UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Active Trigger Points in the Cervical Musculature Determine Altered Activation of Superficial Neck and Extensor Muscles in Women with Migraine

Florencio, LL; Ferracini, GN; Chaves , TC; Palacios-Ceña , M; Ordás-Bandera , C; Speciali, JG; Falla, Deborah; Grossi, DB; Fernández-de-Las-Peñas , C

DOI: 10.1097/AJP.000000000000390

License: Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Florencio, LL, Ferracini, GN, Chaves, TC, Palacios-Ceña, M, Ordás-Bandera, C, Speciali, JG, Falla, D, Grossi, DB & Fernández-de-Las-Peñas, C 2016, 'Active Trigger Points in the Cervical Musculature Determine Altered Activation of Superficial Neck and Extensor Muscles in Women with Migraine', *Clinical Journal of Pain*. https://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.000000000000390

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement: Checked 28/07/2016

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 2	Active trigger points in the cervical musculature determine altered activation of superficial neck and extensor muscles in
3 4	women with migraine
5	Authors
6	Lidiane Lima Florencio ¹ , Gabriela Natália Ferracini ² , Thais Cristina Chaves ² ,
7	María Palacios-Ceña ³ , Carlos Ordás-Bandera ⁴ , José Geraldo Speciali ² , Deborah
8	Falla ⁵ , Débora Bevilaqua Grossi ¹ , César Fernández-de-las-Peñas ³
9	
10	Institutional Information
11	¹ Departament of Biomechanics, Medicine and Locomotor Apparatus Rehabilitation –
12	Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto-SP,
13	Brazil.
14	² Department of Neurosciences and Behavioral Sciences/Faculty of Medicine of
15	RibeirãoPreto, University of São Paulo - FMRP-USP. Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo,
16	Brazil.
17	³ Department of Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Physical Medicine and
18	Rehabilitation, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Alcorcón, Spain
19	⁴ Department of Neurology, Hospital Rey Juan Carlos, Móstoles, Spain.
20	⁵ School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, College of Life and
21	Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK
22	
23	Corresponding author:
24	César Fernández de las Peñas
25	Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos
26	Avenida de Atenas s/n
27	28922 Alcorcón, Madrid, SPAIN
28	Email: <u>cesarfdlp@yahoo.es</u> / <u>cesar.fernandez@urjc.es</u>
29	
30	
31	
32	

33 ABSTRACT

34 **Objective:** Previous studies have demonstrated the presence of active TrPs in women with migraine reproducing their headache attacks. No study has investigated if these 35 TrPs can alter muscle function in the cervical spine in migraine. Our objective was to 36 analyze differences in activation of superficial neck flexor and extensor muscles in 37 38 women with migraine considering the presence of active trigger points (TrP) in splenius capitis (SC), upper trapezius (UT), and sternocleidomastoid (SCM) muscles. Methods: 39 Surface EMG was recorded from superficial flexor (SCM and anterior scalene) and 40 extensor (SC) muscles bilaterally as subjects performed a staged task of cranio-cervical 41 flexion (CCF; 5 contractions representing a progressive increase in CCF range of 42 motion) in 70 women with migraine. They were stratified according to presence or 43 absence of active TrPs in SCM, SC or UT musculature. Comparison of normalized root 44 45 mean square (RMS) values was conducted with 2x5 ANCOVA with task level as the within-subject variable, group stratified by active TrPs as the between-subjects variable 46 47 and the presence of neck pain as a co-variable. Results: All patients exhibited active TrPs in their cervical muscles which reproduced their migraine. Women with migraine 48 exhibiting active TrPs in the SCM (P<0.01), UT (P<0.05) or SC (P<0.05) muscles had 49 lower normalized RMS values of their superficial neck flexors than those without active 50 TrPs in the same muscles. In addition, subjects exhibiting active TrPs in the SC and UT 51 (both, P<0.05) muscles had higher normalized RMS values in the SC muscle than those 52 without active TrPs in the same muscles. Conclusion: The presence of active TrPs in 53 the cervical musculature determines altered activation of superficial neck and extensor 54 muscles during low-load, isometric CCF contractions in women with migraine. 55

