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Abstract

Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is the commonest cause of liver disease worldwide, and is rapidly becoming the leading indication for liver transplantation.

Sources of data

Original articles, reviews and meta-analyses, guidelines.

Areas of agreement

NAFLD strongly correlates with obesity and insulin resistance; currently the best management strategy is weight loss and treatment of the metabolic syndrome.

Areas of controversy

Recent data suggest that the presence of fibrosis and not non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) is the predictor of clinical outcome.

Growing points

Many phase 2 and 3 trials are underway. Drugs hoped to be effective are obeticholic acid, elafibranor, glucagon-like peptide-1 analogues and CCR2/5 inhibitors.

Areas timely for developing research

Improved understanding of the pathophysiology of NAFLD should help to us identify which patients progress to significant liver disease and to develop therapies to target this population.
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INTRODUCTION

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the commonest cause of liver disease in Western countries, with an overall prevalence of 25% in the general population\(^1\) rising to 70% in the obese population\(^2\) and those who have type 2 diabetes mellitus\(^2,3\). Moreover, the number of affected individuals is expected to increase over the forthcoming years\(^4\), in line with rising obesity due to the adoption of a high fat diet and sedentary lifestyle. In the US it has become the second commonest cause for liver transplantation and is likely to become the leading cause over the next 10 years\(^5\). This review will cover what is already known about the disease, current management strategies, and discuss areas of contention requiring further research and development.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

Free fatty acid (FFA) and hepatic triglyceride (TG) accumulation is a cardinal feature of NAFLD, and commonly occur in the setting of insulin resistance and obesity. Liver injury usually occurs in the presence of these features, mediated by inflammatory cytokines, mitochondrial dysfunction secondary to nutrient excess, and oxidative stress\(^6,7\). The extent of hepatic inflammatory damage is also influenced by of extrahepatic factors such as adipose tissue signalling\(^7\), the effect of gut microbiota\(^8\) and polymorphisms such as PNPLA3 and TLF6\(^13\) which are currently being explored.

In most patients the only response to obesity/insulin resistance is simple steatosis, or non-alcoholic fatty liver (NAFL), which is defined as steatosis $\geq 5\%$ and is believed to follow a relatively benign course. However, in a proportion of patients with steatosis\(^1\) a more profound inflammatory liver damage occurs, termed non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), which is characterised by the presence of lobular inflammation and hepatocellular damage (ballooning). This carries a worse prognosis, with 40% developing progressive fibrosis leading to cirrhosis in 10-27%, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in about 4-27% of those with cirrhosis\(^1,9,10\).
NAFLD is also an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD) and diabetes mellitus, and indeed, ischaemic heart disease and stroke are the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with NAFLD.

Areas of controversy

How important is NASH?

NASH reflects hepatocellular damage and often the commencement of fibrosis progression and yet several long term outcomes studies have suggested that it is fibrosis stage, rather than the presence of NASH or an elevated NAFLD activity score (NAS) that predict patient outcomes (see table 1). This may be a reflection of retrospective studies with insufficient power and/or it may be that NASH is a more dynamic entity which may spontaneously resolve as opposed to fibrosis, the presence of which is more intractable.

Growing points

It is likely that certain single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) predispose some individuals to NAFLD. Genome wide association studies have identified several potentially important genetic variants; the polymorphism seen in patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing 3 (PNPLA3) and farnesyl diphosphate farnesyl transferase-1 (FDFT-1) appears to be most significant. A non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphism, rs738409 (c.444 C>G, I148M) in patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing 3 (PNPLA3), encoding the adiponutrin protein, is linked to increased hepatic triglyceride content and increased severity of NASH and fibrosis in NAFLD. Three other SNPs have been associated with the lobular inflammation phenotype: SNP rs1227756 on chromosome 10 in the COL13A1 (and collagen, type XIII, α 1) gene, rs6591182 on chromosome 11, and rs887304 on chromosome 12 in the EF-hand calcium binding domain 4B(EFCAB4B) gene, and
another SNP in transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2 (TM6SF2) (rs58542926 c.449 C>T, E167K) also has a strong association with NAFLD and disease progression to fibrosis and cirrhosis. It is therefore possible that in future we will be able to risk stratify patients according to the presence of genetic polymorphisms.

