohydrates can attain high affinity for lectins through the glycoside cluster effect or they can be involved in promoting the cross-linking of glycoproteins which can lead to amplification as well as the protraction of signalling events. The design and synthesis of multivalent carbohydrates is relevant in lectin ligand development and a number of factors are considered important. These include the valency (bi, tri, tetra etc.) and also the nature of scaffold used to display the carbohydrates. Other groups can be appended to the scaffold to improve the affinity of the molecule, or multiple carbohydrates can be strategically located on scaffolds to influence geometric properties.

As part of an interest in glyoclusters, which contain at least two carbohydrate residues, we have been involved in the synthesis of glyoclusters that have geometric constraints and have investigated a number of scaffolds in this respect. These include glycopehines, terephthalamides and tetraphenylethylene, and has led to the identification of inhibitors of biomedically important lectins such as galectins and the macrophage galactose-C-type lectin. Herein, we have taken advantage of coiled coil design principles to generate self-assembled coiled coil type glycoproteins that display three lactose residues and are thus trivalent. Left handed α-helical trimeric coiled coils, on which our designs are based, have previously been designed with a metal binding centre to self-assemble in the presence of a trivalent lanthanide for MRI applications. However, self-assembling trimeric coiled coils which do not need a metal ion for coordination, are also known and are attractive as scaffolds as they mirror native protein architectures. Recent reports on multiply O-glycosylated dimeric coiled coils, including some that interact with asialoglycoprotein receptors, has prompted us to report our synthesis of N-lactosylated α-helical trimeric coiled coils. The designed α-helical coiled coil scaffold presents the lactose residues on the outermost surface, either in the final heptad towards the N-terminus, or more centrally in the penultimate heptad. In either case, neither the helicity nor the assembly to the trimeric form was unduly altered by the presence of the disaccharide.
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In addition, one of the glutamine residues at position f was replaced with a tryptophan residue (Trp, W). The presence of which enables the concentration of the peptide to readily be determined by UV spectroscopy as Trp is a natural chromophore absorbing light at 280 nm \( \varepsilon_{280} = 5690 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \).

The known beta azide which enables the concentration of the peptide to readily be determined by UV spectroscopy as Trp is a natural chromophore absorbing light at 280 nm \( \varepsilon_{280} = 5690 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \).

Next the synthesis of the 30 amino acid containing glycopeptide was performed by automated microwave assisted SPPS using Fmoc protected amino acids. Rink amide MBHA resin was used as the solid phase for the SPPS, performed on a 0.25 mM scale. Automated synthesis was carried out up to the point of introduction of the lactose containing residue. The introduction of the modified lactosylated amino acid 3 into the growing peptide was performed ‘offline’ in order to be able to carry out the coupling reaction of the lactose derivative over a longer reaction time and to monitor the incorporation of 3 into the peptide using the Kaiser test protocol. Acetylation of the uncoupled free amines after the addition of the modified amino acid was carried out so as to render any free amines unreactive. After incorporation of the lactosylated amino acid, the remaining peptide synthesis was accomplished by automated SPPS.

The first glycosylated peptide (SS3, Ac-G IAAIEQK IAAIEQK IAAIEQK IAAIEQK G-NH₂, where X = lactosylated asparagine) was synthesised with the tryptophan positioned in the fourth and final heptad and with the lactose positioned in the third heptad (Figure 1). The second glycosylated peptide (SS4, Ac-G IAAIEQK IAAIEQK IAAIEQK IAAIEQK G-NH₂, X = lactosylated asparagine) had tryptophan positioned in the second heptad whilst the lactose was located in the fourth. The non-glycosylated peptide (SS Control, Ac-G IAAIEQK IAAIEQK IAAIEQK IAAIEQK G-NH₂) had tryptophan located in the fourth heptad, analogous to SS3, as shown in Figure 1. It was found that coupling of the lactosylated amino acid in SS3 was slow and the yields were lower, based on HPLC analysis, when compared to amino acids that did not contain the lactose residue. Peptide aggregation and/or the bulky nature of the lactose moiety may have impaired the efficiency of the coupling. Therefore, SS4 was synthesised, in which the lactosylated asparagine was introduced earlier on in the peptide synthesis (peptides are synthesised from the N-terminus to the C-terminus), as it was the first f site and the third amino acid residue, to be introduced. This led to enhanced coupling efficiency and an improved yield with respect to SS3.

After cleavage of the glycosylated peptide from the solid support, both glycosylated peptides were subjected to reaction with NaOMe in methanol (pH 10), under stirring for 1 h. These conditions facilitated efficient removal of the acetyl protecting groups from the lactose moieties. Amberlite H⁺ resin was used to acidify the resulting mixture to pH 7 during work up. The glycopeptides were purified via preparative C18 RP-HPLC and subsequently analysed by ESI-MS (Figure 2).
Electrospray ionisation MS showed a peak for the peptide at [M+H]+ 3556.72. Similar HPLC and MS analysis was carried out for SS4 and is included in the ESI.

Both the glycosylated peptides and the control peptide were analysed using circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy and the data obtained are presented in Figure 3. The CD spectra showed characteristic minima at 222 and 208 nm, consistent with formation of the α-helical coiled coil. The % folding of these coiled coils was determined from the intensity at 222 nm and was consistent with SS Control being 87% folded. Both glycosylated peptides are slightly less folded, but still consistent with a well-folded coiled coil (SS4 78%, and SS3 75%). The self-assembling characteristics of the glycoclusters and the non-glycosylated peptide were studied using analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC). Sedimentation equilibrium curves were analysed using a modified form of the INVEQ algorithm, adapted to estimate monomer-dimer-trimer stoichiometry. These data showed the presence of a small amount of monomer for both the SS Control and SS4 (circa 5%). The glycosylated peptide SS3 with the sugar moiety positioned in the third heptad showed exclusive trimer formation, with no monomer or dimer species detected. The data obtained from the analytical ultracentrifugation shows that the coiled coils can tolerate the disaccharide moieties without disrupting the self-assembly into trimers.

Molecular modelling was used to estimate possible distances between the β-galactose anomeric carbon atoms in both SS3 and SS4 (Figure 4). The distance between these carbon atoms was ~30 Å in both structures, consistent with the different structures involving a ~10 Å linear translation (one heptad) along the coiled coil scaffold. These inter-galactose distances are greater than those found in other glycoclusters prepared in our group to date and greater than those found in tri-antennary glycans (~20 Å). In SS3, as expected there is a larger portion of peptide flanking either side of the lactose residues, while in SS4 this is reduced, which may in turn influence lectin binding to the disaccharide residue.

In summary, this research has provided glycoclusters based on protein scaffolds for biological evaluation, the results of which will be presented in due course. These agents will be compared with glycoclusters based on other synthetic scaffolds. The N-glycoslated lactose residues have been found to be well tolerated in de novo peptide design and not to prevent helix formation or alter trimerisation of the coils. This will inform future design of neoglycoproteins with a view to developing applications.
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