56 Key words: migraine, cranio-cervical flexion test, trigger points, electromyography.

Active trigger points in the cervical musculature determine altered activation of superficial neck flexor and extensor muscles in women with migraine

60

61 **INTRODUCTION**

Migraine is a disabling primary headache described as a chronic disorder with recurrent attacks. Migraine has worldwide prevalence ranging from 5 to 12%.¹ Although migraine pain is mostly perceived in the ophthalmic distribution of the trigeminal nerve, neck pain is also a prevalent concomitant symptom in this population.²⁻⁴ In fact, approximately 76% of migraine patients also report the presence of neck pain,⁵ which can occur as a premonitory manifestation, during the headache phase or even in the interictal period.⁶

It has been suggested that the association between neck pain and migraine occurs because the trigeminal-cervical convergence provides an anatomical and neurophysiological path for interaction via the convergence of cervical and trigeminal nociceptive afferents in the trigemino-cervical nucleus caudalis.^{7,8} In addition, central sensitization presenting in most individuals with migraine may facilitate neck pain and related disorders.⁹ The presence of neck pain has a negative influence on migraine by reducing the pharmacological treatment response.^{10,11}

Experience of pain or even the anticipation of pain may promote a variety of 76 motor control changes involving redistribution of activity within and between 77 muscles.¹² Previous studies investigating neck muscle activity in patients with migraine 78 revealed varying results. For instance, during maximal voluntary isometric contractions 79 of the neck musculature, an increased co-activation of antagonist muscles was observed 80 in girls¹³ and women with either episodic or chronic migraine¹⁴ while maximal strength 81 seems to be affected only in women with chronic migraine.¹⁴ However, during low-load 82 tasks such as the cranio-cervical flexion test (CCFT) no significant differences in 83

activation of superficial neck flexors were observed in individuals with migraine.^{15,16}
These varying results may reflect the different tasks examined (strength versus motor
control) but may also suggest that changes in the activation the cervical musculature are
only present in some patients with migraine, rather than being unequivocally associated
with most migraine patients.

Interestingly, these previous studies have not taken into account the presence of trigger points (TrPs) in the neck musculature. Yet, it is well described that patients with migraine exhibit more active TrPs, those which reproduce the migraine attack when stimulated,¹⁷ in the cranio-cervical muscles compared to subjects without headache.^{18,19} The presence of TrPs has been associated with motor disturbances as they can promote fatigue, altered coordination, and altered pattern of intramuscular activity.²⁰⁻²²

No previous study has investigated the potential influence of active TrPs in the 95 96 neck musculature on electromyographic activity of superficial neck flexor and extensor muscles during the CCFT in individuals with migraine. Therefore, the aim of the current 97 98 study was to investigate differences in activation of superficial neck flexor and extensor 99 muscles during the CCFT in migraine patients considering the presence of active TrPs in splenius capitis (SC), upper trapezius (UT) and sternocleidomastoid (SCM) muscles. 100 We hypothesized that the presence of active TrPs in the cervical musculature would be 101 102 associated with altered activity of the superficial neck muscles.

103

- 104
- 105
- 106

107

109 METHODS

110 **Participants**

Patients with migraine without aura were recruited from an urban regional hospital 111 between November 2014 and October 2015. Patients were diagnosed following the third 112 edition of International Headache Society criteria by an experienced neurologist.²³ 113 Migraine features including location, quality of pain, years with disease, the frequency 114 and intensity of attacks, family history and medication intake were collected as clinical 115 116 history. No abnormalities were detected in routine blood analyses with ESR or urine analyses. An X-ray examination of the skull and cervical spine and a CT scan or MRI of 117 the head were invariably performed, and did not show any structural lesion. They were 118 excluded if they presented any of the following criteria: 1, other concomitant primary or 119 secondary headache; 2, medication overuse headache; 3, history of cervical or head 120 121 trauma (i.e., whiplash); 4, pregnancy; 5, history of cervical herniated disk or cervical 122 osteoarthritis on medical records; 6, any systemic degenerative disease, e.g., rheumatoid 123 arthritis, lupus erythematous; 7, diagnosis of fibromyalgia syndrome; 8, anesthetic block 124 in the past 3 months; or, 9, receiving physical therapy intervention in the head and neck the previous 6 months. A careful clinical examination of each participant was conducted 125 to determine inclusion and exclusion criteria. 126

All participants signed the informed consent form before their inclusion in the
study. The local Ethics Committee of Hospital Rey Juan Carlos (HRJ 07/14) approved
the study design.