Recently, gut microbiota has been shown to have a potential role in the development of steatohepatitis and fibrosis in NAFLD. Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) from Gram negative gut micro flora are absorbed into intestinal capillaries and enter the portal system, activating toll-like receptors (TLRs) on hepatocytes, Kupffer cells and hepatic stellate cells and exerting a pro-inflammatory effect. The clearance of LPS is believed to be impaired in NAFLD, leading to a cascade of bacterial overgrowth, increased intestinal permeability and stimulation of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, resulting in hepatic injury and fibrosis. There is particular interest in Porphyromonas, a gram negative coccus that has been associated with several components of the metabolic syndrome, as well as complications of chronic liver disease, but more work is needed to establish its exact role in the pathogenesis of human NASH.

ASSESSMENT

In a clinical setting, it is important to identify those patients that are at risk of progressive liver fibrosis, as these individuals will require regular monitoring, lifestyle interventions and management of their cardiovascular risk factors. Notably, most subjects with NAFLD are generally asymptomatic, with the diagnosis often made following an incidental finding of a fatty liver on ultrasound scan (USS) or abnormal LFTs. Figure 1 illustrates a suggested pathway for patients presenting with abnormal LFTs who are suspected to have NAFLD.
Serum markers

Levels of serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST) or alanine aminotransferase (ALT) are usually increased up to 1.5- to 4-fold but rarely exceed 5 times the upper limit of normal in the setting of NAFLD. Gamma glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT) and alkaline phosphatase levels may also be elevated, but the serum prothrombin time, bilirubin level and serum albumin level are normal, except in patients with NAFLD-associated cirrhosis. About a quarter of NAFLD patients may have antinuclear antibodies (ANA) in low titres (less than 1:320), and serum ferritin level may be raised in 20% to 50% of NAFLD patients, which is often associated with more advanced disease. Plasma cytokeratin-18 (CK-18) is a filament protein in the liver, with caspase cleaved fragments released into blood stream following hepatocyte injury and apoptosis as seen in the setting of NASH. Levels of CK-18 fragments have been shown to correlate with histologically confirmed NASH in several groups (Area under the receiver operated curve (AUROC) of 0.83 and sensitivity of 77%), although it is not clear whether they have the precision to have a diagnostic role or help monitor response to therapy.

The enhanced liver fibrosis (ELF) test combines three candidate serum biomarkers for fibrosis; hyaluronic acid (HA), procollagen III amino terminal peptide (PIIINP), and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1 (TIMP-1), which have been shown to correlate with the level of liver fibrosis seen histologically. A cut-off of 10.51 has been demonstrated to have a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 98% for detecting advanced fibrosis; it is likely that ELF testing will be incorporated into upcoming UK guidelines to be used as a screening tool in the primary care setting.

Where NAFLD is detected, a liver screen is generally performed to exclude autoimmune, viral and genetic causes followed by an assessment to determine the presence of NASH or fibrosis in order to risk stratify the patient for progression of liver disease.
Imaging for steatosis and inflammation

Ultrasound scan (USS) is the commonest modality for diagnosing liver steatosis, as defined by hyper-echogenicity of the liver parenchyma relative to the kidney or spleen\(^\text{20}\), and is widely used due to its simplicity, non-invasive nature and low cost\(^\text{21}\). It is however highly operator dependant, non-reproducible, and can be limited by abdominal gas or patient body habitus, but more importantly it is unable to distinguish simple steatosis from advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis\(^\text{20}\).

