Temporal Trends in Incidence, Prevalence, and Mortality of Atrial Fibrillation in Primary Care
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Background—Incidence and prevalence of atrial fibrillation (AF) are expected to increase dramatically; however, we currently lack comprehensive data on temporal trends in unselected clinical populations.

Methods and Results—Analysis of the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) from 1998 to 2010 of patients with incident AF, excluding major valvular disease, linked to hospital admission data and national statistics. Fifty-seven thousand eight hundred eighteen adults were identified with mean age 74.2 (SD, 11.7) years and 48.3% women. Overall age-adjusted incidence of AF per 1000 person years was 1.11 (95% CI, 1.09–1.13) in 1998–2001, 1.33 (1.31–1.34) in 2002–2006, and 1.33 (1.31–1.35) in 2007–2010. Ongoing increases in incidence were noted for patients aged ≥75 years, with similar temporal patterns in women and men. Associated comorbidities varied over time, with a constant prevalence of previous stroke, increases in hypertension and diabetes mellitus, and decreases in ischemic heart disease. Among patients aged 55 to 74 years, there was a significant reduction in mortality over time (P<0.001), but mortality rates in patients aged ≥75 years remained static at 14% to 15% per year (P=0.84). Projections of AF prevalence demonstrated a constant yearly rise, increasing from 700 000 patients in 2010 to between 1.3 and 1.8 million patients with AF in the United Kingdom by 2060.

Conclusions—In a large general practice population, incident AF increased and then plateaued overall, with a continued increase in patients aged ≥75 years. The large projected increase in AF prevalence associated with temporal changes in AF-related comorbidities suggests the need for comprehensive implementation of AF prevention and management strategies. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e005155. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.116.005155.)
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The lifetime risk of developing atrial fibrillation (AF) among those aged ≥40 years is ≈1 in 4.1,2 The incidence of AF increases dramatically with age and is higher in men than women.1,2 Although incidence and prevalence rates vary from country to country, virtually every reported
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added to the Quality Outcomes Framework, where appropriate identification and management of AF in primary care is rewarded and incentivized.

The purpose of the present analysis was to investigate temporal trends in AF incidence, comorbidities, and mortality in a primary care population representative of contemporary clinical practice. Our aim was to gain insight into the future AF population profile and make projections of the likely change in AF prevalence to 2060, in the UK.

Methods

Data Source

Data for this study were obtained from the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD), a primary care database (GOLD) linked to the Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) and the Office for National Statistics (ONS). The CPRD GOLD contains the computerized medical records from representative primary care practices in the UK, currently providing care to ≈8% of the UK population. All patients in the UK are registered with a general practitioner (GP). Information comprising patient demography, medical history, medications, hospitalizations, specialist referrals, and clinical events are captured electronically. The CPRD validates the data quality from each practice primarily from death records and gaps in data transfer, and analyses are only performed on data for periods where the practices are considered to deliver data “up-to-standard.” Systematic reviews of the medical diagnoses in the database, including AF, have demonstrated high overall validity, with a median positive predictive value of 89%. All admissions to National Health Service (NHS) hospitals in England are captured by the HES, which records the date of admission and discharge and the main diagnoses, with data available from 1997 onward. UK population data and projections were extracted from reference tables available from the ONS (www.ons.gov.uk). Ethics approval for the study was not required because these were secondary analyses of anonymized data.

Study Population

The study population comprised adults aged ≥18 years with a first (incident) diagnosis of AF either based on read codes identified by the CPRD or on hospital discharge code (International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision [ICD-10]: 148) or both. Patients with any type of valvular heart disease or past valve interventions were excluded. The inclusion period was from January 1, 1998 to December 31, 2010 to ensure availability of corresponding HES data.

