Poor adherence to antihypertensive drugs

Hameed, Mohammed; Indranil, Dasgupta; Gill, Paramjit

DOI:

[10.1136/bmj.i3268](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i3268)

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Hameed, M, Indranil, D & Gill, P 2016, 'Poor adherence to antihypertensive drugs', *BMJ*, vol. 354.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i3268>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Version of Record available from publisher at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i3268>

Checked 2/8/2016

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

PRACTICE

10-MINUTE CONSULTATION

Poor adherence to antihypertensive drugs

Mohammed Awais Hameed *clinical research fellow*^{1 2}, Indranil Dasgupta *consultant nephrologist*¹, Paramjit Gill *reader in primary care research*³

¹Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK; ²Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, UK; ³Primary Care Clinical Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK

A 56 year old man has had persistently raised blood pressure readings at home and in clinic since his diagnosis of hypertension three years ago. He was diagnosed after ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, and is prescribed four antihypertensives. At a routine blood pressure review he says not to bother with another prescription because he doesn't take the drugs.

As many as half of patients with apparent resistant hypertension do not take their prescribed drugs.¹ Consider non-adherence in those taking antihypertensives with elevated blood pressure readings particularly in those with apparent resistant hypertension, where blood pressure is persistently $\geq 140/90$ mm Hg despite taking ≥ 3 titrated antihypertensive drugs including a diuretic. Biological resistant hypertension affects around 10% of those treated for hypertension in the United Kingdom, and such patients need specialist management. Attempt to identify and manage poor adherence before referring the patient to a specialist.

What you should do

To find out about adherence to drugs ask patients questions such as: "I know many people have difficulty taking their medicines, how do you manage yours?" or "Take me through your medications."

The rate that patients are prescribed or collect their drugs can also provide information on adherence. In patients whose blood pressure remains uncontrolled despite multiple (≥ 3) antihypertensive drugs consider referral to a specialist hypertension clinic for directly observed therapy, where a patient is observed taking their drugs while having their blood pressure measured during and afterwards,¹ or toxicological analysis of urine.² Explore to what extent and why the patient is not taking the prescribed drugs. The table shows factors identified in observational studies that are associated with non-adherence and could provide a framework for discussion.³ If the patient declines treatment you can explain: "I respect your decision not

to take drugs for your blood pressure, but I would like to explore the reasons behind this decision so that I can support you in this choice."

What you should cover

Discuss risk

Hypertension is generally an asymptomatic condition and patients might not understand the clinical course of hypertension and the reduced risk of harmful consequences with lifestyle and medical therapies. The risk associated with increasing blood pressure is continuous. Each 2 mm Hg rise in systolic blood pressure is associated with a 7% increased risk of death from ischaemic heart disease, and a 10% increased risk of death from stroke for people aged 40-69 years.⁴ Avoid using descriptive terms when explaining risks to patients. Use absolute numbers with visual aids and consistent denominators. For example, "In a population of 100 people aged 40-69, with every 2 mm Hg rise in blood pressure, 10 people are at risk of dying from a stroke and seven people are at a risk of dying from heart disease such as a heart attack."

To help patients understand what that means for them calculate their QRISK2 score (<https://qrisk.org>). For example, the QRISK for a 56 year old man with no other associated risks is 7.9% over 10 years. This can be explained by: "In a crowd of 100 people with the same risk factors as you, eight are likely to have a heart attack or a stroke in the next 10 years." Supplement this information by showing patients the visual representation of their estimated risk displayed on the QRISK website (fig 1↓).

Discuss lifestyle

If "medication burden"—taking large numbers of drugs—is identified as a contributing factor to a patient's suboptimal adherence, then it might help to revisit lifestyle changes that help lower blood pressure with him or her. Talk about the blood pressure reductions that might be expected from different

Indranil.dasgupta@heartofengland.nhs.uk

This is part of a series of occasional articles on common problems in primary care. *The BMJ* welcomes contributions from GPs.

