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Regulation of A disintegrin and metalloproteinase (ADAM) family sheddases ADAM10 and ADAM17: The emerging role of tetraspanins and rhomboids

Alexandra L. Matthews, Peter J. Noy, Jasmeet S. Reyat, & Michael G. Tomlinson

Abstract

A disintegrin and metalloprotease (ADAM) 10 and ADAM17 are ubiquitous transmembrane "molecular scissors" which proteolytically cleave, or shed, the extracellular regions of other transmembrane proteins. ADAM10 is essential for development because it cleaves Notch proteins to induce Notch signaling and regulate cell fate decisions. ADAM17 is regarded as a first line of defense against injury and infection, by releasing tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) to promote inflammation and epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor ligands to maintain epidermal barrier function. However, the regulation of ADAM10 and ADAM17 trafficking and activation are not fully understood. This review will describe how the TspanC8 subgroup of tetraspanins (Tspan5, 10, 14, 15, 17, and 33) and the iRhom subgroup of protease-inactive rhomboids (iRhom1 and 2) have emerged as important regulators of ADAM10 and ADAM17, respectively. In particular, they are required for the enzymatic maturation and trafficking to the cell surface of the ADAMs, and there is evidence that different TspanC8s and iRhoms target the ADAMs to distinct substrates. The TspanC8s and iRhoms have not been studied functionally on platelets. On these cells, ADAM10 is the principal sheddase for the platelet collagen receptor GPVI, and the regulatory TspanC8s are Tspan14, 15, and 33, as determined from proteomic data. Platelet ADAM17 is the sheddase for the von Willebrand factor (vWF) receptor GPIbα, and iRhom2 is the only iRhom that is expressed. Induced shedding of either GPVI or GPIbα has therapeutic potential, since inhibition of either receptor is regarded as a promising anti-thrombotic therapy. Targeting of Tspan14, 15, or 33 to activate platelet ADAM10, or iRhom2 to activate ADAM17, may enable such an approach to be realized, without the toxic side effects of activating the ADAMs on every cell in the body.
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Introduction

Protein cleavage, or "shedding," of the extracellular regions (ectodomains) of transmembrane proteins has emerged as a key regulatory process in cell biology. "Sheddases" can modulate signaling on host or neighboring cells either directly through the regulation of cell surface receptors or indirectly through the release of soluble mediators from their membrane-bound precursors [1]. The ADAMs (A disintegrin and metalloproteinasises) are one of the major proteinase families that function as sheddases. They are characterized by a modular domain structure, which is comprised of an N-terminal signal sequence followed by a prodomain, metalloprotease domain, disintegrin domain, cysteine-rich region, EGF-like domain (not in ADAM10 and 17), transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic tail (Figure 1). The ADAM family consists of 22 members, as identified in the human genome, of which only 12 (ADAMs 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, 28, 30, and 33) encode active enzymes [2].

ADAM10 and ADAM17: ubiquitous and essential "molecular scissors"

ADAM10 (also known as Kuzbanian from its orthologous gene, kuz, in Drosophila) and its close relative ADAM17 (also recognized as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) converting enzyme or TACE) are ubiquitously expressed in mammalian cells. ADAM10 and ADAM17 share 30% amino acid identity in human and are the principal ADAM sheddases; they are capable of cleaving numerous substrates with diverse functions, whereas other ADAMs appear to have a more restricted substrate range [3,4].

ADAM10 has at least 40 substrates, many of which have important roles in health and disease. These include the Notch cell fate regulators [5–8], amyloid precursor protein (APP) [9], cellular prion protein [10], epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) ligands betacellulin and EGF [11], adhesion molecules E-cadherin [12], N-cadherin [13], VE-cadherin [14] and CD44 [15], transmembrane chemokines CX3CL1 and CXCL16 [16], the...
low-affinity immunoglobulin E receptor CD23 [17], and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 [18]. ADAM10-deficient mice phenocopy Notch-deficient mice; they die at embryonic day 9.5 with multiple defects of the somites, cardiovascular, and neuronal systems [19]. This demonstrates the key role of ADAM10 in the activation of Notch proteins. ADAM10 appears to have constitutive activity toward some substrates, but its activity can be upregulated by certain stimuli that induce intracellular signaling. The activation mechanism is not clear, but the ADAM10 transmembrane region, and not the cytoplasmic tail, is important in this process [20]. There is also evidence that intracellular signaling renders substrates more susceptible to cleavage, for example following phosphorylation of the CD44 cytoplasmic tail. This may then induce conformational changes and/or dimerization in the CD44 extracellular region, to promote cleavage by ADAM10 [21,22].