The presence of comorbid conditions were recorded, including hypertension, diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, heart failure (clinical diagnosis) vascular disease, and renal disease, as defined by their corresponding Read code or ICD-10 code, either preceding or during the same visit as the incident AF diagnosis. Individual baseline CHA2DS2-VASc scores were calculated to assess stroke risk. The CHA2DS2-VASc score assigns 2 points each for age ≥75 years and previous stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) and 1 point each for the presence of congestive heart failure, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, vascular disease, age 65 to 74 years, and female sex (scores range from 0 to 9). Only year of birth is provided by the CPRD; therefore, date of birth was assumed as June 15 for age calculation. Prescribed medications at AF diagnosis, including oral anticoagulation (OAC) and cardiovascular medications, were also recorded. A prescription within 3 months preceding AF diagnosis was assumed as an indication for active treatment at the date of AF diagnosis.

Statistical Analysis

Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the incident AF population are presented as number and percentage, mean (SD), or median (interquartile range), as appropriate. We prespecified 3 time periods (1998–2001, 2002–2006, and 2007–2010). Age-adjusted incidence rates were calculated as weighted averages on crude rates for each age, with weights given as proportions of persons with the corresponding age in the UK population. CIs were calculated according to Fay and Feuer. Age-adjusted incidence rates are reported at the population level and for 5 age groups (<55, 55–64, 65–74, 75–84, and ≥85 years). Data on those aged 18 to 54 years were combined because of small numbers. Effect of age on incidence is represented by a natural cubic spline and estimated by Poisson regression on the number of AF events on age and the year of diagnosis, with the natural logarithm of person time at risk as offset. The person time at risk per year and age group in each center was provided by the CPRD and was subsequently scaled to the UK proportion in each year. Trend over years was tested by estimating the linear effect of year, complemented by a likelihood ratio test for reducing from a factorial to linear effect of year.

The projection of the prevalence of AF, \( p_{ij} \), at age level \( i \) in year \( j \) was calculated iteratively based on age level incidence \( r_i \) and mortality \( M_i \) as

\[
p_{ij} = \frac{(r_{i-1,j-1} + (1 - r_{i-1,j-1}) \cdot r_i \cdot (1 + q_j^i)) \cdot (1 - M_i)^{RR_{AF}}}{1 - M_i}
\]

with the overall relative mortality of AF patients, \( RR_{AF} \), assumed to be constant across all ages. This is a slightly modified version of the formula presented by Miyasaka et al. \(^6\)
An annual constant increase in incidence may be given by \( q > 0 \), also implying equal relative increase across age groups. Incidence was estimated as described above, whereas mortality rates were extracted from the UK population statistics provided by the ONS in 2010. An excess mortality for AF compared to non-AF patients of 50% was assumed, that is, \( \text{RR}_{\text{AF}} = 1.5 \). This value was chosen as a conservative representation of previously published data. We also performed a range of sensitivity analyses (including mortality excesses of 20% and 100% and a more-detailed age-dependent mortality model based on the comparison of observed and expected deaths according to ONS statistics). Prevalence in 2010 was estimated directly from the CPRD cohort and used as a basis for the projection of prevalence from 2011 to 2060 on the assumption of a constant incidence \((q=0)\), as well as with an assumed 1% annual increase in incidence \((q=0.01)\). Using ONS projections of the UK population size, \( N_{\text{UK,ij}} \), at age group \( i \) in year \( j \), the expected number of AF patients at year \( j \) was obtained as

\[
N_{\text{AF,j}} = \sum p_j \cdot N_{\text{UK,ij}}
\]

and the overall prevalence \( p_j = N_{\text{AF,j}} / \sum N_{\text{UK,ij}} \).

AF-related mortality was described in terms of number of deaths divided by the total person time at risk 1 year after the date of diagnosis. Person time was censored at the time of transfer out of clinic, the last data transfer from the clinic, or end of study (December 31, 2010). The trend for crude mortality across the time period studied in patients with 3 years of follow-up was calculated using an incidence rate ratio (IRR) based on Poisson regression.

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata software (version 13.1; StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).