Use of the FibroScan\(^\text{®}\) device with the controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) facility can also be used to assess hepatic steatosis. Ultrasound signals acquired by the FibroScan\(^\text{®}\) are attenuated by liver fat which can be measured using a standard probe, giving a value between 100 and 400 dB/m\(^\text{22}\). One prospective study in 153 patients compared the percentage of steatosis on liver biopsy with CAP readings found that using a cut-off of 283 dB/m, the CAP was 76% sensitive, 79% specific, and had positive and negative predictive values of 87% and 64%, respectively. The AUROCs of the CAP for ≥5%, >33% and >66% steatosis in this study were 0.79, 0.76 and 0.70, respectively\(^\text{23}\). A larger study by de Ledinghen et al compared CAP readings with histology in 440 patients and had similar finding grades of steatosis (>10%, >33% and >66%). AUROCs were 0.79 (95% CI 0.74-0.84, \(p<0.001\)), 0.84 (95% CI 0.80-0.88, \(p<0.001\)) and 0.84 (95% CI 0.80-0.88, \(p<0.001\)) respectively\(^\text{24}\). In both studies only the M probe was used, and failure rate for those with a BMI >40kg/m\(^2\) was 58.4%\(^\text{23,24}\), although an XL probe is now available which has a lower failure rate and has similar accuracy in pilot studies\(^\text{25}\).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) techniques and magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) have been shown to detect lower levels of steatosis (<5) as well as identify changes in fat content accurately. Magnetic resonance imaging-estimated proton density fat fraction (MRI-PDFF) is a novel, image-based modality that permits quantification of the entire fat content of the liver, and which correlates strongly with MR-spectroscopy measured liver fat and histologically-determined steatosis grade\(^\text{26}\). Multi-parametric magnetic resonance (MR) imaging is another non-invasive technique...
under development and involves a 3 stage process: T1 mapping for fibrosis/inflammation imaging, T2 mapping for liver iron quantification, and proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS) for liver fat quantification. The results allow quantification of hepatic fibrosis, iron, and steatosis and in preliminary studies predict clinical outcomes in patients with chronic liver disease²⁷,²⁸.

Imaging for fibrosis

Transient elastography (TE), through assessment of liver stiffness measurement (LSM) is widely available in most secondary or tertiary centres for the assessment of liver fibrosis²⁹. Several studies have provided moderate quality evidence for the diagnostic accuracy of transient elastography over a range of thresholds, and an XL probe has being validated for use in obese subjects. Wong et al demonstrated a sensitivity of 91% and a specificity of 75% in for the detection of significant (≥F3) fibrosis using a cut off of >7.9kPa³⁰. The same group confirmed efficacy to detect ≥F3 fibrosis in those with a BMI ≥30 with a sensitivity and specificity of 90% using a cut off of 7.2 kPa³¹. Acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) imaging (ACUSON S2000™; Siemens Medical Solutions, Mountain View, CA, USA) is another ultrasound-based method for the assessment of liver stiffness based on the measurement of shear waves. Preliminary studies have shown that using a threshold of 4.24KPa, advanced fibrosis (stage 3 or 4) is detected with a sensitivity of 90% and specificity of 90%. It is comparable to transient elastography, and has the possible benefit that it can be undertaken during a routine US assessment³²,³³.

Magnetic Resonance Elastography (MRE) has also been shown to be useful for the detection of significant fibrosis (stage 2 or above) and cirrhosis in all aetiologies of liver disease, including NAFLD³⁴,³⁵. For detection of significant fibrosis MRE showed 100% sensitivity, 96.5% specificity, and 98.9% accuracy and 88.2% sensitivity, 91.1% specificity, and 93.5% accuracy for cirrhosis³⁴. The ability to provide a summative assessment of fibrosis of the liver is a major advantage, although as
with most elastography modalities the presence of significant inflammation can increase elastography readings.\textsuperscript{35}

