Metabolic differences between cold stored and machine perfused porcine kidneys:

Nath, Jay; Smith, Thomas; Patel, Kamlesh; Ebbs, Sam R.; Hollis, Alex; Tennant, Daniel; Ludwig, Christian; Ready, Andrew R.

DOI:

[10.1016/j.cryobiol.2016.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2016.11.006)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Nath, J, Smith, T, Patel, K, Ebbs, SR, Hollis, A, Tennant, D, Ludwig, C & Ready, AR 2016, 'Metabolic differences between cold stored and machine perfused porcine kidneys: A 1H NMR based study', *Cryobiology*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2016.11.006>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Accepted Manuscript

Metabolic differences between cold stored and machine perfused porcine kidneys: A ^1H NMR based study

Jay Nath, Tom B. Smith, Kamlesh Patel, Sam R. Ebbs, Alex Hollis, Daniel A. Tennant, Christian Ludwig, Andrew R. Ready

PII: S0011-2240(16)30439-4

DOI: [10.1016/j.cryobiol.2016.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2016.11.006)

Reference: YCRYO 3783

To appear in: *Cryobiology*

Received Date: 11 July 2016

Revised Date: 18 October 2016

Accepted Date: 21 November 2016

Please cite this article as: J. Nath, T.B. Smith, K. Patel, S.R. Ebbs, A. Hollis, D.A. Tennant, C. Ludwig, A.R. Ready, Metabolic differences between cold stored and machine perfused porcine kidneys: A ^1H NMR based study, *Cryobiology* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.cryobiol.2016.11.006.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 Metabolic differences between cold stored and machine perfused porcine
2 kidneys: A ^1H -NMR based study.

3

4

5 Jay Nath^{1,2}

6 Tom B Smith²

7 Kamlesh Patel^{1,2}

8 Sam R Ebbs²

9 Alex Hollis²

10 Daniel A Tennant²

11 Christian Ludwig^{2,*}

12 Andrew R Ready^{1,*}

13

14 ¹Department of Renal Surgery, University Hospitals Birmingham, Birmingham,
15 UK.

16

17

18 ²Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research (IMSR), College of Medical
19 and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK.

20

21 *Authors contributed equally to this manuscript.

22

23

24 Key words: hypothermic machine perfusion, kidney, transplantation,
25 metabolism, NMR, organ preservation.

26

27

28

29

30

31

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

32 Abstract

33 Hypothermic machine perfusion (HMP) and static cold storage (SCS) are the
34 two methods used to preserve deceased donor kidneys prior to transplant.
35 This study seeks to characterise the metabolic profile of HMP and SCS
36 porcine kidneys in a cardiac death donor model.

37

38 Twenty kidneys were cold flushed and stored for two hours following retrieval.
39 Paired kidneys then underwent 24 hours of HMP or SCS or served as time
40 zero controls. Metabolite quantification in both storage fluid and kidney tissue
41 was performed using one dimensional ^1H -NMR spectroscopy. For each
42 metabolite, the net gain for each storage modality was determined by
43 comparing the total amount in each closed system (i.e. total amount in
44 storage fluid and kidney combined) compared with controls. 26 metabolites
45 were included for analysis.

46

47 Total system metabolite quantities following HMP or SCS were greater for 14
48 compared with controls (all $p < 0.05$). In addition to metabolic differences with
49 control kidneys, the net metabolic gain during HMP was greater than SCS for
50 8 metabolites (all $p < 0.05$). These included metabolites related to central
51 metabolism (lactate, glutamate, aspartate, fumarate and acetate).

52

53 The metabolic environments of both perfusion fluid and the kidney tissue are
54 strikingly different between SCS and HMP systems in this animal model. The
55 total amount of central metabolites such as lactate and glutamate observed in
56 the HMP kidney system suggests a greater degree of *de novo* metabolic

57 activity than in the SCS system. Maintenance of central metabolic pathways
58 may contribute to the clinical benefits of HMP.

59

60

61 Introduction

62

63 Hypothermic Machine Perfusion (HMP) and Static Cold Storage (SCS) are the
64 two methods of kidney preservation that are used widely in clinical practice
65 during the time period between organ retrieval and implantation [16]. A key
66 concept for both preservation modalities is that cellular metabolism, and
67 therefore cellular metabolic requirements, are minimised in these hypothermic
68 conditions and the rate of metabolism reported to be about 5-8% at
69 temperatures below 4°C [29] with a similar decrease in oxygen requirement
70 [1].