Results

Population and Comorbidities

A total of 57,818 patients were identified with incident AF between January 1, 1998 and December 31, 2010. This included 27,943 women (48.3%) with a mean age of 77.2 (SD, 10.7) and 29,875 men (51.7%) with a mean age of 71.5 (12.0) years. Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics of the cohort overall and by time period (1998–2001, 2002–2006, and 2007–2010). There was clear evidence of an ageing AF population, with the proportion of over 85-year-olds increasing from 15.5% in 1998–2001 to 19.0% in 2007–2010.

Prevalence of associated comorbidities at the time of AF diagnosis varied over time (Table 1). The proportions of AF patients with a previous stroke/TIA and vascular disease remained fairly constant (11–15%), whereas clear increases were observed in the prevalence of hypertension and diabetes mellitus (46–61% and 9–14%, respectively). Ischemic heart disease at the time of AF diagnosis decreased (44–37%). Although we noted a decrease in the number of incident AF patients with a clinical diagnosis of heart failure (17–10%), the adoption of more-stringent diagnostic criteria during the study period was a confounding factor. Overall, the CHA2DS2-VASc score remained similar across all time periods (mean, 3.2; SD, 1.9). Type of AF was not consistently reported.

Medications at the time of incident AF diagnosis are displayed in Table 1. Anticoagulation pre-existing the diagnosis of AF was uncommon (n=2709; 4.7%). There was a steady increase in use of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and beta-blockers from 1998 to 2010 and a steep decline in the use of digoxin.

Incidence of AF

The incidence of AF increased with age from 0.13 per 1000 person-years in those aged <55 years to 7.65 per 1000 person-years in those aged ≥85 years (Table 2). Incident AF was greater in men compared to women (1.33 vs 1.18 per 1000 person-years, respectively).

Comparing the 3 time periods, the overall age-adjusted incidence rate of AF per 1000 person-years was 1.11 in 1998–2001 (95% CI, 1.09–1.13), 1.33 in 2002–2006 (1.31–1.34), and 1.33 in 2007–2010 (1.31–1.35; Table 2). Although incidence rates were fairly static for younger patients, they continued to increase in older patients, both in women and men (Figure 1).

Mortality in Patients With Incident AF

Averaged over all time periods, the crude 1-year mortality rate was 8.8% in women with incident AF (95% CI, 8.4–9.1%) and 10.6% in men (95% CI, 10.2–11.0%). As expected, older patients had substantially higher mortality: 1.0% (18–39 years), 2.2% (40–54 years), 3.2% (55–64 years), 6.0% (65–74 years), 10.4% (75–84 years), and 23.7% (≥85 years) per year.

Two age groups were modeled to assess mortality over time (Figure 2). In patients aged 55 to 74 there was a reduction in mortality (IRR per calendar year, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.95–0.99; \( P < 0.001 \)). In contrast, patients aged ≥75 years had similar mortality between 1998 and 2010 (IRR, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.99–1.01; \( P = 0.84 \)).

Sensitivity analyses for mortality all identified similar and consistent results (data not shown).

Projected Prevalence of AF

Based on the study population, the number of AF patients in the UK in 2010 is estimated at 718,334 adults (corresponding to an overall prevalence of 14.5 per 1000 and 83.6 per 1000 among
the population aged 75 and above). Using the prevalence model described and assuming a constant incidence rate from 2010 onward, the projected number of adults with AF in the UK will be 1,085,078 by 2040 and 1,258,705 by 2060. With a constant 1% increase in the incidence rate, the projected number of AF patients is 1,322,694 by 2040 and 1,846,960 by 2060. Prevalence rates are projected to rise faster in men than women (Table 3). Overall, the burden of AF as a proportion of the population is projected to dramatically increase year on year (Figure 3).