Liver Biopsy
Liver biopsy remains the gold standard for both diagnosis and staging of disease, with NASH defined by the presence of hepatocellular injury (ballooning, apoptosis/necrosis, presence of Mallory’s hyaline, giant mitochondria), and inflammation (neutrophil and other inflammatory cell infiltrate)\textsuperscript{36}, being detected solely on histology. Several scoring systems exist to help quantify these histological changes, the commonest being the NASH Clinical Research Network (CRN) classification which encompasses the NAFLD activity score (NAS), which grades steatosis, lobular inflammation and hepatocellular ballooning, and a 0-4 score for liver fibrosis (see table 1). More recently, the steatosis, activity, fibrosis (SAF) score was proposed\textsuperscript{37}, which aims to accurately diagnose NASH and reduce inter-observer variability by further defining ballooning according to the size and shape of hepatocytes, and lobular inflammation according to the number of inflammatory foci per lobule. When used in the Fatty Liver Inhibition of Progression (FLIP) algorithm, patients can be further divided into those with NASH and those with simple steatosis\textsuperscript{37}. Liver histology remains the mainstay for outcomes in clinical trials and is required for seeking regulatory approval of new therapies.

Areas of controversy

Should we screen for NAFLD?

Many physicians advocate screening for NAFLD, and multiple methods have been proposed for this purpose, including imaging techniques such as USS, MRI and transient elastography, or using blood tests such as the fatty liver index or AST/ALT ratio. Early identification of patients with or at risk of NAFLD may facilitate beneficial changes in lifestyle and prompt aggressive treatment of features of
the metabolic syndrome, thereby reducing long term morbidity and mortality from both liver and cardiovascular disease. However, given the high prevalence of NAFLD (7-90% depending on the population and screening tool used)\textsuperscript{1}, limited treatment options, and the significant financial burden involved in screening, robust cost-effectiveness analyses are necessary to support this approach\textsuperscript{38}.

TREATMENT

Lifestyle modification

Unhealthy diets, such as those enriched in fructose, trans-fatty acids and saturated fat are believed to be associated with the development of NAFLD\textsuperscript{39}. Dietary sugars such as fructose are used as a substrate for lipogenesis leading to hepatic fatty infiltration, inflammation, and possibly fibrosis. Fat consumption, especially cholesterol, and trans or saturated fatty acids have also been shown to be steatogenic and seem to increase visceral adiposity\textsuperscript{40}. A recent review of dietary interventions in NAFLD suggested that restriction and modulation of simple and high glycaemic carbohydrates and total and saturated fats can improve metabolic parameters such as insulin resistance, decrease liver enzymes levels, and reduce the grade of steatosis, independent of weight loss\textsuperscript{41}. However, few studies included liver biopsies, none were randomised control trials, and the authors were unable to conclude that benefits of dietary modification were truly independent of weight loss. Lifestyle modification, if successfully implemented, can result in weight loss with improvements in all histological aspects of NAFLD. A large prospective cohort study by Vilar-Gomez et al investigated the effect of various degrees of weight loss on liver histology in 261 patients, and found that improvements in inflammation (resolution of NASH or reduction in NAS score) correlated with the magnitude of weight loss\textsuperscript{41}. Notably a greater degree of weight loss (≥10%) was required for improvement in inflammation in those patients deemed higher risk at baseline (female sex, fasting glucose >5.5mmol/L, many ballooned cells at baseline, BMI> 35). Furthermore, those achieving ≥ 10 % weight reduction were also seen to have regression in fibrosis\textsuperscript{41}. One of the major challenges with
lifestyle change once achieved is being able to sustain it for the longer-term which is lacking in studies thus far.

It is likely that a reduction in calorific intake to bring about weight loss is the most beneficial dietary modification in NAFLD, and there is little evidence to favour one dietary intervention over another. In fact there are no RCTs, systematic reviews or comparative prospective cohort studies investigating diet alone, but several trials have shown that dietary intervention in addition to exercise appears to be the most effective[42].