ADAM17 appears to have at least as many substrates as ADAM10. It is rapidly activated by a great variety of stimuli to induce the shedding and release of soluble TNFα and the EGFR ligands amphiregulin, epiregulin, heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor, and transforming growth factor α (TGFrα) [23]. Therefore, ADAM17 has been proposed to function as a first line of defense against injury, by promoting inflammation and repair of skin and intestine barrier function. Consistent with such a function, ADAM17-deficient mice phenocopy EGFR-deficient mice; they die shortly after birth and have skin barrier defects, open eyes at birth, enlarged heart valves, abnormal mammary ductal morphogenesis, and lung defects [24–28]. Other ADAM17 substrates include the adhesion molecules ICAM-1 [29] and L-selectin [28], and TNF receptor family members TNFRI [30] and TNFRII [28]. Several studies have investigated the mechanism by which ADAM17 activity is regulated. Similar to ADAM10, there is evidence that activation of ADAM17 is dependent on its transmembrane domain but not the cytoplasmic tail [23]. However, a second study provides evidence that the cytoplasmic tail is important in negatively regulating ADAM17 [31]. The cytoplasmic tail promotes dimerization, which also appears to be a feature of the ADAM10 tail [31,32]. Phosphorylation of the ADAM17 tail, by the mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) extracellular signal-regulated kinase (Erk) and p38, reduces dimerization, which decreases tissue}

---

**Figure 1.** A diagrammatic representation of ADAM10 interacting with each of six TspanC8s (A) and ADAM17 interacting with each of iRhoms 1 and 2 (B). Note that the TspanC8s differ in the number of N-linked glycosylation sites (dark gray ovals) and in the lengths of their cytoplasmic tails (the Tspan5 C-terminus is shortest at 15 amino acids and the Tspan10 N-terminus is longest at 77 amino acids). The iRhoms are relatively similar but differ in N-glycosylation.
ADAM10 substrate on platelets and its function is not clear [48]. An additional ADAM17 substrate on platelets is the semaphorin Sema4D, which binds to plexin family receptors and amplifies GPVI-induced platelet activation [49,50].

The ubiquitous expression of ADAM10 and ADAM17 and full repertoire of their substrates is reflected in the pleiotropic function of these enzymes, with roles in cell adhesion, proliferation, differentiation, migration, immunity, and receptor-ligand signaling [3,4]. However, the mechanisms by which ADAM10 and ADAM17 activity are regulated are not fully understood. This review will cover the emerging role of two families of multi-transmembrane proteins in the regulation of ADAM10 and ADAM17, namely the tetraspanins and rhomboids, respectively.

Regulation of ADAM10 by tetraspanins

Tetraspanins regulate the trafficking and membrane dynamics of other transmembrane proteins

The tetraspanins are an evolutionarily conserved superfamily of 33 transmembrane proteins in mammals, which extends to flies, worms, multicellular fungi, and plants [51,52]. Tetraspanins contain four transmembrane domains that delineate two extracellular loops of unequal size (the larger of which has four to eight conserved cysteine residues that form structurally important disulfide bonds), one intracellular loop, and intracellular N- and C-termini (Figure 1). Structural studies have revealed that tetraspanins fold to form compact, rod-shaped structures that protrude 3–5 nm from the plasma membrane [53]. Tetraspanins are not generally believed to have ligands or to function as cell surface receptors, but instead they are thought to self-associate with one another and with their so-called “partner” proteins into nanoclusters. Tetraspanin partner proteins include ADAM10, integrins, and members of the immunoglobulin superfamily [51,52].