Discussion

Using a general practice database linked to hospital records and national epidemiological data, our principal findings show an increase in the overall age-adjusted incidence of AF between 1998 and 2001 and 2002 and 2006 (from 1.11 to 1.33 per 1000, respectively), followed by a plateau between 2007 and 2010; however, the incidence continued to rise in those patients over the age of 75 years, both in women and men. Second, mortality in these older patients has not decreased in line with younger patients with incident AF, despite apparent improvements in management, both pharmacological and interventional. Third, the projected prevalence of AF based on our data will dramatically increase and pose a considerable public health burden, and even assuming no increase in the incident AF rate, this will still equate to almost 1.3 million patients with AF by 2060 in the UK alone. Accounting for the increasing incidence of AF, this figure could rise to over 1.8 million patients.

A number of studies have explored the incidence of AF, including the Netherlands,1 Germany,21 Iceland,7 and the United States.4,6,8,22–24 A global systematic review reported a significant rise in the incidence of AF between 1990 and 2010. The incidence of AF in 2010 was 59.5 per 100 000 population (95% uncertainty interval [UI], 49.9–74.9) in women and 77.5 (95% UI 65.2–95.4) in men, an increase of 35% for women and 28% for men from 1990.3 All of these

| Table 1. Baseline Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Incident AF |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| No. of patients | 57,818          | 12,035           | 24,824           | 20,959           |
| Age, y, mean (SD) | 74.2 (11.7)     | 74.0 (11.6)      | 74.1 (11.8)      | 75.0 (11.8)      |
| Age group, y     |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| 18 to 40         | 650 (1.1)       | 155 (1.3)        | 287 (1.2)        | 208 (1.0)        |
| 41 to 54         | 3156 (5.5)      | 656 (5.5)        | 1376 (5.5)       | 1124 (5.4)       |
| 55 to 64         | 7697 (13.3)     | 1507 (12.5)      | 3311 (13.3)      | 2879 (13.7)      |
| 65 to 74         | 15,483 (26.8)   | 3414 (28.4)      | 6641 (26.8)      | 5428 (25.9)      |
| 75 to 84         | 20,990 (36.3)   | 4442 (36.9)      | 9214 (37.1)      | 7334 (35.0)      |
| ≥85              | 9842 (17.0)     | 1861 (15.5)      | 3995 (16.1)      | 3986 (19.0)      |
| Women            | 27,943 (48.3)   | 5882 (48.9)      | 12,146 (48.9)    | 9915 (47.3)      |
| Previous stroke or TIA | 8440 (14.6)       | 1805 (15.0)     | 3559 (14.3)      | 3076 (14.7)      |
| Ischemic stroke  | 6464 (11.2)     | 1499 (12.5)      | 2619 (10.6)      | 2346 (11.2)      |
| Hypertension     | 31,962 (55.3)   | 5540 (46.0)      | 13,645 (55.0)    | 12,777 (61.0)    |
| Diabetes mellitus| 6595 (11.4)     | 1014 (8.4)       | 2743 (11.0)      | 2838 (13.5)      |
| Heart failure*   | 7372 (12.8)     | 2099 (17.4)      | 3261 (13.1)      | 2012 (8.6)       |
| Ischemic heart disease | 23,242 (40.2)       | 5309 (44.1)     | 10,120 (40.8)    | 7813 (37.3)      |
| Vascular disease | 8162 (14.1)     | 1760 (14.6)      | 3464 (14.0)      | 2938 (14.0)      |
| Peripheral arterial disease | 1880 (3.3)       | 420 (3.5)        | 768 (3.1)        | 692 (3.3)        |
| Mean (SD) CHA2DS2-VASc score | 3.2 (1.9)        | 3.1 (1.9)        | 3.2 (1.9)        | 3.0 (1.9)        |
| ACE inhibitor†   | 14,141 (24.5)   | 2114 (17.6)      | 5880 (23.7)      | 6147 (29.3)      |
| Beta-blockers†   | 13,592 (23.5)   | 2159 (17.9)      | 6042 (24.3)      | 5391 (25.7)      |
| Digoxin†         | 3839 (6.6)      | 1468 (12.2)      | 1762 (7.1)       | 609 (2.9)        |

ACE indicates angiotensin-converting enzyme; TIA, transient ischemic attack.