What you need to know

- Non-adherence to antihypertensives and other drugs is common
- If you identify non-adherence, discuss risks of untreated hypertension, expected benefits of drugs that lower blood pressure, and lifestyle changes using the patient's personalised risk
- Negotiate an achievable goal with the patient

lifestyle modifications. A reduction in salt intake of 4.4 g/day on average reduces blood pressure by 5/3 mm Hg (systolic/diastolic).⁵ Two medium slices of white bread, two rashers of bacon, a packet of crisps, and half a cupful of baked beans altogether contain 4.4 g of salt. A systematic review and meta-analysis showed that three to four sessions of 40 minutes of aerobic exercise at a moderate intensity, on average, lowers blood pressure by 5/3 mm Hg.^{6,7} One randomised controlled trial showed that each pound (0.45 kg) of weight loss reduced blood pressure by about 1 mm Hg in overweight or obese people.⁸ The DASH (dietary approaches to stop hypertension) diet is high in vegetables, fruits, low fat dairy products, whole grains, poultry, fish, and nuts and low in sweets, sugar sweetened beverages, and red meats.⁹ One randomised controlled trial showed a 6/4 mm Hg reduction in blood pressure when the DASH diet was compared with a control diet that was typical of the diets of a substantial number of US citizens. Dealing with multiple lifestyle modifications simultaneously has been shown to be more effective than a sequential approach,¹⁰ and may have a cumulative effect on blood pressure reduction.

Discuss medication

Determine how the patient is managing his or her drugs. Pay particular attention to the side effects of the drugs, the dosing frequency, and number of different drugs. A reduction in the number of drugs could be negotiated, aiming for a higher, more realistic blood pressure target. The expected blood pressure reductions achieved on drugs can be quoted on the basis of a meta-analysis of 147 randomised controlled trials.¹¹ It depends on the patient's pretreatment blood pressure and the number of drugs taken. For example, a patient with a pretreatment blood pressure of 178/98 mm Hg may expect reductions of 11.7/4.6 mm Hg, 22.2/11.0 mm Hg, and 31.7/15.6 mm Hg if treated with standard doses of one, two, or three antihypertensives, respectively.¹¹

Consider simplifying the patient's drug regimen. Complicated dosing regimens are associated with lower adherence.¹² Fewer daily doses, monotherapy, and fewer changes in antihypertensives could be considered. A meta-analysis has shown that single pill combination drugs are associated with improved adherence and blood pressure control, and they could be used where patients are prescribed multiple antihypertensive drugs.¹³

Set a goal

Empowering patients to monitor their blood pressure at home and to manage their drugs improves blood pressure control.¹⁴ Consider motivational interviewing, which has been shown to

improve adherence to antihypertensives and blood pressure control.¹⁵ This involves getting patients to explore why and how they can change their behaviours. The healthcare provider's role is to guide patients through setting the agenda for change by eliciting their internal motivation to change:

- **Ask** open ended questions so patients can consider how and why they might change
- **Listen** to patients' accounts and express empathy
- **Inform** by asking permission to provide information

Competing interests: We have read and understood BMJ policy on declaration of interests and declare the following interests: Deborah to check

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned: Peer reviewed.

1 Hameed MA, Tebbit L, Jacques N, Thomas M, Dasgupta I. Non-adherence to antihypertensive medication is very common among resistant hypertensives: results of a directly observed therapy clinic. *J Hum Hypertens* 2016;30:83-9. [PubMedDOI:10.1038/jhh.2015.38](#) PMID:25947275.

2 Lawson AJ, Shipman KE, George S, Dasgupta I. A novel "dilute-and-shoot" liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method for the screening of antihypertensive drugs in urine. *J Anal Toxicol* 2016;40:17-27. [PubMedDOI:10.1093/jat/kkx001](#) PMID:26333988.

3 World Health Organization. Adherence to long-term therapies: evidence for action. World Health Organization; 2003. <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/fr/d/Js4883e/>.

4 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Hypertension: clinical management of primary hypertension in adults. CG 127. 2011. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG127

5 He FJ, Li J, Macgregor GA. Effect of longer term modest salt reduction on blood pressure: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised trials. *BMJ* 2013;346:f1325. [PubMedDOI:10.1136/bmj.f1325](#) PMID:23558162.

6 Whelton SP, Chin A, Xin X, He J. Effect of aerobic exercise on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Ann Intern Med* 2002;136:493-503. [PubMedDOI:10.7326/0003-4819-136-7-200204020-00006](#) PMID:11926784.

7 Dickinson HO, Mason JM, Nicolson DJ, et al. Lifestyle interventions to reduce raised blood pressure: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *J Hypertens* 2006;24:215-33. [PubMedDOI:10.1097/01.hjh.0000199800.72563.26](#) PMID:16508562.

8 Stevens VJ, Corrigan SA, Obarzanek E, et al; The TOHP Collaborative Research Group. Weight loss intervention in phase 1 of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention. *Arch Intern Med* 1993;153:849-58. [PubMedDOI:10.1001/archinte.1993.00410070039008](#) PMID:8466377.