Exercise

Current obesity guidelines recommend 30 minutes of moderate exercise five times weekly[43] to aid weight loss and improve cardiovascular health. However, there is no consensus as to what the ideal duration or intensity is for NAFLD, and both moderate-intensity aerobic and resistance training have been shown to reduce intrahepatic lipid (IHL) independent of weight loss and dietary modification[44,45]. One study also showed evidence for histological improvements in patients with NASH following a 24 week moderate intensity aerobic programme, although greater benefits were seen in those who also made dietary modifications[46]. Most studies involve regimens of exercise for up to 60 minutes thrice weekly, much less than the guidelines for obesity. However, in most studies, the exercise was not monitored and so true level of participation is unknown[42].

There is increasing interest in high-intensity interval training (HIIT), a modified form of sprint interval training using high intensity bouts of exercise followed by recovery periods, which has been proposed as a less time consuming alternative to continuous moderate intensity alternatives[47]. Studies have demonstrated at least equivalent if not greater improvements in cardiovascular fitness with HIIT compared to moderate intensity exercise in a broad range of populations, including those with obesity and the metabolic syndrome[48]. A meta-analysis of HIIT also showed significant improvements in fasting glucose and glycated haemoglobin A1c (HBA1c) in this subgroup of volunteers[49], suggesting potential improvements in insulin sensitivity. A recent study of HIIT in
NAFLD showed a significant improvement in intrahepatic lipid, but no significant changes in measurements of insulin resistance (HBA1c, 2-hour insulin, HOMA2-ß, HOMA2-S) following a thrice weekly 30 minute HIIT intervention for 12 weeks\textsuperscript{50}.

Diet supplements/probiotics

Consumption of omega-3 fatty acid has been found to be low in patients with NAFLD\textsuperscript{51}, and there have been several randomised control studies of the benefits of omega 3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) \textsuperscript{member.help@medicalprotection.org} Many probiotic formulae have been studied in an attempt to target potential imbalance in gut microbiome described above, and have shown some success in improving hepatic steatosis, ALT levels and transient elastography scores\textsuperscript{15} in adults. Larger studies are needed to confirm these findings, and describe their role and ideal dosage in NAFLD.

Alcohol – to drink or not to drink?

Advice on alcohol consumption in the setting of NAFLD is controversial. Whilst there are data suggesting that modest consumption (1 unit/day) is associated with a reduced prevalence of NAFLD\textsuperscript{55} and cardiovascular disease\textsuperscript{56}, other studies refer to the harmful synergy between alcohol and obesity\textsuperscript{57}. Pragmatically, most recommend consumption within standard limits with the exception of those with advanced fibrosis in whom abstinence is advised.

Caffeine

For some time, caffeine has been believed to be hepatoprotective, although its potential role in NAFLD has been unclear. A recent meta-analysis of four cross-sectional and two case control studies
concluded that caffeine from coffee was associated with reduced prevalence of hepatic fibrosis in patient NAFLD\textsuperscript{58}. More studies are needed before recommendations could be made regarding ideal daily consumption.

Pharmacotherapy

There are currently no approved pharmacotherapies for NAFLD, with the main focus being the management of components of the metabolic syndrome such as insulin resistance, hypertension and hyperlipidaemia. Hypertension and hyperlipidaemia should generally be managed according to local guidelines in the recognition that statins are not only safe in NAFLD but are associated with a reduced mortality\textsuperscript{12, 59}. There are no particularly favoured agents for control of hypertension, although previous studies had suggested that angiotensinogen receptor blockers may have additional anti-fibrotic effects\textsuperscript{60}.

A range of medications have been studied specifically in NAFLD with some proceeding into late phase trials. Metformin is the first line agent for T2DM, and reduces the risk of all diabetes-related end-points including microvascular disease, myocardial infarction, large vessel disease, and cardiovascular mortality, in addition to aiding weight loss\textsuperscript{61}. Although studies have not demonstrated any improvements in liver enzymes or liver histology, there is epidemiological evidence to suggest it is associated with a reduced incidence of liver and non-liver malignancies including HCC in those with NASH cirrhosis by as much as 7\%\textsuperscript{62}.

