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Abstract

Most state-of-the-art automatic speech recognition (ASR) systems use a single deep neural network (DNN) to map the acoustic space to the decision space. However, different phonetic classes employ different production mechanisms and are best described by different types of features. Hence it may be advantageous to replace this single DNN with several phone class dependent DNNs. The appropriate mathematical formalism for this is a manifold. This paper assesses the use of a non-linear manifold structure with multiple DNNs for phone classification. The system has two levels. The first comprises a set of broad phone class (BPC) dependent DNN-based mappings and the second level is a fusion network. Various ways of designing and training the networks in both levels are assessed, including varying the size of hidden layers, the use of the bottleneck or softmax outputs as input to the fusion network, and the use of different broad class definitions. Phone classification experiments are performed on TIMIT. The results show that using the BPC-dependent DNNs provides small but significant improvements in phone classification accuracy relative to a single global DNN. The paper concludes with visualisations of the structures learned by the local and global DNNs and discussion of their interpretations.
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1. Introduction

Deep neural networks (DNNs) trained with phone posterior probability targets can be used to create very low-dimensional discriminative representations of speech, called bottleneck features (BNFs). In automatic speech recognition (ASR) experiments, BNFs with as few as 9 dimensions perform as well as 39 dimensional features based on conventional mel frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCCs) [1], have an intuitive dynamical structure, and can be interpreted in terms of human perception and production [2].

The non-linear function $T$ realised by the DNN maps the “acoustic space” $A$ (for example, the space of short sequences of vectors of log filter-bank energies) to the BNF space $B$ (en route to the space of vectors of phone posterior probabilities). Although this is a single continuous mapping, in practice the DNN is trained to approximate a discontinuous function whose outputs jump between 0 and 1 across triphone state boundaries. Therefore, assuming that acoustic space $A$ is connected (which we don’t actually know), it may be advantageous to think of $T$ as a set of continuous functions $\{T_1, \ldots, T_N\}$, where each $T_i$ is defined on a subset $A_i \subseteq A$ and $A = \bigcup_i A_i$. In this case the appropriate mathematical structure is a non-linear topological manifold. Intuitively, one might hope that the subsets $A_i$ correspond to broad phone classes (BPCs), so that the mappings $T_i$ implement phone-class dependent feature extraction.

The idea of phone-dependent feature extraction is well-established. For example, while vocal tract resonance frequencies provide a natural description of vowels, unvoiced consonants are better described in terms of duration and mean energies in key frequency bands [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. There are also a number of studies that use BPC-dependent classifiers to focus on subtle differences between phones within a BPC [10].

A two-level linear computational model that is motivated by these considerations is presented in [11]. The first level comprises a set of discriminative linear transforms $W_j^T$, one for each of a set of overlapping BPCs $Q_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, that are used for feature extraction. The transforms $W_j^T$ are obtained using variants of linear discriminant analysis (LDA). An acoustic feature vector $t$ is transformed using each $W_j^T$ to obtain $t_j = W_j^T t$ and k-nearest neighbour methods are used to estimate $p(Q_j|t_j)$ and $p(c|Q_j, t_j)$ for each specific phone class $c$. These probabilities are combined in the second level to estimate the posterior probabilities $p(c|t_j)$ and hence to classify $t$. In acoustic feature vector phone classification experiments on TIMIT [12], the two-level linear classifier obtained slightly better results when BPC-specific linear transforms were learned, compared to a single transform. The authors of [11] speculate that better performance would be achieved using non-linear DNN-based transformations.

This paper extends our previous study of very low-dimensional BNFs, including phone classification [11] and visualization and interpretation [2]. Our objective is to determine whether it is advantageous for phone-classification of feature vectors to treat the acoustic space $A$ as a non-linear manifold, in which several BPC-dependent DNNs rather than a single DNN are used for phone classification. We use the phone classes from [11]. For a broad class $Q_j$ ($j = 1, \ldots, N$), containing $K_j$ phones, we train a DNN $D_j$ to map an element $a \in A$ onto a $K_j + 1$ dimensional vector $p_j$ of posterior probabilities, where

$$\hat{p}_j(i) = p(i | a)$$

for each $i$. The final hidden layer of each DNN is a 9 dimensional bottleneck. Classification is achieved by applying a fusion network, either to the set of posterior probability vectors $P_j$ or to the outputs of the bottleneck layers. The output of the fusion network is a vector of 49 phone posterior probabilities.