A recent super-resolution microscopy study revealed that most tetraspanin nanoclusters appear to contain only one type of tetraspanin [54]. This helps to explain the specific functions that have been assigned to distinct tetraspanins through gene-knockout studies. These include the role of tetraspanin CD151 in regulating its laminin-binding integrin partner proteins α3β1, α6β1, and α6β4 [52]. Indeed, CD151 promotes adhesion strengthening of cells to laminin [55], integrin recycling from the plasma membrane [56,57], and glycosylation of α3 during biosynthesis [58] and restricts the lateral mobility of α6 in the plasma membrane [59]. Tetraspanin CD81 regulates glycosylation of the B cell signaling protein CD19 and is essential for its trafficking to the plasma membrane [60]. On endothelial cells, tetraspanin Tspan12 promotes Frizzled 4 clustering and signaling in response to its ligand norrin [61], while tetraspanins CD9, CD151, and CD63 promote inflammatory leukocyte capture by clustering adhesion molecules ICAM-1, VCAM-1 [62], and P-selectin [63], respectively. Importantly, these findings are all supported by tetraspanin-knockout or -knockdown studies, in which the tetraspanin knockout phenotypes of that the partner protein. Moreover, human mutations in CD151, CD81, and Tspan12 cause diseases that are consistent with functional impairment of the respective partners [64–67].

In platelets, tetraspanin-deficient mice have revealed a positive role for CD151 and Tspan32 in hemostasis, which is proposed to be mediated by their regulation of outside-in signaling by the major platelet integrin αIbb3 [68,69]. However, this is likely to be a fine-tuning role, or an indirect effect, because the CD151 and Tspan32-knockout phenotypes are less severe than the αIbb3 knockout, and their copy numbers on platelets are several-fold lower than the integrin [70–72]. CD82 plays an opposing role to CD151 and Tspan32, since CD82-deficient mice have reduced bleeding times and enhanced clot retraction [73]. Surface expression levels of αIbb3 are approximately 30% elevated in the absence of CD82 [73], but again regulation of the integrin is unlikely to be direct, because of the relatively low CD82 copy number [72]. Platelets deficient in CD9 or CD63 have subtle defects, suggesting relatively minor roles for these tetraspanins in hemostasis [74,75].

The TspanC8 subgroup of tetraspanins interact with ADAM10 and promote its exit from the endoplasmic reticulum and enzymatic maturation

The first clues to ADAM10 regulation by tetraspanins were the findings that it co-immunoprecipitates with tetraspanins in human leukocyte cell lines and that tetraspanin antibodies promote ADAM10 activity, as measured by the shedding of ADAM10 substrates such as EGF [76]. Subsequently, we and others showed that six largely unstudied tetraspanins (Tspan5, 10, 14, 15, 17, and 33) co-immunoprecipitate with ADAM10 in stringent lysis buffers, whereas other tetraspanins do not [77,78]. The ADAM10-interacting tetraspanins are related by amino acid sequence, with the human homologs ranging from 78% amino acid identity between Tspan5 and 17 to 26% identity between the most distantly related Tspan10 and 15. These tetraspanins were termed the TspanC8 subgroup due to the eight cysteine residues that they possess within their large extracellular loop [77,78]; other tetraspanins have four, six, or seven. An unrelated tetraspanin, Tspan12, was also reported to regulate ADAM10 [79], but this is now thought to be an indirect effect [77,78], potentially mediated via Tspan12 interaction with, and/or regulation of, TspanC8 tetraspanins. Overexpression of any of the six TspanC8s in cell lines promotes ADAM10 exit from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and its enzymatic maturation [77,78,80] (Figure 2). This is the process by which the prodomain is removed by proprotein convertases, such as Furin, in the Golgi to unmask the catalytic site [81]. Different cell types have their own TspanC8 repertoires [78]. Knockdown or knockout of the most highly expressed TspanC8, in several primary cells and cell lines that have been studied, prevents ADAM10 ER exit and trafficking to the plasma membrane [77,78,80]. Importantly, TspanC8-knockout mice and flies yield phenotypes that are consistent with these data. In mice, Tspan33 is the predominant

Figure 2. Regulation of ADAM10 and ADAM17 exit from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), enzymatic maturation, and trafficking to the plasma membrane by TspanC8s and iRhom2, respectively. Only Tspan14, 15, 33, and iRhom2 are depicted because of their expression in human platelets. Enzymatic maturation involves cleavage of the ADAM prodomain in the Golgi by proprotein convertases.
TspanC8 in the red blood cell lineage; Tspan33 deficiency in mice results in impaired red blood cell production and substantially reduced ADAM10 expression on red cells [78]. In *Drosophila*, there are three TspanC8s, and these are required for Notch activity and normal fly development [77], consistent with the key role for ADAM10 in Notch activation. Taken together, these studies demonstrate an essential role for the TspanC8s in promoting ADAM10 intracellular trafficking (Figure 2).