Pioglitazone

Pioglitazone improves insulin sensitivity, reduces hepatic steatosis, inflammation, and to a lesser degree fibrosis\textsuperscript{63} in patients with NASH, and has been shown to result in an 18\% reduction in death,
myocardial infarction and stroke in patients with T2DM. The PIVENS trial assigned 247 non-diabetic adults with NASH to receive pioglitazone, vitamin E, or placebo, for 96 weeks. The primary outcome was a significant change in histologic features of NASH, as assessed with the use of the NASH CRN classification. Whilst pioglitazone did not meet its primary end-point, serum alanine and aspartate aminotransferase levels were reduced (p<0.001), and there was a reduction in hepatic steatosis (p<0.001) and lobular inflammation (p=0.004), but not in fibrosis scores (p=0.12 for pioglitazone). Subsequent meta-analyses has also demonstrated efficacy in inducing resolution of NASH. However, subjects in the PIVENS trial who received pioglitazone gained more weight than did those who received vitamin E or placebo, a side effect seen in several other studies. Furthermore, concerns regarding the long-term safety of pioglitazone have limited its use. Two meta-analyses have found an increased risk of congestive cardiac failure, despite reductions in other cardiovascular mortality. In the study by Lincoff et al, heart failure was reported in 200 (2.3%) of pioglitazone-treated patients compared with 139 (1.8%) control patients (HR, 1.41; 95% CI, 1.14-1.76; P = .002). Concerns have also been raised regarding the risk of bladder cancer, following a study demonstrating relative odds ratio of 4.30 (95% CI 2.82-6.52) for pioglitazone compared with other antidiabetic medications, based on adverse event reporting to the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) between 2004 and 2009. There is a possible reduction of bone density with pioglitazone; thiazolidinedione use causes PPAR-γ activation which increases bone resorption increases while decreasing bone formation, a significant concern as those with diabetes are already at increased risk of osteoporosis.

Liraglutide

Liraglutide is a GLP-1 receptor agonist approved for use in diabetes, which has been shown to induce improvements in peripheral, hepatic and adipose insulin resistance, alongside reductions in de novo lipogenesis. In a proof of concept RCT it met its primary end-point and induced resolution of NASH in both diabetic and non-diabetic patients, although further studies are needed to corroborate this
effect. Use of the higher 3 mg dose of liraglutide in an obese cohort without diabetes over 70 weeks, demonstrated significant weight loss in those on liraglutide versus placebo (63.2% vs 27.1% for 5% weight loss and 33.1% vs 10.6% for 10% loss, respectively)\textsuperscript{71}. Side effects were minimal and the higher dose appeared well tolerated.

GFT505

PPARs are nuclear receptors that play key roles in the regulation of metabolism and inflammation. GFT505 is a new dual agonist of the PPARα and δ receptors, and has been shown to improve lipid and glucose metabolism in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and steatosis, inflammation and fibrosis in mouse models of NAFLD\textsuperscript{72}. A small study (n=22) in an obese population has shown that GFT505 improved peripheral and hepatic insulin sensitivity, and significantly reduced Insulin-suppressed plasma free fatty acid concentrations, fasting plasma triglycerides and LDL cholesterol\textsuperscript{73}. Post-hoc analysis of a recently published randomised phase IIb study showed patients clearing NASH (as defined by disappearance of ballooning together with either disappearance of lobular inflammation or the persistence of mild lobular inflammation (score of 0 or 1) without worsening of fibrosis) with 120 mg oral elafibrinor (GFT505). When compared with placebo, improvement in NASH was more pronounced in those with NAS≥4, (19% vs 9%; \(p=0.013\)) compared with those with NAS ≤4 (19% vs 12%; \(p=0.045\)), and it is likely that PPAR agonism with have role in pharmacotherapy for NASH in the future\textsuperscript{74,75}.