71

72 The superiority of HMP over SCS is well documented [4,17,22,23,27] but the
73 mechanisms by which this occurs are not clear. Improvement in flow
74 dynamics, with fall in the intra-renal resistance is likely to be one factor but the
75 additional metabolic support derived from the circulation of nutrient-containing
76 perfusion fluid may also help preserve organ function and have a beneficial
77 effect [7,30].

78

79 Metabolomic analyses of preservation fluid during HMP using 1D-¹H-
80 NMR (One-dimensional proton nuclear magnetic resonance) spectroscopy, by
81 groups including our own, have demonstrated this to be reproducible and
82 highly specific for metabolite identification and quantification [2,10,24].
83 However, surprisingly, to our knowledge there are no studies that have sought
84 to compare the metabolomic profiles, or metabolome, of HMP and SCS
85 kidneys.

86

87 Porcine kidneys are widely used in transplantation studies owing to their
88 similar physiological and anatomical properties to human organs [9,11]. In
89 addition, the metabolic profiles during periods of HMP for porcine and human
90 kidneys are comparable [24], with a correlation between metabolite profiles
91 during storage and post transplant outcome [2]. For HMP preserved human
92 kidneys, the metabolic profile from perfusates of immediate graft function
93 kidneys differs from those with delayed function [10] and reinforces the
94 concept that significant metabolism occurs during HMP and that metabolism
95 reflects functional outcome.

96

97 The aims of this study were twofold. Firstly, to determine the distribution of
98 metabolites between the two different compartments (fluid and tissue) during
99 the organ preservation period. Secondly, to determine the total amount of
100 each metabolite within HMP and SCS kidneys systems after 24 hours of
101 organ storage and through comparison with control kidneys, the metabolic
102 changes that occur.

Methods

Animal Research

Abattoir/slaughterhouse pig kidneys (F.A. Gill, Wolverhampton, UK) were used and no animals were sacrificed solely for the purposes of this study, negating any need for ethical board approval. Experiments were performed on 22-26 week old male 'bacon weight' pigs, weighing 80-85kg. All experiments were performed following the principles of laboratory animal care according to NIH standards. Animals were sacrificed by electrical stunning and exsanguination. Initial organ preservation was performed following organ retrieval and occurred within 14 minutes of death, replicating deceased cardiac death (DCD) donor conditions. Kidneys were cold flushed (4°C) with 1L SPS-1 (UW) solution at a pressure of 100mmHg. Organs were then stored at 4°C in SPS-1 for 2 hours to replicate the clinical period of organ transportation.

Experimental groups

Paired kidneys were randomly allocated to receive either HMP or SCS for 24 hours. HMP kidneys were perfused with 1L of KPS-1 using the LifePort Kidney Transporter 1.0 (Organ Recovery Systems, Chicago, IL). (Perfusion pressure 30mmHg). SCS Kidneys were submerged in 1L of fresh chilled SPS-1 solution with a surrounding ice bath. Preservation fluid was sampled for each kidney at baseline and 2, 4, 8, 12, 18, and 24 hours. After 24 hours,

organs were rapidly dissected and tissue samples (1cm³ sections) flash frozen and stored (-80°C). All experiments were performed in a cold room (4°C) to ensure consistency.

Control kidneys

To ascertain metabolism during SCS or HMP storage conditions, baseline values prior to storage conditions were needed (time 0). Large volume tissue sampling precludes effective organ perfusion and therefore 'Control kidneys' were used to establish baseline metabolite levels. These were (n=6) flushed and cold transported in identical fashion to experimental kidneys and tissue samples obtained as described above (i.e. not subjected to 24hrs of SCS or HMP).

Sample processing and metabolite quantification

NMR samples were prepared from storage fluid by mixing 150 µL of 400 mM (pH 7.0) phosphate buffer containing 2 mM DSS (4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonic acid) and 8mM imidazole with 390 µL of each fluid sample and 60 µL of deuterium oxide (D₂O) to reach a final phosphate buffer concentration of 100 mM and a final DSS concentration of 500 µM. After mixing, the 600 µL samples were pipetted into 5mm NMR tubes, sonicated and centrifuged. Technical replicates of samples (x3) were prepared for each timepoint.