9 Appel LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E, et al; DASH Collaborative Research Group. A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. *N Engl J Med* 1997;336:1117-24. [PubMedDOI:10.1056/NEJM199704173361601](#) PMID:9099655.

10 Hyman DJ, Pavlik VN, Taylor WC, Goodrick GK, Moye L. Simultaneous vs sequential counseling for multiple behavior change. *Arch Intern Med* 2007;167:1152-8. [PubMedDOI:10.1001/archinte.167.11.1152](#) PMID:17563023.

(11) Law MR, Morris JK, Wald NJ. Use of blood pressure lowering drugs in the prevention of cardiovascular disease: meta-analysis of 147 randomised trials in the context of expectations from prospective epidemiological studies. *BMJ* 2009;338:b1665.

12 Kjellgren KI, Ahlner J, Sjöström R. Taking antihypertensive medication—controlling or co-operating with patients? *Int J Cardiol* 1995;47:257-68. [PubMedDOI:10.1016/0167-5273\(94\)02203-U](#) PMID:7721502.

13 Gupta AK, Arshad S, Poulter NR. Compliance, safety, and effectiveness of fixed-dose combinations of antihypertensive agents: a meta-analysis. *Hypertension* 2010;55:399-407. [PubMedDOI:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.109.139816](#) PMID:20026768.

14 McManus RJ, Mant J, Bray EP, et al. Telemonitoring and self-management in the control of hypertension (TASMINH2): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010;376:163-72. [PubMedDOI:10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)60964-6](#) PMID:20619448.

15 Ren Y, Yang H, Browning C, Thomas S, Liu M. Therapeutic effects of motivational interviewing on blood pressure control: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Cardiol* 2014;172:509-11. [PubMedDOI:10.1016/j.ijcard.2014.01.051](#) PMID:24485630.

Accepted: 20 05 2016

Published by the BMJ Publishing Group Limited. For permission to use (where not already granted under a licence) please go to <http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

Useful reading*For patients*

American Heart Association. Resistant hypertension. www.heart.org/HEARTORG/Conditions/HighBloodPressure/AboutHighBloodPressure/Resistant-Hypertension_UCM_469327_Article.jsp

For health professionals

Myat A, Redwood SR, Qureshi AC, et al. Resistant hypertension. *BMJ* 2012;345:e7473

Law MR, Morris JK, Wald NJ. Use of blood pressure lowering drugs in the prevention of cardiovascular disease: meta-analysis of 147 randomised trials in the context of expectations from prospective epidemiological studies. *BMJ* 2009;338:b1665

Rollnick S, Butler CC, Kinnersley P, et al. Motivational interviewing. *BMJ* 2010;340:c1900

QRISK. QRISK2 calculator. <https://qrisk.org>

Education into practice

- Do you explore adherence in patients with apparently poorly controlled hypertension?

How patients were involved in the creation of this article

No patients were involved in the creation of this article

Table

Table Table| Factors contributing to non-adherence in hypertension with possible solutions. Adapted from WHO report on adherence to long term therapies³

Factors	Possible solutions
Socioeconomic	
Poor socioeconomic status	Make patients aware of support from governmental and voluntary agencies such as the Citizens Advice Bureau in the UK
Illiteracy	
Unemployment	Issue repeat prescriptions to cover a longer duration—such as three months
Limited drug supply	
Cost of drugs	
Healthcare system	
Clinician-patient relationship	Book longer appointments
Lack of knowledge and training for healthcare providers	Training of healthcare assistants, nurses, doctors, and pharmacists to discuss adherence
Inadequate time for consultation	
Condition	
Lack of symptoms	Education through written and verbal information—shared decision making
Chronic or incurable disease	Patient support groups
No immediate consequences of stopping the drugs	Peer support and education
Treatment	
Complex treatment regimens	Simplify the regimen and minimise side effects through use of monotherapy, single pill combination drugs, lower doses to prevent side effects, slow release formulations to reduce dose frequency, trying other drugs in the same class or changing the class
Duration of treatment	
Low drug tolerability and adverse effects of treatment such as dry cough with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors inhibitors, ankle swelling with calcium channel blockers, and electrolyte disturbances and gout with diuretics	
Patient	
Patient's knowledge of the disease	Patient education through written and verbal information
Patient's perception of risk and awareness of costs and benefits of treatment	Motivational interviewing
Non-acceptance of monitoring	Promoting self care through home monitoring
Psychiatric illness	Using smart phone applications to set medication reminders and record their home blood pressure, which could be shared electronically with their doctor

Figure

Fig 1 QRISK2 score