130 Clinical measures

131 Clinical data including years with migraine, migraine frequency (days per month),
132 intensity of pain attacks (numerical pain rate scale, 0-10), headache duration (hours per

attack), as well as presence of self-reported neck pain, including report of the frequency,intensity and years with neck pain were systematically collected.

135

Cranio-cervical flexion test (CCFT)

The CCFT is a low-load graded test of deep cervical flexor muscle performance with five progressive stages guided by a pressure biofeedback unit (Stabilizer[®], Chattanooga Group Inc. South Pacific, USA, **Fig. 1**). It is performed with the subject in supine, with the head and neck in a neutral position. The pressure biofeedback unit is placed behind the subject's neck in the suboccipital region, with an initial inflation pressure of 20 mmHg.²⁴

First, participants were familiarized with the test. Subjects were instructed to perform a gentle head-nodding action of cranio-cervical flexion over five incremental stages of increasing range of motion (2 mmHg each stage) and each stage was maintained for 10 seconds. Head extension, head lift or opening the mouth, described as compensations strategies,²⁴ were discouraged at familiarization time.

After the familiarization phase, a rest period of 1 minute was permitted. Subjects then performed the CCFT by holding each target level for 10s with 30s rest between levels. During the holding phase, surface electromyography of selected neck flexors and extensor muscles was acquired. The full CCFT was repeated twice with a 15min rest between. All subjects performed all CCFT levels and compensatory strategies were not controlled during the formal test. The CCFT examination was conducted by an assessor blinded to the presence or absence of TrPs.

154 Electromyography (EMG) acquisition and processing

After gentle skin abrasion using abrasive paste, bipolar surface EMG was recorded with pairs of electrodes positioned 20mm apart (Ambu[®]-Blue Sensor N-50-K/25) and firmly fixed with adhesive tape bilaterally over the following cervical muscles: 1, the

sternal head of SCM muscle, over the muscle belly at 1/3 of the distance from the sternal 158 notch to the mastoid process;²⁵ 2, anterior scalene (AS): over the muscle belly parallel to 159 the clavicular head of the SCM;²⁵ and, 3, SC muscle: over the muscle belly at C2-C3 160 level between the uppermost parts of the SCM and UT muscles.²⁶ The reference 161 electrode was placed on the wrist of the participants. Myoelectric signals from SCM, 162 AS, SC and UT muscles were amplified by 5000 (EMG16, 16-channel amplifier, 163 LISiN-OT Bioelettronica[®], Torino, Italy), filtered (-3dB bandwidth, 10-450 Hz), 164 165 sampled at 2048 Hz, and converted to 12-bit digital samples.

Customized MATLAB code (The MathworksTM, Natick, MA, USA) was used 166 for data processing. EMG raw signals were band-filtered at a 20-400Hz (4th order 167 Butterworth) and the average Root Mean Square (RMS) was calculated from each 10 s 168 contraction. Neck flexor and extensor RMS values were normalized and expressed as a 169 170 percentage of the maximum RMS value during a reference voluntary contraction. The reference activity for superficial neck flexors was a head lift task, and for superficial 171 172 neck extensors was head extension against the table in the supine position. For analysis 173 purposes, the mean RMS values were averaged over the two repetitions for each CCFT stage. Finally, the mean of both sides right and left, for each muscle were considered in 174 the analysis for all CCFT stages. 175

176 **Trigger Point Identification**

177 Screening for TrPs was performed by an assessor with 6 years of experience in 178 TrP diagnosis. The SCM, SC and UT muscles were assessed bilaterally since TrPs in 179 these muscles referred pain to the head mimicking migraine.^{18,19} TrP diagnosis was 180 performed according the following criteria:¹⁷ 1, presence of a palpable taut band in the 181 muscle; 2, presence of a painful spot in the taut band; 3, local twitch response on 182 snapping palpation of the taut band; and, 4, reproduction of referred pain during manual examination. TrP diagnosis was conducted using snapping palpation (first to locate the taut band, and then moving the thumb tip back and forth to roll the underlying fibers) to induce a local twitch response, and flat palpation (placing the padded aspect of the thumb on the painful spot and applying pressure against the underlying tissue or bone) to induce the referred pain.