*A change in the Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) definition of heart failure between 2002–2006 and 2007–2010 means that heart failure diagnosis is not directly comparable across the 3 time points.

†A prescription within 3 months before atrial fibrillation (AF) diagnosis was assumed as an indication for active treatment on the date of AF diagnosis.
cohorts differ markedly in their patient population, including hospitalized patients, administrative databases, health insurance cohorts, and population-based prospective cohorts. Five previous studies have examined the incidence of AF at multiple time points, whereas others have taken a “snapshot” of the overall incidence of AF and by sex.

Table 2. Age-Adjusted Incidence Rates (With 95% Confidence Intervals) of AF in the UK Overall and by Sex, Stratified by Calendar-Year of Diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All patients; overall, y</td>
<td>1.26 (1.25–1.27)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.09–1.13)</td>
<td>1.33 (1.31–1.34)</td>
<td>1.33 (1.31–1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;55</td>
<td>0.13 (0.13–0.13)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.11–0.13)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.13–0.15)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.13–0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 to 64</td>
<td>1.16 (1.13–1.19)</td>
<td>1.06 (1.00–1.12)</td>
<td>1.20 (1.16–1.24)</td>
<td>1.22 (1.17–1.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 to 74</td>
<td>3.24 (3.19–3.30)</td>
<td>3.02 (2.92–3.13)</td>
<td>3.42 (3.34–3.50)</td>
<td>3.26 (3.18–3.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 to 84</td>
<td>6.42 (6.33–6.52)</td>
<td>5.72 (5.55–5.89)</td>
<td>6.84 (6.71–6.99)</td>
<td>6.66 (6.51–6.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥85</td>
<td>7.65 (7.48–7.81)</td>
<td>6.27 (5.98–6.58)</td>
<td>8.05 (7.80–8.31)</td>
<td>8.73 (8.46–9.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men; all ages, y</td>
<td>1.33 (1.32–1.35)</td>
<td>1.17 (1.14–1.20)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.37–1.42)</td>
<td>1.43 (1.41–1.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;55</td>
<td>0.19 (0.18–0.19)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.15–0.18)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.19–0.21)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.18–0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 to 64</td>
<td>1.55 (1.51–1.60)</td>
<td>1.43 (1.34–1.53)</td>
<td>1.60 (1.53–1.67)</td>
<td>1.62 (1.55–1.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 to 74</td>
<td>3.97 (3.89–4.06)</td>
<td>3.78 (3.62–3.96)</td>
<td>4.06 (3.94–4.20)</td>
<td>4.05 (3.91–4.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 to 84</td>
<td>7.12 (6.98–7.28)</td>
<td>6.34 (6.06–6.64)</td>
<td>7.54 (7.32–7.78)</td>
<td>7.45 (7.20–7.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥85</td>
<td>8.24 (7.93–8.56)</td>
<td>6.65 (6.08–7.26)</td>
<td>8.69 (8.21–9.19)</td>
<td>9.57 (9.06–10.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women; all ages, y</td>
<td>1.18 (1.16–1.19)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.02–1.08)</td>
<td>1.26 (1.24–1.28)</td>
<td>1.22 (1.20–1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;55</td>
<td>0.07 (0.07–0.07)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.06–0.07)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.07–0.08)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.06–0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 to 64</td>
<td>0.76 (0.73–0.80)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.62–0.74)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.75–0.85)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.76–0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 to 74</td>
<td>2.58 (2.51–2.64)</td>
<td>2.35 (2.22–2.48)</td>
<td>2.82 (2.72–2.93)</td>
<td>2.53 (2.42–2.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 to 84</td>
<td>5.93 (5.81–6.04)</td>
<td>5.30 (5.09–5.52)</td>
<td>6.35 (6.18–6.53)</td>
<td>6.08 (5.89–6.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥85</td>
<td>7.37 (7.18–7.57)</td>
<td>6.11 (5.77–6.47)</td>
<td>7.77 (7.48–8.07)</td>
<td>8.33 (8.01–8.66)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF indicates atrial fibrillation.