Various ways of designing and training the DNNs are assessed, including varying the size of bottleneck and intermediate hidden layers, the use of the bottleneck outputs or softmax outputs as input to the fusion network, and the use of different BPC definitions. The results show a small but significant improvement compared with a single DNN, when a non-linear manifold structure incorporating multiple BPC-
dependent DNNs is used for phone classification.

2. Topological manifolds

In mathematics an \( n \)-dimensional manifold is a topological space that is locally equivalent to \( n \) dimensional real Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^n \) (for example, [13]). A simple example of a 1-dimensional manifold is a circle \( C \) in the plane, because any point on \( C \) has a neighbourhood that can be “straightened out” to be an open interval in \( \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^1 \). However note that \( C \) cannot be embedded as a whole as a subset of \( \mathbb{R}^1 \).

Formally, a manifold consists of a topological space \( M \) such that for each \( x \in M \) there is a neighbourhood \( U_x \) and bijection \( \phi_x : U_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) that establishes the equivalence between \( U_x \) and \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Normally additional restrictions are placed on \( \phi_x \) to ensure that it preserves relevant mathematical structure. Thus, in topology \( \phi_x \) would be a homeomorphism (\( \phi_x \) and \( \phi_x^{-1} \) are both continuous) but for the purposes of calculus it would need to be a diffeomorphism (\( \phi_x \) and \( \phi_x^{-1} \) are both differentiable). There is also a “consistency” property. If \( x, y \in M \) and \( U_x \cap U_y \neq \emptyset \) then \( \phi_x U_x \cap U_y \rightarrow \phi_y (U_x \cap U_y) \) is a bijection with the same additional properties as \( \phi_x \) and \( \phi_y \) that ensures that the overlap \( U_x \cap U_y \) is treated equivalently by \( \phi_x \) and \( \phi_y \).

In speech processing there are a number of computational models where an acoustic space \( M \) is embedded into \( \mathbb{R}^n \) for some \( n \) by a single global mapping \( \phi \). For example, in speaker or language identification \( M \) is the set of sequences of acoustic vectors corresponding to a spoken utterance \( \phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) maps \( x \in M \) to its \( i \)-vector \( \phi(x) \), or \( M \) is the set of acoustic feature vectors in context, \( \phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) is implemented by a DNN and \( \phi(x) \) is a bottleneck feature representation of \( x \in M \). In contrast, the linear model described in [11] is one of few examples which attempt to exploit the varying local structure offered by a manifold.

3. Phone classification system based on a non-linear manifold with neural networks

The proposed phone classification system is inspired by a non-linear manifold model of speech. Its structure (Figure 1), comprises two levels. The first level is a set of \( N \) parallel non-linear local mapping functions \( \phi_i (i = 1, \ldots, N) \), each focusing on a particular part of the speech acoustic space \( A \). The second level integrates the outputs from the individual local mappings in the first level to arrive at a final classification decision. The following subsections describe each level in the system.

3.1. A non-linear manifold using broad phone class DNNs

Speech sounds in different BPCs result from different articulatory mechanisms and lend themselves to different types of parameterizations. Hence we assume that these BPCs dictate the manifold structure of \( A \). In the first level of the system, each \( \phi_i \) is realised using a BPC-dependent DNN. These networks operate in parallel, with each local network defined on the whole of \( A \) but focusing on a particular BPC.