Participants were evaluated during interictal migraine states and pain-free states, and when at least one week had elapsed since the last migraine attack to avoid migraine related allodynia. TrPs were considered active when the referred pain elicited during manual examination reproduced the migraine attack features that the subject usually suffered from, and, therefore, the pain was recognized as a familiar pain.¹⁷ Patients were classified as having active TrPs when they had TrPs reproducing their migraine attack in at least one muscle, either left or right side.

195 Statistical analysis

196 Data were analyzed with SPSS software version 20.0 (SPSS Inc[©], Chicago, IL). 197 Means and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) were calculated for the clinical variables. 198 Patients were stratified according to the presence of active TrPs in the SCM, SC, and UT muscles separately. The comparison for the normalized RMS values was conducted 199 with a 2x5 analysis of co-variance (ANCOVA) with CCFT stage (22 mmHg, 24 mmHg, 200 201 26 mmHg, 28 mmHg, and 30 mmHg) as the within-subject variable, and stratification 202 (presence or absence of active TrPs) as the between-subject variable and the presence of 203 neck pain as co-variate. Separates ANCOVAs were conducted depending on the muscle 204 affected by active TrPs (SCM, SC and UT). The statistical analysis was conducted at 205 95% confidence level. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

206

208 **RESULTS**

209 Clinical features of the sample

From 100 eligible subjects with migraine who accepted to participated, 30 were 210 211 excluded for the following reasons: other co-morbid headaches (n=15), receiving 212 anesthetic block (n=6) or botulinum toxin A (n=6) in the past 3 months, and reporting previous head or neck trauma (n=3). Finally, 70 women, mean age: 42±12 years old, 213 with episodic migraine without aura were included. A total of 58 women (83%) self-214 215 reported neck pain. All women exhibited active TrPs reproducing their migraine attacks. The mean \pm SD number of active TrPs for each patient with migraine was 3.0 ± 1.5 . The 216 217 UT muscle was the most affected by active TrPs in our sample (n=41, 59%). Table 1 summarizes demographic and clinical data of the total sample. The clinical status of 218 patients was not dependent on the presence of TrPs in each cervical muscle (Table 2). 219

220 Neck flexor activity and TrPs

221 Normalized RMS values for SCM and AS muscles during the five stages of the 222 CCFT in those patients with active TrPs in the sternocleidomastoid, upper trapezius and 223 splenius capitis are shown in Figs. 2-4. There was an increase in EMG amplitude of the SCM and AS with the progressive stages of the test independently of the presence of 224 active TrPs in the SCM muscle (SCM: F=16.57; P<0.001, AS: F=15.35; P<0.001), 225 226 upper trapezius (SCM: F=12.59; P<0.001, AS: F=16.54; P<0.001), or SC muscle (SCM: F=16.15; P<0.001, AS: F=10.18; P<0.001). Women with migraine exhibiting active 227 TrPs in the SCM muscle (SCM: F=10.307; P=0.002, AS: F=7.169; P=0.009), UT 228 229 muscle (SCM: F=5.19; P=0.026, AS: F=4.491; P=0.044) or SC muscle (SCM: F=7.852; P=0.007, AS: F=6.437; P=0.018) showed lower normalized RMS values of their 230 231 superficial neck flexors than those without active TrPs in the same muscles (Figs. 2-4). The presence of neck pain did not influence the results (SCM: P>0.253, AS: P>0.356). 232