Figure 1. Age-adjusted annual incidence rate of atrial fibrillation per 1000 in the UK (1998–2010) showing (A) increases in incidence over time with increasing age, particularly in older patients, and (B) sex-stratified incidence of atrial fibrillation with higher rates in men.
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and age group at a particular period of time.\textsuperscript{1,4,23,26,27} All studies, with the exception of 1,\textsuperscript{24} demonstrate an increase in the overall incidence of AF over time, with increasing age, and a greater incidence in men than women.\textsuperscript{1,6–8,21–23,25–27} CPRD data linkage with hospital records and national epidemiological data provides us with a unique opportunity to assess incidence rates and comorbidity patterns in a sample of patients’ representative of general practice in a developed country (the UK). Several studies have validated CPRD data and confirmed the data quality and completeness of the clinical records.\textsuperscript{17,18,25,28} Comparison with both the Framingham\textsuperscript{8} and Olmsted County\textsuperscript{6} cohorts in the United States suggest that incident AF is much lower in our UK primary care cohort. The 2 US Medicare beneficiary databases of people aged \( \geq 65 \) years report higher incident rates of AF per 1000 person-years\textsuperscript{22,23} than are evident in a similar German population\textsuperscript{21} and other European cohorts.\textsuperscript{1,7} Some variation in incident AF rates may be attributed to the heterogeneity and source of the cohorts, diverse follow-up periods, and different definitions of incident AF.

### Table 3. Projected Prevalence of AF Per 1000 Persons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Projected Prevalence (Per 1000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age-Dependent Mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constant Incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2040</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2060</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF indicates atrial fibrillation.

Three previous analyses have been conducted using general practice data. Analysis of CPRD data captured between 1993 and 2005 show a temporal increase in incident AF, with a similar pattern over time by age group and sex to our findings.\textsuperscript{27} In a Scottish primary care cohort examining the incidence of AF in men and women in 2001–2002, analogous rates of incident AF were demonstrated compared to our cohort.\textsuperscript{26} In a more-recent UK analysis, identification of AF and initiation of anticoagulant therapy was observed to improve over a 12-year period.\textsuperscript{25} Although this is important for the prevention of stroke and thromboembolism, our finding of no substantial change in mortality from 1998 to 2010 in patients aged 75 years and older is an important reminder that death in AF patients is typically a consequence of sudden death or progressive heart failure.\textsuperscript{29,30} Thus, additional attention is warranted to impact on the static, high death rates observed in older AF patients. Indeed, a recent analysis of Olmstead County residents revealed no change in survival between 2000 and 2010 among those AF patients who survived the first 90 days after AF diagnosis (hazard ratio [HR], 1.05; 95% CI, 0.85–1.31).\textsuperscript{24}

We also observed changes in the comorbidity patterns of patients with incident AF across the 12 years of our study. Whereas some are linked to an ageing population, others require further consideration of their impact on clinical management. For example, the increase in diabetes mellitus and hypertension we identified were out of proportion with the increase in age, probably reflecting better diagnosis or changes in prevalence. Obesity, diabetes mellitus, and the risk of AF are closely related, and diabetes mellitus is both an
independent risk factor for incident AF and associated with stroke in AF patients.\textsuperscript{31,32} We also saw unexpected decreases in the rate of heart failure in patients diagnosed with incident AF, similar to that observed in the Framingham cohort.\textsuperscript{8} This may represent earlier diagnosis of AF, before the onset of heart failure, or more-stringent diagnostic definitions. Indeed, AF and heart failure are closely linked in pathophysiology and the combination is associated with high levels of morbidity and mortality,\textsuperscript{33} regardless of ejection fraction.\textsuperscript{34} Earlier diagnosis of AF and the prevention of heart failure are important treatment goals that may lead to an improvement in prognosis. The temporal decrease we observed in ischemic heart disease is compatible with UK national statistics for the general population.\textsuperscript{35} The rates of OAC prescription reported here are very low because the data reflect the proportion of patients receiving this medication at the time of AF diagnosis and in the 3 months beforehand, not after AF diagnosis.