For cell extract studies, 500mg of renal cortex was manually cryo-homogenised in liquid nitrogen. 5.1ml of both methanol (-80°C) and chloroform was added to the powdered tissue and samples diluted with 4.65ml of dH₂O at 4°C. Samples were centrifuged to separate into polar and non-polar layers and 1.5ml of the upper polar layer was dispensed into a cryovial and dried. Three technical replicates were performed for each tissue sample. Dried polar residue was then dissolved in 390µL of dH₂O and 210 µL of buffer solution as described above.

The protocol used for ¹H-NMR analysis has been described previously [10,24]. Briefly, this entailed processing on a Bruker AVII 500 MHz spectrometer, acquisition of one dimensional spectra and then metabolite identification and quantification using Matlab based 'Metabolab' software [18] and Chenomx 8.1 (ChenomxInc) software respectively. Metabolites were deemed to be present if they exhibited non-ambiguous spectral patterns or their presence deemed biologically plausible and confirmed on ultra performance liquid chromatography mass spectrometry. Any metabolites that were present in different concentrations in the SCS and HMP fluid (e.g. glucose, gluconate, mannitol, adenine, adenosine etc.) were excluded from comparative analysis. Metabolite quantifications were corrected to allow for sample dilution with sample buffer. When determining concentrations of metabolites using Chenomx, the researchers were blind to the storage group. Quantification of the total amount of metabolite in the storage fluid, tissue and total system was calculated based upon the weight of the kidney at time of sample acquisition and final volume of storage fluid.

178

179 *Statistical analysis*

180 For each timepoint, three results were obtained (technical replicates) and the
181 median value used. For comparison of SCS and HMP conditions, analysis
182 was performed using Wilcoxon paired signed rank test (two tailed) as one
183 kidney from each pair was subjected to each condition and normality was not
184 consistent on prior analysis. When comparing SCS or HMP with control
185 kidneys, Mann-Whitney u test (two tailed) was used, as these were non-
186 paired samples. Data were reported as median concentrations and
187 interquartile (IQ) range. All analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism
188 version 6.00 for Mac OS X, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, with
189 $p < 0.05$ deemed to be indicative of statistical significance.

Results

Metabolic optimisation of cadaveric kidneys is a potential target to improve the function of kidneys for transplantation. This study seeks to establish the degree of metabolism, if any, that occurs in the two widely used methods of kidney organ storage prior to transplantation (HMP and SCS).

The total quantity of each metabolite after 24 hours of either HMP or SCS was calculated using ^1H -NMR methods and compared with control organs to determine the net metabolic change during each storage method.

We found evidence of metabolite production for both storage modalities with 14 metabolites present in significantly greater quantities in the HMP or SCS system compared with controls (all $p < 0.05$) (table 1)(Fig 1, Fig 1(Suppl)). There were significantly more metabolites with a net increase in the HMP system (13/14) compared with the SCS system (7/14) ($p = 0.033$).

Table 1. Total amount of metabolite present in each of the storage modalities at time zero (controls) or after 24 hours of preservation (SCS or HMP). Data reported as Median (Interquartile Range), unless stated otherwise. Statistical test: $^{\Psi}$ Mann-Whitney u test (two tailed) $^{\#}$ Wilcoxon paired signed rank test (two tailed). *Significant at $p < 0.05$.

Fig. 1. Metabolites significantly elevated in the HMP system compared with both SCS and control kidneys. Metabolite levels represent total amounts

(mmol) in the storage fluid, kidney tissue and entire system for porcine kidneys after 24hrs of HMP or SCS or time zero controls. Highly significant (** $p<0.01$) and significant (* $p<0.05$) differences between HMP system versus both controls and SCS kidneys.

Eight of the metabolites were significantly elevated in the HMP system compared with both the control and SCS systems (all $p<0.05$), indicating a greater degree of metabolite production. These included lactate, glutamate, aspartate, fumarate, acetate, myo-inositol, niacinamide and formate (Fig 1).

Despite the additional 24 hours of organ preservation, albeit in static conditions, the amount of lactate in the SCS system was comparable to controls (1.37 vs 1.11mmol $p=0.138$). However the amount in the HMP system (2.13mmol) was almost twice the amount of either controls or SCS systems ($p=0.002$ and $p=0.031$). However, despite greater amounts overall, the amount present in the HMP tissue (0.76mmol) was actually lower than SCS tissue (1.14mmol) or control tissue (1.11mmol) ($p=0.031$ and $p=0.002$ respectively), reflective of lower intracellular concentrations for HMP kidneys.