Vitamin E

Vitamin E is an antioxidant and has potential mechanism to reduce oxidative stress in NASH. It is the most widely investigated antioxidant, and has been shown to improve steatosis and inflammation in several RCTs in both diabetic and non-diabetic children and adults\textsuperscript{76,77}. However, the trials have been heterogeneous, comparing different doses of vitamin E against various agents as well as
placebo, and in two studies the participants had lost weight, making it difficult to draw adequate conclusions. Despite meeting the primary end-point in the PIVENS trial, there are persisting concerns regarding the risk of prostate cancer and haemorrhagic stroke in higher doses⁷⁸,⁷⁹, as well as reports of increased all-cause mortality⁸⁰. The SELECT study compared selenium vs vitamin E vs placebo for a primary outcome of Gleason grade ≥7 prostate cancer, and showed a relative risk of 17% with vitamin E. However, absolute risk was lower at 1.6 per 1000 person-years was 1.6 for vitamin E, and it is possible that identifiable SNPs affecting vitamin E metabolism may be responsible for the increased risk⁷⁸. A meta-analysis investigating the effect of vitamin E on the incidence stroke reported an increase in the relative risk of haemorrhagic stroke by 22%, while the risk of ischaemic stroke was reduced by 10%. Given the severity of outcomes following haemorrhagic stroke, the authors could not recommend the use of vitamin E⁷⁹. Despite the potential benefits for NASH, the longest prospective trial is 2 years⁷⁷, and given the long term concerns, the risks and benefits of therapy must be carefully discussed with patients in clinical practice.

Obeticholic acid

Obeticholic acid (OCA) is a synthetic variant of the natural bile acid chenodeoxycholic acid, a potent activator of the farnesoid X nuclear receptor, which down-regulates lipogenesis. A randomised, placebo-controlled trial in NAFLD (the FLINT study) demonstrated improvement in histological features of NASH (steatosis, hepatocyte ballooning, inflammation) as well as fibrosis⁸¹. Increased levels of low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and reduced high-density lipoprotein (HDL) were also seen in this group, which will need to be monitored in the ongoing phase III study. There was also a high incidence of pruritus (23%) which may be an important consideration for a condition with minimal symptoms⁸¹.

Bariatric surgery

Bariatric surgery offers an invasive but effective means of sustainable weight loss. There have been no RCTs investigating the benefits of bariatric surgery in NAFLD, but meta-analysis of cohort studies suggests an improvement in steatosis by 91.6%, steatohepatitis by 81.3%, and fibrosis, 65.5%,
following bariatric surgery\textsuperscript{82}. Furthermore, improvements in insulin resistance, dyslipidaemia and other obesity related comorbidities have been demonstrated. No single technique is recommended for NAFLD but bypass procedures are believed to be the most effective for weight loss\textsuperscript{83}. RCTs and long term follow up studies are required to fully evaluate the risks and benefits of surgery over lifestyle modification and pharmacotherapy.

Growing points

LOXL2 antibody/inhibitors

LOXL2 is one of a family of enzymes involved in modifying the extracellular matrix, promoting cross-linking of cellular collagen, and fibrosis\textsuperscript{84}. Serum LOXL2 levels have been shown to correlate with fibrosis in NAFLD, and both an antibody and inhibitor and have been developed, with phase 2b trials underway for the former (clinical trials.gov identifier: NCT01672866, NCT01672879).

Vascular adhesion protein-1

The adhesion molecule vascular adhesion protein-1 (VAP-1) is a membrane-bound amine oxidase that promotes leukocyte recruitment to the liver, and the soluble form (sVAP-1) accounts for most circulating monoamine oxidase activity, has insulin-like effects, and can initiate oxidative stress. An absence or blockade of functional VAP-1 in murine hepatic injury models has been shown to reduce inflammatory cell recruitment to the liver and attenuate fibrosis. Furthermore, serum sVAP-1 levels are elevated in patients with NAFLD compared with those in control individuals, and targeting VAP-1 is believed to have therapeutic potential for NAFLD and other chronic fibrotic liver diseases\textsuperscript{85}.