An input to each local network \( \phi_i \) is an element of \( A \) comprising logarithm filter-bank energies (logFBEs) in context. All the training data is passed to each network, regardless of which broad class they belong to. This ensures that a given local network has information about data which do not belong to its BPC. Suppose that the \( i \)-th local DNN implements a mapping \( \phi_i \) for the subset \( Q_i \) of phones in the \( i \)-th BPC. The output layer of this network has \( K_i + 1 \) nodes, where the first \( K_i \) nodes correspond to each phone in the category \( Q_i \) and the additional node is used to indicate the ‘out-of-the-class’ label used for input features which are not contained in the \( i \)-th BPC. The targets in the output layer are the phone or ‘out-of-the-class’ posterior probabilities. A nine-dimensional bottleneck layer is kept in each broad phone class network to enable comparison with the baseline single global bottleneck neural network.

We explored the use of different definitions of BPCs. As the base, the phones are grouped into the 8 non-overlapping BPCs from [11]. These correspond to BPCs \( Q_1 - Q_8 \) in the upper part of Table 1. We also use several ‘super’ broad classes which are unions of two or more BPCs from \( Q_1 - Q_8 \). These are defined in the lower half of Table 1. The model comprising \( A \) and the set of mappings \( \phi_i \) from \( A \) into the 9-dimensional BNF feature space \( B \), is inspired by the manifold framework described in Section 2. However, it falls short of the formal definition of a topological manifold, since each of the BPC-dependent DNNs is defined on the complete acoustic space \( A \), rather than a subset corresponding to that BPC, and there is no guarantee that the mappings \( \phi_i \) are homeomorphisms.

In order to eliminate the effect of unclear and ambiguous boundaries in TIMIT labels, we also explored neural networks that are re-trained and fine-tuned to features from centre frames of the phones.

3.2. Fusing the manifold information

The second level of the classification system is a fusion network that serves to provide the final phone classification decision. The input vector passed to this second level contains information concatenated from all the first level broad phone class DNNs. This could be the outputs of the bottleneck (or a hidden) layer, or the softmax probability outputs from the output layer of each first level network. This is indicated by dashed and dot-dashed lines in Figure 1. The output of the fusion network is a vector of posterior phone probabilities of 49 phones.
4. Experimental setup

4.1. Methodology

Experiments were performed on the TIMIT speech corpus [12] whose training set contains recordings of 462 speakers, having in total 3696 utterances of 142910 tokens. We used 90% of the training set utterances, selected randomly for each gender in each dialect, as the neural network training set, and the remaining 10% as validation set. The SA recordings were excluded from the training set utterances, selected randomly for each gender in each dialect, as the neural network training set, and the remaining 10% as validation set. The core test set [14], which was used as targets for training.

The systems were evaluated with respect to their ability of classifying phones at the feature level. Two sets of experimental evaluations were performed: i) using all the feature vectors, and ii) using only the centre feature vector from each TIMIT phone segment. For evaluating phone classification accuracy, the 49 phone set was reduced to 40 according to [14].

Tables 1 shows classification results for all frames and centre frames only for the single global DNN and the two-layer manifold structures corresponding to $D_1, ..., D_5$. The figures are the averages of experiments performed over 20, 10 and 6 random DNN parameter initialisations for the global, local (softmax) and local (BNF), respectively. The single global DNN has approximately 2.44 million parameters, compared with 1.14, 1.28, 1.42, 1.71, and 1.85 million parameters for the two-layer systems based on $D_1$ to $D_5$, respectively.

Focussing first on classification using all feature vectors, the average phone recognition accuracy for the single global DNN is 67.60% with standard deviation of 0.48. The two-layer structure with local DNNs gives in all cases better performance, which in many cases presents a significant improvement (* indicates that in 95% of pairwise comparisons between global and local networks, the difference in performance is significant at the 0.05 level according to the McNe-
This paper presents a phone classification system inspired by a non-linear manifold model of speech acoustic space. This system comprised of two levels. The first level consisted of a set of broad phone class (BPC) dependent DNNs. A representation from the output or hidden bottleneck layer of the first level network was used as input to the second level fusion network. Experimental evaluations were performed on TIMIT. The results showed that using the BPC-dependent DNNs provided small but significant improvements in phone classification accuracy in comparison to a single global DNN. It was demonstrated that in addition to the use of a set of local DNNs corresponding to basic BPCs, it was advantageous to also include local DNNs focusing on a combination of some BPCs, especially, vowel sub-categories. The use of the bottleneck or softmax outputs as input to the fusion network provided similar results. Visualisations of the structures learned by the local DNNs indicated a relationship to speech production mechanisms.