**ADAM10 “six appeal”: evidence that ADAM10 can be regarded as six different molecular scissors, depending upon which TspanC8 it is partnered with**

An important question arising from the aforementioned studies is why six TspanC8 tetraspanins have evolved to regulate ADAM10 trafficking. One theory is that each of the six TspanC8 tetraspanins may target ADAM10 to distinct subcellular localizations and/or substrates. Different TspanC8s certainly appear to have different subcellular localizations when transfected into cell lines, with some promoting ADAM10 trafficking to intracellular compartments and others to the plasma membrane [77]. Moreover, there is growing evidence to suggest that the different TspanC8s can promote or suppress the ADAM10-mediated shedding of specific substrates. For example, Tspan5, Tspan10, and Tspan14 appear to be positive regulators of Notch signaling, whereas Tspan15 and Tspan33 are negative regulators [77, 82, 83]. Similarly interesting data have been reported for other ADAM10 substrates: N-cadherin cleavage is specifically promoted by Tspan15 [80, 82, 84], APP cleavage is inhibited by Tspan15 in U2OS and PC3 cells [82], but promoted by this tetraspanin in HEK and N2A cells [80], and CD44 cleavage is promoted by Tspan5 but not Tspan15 [82]. These studies each used TspanC8 overexpression or knockdown in cell lines, and some differences were observed between different cells, thus complicating the interpretations. This likely reflects the different endogenous TspanC8 repertoire, and potentially associated trafficking proteins, of each cell type. There is scope for the cytoplasmic tails of TspanC8s to interact with specific trafficking proteins, since these tails show no substantial sequence similarity between different TspanC8s. They are also relatively long for tetraspanins, with N- and C-termini having average lengths of 29 and 36 amino acids, respectively, which are two to three times longer than is typical for most other tetraspanins. However, TspanC8 interactions with trafficking proteins have yet to be identified.

To begin to determine the mechanisms responsible for different subcellular localization and shedding by distinct TspanC8–ADAM10 complexes, their lateral mobility and associated proteins have been investigated [82]. ADAM10 single-particle tracking studies using total internal reflectance fluorescence microscopy suggest that Tspan15 promotes ADAM10 lateral mobility at the plasma membrane, but Tspan5 does not. In addition, proteomic studies identified a number of proteins that preferentially co-immunoprecipitate with Tspan5 versus Tspan15 [82]. Furthermore, we have used mutant forms of TspanC8s and ADAM10 to show that the TspanC8–ADAM10 interaction is largely mediated by the main extracellular region of the TspanC8. This binds to the membrane-proximal stalk, disintegrin, and cysteine-rich domain regions of ADAM10 [84]. However, there are some differences between TspanC8s in the precise binding regions that they require on ADAM10. This suggests that ADAM10 may adopt a different conformation, depending on which TspanC8 it is associated with [84]. This may in part dictate substrate specificity. An additional possibility is that TspanC8s target ADAM10 to a substrate by directly binding to the substrate. There is no evidence for this at present, but it is interesting that some ADAM10 substrates, including GPVI and CD44, have been reported to interact with an as yet unidentified tetraspanin [71, 85].