233 Neck extensor activity and TrPs

Normalized RMS values for SC muscle during the five stages of the CCFT in 234 those patients with active TrPs in the SCM, UT and SC are shown in Fig. 5. There was 235 also an increase in EMG amplitude of the SC with the progressive stages of the test 236 independently of the presence of active TrPs in either the SCM (F=4.41; P=0.039), UT 237 (F=4.591; P=0.045), or SC (F=11.176; P<0.001) muscles. In contrast to the results for 238 the flexor muscles, the results revealed higher normalized RMS values in the SC muscle 239 240 in women with migraine exhibiting active TrPs in the SC (F=4.05; P=0.046) and UT (F=4.014; P=0.046) muscles (Fig. 5) compared to those without active TrPs in the same 241 242 muscles. No significant differences were observed for normalized RMS values in the SC in those patients with active TrPs in the SCM muscle (F=0.290; P=0.592, Fig. 5). 243 The presence of neck pain (SC: P>0.213; UT: P>0.293) did not influence the results. 244

245

246 DISCUSSION

Women with migraine exhibiting active TrPs in the SCM, SC and UT muscles had lower activation of their superficial neck flexors, i.e., SCM and AS, during lowload CCF contractions. In addition, the presence of active TrPs in the superficial neck extensors, i.e., SC and UT, determined increased activation of the SC muscle during cranio-cervical flexion contractions.

It is well known that noxious stimulation of a muscle, e.g. with experimental muscle pain via intramuscular injection of hypertonic saline, induces a temporary decrease of EMG amplitude of the painful muscle together with compensatory strategies within the same muscle^{27,28} or across synergistic muscles.²⁹⁻³¹ It may be speculated that a longlasting nociceptive irritant, such as an active TrP, also induces inhibition of the painful muscle when activated. This knowledge may explain the reduced activation of the SCM and AS muscles in individuals with active TrPs in the same musculature. Interestingly,
reduced activation of the SCM and AS was also noted in women with active TrPs in the
SC or UT muscles which implies that the altered muscle strategy is not necessarily due
to pain induced inhibition locally within the muscle.

The observation of reduced activation of the SCM and AS during the CCFT in the 262 women with migraine and active TrPs is in contrast to observations in people with 263 primary neck disorders, including cervicogenic headache.^{15,16} Rather, people with 264 265 cervical spine disorders show higher activity of the SCM and AS muscles during the CCFT which has been shown to be an indicator of poor performance of the deep neck 266 flexor muscles, i.e., longus colli and longus capitis.^{32,33} However, migraine is a primary 267 headache mainly associated to brain dysfunction with deficient regulation of excitatory-268 inhibitory balance during cortical activity leading to trigemino-vascular sensitization. 269 Thus, although individuals with migraine usually suffer from concomitant neck pain,⁵ 270 271 they do not have a primary neck pain disorder which would explain these contrasting 272 results. Nevertheless, we observed that the presence of active TrPs within the cervical musculature implies different activation of the neck flexor muscles compared to those 273 without active TrPs in the same muscles. Interestingly, differences in muscle activation 274 was associated to the presence or absence of active TrPs, but not related to the presence 275 276 of neck pain in our study.

During tasks with low mechanical demands, performance can be maintained despite pain, also via modification of antagonist musculature activity.^{30,34} Indeed, one theory of the motor adaption to pain indicates that muscle pain induces reorganization of the motor strategy characterized by reduced activity of agonist muscles and increased activity of antagonist muscles (pain adaptation theory).³⁵ The current work supports the observation of increased antagonist muscle activity since increased SC muscle activity

was noted when active TrPs were present within the SC or UT muscles. In support of 283 the current findings, individuals with chronic, but not episodic, migraine exhibit higher 284 activity of their superficial neck extensors (i.e., SC muscle) during low-load, isometric 285 286 cranio-cervical flexion contractions compared to non-headache individuals (unpublished observations) and women with chronic tension type headache also show greater co-287 activation of antagonist muscles (i.e. the SC muscle) during isometric neck flexion 288 contractions compared with headache-free subjects.³⁶ Thus, increase co-activation of 289 290 antagonist musculature appears to be a common feature in people with headache. The results from the current study suggest that increased antagonist muscle co-activation is 291 even more likely in those with active TrPs. 292