The distribution of metabolites between the extracellular storage fluid and tissue samples for both storage conditions are detailed in table 2. As expected, there were greater quantities of metabolites in the circulating HMP fluid compared with the static conditions of SCS at most time-points. After 24 hours, the total amount of metabolite in the perfusate for the HMP kidneys

was significantly greater than the SCS group for (21/26 = 80.8%) of metabolites. Whilst concentrations rose most rapidly in the first 2 hours of perfusion and therefore may be in part due a metabolite washout phenomenon, there was an increase in most metabolites over sequential timepoints as would be expected with on-going production (fig 2a-c).

Table 2. Metabolites present in tissue and storage fluid in HMP or SCS kidney systems at 24 hours. Data reported as Median (Interquartile Range), unless stated otherwise. Statistical test: [#]Wilcoxon paired signed rank test (two tailed). *Significant at $p < 0.05$.

Fig. 2. Concentration of metabolites in the storage fluid of SCS and HMP kidneys over 24 hour time period for four example metabolites. Values plotted as median (interquartile range).

Reduced glutathione is a constituent of both KPS-1 (used in HMP) and SPS-1 (used in SCS) fluids at equal concentrations. Whilst this remained at stable in the SCS environment, the glutathione was clearly consumed by the HMP group and after 8 hours concentrations were 17.6 fold higher in the SCS fluid (1.60mM vs. 0.091mM, $p = 0.001$) (fig 2d). Despite apparent organ uptake of reduced glutathione, there was no evidence of this in the tissue samples from either group.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Discussion

The aim of this study was to determine any metabolic differences between the two clinically used methods of organ storage in this animal model.

Whilst the calculation of the total amount of metabolite within the system does rely on several assumptions (complete metabolite extraction from tissue and metabolite homogeneity within tissue), we felt this was imperative to draw meaningful comparison between groups and enables the calculation of net metabolite production/consumption in these two closed systems (HMP and SCS).

Although the storage fluid used in each experimental group differs (most notably absence of glucose in the SCS fluid) and therefore caution should be exercised in attributing any differences merely to the parameters of storage (i.e. HMP or SCS), this study was designed to assess metabolism during the two clinically used organ preservation techniques, not merely the storage modality in isolation.

This study clearly demonstrates the presence of major central metabolites such as lactate, glutamate, fumarate, aspartate and acetate at greater levels in the HMP system compared with both controls and SCS (fig. 2). This strongly suggests that these metabolites are being produced during HMP. Furthermore, the accumulation of these metabolites into the circulating

perfusion fluid demonstrates effective homeostatic mechanisms are active to prevent over accumulation within the local cellular environment.

The list of metabolites reported in this study is not exhaustive and is a limitation of this study. Some interesting substrates (eg glucose) were excluded as this is only present in one of the storage fluids (KPS-1). For others the 1D ^1H NMR spectral pattern is either ambiguous or can be hidden under more domineering peaks from other compounds.

The increased total lactate in the HMP system is likely to reflect increased glycolysis in the HMP model. Although new glycolytic activity of the glucose within the HMP fluid is one likely contributor, this is unlikely to be the singular cause. This is supported by evidence that the HMP fluid glucose concentrations did not decrease during the study period and replicates findings from previous human studies [10]. However conversion of a proportion of perfusion fluid glucose into lactate through glycolytic pathways has been corroborated by work demonstrating activity of these pathways using ^{13}C labelled glucose tracers[25].

The net gain of glutamate, fumarate, aspartate and acetate during HMP is also intriguing. Whilst identification of responsible metabolic pathways is difficult to ascribe solely with ^1H NMR studies, one explanation could be increased oxygen dependent tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle activity. Although uniform upregulation of TCA intermediates would support this theory, as discussed, many are not easily identifiable using ^1H NMR methods[6] and are

314 rarely found in equipoise even *in vivo* [14]. Several (^{13}C) NMR studies have
315 reported glutamate as a valid marker of TCA activity [3,5,20].