ADAM10 substrates on platelets include GPVI, GPV, APP, CD44, CD84, and EGF. It has yet to be investigated whether specific TspanC8s promote or suppress shedding of any of these substrates on platelets, although speculations can be made based on studies in other cell types (Figure 3). However, investigating such speculations will be difficult because it is not possible to genetically modify the anucleate platelet directly, and knockout mice are only available for Tspan33, which does not appear to be expressed in mouse platelets [78, 86]. Furthermore, monoclonal antibodies have yet to be generated for any TspanC8. Quantitative proteomics of human and mouse platelets [86, 87] nevertheless allow TspanC8 copy numbers to be estimated (Table 1). Human platelets express similar levels of Tspan14, 15, and 33 [87], whereas mouse platelets only express Tspan14 [86]. The latter is consistent with our quantitative PCR analyses of mouse megakaryocytes, which show Tspan14 to be the most highly expressed TspanC8 in these cells [78]. This suggests a more limited scope for ADAM10 regulation by TspanC8s in mouse platelets. Nevertheless, we have detected Tspan5, 17, and 33 mRNA in mouse megakaryocytes, albeit at relatively low levels [71, 78], indicating that these additional TspanC8s might regulate ADAM10 during megakaryocyte development. In keeping with their intimate relationship, the total TspanC8 protein copy number is comparable to the ADAM10 copy number in both human and mouse platelets (Table 1).

We have confirmed Tspan14 expression in human and mouse platelets by the generation of a polyclonal western blotting antibody, and we have further confirmed its interaction with ADAM10 on these cells [84]. To investigate whether TspanC8s might affect GPVI shedding, we have adopted a cell line transfection model. Strikingly, Tspan14 overexpression suppresses ADAM10 cleavage, but the other TspanC8s have no effect [84]. We speculate that Tspan14 functions to protect GPVI from ADAM10 shedding on resting platelets, but may be induced to promote shedding, or release its inhibitory effect on ADAM10, upon platelet activation. This would help to explain why GPVI is not cleaved in resting platelets, but is rapidly cleaved in response to various stimuli including its physiological ligand collagen, shear stress, activated coagulation factor Xa, GPVI antibodies, and other platelet-activating agonists [47]. Since GPVI is regarded as a promising anti-platelet drug target to treat thrombosis [44], the induction of GPVI shedding by targeting the Tspan14–ADAM10 complex has some potential.

Taken together, emerging evidence indicates that different TspanC8s regulate shedding of distinct ADAM10 substrates. This may be due to effects on ADAM10 subcellular localization, conformation, and/or direct TspanC8–substrate interactions. This leads us to suggest that ADAM10 should not be regarded as a single molecular scissors, but instead can exist as one of six different scissors, depending on which TspanC8 it is partnered with.

**Regulation of ADAM17 by rhomboids**

**The rhomboid superfamily of intramembrane proteases**

The rhomboids, like the tetraspanins, are an evolutionarily conserved superfamily of multi-transmembrane proteins [88, 89]. However, rhomboids have six or seven transmembrane domains and are even more widespread than tetraspanins, being present in bacteria as well as animals, plants, and fungi. Rhomboids are serine proteases (or catalytically inactive non-proteases), which are characterized by the presence of the active protease site within the membrane, where
Figure 3. ADAM10 and ADAM17 substrate cleavage on the megakaryocyte/platelet surface. (A) GPVI is not constitutively cleaved on platelets but is cleaved upon platelet activation. Some other ADAM10 substrates, GPV, Notch, CD44, and amyloid precursor protein (APP) are depicted, but their cleavage on platelets is not well characterized. Nevertheless, studies on other cell types, and in cell line models, suggest that different TspanC8s promote cleavage of distinct substrates. For example, Tspan14 appears to protect GPVI from cleavage, Tspan14 promotes Notch cleavage but Tspan15 inhibits, Tspan15 cannot promote CD44 cleavage, and Tspan15 effects on APP are cell type dependent. (B) GPIbα is constitutively cleaved by ADAM17. GPV and GPVI also appear to be shed by ADAM17 following platelet activation. Studies in other cell types suggest that ADAM17 trafficking and activation may be regulated by iRhom2, but this has yet to be tested in megakaryocytes or platelets.