Overall, the observation that TrPs are associated with changes in the activation of 293 agonist and antagonist muscles is consistent with earlier findings. Ibarra et al observed 294 295 increased muscle activity at latent TrPs in an antagonist muscle (i.e., posterior deltoid muscle) during shoulder flexion task.²² Lucas et al³⁷ found that the presence of latent 296 TrPs impaired recruitment or timing of muscle activation when performing active joint 297 movement and Ge et al²⁰ found that the presence of latent TrPs induced incoherent 298 muscle activation patterns in synergist musculature during muscle contractions. 299 However, these studies included latent TrPs, but not active TrPs, which limit the clinical 300 301 relevance of their data since latent TrPs are not related to clinical pain complaints. Our 302 study is the first one showing that the presence of active TrPs was associated with a 303 different pattern of agonist and antagonist muscle activation in patients with headache. 304 Our finding has potential implication for clinical practice. Since the presence of active TrPs in the cervical musculature is related to altered pattern of neck muscle activation, it 305 306 would be recommended that clinicians first treat these TrPs before start any therapeutic

exercise program targeting at normalizing motor control disturbances observed in thesepatients.

Although the study expands current knowledge on changes in muscle behavior 309 310 in individuals with migraine, potential limitations should be recognized. First, we only 311 included women with migraine, therefore, we do not know if the same results would be observed in men. Second, we included a single low-load cranio-cervical flexion task for 312 investigating muscular activity, but this task does not necessarily represent muscle 313 314 demands during daily life activities. Third, psychological features, e.g. fear of movement, were not measured and may have proven useful in understanding the 315 316 mechanisms underlying the observed altered muscle behavior in people with migraine. Further, a control group of headache-free individuals was not included; thus, although 317 we can confirm differences in the activation of the neck musculature between women 318 319 with and without active TrPs in their cervical muscles, we cannot confirm that the changed pattern of activation within the migraine group with active TrPs would be 320 321 significantly different to asymptomatic people.

322

323 CONCLUSION

In the current study, all women with migraine exhibited active TrPs in the neck muscles reproducing their migraine attack. Women with migraine who have active TrPs in the cervical musculature show an altered pattern of neck muscle activation during a low-load cranio-cervical contraction compared to those without active TrPs in the evaluated muscle. Alterations of afferent input (i.e., painful stimulus induced by active TrPs) appear to influence muscle activation at a multi-muscular level.

- **Funding Acknowledgments:** The first author received a grant from The São Paulo
- Research Foundation (FAPESP) (process number 2012/ 22245-2).

334 **REFERENCES**

- Stovner LJ, Hoff JM, Svalheim S, Gilhus NE. Neurological disorders in the
 Global Burden of Disease 2010 study. Acta Neurologica Scandinavica 2014;
 198: 1-6.
- 2. Calhoun AH, Ford S, Millen C, Finkel AG, Truong Y, Nie Y. The prevalence of
 neck pain in migraine. Headache 2010; 50: 1273-7.
- Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Hernández-Barrera V, Carrasco-Garrido P, Alonso Blanco C, Palacios-Ceña D, Jiménez-Sánchez S, Jiménez-García R. Population based study of migraine in Spanish adults: relation to socio-demographic factors,
 lifestyle and co-morbidity with other conditions. J Headache Pain 2010; 11: 97 104.
- 4. Plesh O, Adams SH, Gansky SA. Self-reported comorbid pains in severe
 headaches or migraines in a US national sample. Headache 2012; 52: 946-56.
- 347 5. Ashina S, Bendtsen L, Lyngberg AC, Lipton RB, Hajiyeva N, Jensen R.
 348 Prevalence of neck pain in migraine and tension-type headache: a population
 349 study. Cephalalgia 2015; 35: 211-9.
- 350 6. Lampl C, Rudolph M, Deligianni CI, Mitsikostas DD. Neck pain in episodic
 351 migraine: premonitory symptom or part of the attack? J Headache Pain 2015;
 352 16: 566.
- 353 7. Bartsch T, Goadsby PJ. The trigeminocervical complex and migraine current
 354 concepts and synthesis. Curr Pain Headache Rep 2003; 7: 371-5.
- 355 8. Robertson BA, Morris M. The role of cervical dysfunction in migraine: a
 356 systematic review. Cephalalgia 2008; 28: 474-83.
- 357 9. Dodick D, Silberstein S. Central sensitization theory of migraine:clinical
 358 implications. Headache 2006; 46: S182-S91.