316
317 For some metabolites, the total system amounts for HMP and SCS kidneys
318 were comparable to the controls, suggesting that either *de novo* production
319 does not occur during the 24 hour preservation or that consumption mirrors
320 production (table 1 *supplementary*). However, for metabolites with similar total
321 amounts, the compartment in which they were located varied per metabolite.
322 Some metabolites were entirely contained within the HMP kidney tissue (e.g.
323 ADP, AMP, NAD⁺) and presumably in the intracellular compartment. Other
324 metabolites were evident in both the tissue and storage fluid but at higher
325 concentrations in the HMP fluid. These discrepancies in metabolite location
326 further highlight that cellular transport processes are active in this
327 environment but that movement of metabolites into the extracellular fluid is not
328 indiscriminate.

329
330 Reduced glutathione is a constituent of the preservation fluid KPS-1 and is
331 thought to play a role in the removal of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS)
332 generated during metabolism [19] In contrast to the SCS kidney, there is a
333 rapid decrease in the concentration of glutathione in the preservation fluid of
334 HMP stored kidneys and is about 5% of the SCS values after 8 hours (fig 1c.).
335 The rate of glutathione depletion observed in this study is similar to a
336 previously reported animal model [28] and is likely to reflect cellular uptake of
337 this protective antioxidant. Interestingly, glutathione concentration remained
338 relatively constant in the SCS kidney group. This further reinforces the

concept that HMP exerts its beneficial effects, at least in part, by providing access to the cellular components of the kidney during perfusion. Absence of reduced glutathione in tissue demonstrates that not only is this protective antioxidant readily absorbed by the kidney during perfusion but that even after a few hours it is not longer available in the reduced state.

Although the number of organs in each experimental group is small ($n=7$), it is comparable to other porcine kidney transplant reports [8,12,15,21,26,30]. To improve validity, samples were processed in triplicate at each timepoint and over 250 NMR spectra were analysed. One strength of this study is that the kidneys stored by HMP or SCS were paired, i.e. from the same pig, thus minimising any metabolic differences arising from polymorphism in cellular mediators of porcine metabolism. Although this approach does not provide functional outcome information for the preserved organ, previous studies have demonstrated good function for otherwise healthy porcine organs stored by either SCS or HMP for similar time periods[2,8,13,15,21,26].

This study demonstrates that in a porcine model, the distribution and amounts of metabolites vary significantly with the storage method (HMP or SCS). The net gain of many central metabolites during HMP conditions further supports the notion that significant metabolism occurs during HMP and this may contribute to the beneficial role of machine perfusion.

364 Acknowledgements

365

366 This work was funded through grants from University Hospitals Birmingham

367 Charities and Organ Recovery Systems.

368

References

- [1] F.O. Belzer, and J.H. Southard, Organ preservation and transplantation. *Prog Clin Biol Res* 224 (1986) 291-303.
- [2] D. Bon, C. Billault, R. Thuillier, W. Hebrard, N. Boildieu, O. Celhay, J. Irani, F. Seguin, and T. Hauet, Analysis of perfusates during hypothermic machine perfusion by NMR spectroscopy: a potential tool for predicting kidney graft outcome. *Transplantation* 97 (2014) 810-6.
- [3] S.C. Burgess, E.E. Babcock, F.M. Jeffrey, A.D. Sherry, and C.R. Malloy, NMR indirect detection of glutamate to measure citric acid cycle flux in the isolated perfused mouse heart. *FEBS Lett* 505 (2001) 163-7.
- [4] R.M. Cannon, G.N. Brock, R.N. Garrison, J.W. Smith, M.R. Marvin, and G.A. Franklin, To pump or not to pump: a comparison of machine perfusion vs cold storage for deceased donor kidney transplantation. *J Am Coll Surg* 216 (2013) 625-33; discussion 633-4.
- [5] E.M. Chance, S.H. Seeholzer, K. Kobayashi, and J.R. Williamson, Mathematical analysis of isotope labeling in the citric acid cycle with applications to ¹³C NMR studies in perfused rat hearts. *J Biol Chem* 258 (1983) 13785-94.
- [6] J.C. Chatham, and S.J. Blackband, Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy and imaging in animal research. *ILAR J* 42 (2001) 189-208.
- [7] B.J. Fuller, and C.Y. Lee, Hypothermic perfusion preservation: the future of organ preservation revisited? *Cryobiology* 54 (2007) 129-45.
- [8] A. Gallinat, A. Paul, P. Efferz, B. Luer, G. Kaiser, J. Wohlschlaeger, J. Treckmann, and T. Minor, Hypothermic reconditioning of porcine kidney grafts by short-term preimplantation machine perfusion. *Transplantation* 93 (2012) 787-93.
- [9] S. Giraud, F. Favreau, N. Chatauret, R. Thuillier, S. Maiga, and T. Hauet, Contribution of large pig for renal ischemia-reperfusion and transplantation studies: the preclinical model. *J Biomed Biotechnol* 2011 (2011) 532127.
- [10] A.J. Guy, J. Nath, M. Cobbald, C. Ludwig, D.A. Tennant, N.G. Inston, and A.R. Ready, Metabolomic analysis of perfusate during hypothermic machine perfusion of human cadaveric kidneys. *Transplantation* 99 (2015) 754-9.
- [11] J.P. Hannon, C.A. Bossone, and C.E. Wade, Normal Physiological Values for Conscious Pigs Used in Biomedical-Research. *Laboratory Animal Science* 40 (1990) 293-298.
- [12] S.A. Hosgood, M. Patel, and M.L. Nicholson, The conditioning effect of ex vivo normothermic perfusion in an experimental kidney model. *J Surg Res* 182 (2013) 153-60.
- [13] I. Jochmans, E. Lerut, V. Heedfeld, T. Wylin, J. Pirenne, and D. Monbaliu, Reproducible model for kidney autotransplantation in pigs. *Transplant Proc* 41 (2009) 3417-21.
- [14] H.A. Krebs, Rate control of the tricarboxylic acid cycle. *Adv Enzyme Regul* 8 (1970) 335-53.