Table I. ADAM10 and ADAM17 are expressed at a similar copy number to their regulatory TspanC8s and iRhoms, in human and mouse platelets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Human platelet copy number (Burkhart et al. 2012)</th>
<th>Mouse platelet copy number (Zeiler et al. 2014)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADAM10</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>9889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAM17</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iRhom1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iRhom2</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tspan5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tspan10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tspan14</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>8664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tspan15</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tspan17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tspan33</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were obtained from quantitative proteomic studies [86,87].

iRhom1 and 2 interact with ADAM17 and promote its exit from the ER and enzymatic maturation

Amongst the non-protease rhomboids, the iRhoms are the most closely related by amino acid sequence to the active rhomboids, transmembrane substrates are cut [90]. The founding member of the rhomboid superfamily is *Drosophila* rhomboid-1, which releases ligands for the EGF receptor. The five mammalian active rhomboids are RHBDL1-4 and PARL. RHBDL1-4 are expressed on the secretory pathway and are not well understood, with definitive substrates yet to be identified. RHBDL1-3 may promote the release of secreted proteins, while RHBDL4 is ER localized and may regulate ER-associated degradation, which is a quality-control process to remove incorrectly folded proteins. In contrast, PARL is relatively well studied and important for mitochondrial structure and function, with potential roles in type 2 diabetes and Parkinson’s disease [88, 89]. The non-protease rhomboids lack essential residues within the catalytic site that are required for protease activity. There are nine non-protease rhomboids in mammals. Of these, derlins 1–3 appear to regulate ER-associated degradation, while RHBDD2, RHBDD3, TMEM115, and UBAC2 are relatively poorly understood [88, 89]. The other two non-protease rhomboids are iRhom1 and iRhom2, which have recently emerged as regulators of ADAM17 [91–93] and will be the focus of the remainder of the review.
and they are the most studied [88, 89]. The two human iRhoms share 61% amino acid identity and are characterized by seven transmembrane domains and a relatively large cytoplasmic N-terminus of approximately 410 amino acids (Figure 1). A function for iRhoms was first identified in *Drosophila*, where it was shown that the single iRhom in this organism counteracts the function of active rhomboid-1 [94]. *Drosophila* iRhom achieves this by interacting with transmembrane EGFR ligands and targeting them to the ER-associated degradation pathway, before they can be released as active ligands by rhomboid-1 [94].

In mammals, however, this is not the role for iRhom1 and 2, since the generation of iRhom2-deficient or mutant mice demonstrated that this iRhom is essential for release of the inflammatory cytokine TNFα from macrophages [91–93]. As a result, iRhom2-deficient mice have substantially reduced serum levels of TNFα upon inflammatory challenge with bacterial lipopolysaccharide and consequently reduced survival upon infection with the intracellular bacterium *Listeria monocytogenes* [92]. As described earlier, ADAM17 is the sheddase for TNFα, and iRhom2 was shown to interact with ADAM17 in the ER and to promote its enzymatic maturation and trafficking to the cell surface [91, 92] (Figure 2). Consistent with such a regulatory role, the iRhom2-deficient mice phenocopy myeloid-specific ADAM17-deficient mice in an inflammatory arthritis model, in which both strains are protected [95]. The macrophage phenotype observed in iRhom2-deficient mice is consistent with the fact that iRhom2 expression is restricted to certain hematopoietic cells such as macrophages, whereas iRhom1 is more widely expressed but is not expressed in macrophages [95, 96]. This is particularly exciting from an anti-inflammatory therapeutic angle. Targeting iRhom2 may reduce inflammation by specifically preventing TNFα release from macrophages, without the side effects of the widely used global TNFα-targeting drugs.

A recent characterization of iRhom1/2 double-deficient mice suggests that the primary role of iRhom is to regulate ADAM17, since the phenotype is a remarkable phenocopy of ADAM17-deficient mice [97]. In particular, the mice are born with open eyes and die shortly after birth. Furthermore, ADAM17 maturation and EGFR phosphorylation are almost completely absent in a panel of iRhom1/2 double-deficient tissues, suggesting an absence of ADAM17 activity [97]. However, an earlier study suggested that iRhoms have functions in addition to their regulation of ADAM17, since a different iRhom1/2-deficient mouse model has a more severe phenotype, which results in embryonic lethality between days 9.5 and 10.5 [96]. This discrepancy appears to be due to differences in iRhom1 single-knockout phenotypes between the two models. Indeed, in the more recent study, iRhom1-deficient mice are found to be viable, albeit with impaired ADAM17 maturation in brain tissue [97]. In the earlier study, however, iRhom1-deficient mice died between 9 days and 6 weeks after birth with defects in multiple tissues [96]. It therefore remains unclear whether iRhoms have roles in addition to their regulation of ADAM17.