- 10. Calhoun AH, Ford S, Pruitt AP. Presence of neck pain may delay migraine
 treatment. Postgrad Med 2011; 123: 163-8.
- 11. Calhoun AH, Ford S. Double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study of
 early-intervention with sumatriptan 85/naproxen sodium 500 in (truly) episodic
 migraine: what's neck pain got to do with it? Postgrad Med 2014; 126: 86-90.
- 12. Hodges P, Falla D. Interaction between pain and sensory-motor control In: Jull
 G, Moore A, Falla D, Lewis J, McCarthy C, Sterling M. Grieve's modern
 musculoskeletal physiotherapy. 4th Edition. London: Elsevier; 2015.
- 367 13. Oksanen A, Pöyhönen T, Ylinen JJ Metsähonkala L, Antitila P, Laimi K,
 368 Hiekkanen H, Aromaa M, Salminen JJ, Sillanpää M. Force production and EMG
 369 activity of neck muscles in adolescent headache. Disabil Rehabil 2008; 30: 231370 9.
- 14. Florencio LL, de Oliveira AS, Carvalho GF, Tolentino GA, Dach F, Bigal ME,
 Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Bevilaqua Grossi D. Cervical muscle strength and
 muscle co-activation during isometric contractions in patients with migraine: A
 cross-sectional study. Headache 2015; 55: 1312-22
- 375 15. Jull G, Amiri M, Bullock-Saxton J, Darnell R, Lander C. Cervical
 376 musculoskeletal impairment in frequent intermittent headache. Part 1: Subjects
 377 with single headaches. Cephalalgia 2007; 27: 793-802.
- 378 16. Zito G, Jull G, Story I. Clinical tests of musculoskeletal dysfunction in the
 379 diagnosis of cervicogenic headache. Man Ther 2006; 11: 118-29.
- 380 17. Simons DG, Travell J, Simons LS. Myofascial pain and dysfunction: the trigger
 381 point manual. 2nd. Ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins, 1999

382	18. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Cuadrado ML, Pareja JA. Myofascial trigger points
383	neck mobility and forward head posture in unilateral migraine. Cephalalgia
384	2006; 26: 1061-70.

- 19. Calandre EP, Hidalgo J, García-Leiva JM, Rico-Villademoros F. Trigger point
 evaluation in migraine patients: an indication of peripheral sensitization linked
 to migraine predisposition? Eur J Neurol 2006, 13: 244-249.
- 20. Ge HY, Monterde S, Graven-Nielsen T, Arendt-Nielsen L. Latent myofascial
 trigger points are associated with an increased intramuscular electromyographic
 activity during synergistic muscle activation. J Pain 2014; 15: 181-7.
- 391 21. Ge HY, Arendt-Nielsen L, Madeleine P. Accelerated muscle fatigability of latent
 392 myofascial trigger points in humans. Pain Med 2012; 13: 957-64.
- 22. Ibarra J, Ge HY, Wang C, Martínez-Vizcaíno V, Graven-Nielsen T, ArendtNielsen L. Latent myofascial trigger points are associated with an increased
 antagonistic muscle activity during agonist muscle contraction. J Pain 2011; 12:
 1282-8.

397 23. ICHD-III International Classification of Headache Disorders: Headache 398 Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society, 3nd edition. 399 Cephalalgia 2013; 33: 629-808.

- 400 24. Jull GA, O'leary SP, Falla D. Clinical assessment of the deep cervical flexor
 401 muscles: the craniocervical flexion test. J Man Phys Ther 2008; 31: 525-33.
- 402 25. Falla D, Alba PD, Rainoldi A, Merletti R, Jull G. Location of innervation zones
- 403 of sternocleidomastoid and scalene muscles: a basis for clinical and research
 404 electromyography applications. Clin Neurophysiol 2002; 113: 57-63.