CCR2/CCR5 antagonist
The C-C chemokine receptor types 2 and 5 (CCR2 and CCR5), and their respective ligands, C-C chemokine ligand types 2 (CCL2/monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 [MCP-1]) and 5 (CCL5/RANTES) are involved in recruitment of inflammatory cells to the liver and activation of hepatic stellate cells which promote fibrosis\textsuperscript{86}. Inhibition of CCR2 or CCR5 in murine models of liver injury demonstrated reduction in fibrosis; an oral dual CCR2/CCR5 antagonist (Cenicriviroc), has now been developed and a phase IIb trial is currently underway\textsuperscript{87}.

Liver transplantation

Transplantation for NAFLD is rising, and with it, expertise in the selection and management of both graft and patient peri-operatively\textsuperscript{88}. Patients often have significant comorbidities, yet a recent meta-analysis showed a tendency towards death from cardiovascular disease or sepsis, but otherwise similar 5 year outcomes for NASH recipients compared with other aetiologies\textsuperscript{89}. Higher rates of renal dysfunction are observed in patients with NASH after transplantation, and therefore use of mycophenolate and lower serum levels of Tacrolimus are recommended\textsuperscript{90}.

CONCLUSIONS

NAFLD is the fastest growing cause for liver disease worldwide, and in the light of the obesity epidemic, shows no sign of waning. Liver steatosis alone is relatively benign, but the presence of fibrosis has significant implications for cardiovascular and liver related morbidity and mortality. The factors determining development of steatohepatitis and fibrosis are poorly understood, and warrant further investigation. Nevertheless, identifying those with NASH and fibrosis is crucial, as these patients should usually be managed within a secondary care setting, and may benefit from pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions, regular modification of risk factors, and participation in clinical trials.
There are currently no non-invasive tests for steatohepatitis, but several for fibrosis. Currently, once patients at high risk group have been identified, management is focused on encouraging weight loss and managing features of the metabolic syndrome, in an attempt to halt progression of the disease and reduce cardiovascular mortality. Exercise and weight loss remain the most effective strategy for disease management, but is limited by the ability to sustain lifestyle changes in this population group. Identifying dietary and exercise regimens that are the easiest to adopt and lead to longstanding lifestyle reform will improve liver and cardiovascular outcomes. These would ideally be tailored to individual needs and abilities, but this is a resource-heavy approach, and may not be practicable in most healthcare systems.

 Trials for pharmacological agents have historically been limited by small study cohort sizes, a dearth of high quality studies, and concerns regarding efficacy and side effects. However, there is now multiple large phase II/III RCT in progress with both new and existing agents, with the FDA assigning breakthrough designation for several of them in light of the significant clinical unmet need in NASH. NAFLD is a highly complex condition with multiple parallel pathways and thus it is likely that therapy will be personalised and consist of multiple therapies.
References


37. Bedossa P; FLIP Pathology Consortium Hepatology. Utility and appropriateness of the fatty liver inhibition of progression (FLIP) algorithm and steatosis, activity, and fibrosis (SAF) score in the evaluation of biopsies of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2014; 60:565-75.


75. Newsome PN. Entering the GOLDEN age for therapies in NASH. *Gastroenterology* 2016; 150: 1073–1076.


Table 1. NASH CRN histological scoring system.

NAFLD Activity Score (NAS) (0–8)

Sum of scores for steatosis, lobular inflammation and hepatocellular ballooning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steatosis (0–3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 = &lt;5% hepatocytes involved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 = 5–33% hepatocytes involved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 = 33–66% hepatocytes involved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 = &gt;66% hepatocytes involved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lobular Inflammation (0–3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 = none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 = &lt;2 foci per 200 field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 = 2–4 foci per 200 field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 = &gt;4 foci per 200 field</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hepatocyte ballooning (0–2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 = none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 = few ballooned cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 = many cells / prominent ballooning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥5  Probable or definite NASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4 Uncertain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2  Not NASH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fibrosis stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 Perisinusoidal or periportal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a = Mild, zone 3, perisinusoidal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b = Moderate, zone 3, perisinusoidal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c = Portal / periportal fibrosis only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 Perisinusoidal and portal / periportal fibrosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3 Bridging fibrosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4 Cirrhosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>