The promotion of ADAM17 maturation and trafficking to the cell surface by iRhoms appears to extend to humans. Dominant active iRhom2 mutations, within the N-terminal cytoplasmic tail, cause a rare condition known as tylosis with esophageal cancer, characterized by hyperproliferation of epidermal cells, leading to thickening of the skin on palms and soles, and increased susceptibility to cancer of the esophagus [98,99]. This is associated with increased ADAM17 maturation and activity on keratinocytes, increased release of EGFR ligands, and increased EGFR signaling [100]. Interestingly, ADAM17 deficiency has been identified in two siblings and is the likely cause of autosomal recessive neonatal inflammatory skin and bowel lesions [101]. Unlike in mice, both survived into childhood, and one is now an adult with only repeated skin infections as a symptom [101]. Nevertheless, both studies are consistent with an important role for iRhom-regulated ADAM17 in epidermal barrier function.

**Could iRhom1/ADAM17 and iRhom2/ADAM17 be regarded as two different molecular scissors?**

Both iRhom1 and 2 can interact with ADAM17 and promote its maturation in a transfected cell line [96]. Both are similarly activated in a cell line by partial truncations of the N-terminal cytoplasmic tail, each resulting in increased ADAM17 activity toward two of its substrates that were tested, TNFR1 and TNFR2 [102]. However, evidence that iRhoms promote the shedding of distinct ADAM17 substrates has been reported. In a mouse embryonic fibroblast model for ADAM17-mediated shedding of a variety of transfected substrates, iRhom2 is critical for the shedding of heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor, epiuregulin, EphB4, Tie2, and Kit ligand 2, and shedding of the latter is unaffected by the presence or absence of iRhom1 [103]. TGFβ shedding appears to be promoted by both iRhom1 and iRhom2, whereas ICAM-1 and L-selectin shedding is independent of iRhom2, and so may be promoted by iRhom1 [103].

These differential iRhom effects on ADAM17 shedding may be dependent on cell type, since L-selectin shedding is dependent on iRhom2 but not iRhom1 in leukocytes [97], which contrasts with the findings reported in mouse embryonic fibroblasts [103]. In mouse embryonic fibroblasts, the N-terminal cytoplasmic tail of the iRhoms is essential for phorbol ester-induced ADAM17 shedding [103]. The authors speculate that a key role for the N-terminal cytoplasmic region, in registering an intracellular signal and somehow inducing activity of its associated ADAM17, could explain their earlier observation that the cytoplasmic tail of ADAM17 is dispensable for induced activation [23,103]. Taken together, it appears likely that iRhom1 and 2 enable ADAM17 to function as two distinct molecular scissors, with different substrate specificities and potentially different activating stimuli. However, given that there are only two iRhoms, there is substantially less scope for differential regulation of ADAM17 than for the regulation of ADAM10 by the six TspanC8s.

The iRhoms are functionally unstudied in platelets. Quantitative proteomic studies suggest that iRhom2, but not iRhom1, is expressed by platelets [86,87]. Moreover, the estimated iRhom2 copy number is remarkably similar to that of ADAM17 in human and mouse platelets (Table 1). As such, iRhom2 would be predicted to regulate ADAM17 maturation and trafficking to the cell surface in megakaryocytes, with the result that ADAM17 expression may be deficient in iRhom2-knockout platelets.

**Conclusions**

Within the last 4 years, two distinct types of multi-transmembrane proteins have emerged as critical regulators of ADAM10 and ADAM17: the TspanC8 subgroup of tetraspans and the iRhom subgroup of inactive rhomboids, respectively. Accumulating evidence suggests that ADAM10 and ADAM17 can be regarded as different types of molecular scissors, depending on which TspanC8 or iRhom they are associated with. Further cell biology research is now required to identify which substrates are preferentially shed by which ADAM10–TspanC8 or ADAM17–iRhom complex and to determine the mechanisms that are responsible for such preferences. For platelet biologists, the platelet-expressed TspanC8s (Tspan14, 15, and 33) represent potential therapeutic targets for the activation of ADAM10, with the aim of shedding the pro-thrombotic collagen receptor GPVI from the platelet surface. Similarly,
iRhom2 targeting holds anti-thrombotic potential, by promoting ADAM17-induced shedding of GPIb.
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