- [15] G. La Manna, D. Conte, M.L. Cappuccilli, B. Nardo, F. D'Addio, L. Puviani, G. Comai, F. Bianchi, R. Bertelli, N. Lanci, G. Donati, M.P. Scolari, A. Faenza, and S. Stefoni, An in vivo autotransplant model of renal preservation: cold storage versus machine perfusion in the prevention of ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Artif Organs* 33 (2009) 565-70.
- [16] C.Y. Lee, and M.J. Mangino, Preservation methods for kidney and liver. *Organogenesis* 5 (2009) 105-12.
- [17] S.A. Lodhi, K.E. Lamb, I. Uddin, and H.U. Meier-Kriesche, Pulsatile pump decreases risk of delayed graft function in kidneys donated after cardiac death. *Am J Transplant* 12 (2012) 2774-80.
- [18] C. Ludwig, and U.L. Gunther, MetaboLab--advanced NMR data processing and analysis for metabolomics. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12 (2011) 366.
- [19] R.J. Mailloux, S.L. McBride, and M.E. Harper, Unearthing the secrets of mitochondrial ROS and glutathione in bioenergetics. *Trends Biochem Sci* 38 (2013) 592-602.
- [20] C.R. Malloy, A.D. Sherry, and F.M. Jeffrey, Analysis of tricarboxylic acid cycle of the heart using ¹³C isotope isomers. *Am J Physiol* 259 (1990) H987-95.
- [21] T. Minor, A. Paul, P. Efferz, J. Wohlschlaeger, U. Rauen, and A. Gallinat, Kidney transplantation after oxygenated machine perfusion preservation with Custodiol-N solution. *Transpl Int* 28 (2015) 1102-8.
- [22] C. Moers, J.M. Smits, M.H. Maathuis, J. Treckmann, F. van Gelder, B.P. Napieralski, M. van Kasterop-Kutz, J.J. van der Heide, J.P. Squifflet, E. van Heurn, G.R. Kirste, A. Rahmel, H.G. Leuvenink, A. Paul, J. Pirenne, and R.J. Ploeg, Machine perfusion or cold storage in deceased-donor kidney transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 360 (2009) 7-19.
- [23] C. Moers, J. Pirenne, A. Paul, R.J. Ploeg, and G. Machine Preservation Trial Study, Machine perfusion or cold storage in deceased-donor kidney transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 366 (2012) 770-1.
- [24] J. Nath, A. Guy, T.B. Smith, M. Cobbold, N.G. Inston, J. Hodson, D.A. Tennant, C. Ludwig, and A.R. Ready, Metabolomic perfusate analysis during kidney machine perfusion: the pig provides an appropriate model for human studies. *PLoS One* 9 (2014) e114818.
- [25] J. Nath, T. Smith, A. Hollis, S. Ebbs, S.W. Canbilen, D.A. Tennant, A.R. Ready, and C. Ludwig, (¹³C) glucose labelling studies using 2D NMR are a useful tool for determining ex vivo whole organ metabolism during hypothermic machine perfusion of kidneys. *Transplant Res* 5 (2016) 7.
- [26] M.L. Nicholson, S.A. Hosgood, M.S. Metcalfe, J.R. Waller, and N.R. Brook, A comparison of renal preservation by cold storage and machine perfusion using a porcine autotransplant model. *Transplantation* 78 (2004) 333-7.
- [27] J.M. O'Callaghan, R.D. Morgan, S.R. Knight, and P.J. Morris, Systematic review and meta-analysis of hypothermic machine perfusion versus static cold storage of kidney allografts on transplant outcomes. *Br J Surg* 100 (2013) 991-1001.
- [28] K. Ormstad, T. Lastbom, and S. Orrenius, Evidence for different localization of glutathione oxidase and gamma-glutamyltransferase activities during extracellular glutathione metabolism in isolated perfused rat kidney. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 700 (1982) 148-53.
- [29] J.H. Southard, and F.O. Belzer, Organ preservation. *Annu Rev Med* 46 (1995) 235-47.