To obtain a true topological manifold, the ‘local’ non-linear mappings \( \phi \) should explicitly map subsets \( A_i \) of the acoustic space \( A \) into the BNF space \( B \), rather than being determined by sub-classes \( Q_i \) of phones. This requires a better understanding of the topology of \( A \) and the relationships between its subsets and BPCs, which might be obtained through topological data analysis. In addition the \( \phi \)'s should be homeomorphisms satisfying the consistency condition in Section 2. The latter could be investigated using ‘reconstruction’ DNNs in which the targets are equal to the inputs, although this might compromise the utility of the BNFs for classification.

Finally, experiments need to be conducted to confirm that the benefits of the local DNN structure for frame-level phone classification transfer to full ASR.

### 6. Summary and future work

The results using only the centre feature vectors of each phone segment show similar performance trends to those observed for all feature vectors, however accuracy is considerably higher. This indicates that the classification error is higher during phone transitions. Fusing the outputs of the BNF layer gives slightly poorer performance than the softmax layer.

### Table 3: Phone classification accuracy obtained using all signal frames and using only the centre frames of each phone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All frames</th>
<th>Centre frames</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global DNN</td>
<td>67.60 (avg)</td>
<td>76.81 (avg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69.05 (avg+3 std)</td>
<td>77.58 (avg+3 std)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local DNNs</th>
<th>Fusion net input</th>
<th>Fusion net input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Softmax</td>
<td>BNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_1 ) (avg)</td>
<td>69.05*</td>
<td>68.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_2 ) (avg)</td>
<td>69.44*</td>
<td>69.23*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_3 ) (avg)</td>
<td>69.56*</td>
<td>69.24*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_4 ) (avg)</td>
<td>69.76*</td>
<td>69.31*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_5 ) (avg)</td>
<td>70.01*</td>
<td>69.63*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2. Visualisations of bottleneck features from local DNNs

This section explores visualisations of the structures learned by local BPC-based DNNs. The 9 dimensional bottleneck features from the local DNNs are projected onto 2D space using linear discriminant analysis (LDA). Example 2D visualisations for BPC \( Q_1 \) (plosives) are shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2(a) shows the first 2 dimensions for data from all phones (excluding silence for clarity). Plosives are represented in purple. Interestingly, although the non-plosive data were all assigned to ‘out-of-the-class’ category, the network has structured this data in an unsupervised manner according to phonetic categories. Figure 2(b) shows data for ‘plosive’ phones from Figure 2(a), with each plosive represented in a different colour. It can be seen that /p/, /t/, and /k/ are placed in an order which reflects their place of articulation (lips, teeth and soft palate, respectively). The voiced counterparts /b/, /d/, /g/ are placed in the same order but shifted towards the lower right. Figure 2(c) shows the plosive phone data projected onto LDA dimensions 3 and 4. Again, good separation of each plosive class is evident. Dimension 4 now seems to indicate voicing, with the unvoiced plosives placed in the lower part and voiced in the higher part of the figure. Again, the location structure for the unvoiced plosives is the same as for the voiced plosives, but shifted in dimension 4 for voicing.

Figure 2: Visualisations of LDA-based projections of 9-dimensional bottleneck features from \( Q_1 \) (‘plosive’) broad phone class DNN. 1\textsuperscript{st} vs. 2\textsuperscript{nd} dimension for all data (a); 1\textsuperscript{st} vs. 2\textsuperscript{nd} dimension (b) and 3\textsuperscript{rd} vs. 4\textsuperscript{th} dimension (c) for data within plosive class only.
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