With regard to the future prevalence of AF, 4 studies have reported a dramatic increase in projected AF.\textsuperscript{4,6,7,36} Data from the most recent retrospective health insurance claim database estimated that 1.8 million US citizens would be newly diagnosed with AF by 2030 without any increase in incident AF.\textsuperscript{4} However, a logarithmic progression in AF incidence would result in 2.6 million new cases by 2030, translating into a prevalence of 12.3 million,\textsuperscript{4} similar to projections made from the Olmsted County cohort.\textsuperscript{5} In an Icelandic study, the projected prevalence of AF in 2030 will be 2.9\% (95\% CI, 2.8–2.9; assuming constant incidence and mortality rates), rising to 3.8\% in 2050 or 4.3\% if incidence and mortality rates increase.\textsuperscript{7} Our data confirm that the UK will also see substantial increases in AF prevalence relative to population growth and highlights the enormous potential impact this will have on health care provision and expenditure. Attributed to increased AF awareness and more-intensive monitoring (eg, implantable devices and smartphone applications), the detection of AF is also improving rapidly.\textsuperscript{10,37,38} The costs of care associated with AF are high and continue to increase, adding to the global health care burden of AF.\textsuperscript{39,40}

**Strengths and Limitations**

The strength of our analysis was the use of one of the largest unselected population cohorts of patients with incident AF, using a well-validated general practice database with linkage to hospital records. The sample size allowed us to investigate differences in incidence, comorbidities, and mortality across a 12-year period in a contemporary population and project prevalence of AF with a high degree of confidence. As with any data linkage study, we are reliant on accurate coding of diagnoses and have limited capability to interrogate any discrepancy in diagnosis, type of AF, comorbidities, or prescribing data. Nevertheless, CPRD has demonstrated excellent levels of external validity.\textsuperscript{17} Although we had no electrocardiogram data to corroborate the AF diagnosis, a previous validation study of diagnostic coding for AF in CPRD (undertaken by manually reviewing computerized patient records and externally confirmed by a questionnaire to the GP) has shown that the recording of AF in primary care is consistent and valid, with a positive predictive value of 98\%.\textsuperscript{41} In addition, we were able to confirm diagnoses of AF made in the hospital by linkage to the HES. Although the general practices that supply data to CPRD are self-selected, they appear to be representative of the general UK population. Data on ethnicity were missing for 19.7\%, and therefore the impact of ethnicity on incidence and prevalence could not be examined. Given that ethnicity has been shown to influence AF incidence in other studies, this may impact future incidence and prevalence estimates. Finally, awareness of AF has the potential to impact on incidence in a nonlinear way that would not be accounted for in our incidence and prevalence projections. Conversely, patients with asymptomatic AF may be less likely to come to the attention of their general practitioner, and hence our estimates on incidence and prevalence could be underestimates of the true burden of AF in the community.

**Conclusions**

In a large general practice population, incident AF increased and then plateaued overall, with a continued increase in patients aged $\geq$75 years and was more common in men than women. Projections suggest that between 1.3 and 1.8 million people in the UK will have AF by 2060, constituting a considerable public health burden. The large projected increase in AF prevalence associated with temporal changes in AF-related comorbidities (particularly, hypertension and diabetes mellitus) suggests the need for comprehensive implementation of AF prevention and management strategies.
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