[30] M.J. Taylor, and S.C. Baicu, Current state of hypothermic machine perfusion preservation of organs: The clinical perspective. Cryobiology 60 (2010) S20-35.

Fig. 1. (suppl) Metabolites with comparable total amounts between SCS and HMP systems but significantly elevated compared with controls. Metabolite levels represent total amounts (mmol) in the storage fluid, kidney tissue and entire system for porcine kidneys after 24hrs of HMP or SCS or time zero controls. Highly significant (** $p < 0.01$) and significant (* $p < 0.05$) differences between HMP and SCS systems versus controls.

Fig. 2. (suppl) Chemical shift used for metabolic quantification. Localised spectral plots for metabolites of interest with shaded figures illustrating metabolite quantification via best-fit analysis using Chenomx metabolite database.

	Storage Modality			p-Values		
	Control System (mmol)	SCS System (mmol)	HMP System (mmol)	Control vs SCS ^ψ	Control vs HMP ^ψ	SCS vs HMP [#]
Glutamate	1.54 (1.12- 1.84)	1.38 (1.11- 1.66)	3.97 (3.69- 4.71)	0.731	0.002*	0.031*
Myoinositol	1.18 (1.16- 1.19)	1.29 (1.01- 1.52)	2.16 (1.85- 2.41)	0.731	0.002*	0.031*
Lactate	1.11 (0.976- 1.23)	1.38 (1- 1.75)	2.13 (1.67- 2.71)	0.138	0.002*	0.031*
Hypoxanthine	0.454 (0.356- 0.515)	0.710 (0.641- 0.762)	1.05 (0.909- 1.17)	0.001*	0.002*	0.156
Formate	0.442 (0.274- 0.638)	0.643 (0.589- 0.779)	0.842 (0.750- 0.943)	0.101	0.004*	0.031*
Acetate	0.210 (0.206- 0.212)	0.296 (0.253-0.301)	0.552 (0.494-0.654)	0.234	0.041*	0.031*
Alanine	0.302 (0.243- 0.360)	0.486 (0.339- 0.499)	0.501 (0.368- 0.558)	0.035*	0.015*	0.313
Succinate	0.283 (0.267- 0.297)	0.462 (0.312- 0.52)	0.434 (0.307- 0.541)	0.001*	0.015*	0.844
Inosine	0.588 (0.561- 0.628)	1.08 (0.885- 1.12)	0.185 (0.146- 0.233)	0.001*	0.002*	0.031*
Aspartate	0.114 (0.104- 0.118)	0.107 (0.0879- 0.11)	0.165 (0.140- 0.215)	0.234	0.041*	0.031*
Leucine	0.0476 (0.0441- 0.0517)	0.0667 (0.0513- 0.0820)	0.0693 (0.0495- 0.0773)	0.014*	0.026*	0.688
Niacinamide	0.0196 (0.0181- 0.0207)	0.0289 (0.0243- 0.0319)	0.0490 (0.0420- 0.0557)	0.001*	0.002*	0.031*
Tyrosine	0.0262 (0.0217- 0.0302)	0.0434 (0.0339- 0.0462)	0.0387 (0.0332- 0.0431)	0.001*	0.013*	0.438