- 405 26. Falla D, Farina D, Kanstrup Dahl M, Graven-Nielsen T. Muscle pain induces
 406 task-dependent changes in cervical agonist/antagonist coordination. J Appl
 407 Physiol 2007; 102: 601-609.
- 408 27. Falla D, Farina D, Graven-Nielsen T. Experimental muscle pain results in
 409 reorganization of coordination among trapezius muscle subdivisions during
 410 repetitive shoulder flexion. Exp brain Res 2007; 178: 385-93.
- 28. Falla D, Arendt-Nielsen L, Farina D. Gender-specific adaptations of upper
 trapezius muscle activity to acute nociceptive stimulation. Pain 2008; 138: 217225.
- 414 29. Graven-Nielsen T, Svensson P, Arendt-Nielsen L. Effects of experimental
 415 muscle pain on muscle activity and co-ordination during static and dynamic
 416 motor function. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1997; 105: 156-64
- 30. Muceli S, Falla D, Farina D. Reorganization of muscle synergies during
 multidirectional reaching in the horizontal plane with experimental muscle pain.
 J Neurophysiol 2014; 111: 1615-30.
- 31. Gizzi L, Muceli S, Petzke F, Falla D. Experimental muscle pain impairs the
 synergistic modular control of neck muscles. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0137844.
- 422 32. Falla D, Jull G, Dall'Alba P, Rainoldi A, Merletti R. An electromyographic
 423 analysis of the deep cervical flexor muscles in performance of cranio-cervical
 424 flexion. Phys Ther 2003; 83: 899-906.
- 33. Falla D, Jull G, O'Leary S, Dall'alba P. Further evaluation of and EMG
 technique for assessment of the deep cervical flexor muscles J Electromyogr
 Kinesiol 2006; 16: 621-8.
- 428 34. Hodges PW. Pain and motor control: From the laboratory to rehabilitation. J
 429 Electromyogr Kinesiol 2011; 21: 220-228.

- 35. Lund JP, Donga R, Widmer CG, Stohler CS. The pain-adaptation model: a
 discussion of the relationship between chronic musculoskeletal pain and motor
 activity. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 1991; 69: 683-694.
- 36. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Falla D, Arendt-Nielsen L, Farina D. Cervical muscle
 co-activation in isometric contractions is enhanced in chronic tension-type
 headache patients. Cephalalgia 2008; 28: 744-51.
- 436 37. Lucas KR, Rich PA, Polus BI. Muscle activation patterns in the scapular
 437 positioning muscles during loaded scapular plane elevation: The effects of latent
 438 myofascial trigger points. Clin Biomech 2010; 765-770.

456	Legend of Figures
457	Figure 1: Pressure biofeedback unit (Stabilizer [®] , Chattanooga Group Inc. South Pacific,
458	USA) used during the cranio-cervical flexion text (CCFT)
459	Figure 2: The normalized root mean square (RMS) values for the sternocleidomastoid
460	and anterior scalene muscles for the five stages of the cranio-cervical flexion test
461	depending on the presence or absence of active trigger points (TrPs) in the
462	sternocleidomastoid muscle (SCM - yes, n=36 / no, n=41). Values for the left and right
463	muscles have been averaged. Data are expressed as means and SEM. $* P < 0.05$; **
464	P<0.01
465	Figure 3: The normalized root mean square (RMS) values for the sternocleidomastoid
466	and anterior scalene muscles for the five stages of the cranio-cervical flexion test
467	depending on the presence or absence of active trigger points (TrPs) in the upper
468	trapezius muscle (UT- yes, n=41 / no, n=29). Values for the left and right muscles have
469	been averaged. Data are expressed as means and SEM. * P<0.05; ** P<0.01
470	Figure 4: The normalized root mean square (RMS) values for the splenius capitis
471	muscle for the five stages of the cranio-cervical flexion test depending on the presence
472	or absence of active trigger points (TrPs) in the splenius capitis muscle (SC - yes, n=29 /
473	no, n=41). Values for the left and right muscles have been averaged. Data are expressed
474	as means and SEM. * P<0.05; ** P<0.01
475	Figure 5: The normalized root mean square (RMS) values for the splenius capitis
476	muscle for the five stages of the cranio-cervical flexion test depending on the presence
477	or absence of active trigger points (TrPs) in the sternocleidomastoid (SCM - yes, n=36 /
478	no, n=41), upper trapezius (UT- yes, n=41 / no, n=29), or splenius capitis (SC - yes,
479	n=29 / no, $n=41$) muscles. Values for the left and right muscles have been averaged.
480	Data are expressed as means and SEM. * P<0.05; ** P<0.01