Fumarate	0.00412 (0.00339- 0.00418)	0.00308 (0.00145- 0.00348)	0.0133 (0.0077- 0.0212)	0.064	0.002*	0.031*
----------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------	-------	--------	--------

	Storage	Total storage fluid amount (mmol)	p-value [#]	Total kidney tissue amount (mmol)	p-Value [#]
Glutamate	SCS	0.0812 (0.125- 0.155)	0.0312*	0.952 (1.26- 1.58)	0.6875
	HMP	2.72 (2.75- 2.89)		0.94 (1.24- 1.68)	
Myoinositol	SCS	0.316 (0.399- 0.879)	0.0625	0.596 (0.676- 0.853)	0.5625
	HMP	1.05 (1.25- 1.38)		0.653 (0.816- 1.3)	
Lactate	SCS	0.153 (0.205- 0.245)	0.0312*	0.89 (1.14- 1.59)	0.0312*
	HMP	1.15 (1.38- 1.82)		0.521 (0.755- 0.895)	
Hypoxanthine	SCS	0.294 (0.328- 0.404)	0.0312*	0.289 (0.407- 0.424)	0.0625
	HMP	0.705 (0.781- 0.867)		0.189 (0.258- 0.31)	
Formate	SCS	0.132 (0.136- 0.186)	0.4375	0.434 (0.486- 0.545)	0.0312*
	HMP	0.151 (0.16- 0.169)		0.688 (0.599- 0.774)	
Acetate	SCS	0.073 (0.0808- 0.0912)	0.0312*	0.167 (0.201- 0.229)	0.0312*
	HMP	0.239 (0.257- 0.331)		0.252 (0.289- 0.344)	
Alanine	SCS	0.0465 (0.0643- 0.0815)	0.0312*	0.303 (0.415- 0.435)	0.0312*
	HMP	0.253 (0.306- 0.358)		0.116 (0.187- 0.207)	
Succinate	SCS	0.0104 (0.0155- 0.0184)	0.0312*	0.298 (0.446- 0.498)	0.0312*
	HMP	0.104 (0.131- 0.208)		0.203 (0.294- 0.347)	
Inosine	SCS	0.703 (0.852- 0.961)	0.0312*	0.145 (0.182- 0.201)	0.0312*
	HMP	0.0877 (0.108- 0.128)		0.058 (0.0723- 0.109)	
Aspartate	SCS	-	0.0312*	0.0879 (0.107- 0.11)	0.3125
	HMP	0.039 (0.0452- 0.0682)		0.0874 (0.115- 0.155)	
Leucine	SCS	0.00442 (0.00506- 0.00761)	0.0312*	0.0486 (0.0591- 0.0775)	0.0312*
	HMP	0.0285 (0.038- 0.0468)		0.0222 (0.0304- 0.0318)	
Niacinamide	SCS	-	0.0312*	0.0243 (0.0289- 0.0319)	0.0938
	HMP	0.0221 (0.028- 0.0282)		0.0194 (0.0221- 0.0278)	

Tyrosine	SCS	0.00336 (0.0071- 0.00843)	0.0312*	0.0306 (0.0371- 0.0391)	0.0312*
	HMP	0.0197 (0.0228- 0.0276)		0.0112 (0.0143- 0.0171)	
Fumarate	SCS	-	0.0312*	0.00145 (0.00308- 0.00348)	0.0312*
	HMP	0.00456 (0.00737- 0.00895)		0.00314 (0.00574- 0.0126)

Metabolic differences between cold stored and machine perfused porcine kidneys: A ^1H -NMR based study.

Re: Funding

This work was funded through grants from University Hospitals Birmingham Charities and Organ Recovery Systems.

Kind Regards,

Jay Nath^{1,2}, Tom B Smith², Kamlesh Patel^{1,2}, Sam R Ebbs², Alex Hollis², Daniel A Tennant², Christian Ludwig^{2,*}, Andrew R Ready^{1,*}

*Authors contributed equally to this manuscript.

¹Department of Renal Surgery, University Hospitals Birmingham, Birmingham, UK.

²Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research (IMSR), College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK.