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Abstract

In this study, different multi-bed water adsorption systems have been used to generate cooling and
electricity at the same time using 9 different cases including 7 bed configurations and 7 time ratios (R =
total switching and adsorption time /the total switching and desorption time) utilizing advanced
adsorption materials such as AQSOA-Z02 and MOF Aluminium-Fumarate additionally to traditional
Silica-gel. A MATLAB Simulink program of multi-bed adsorption system for cooling and power
generation has been developed to investigate the effect of using different cases on the overall system
performance. Results showed that using three-bed configuration with time ratio of (R=1/2) produced the
highest specific cooling power (SCP) and specific power (SP) for Silica-gel (for all heat source
temperature range), Aluminium-Fumarate (for heat source temperature higher than 120 °C) and AQSOA-
Z02 (at heat source temperature of 160 °C). Moreover, using two-bed configuration with time ratio of
(R=1) generates the highest coefficient of performance (COP) for all adsorption materials within the
range of heat source temperature used in this study. Results also, showed that maximum COP of 0.64 can
be achieved using Silica-gel, while maximum SCP, SP and adsorption power efficiency of 650 W/kg\textsubscript{ads},
64 W/kg\textsubscript{ads}, 4.6 % can be achieved using AQSOA-Z02.

Keywords: Multi-bed, Time Ratio, Adsorption, Cooling and Electricity, AQSOA-Z02, Aluminium-
Fumarate, Silica-gel

1. Introduction

Nowadays, searching for alternative energy sources becomes an essential aim because of the large energy
consumption around the world, while electricity is still mainly generated by burning fossil fuel which
rises CO\textsubscript{2} emissions. In hot countries, refrigeration and air conditioning equipment used in houses and
offices consume a large amount of electricity, while millions of people, especially in poor countries still
face difficulties to access low-cost and reliable energy sources. Adsorption technology offers the potential
of using low-grade heat sources such as solar, geothermal and waste heat from industrial processes to
produce cooling and electricity simultaneously. Fossil fuel is still the main source of energy since
decades; however, the abundant renewable resources such as low-grade heat from solar energy or waste
heat from industrial processes can be converted into useful cooling and electricity using promising clean energy technologies like absorption, adsorption and Organic Rankine cycle (ORC). Such renewable technologies can be improved to face the serious concerns of the global warming around the world and reduce the emissions of the CO2. A number of researchers investigated the absorption cooling technology experimentally [1] and numerically [2], while many researchers investigated means of improving the adsorption cooling technology using multi-bed [3], multi-stages [4], utilizing a number of working pairs [5] through modelling [6] and experimental work [7]. Gonzalez-Gil et al [8] experimentally studied a solar air-conditioning water/lithium bromide absorption system and reported a COP of about 0.6 with a cooling capacity between 2 and 3.8 kW. Wang [9] used new ideas to integrate heat pipes with adsorption water chiller achieving average cooling and COP of 10 kW and 0.4 using heat source temperature of 85 °C. Gong et al [10] examined experimentally the cooling effect of lithium chloride and Silica-gel composite adsorption chiller with methanol. Results showed that, compared to the Silica-gel/water unit, the SCP and COP of the composite were increased by 16.3% and 24.2%.

Generating power (electricity) at high efficiency using low-grade heat sources like solar energy, geothermal energy and waste heat from industrial processes is still a strategic goal for many researchers. Kalina cycle and Organic Rankine cycle (ORC) have the capability of generating electricity using such low-grade heat sources [11, 12]. Le et al. [13] investigated a number of ORC fluids to optimize the performance of basic and regenerative supercritical ORC utilizing heat source temperature of 150 °C. Results showed that the maximum efficiencies of the basic and regenerative were 11.6 % and 13.1 % respectively using R152a, while the maximum power generated was 4.1 kW using R1234ze.

In order to achieve both cooling and electricity at the same time, a number of researchers designed and investigated combined systems for cooling and electricity utilizing low-grade heat sources. Vijayaraghavan and Goswami [14] studied two configurations to combine an absorber and a turbine in one system to generate cooling and electricity. Results showed that efficiency can be enhanced by up to 25%, while exergy analysis showed that RUE (resource utilization efficiency) can be improved. Liu and Zhang [15] have proposed a cogeneration system consisting of ammonia/water Rankine cycle and an ammonia absorption refrigeration cycle utilizing heat source temperature of around 450 °C to generate cooling and power simultaneously. Results showed that maximum exergy efficiency of 58% can be
achieved and the energy consumption can be reduced by 18.2% compared to conventional separate systems. Zheng et al. [16] have suggested a combined absorption cooling and power system based on Kalina cycle’s principles. The cycle produced cooling and power at the same time with an overall thermal and exergy efficiencies of 24.2% and 37.3% respectively. Zhang and Lior [17] investigated an ammonia/water cogeneration system to produce cooling and power at the same time. The system works in parallel mode with an ammonia/water Rankine cycle and an ammonia refrigeration cycle. Energy and exergy efficiencies were assessed and they had the values of 27.7% and 55.7%, respectively using heat source temperature of 450 °C. Absorption technology can generate cooling and electricity simultaneously, however, such systems have large size, besides ammonia is a toxic material which may cause serious health risks. On the other hand, adsorption technology has robust construction, ease of installation, and in many cases, is considered to be more advantageous than absorption systems. In addition, there are no opportunities of crystallization, corrosion, risky leaks and the power consumption is negligible [18].

Adsorption is promising technology that recently used to generate cooling and electricity at the same time utilizing low-grade heat sources. This technology has a number of advantages like very few moving parts, high reliability, capability over a wide range of heat source temperatures with appropriate adsorption materials, and environmentally friendly refrigerants [19]. Jiang et al. [20] have introduced a resorption cogeneration system to generate cooling and power simultaneously. Results showed that with using heat recovery the cycle can achieve electrical efficiency and COP of 9.5–15.8% and 0.416–0.691 respectively. Wang et al. [21] have presented a novel resorption cogeneration cycle for cooling and electricity simultaneously based on ammonia adsorption cooling technology. Results showed that utilizing a driving temperature higher than 100 °C can produce a maximum overall exergy efficiency of 0.9 and a COP of 0.77. Bao et al. [22] have studied an adsorption cogeneration prototype to generate power and cooling simultaneously. The COP and the exergy efficiency of the cycle are 0.57 and 0.62 respectively. Bao et al. [23] built chemisorption cogeneration prototype using calcium chloride and activated carbon was to generate power and cooling simultaneously. Results showed that the system could achieve a minimum value of 5.4 °C at the evaporator and it could produce 490 W of power. L. Jiong et al. [24] have designed and studied a resorption cogeneration cycle for cooling and power using a scroll expander with MnCl₂-CaCl₂-NH₃. Results showed that maximum power of 300W and cooling of 2kW as well as 91 min of cold
storage function can be achieved. Yiji Lu et al [25] improved the ammonia resorption cogeneration cycle proposed by Wang et al with mass and heat recovery using twelve different working pairs. Results showed that COP increased by 38% and 35% utilizing NiCl₂ and MnCl₂ respectively. The efficiency of electricity has also been improved from 8% to 12% and the second law efficiency reached 41% using BaCl₂–MnCl₂. Al-Mousawi et al. [26] simulated a two-bed adsorption system to generate cooling and power simultaneously using AQSOA-Z02/water, MIL101Cr/water and Silica-gel/water using heat source temperature between 80 and 160 °C. Results showed that, the system can generate SP of 73 W/kg\textsubscript{ads}, and SCP of 681 W/kg\textsubscript{ads} (using AQSOA-Z02) and maximum efficiency of 67%. Al-Mousawi et al. [27] designed and modelled a small scale radial inflow turbine with efficiency of 82% to generate electricity of 785 W in addition to cooling from a two-bed adsorption system utilizing AQSOA-Z02/water using heat source temperature of 160 °C. Al-Mousawi et al. [28] studied integrated adsorption-ORC system to simultaneously generate cooling and electricity. Results showed that system can achieve efficiency, SP and SCP of 70%, 208 W/kg\textsubscript{ads} and 616 W/kg\textsubscript{ads} respectively.

In adsorption cooling systems, as the cycle time increases the coefficient of performance increases, and this decreases the specific cooling power (SCP) [29]. Many researchers have studied the performance optimisation of two-bed adsorption cooling systems [29, 30] and three-bed adsorption cycles [31]. Glaznev and Aristov [32] found experimentally that desorption process is faster than adsorption process by 2.2 to 3.5. Sapienza et al. [33] experimentally found that the best performance can be achieved with the adsorption time is 7 times longer than desorption timer using driving temperature of 90 °C. Zajaczkowski [34] found that in a three-bed adsorption system and for switching time 30 s and adsorption time 300 s, the desorption/adsorption time ratio is almost 0.6 gives the highest improvement in SCP and COP. Graf et al. [35] showed that the adsorption and desorption times can affect the COP and SCP and they found that the maximum values of COP and SCP are 268 W/kg and 0.51 respectively using adsorption time of 200 s and desorption time of 125 s.

None of the previous work studied the effect of using different bed configurations and bed arrangement either in series or in parallel besides the effect of adsorption/desorption time ratio on the overall performance of the adsorption system for cooling and electricity. In this paper, 9 different cases including 7 different configurations and 7 time ratios have been investigated. Different cases are
compared to the two-bed adsorption system for cooling and electricity in terms of system coefficient of performance (COP), specific cooling power (SCP), specific power generated (SP) and adsorption power efficiency. In addition, advanced adsorption pairs of AQSOA-ZO2/water and Aluminium-Fumarate MOF/water have been investigated and compared to Silica-gel/water, while environmentally friendly fluid of water has been used as adsorption working fluid.

2. Two-bed adsorption system for cooling and electricity

Figure 1(a) shows a schematic diagram of a basic cooling adsorption system (BCAS) which contains two adsorption beds, condenser, and evaporator. Adsorption is an exothermic process, as a result cooling is needed to cool the adsorber (cold bed) during the adsorption process using cooling source CS in order to adsorb the refrigerant from the evaporator and produce the cooling capacity. Desorption is an endothermic process, so heating is needed during the desorption process to release the refrigerant (water vapour) from the desorber (hot bed) using heating source HS like solar energy or waste heat. Subsequently, condenser cools the hot refrigerant coming from the desorber to feed the evaporator with liquid refrigerant that needed to produce cooling continuously.

Figure 1(b) shows a schematic diagram of the adsorption system for cooling and electricity as described by a number of literature [22, 26, 27, 36] which consisting of adsorber, desorber, condenser, evaporator and expander (turbine) located between the hot bed (desorber) and the condenser to extract the kinetics energy from the refrigerant vapour at high temperature and pressure. Figure 2(a) shows the P-T diagram of BCAS, process 1-2 is isosteric heating (preheating switching), processes (2-3'/2-3) are isobaric desorption/condensation, process 3’-4’ is isosteric cooling (precooling switching) and finally processes (4’-1/4-1) are isobaric adsorption/evaporation. Figure 2(b) shows the P-T diagram of the adsorption system for cooling and electricity and in this system, the pressure of the condenser have to be lower than the pressure of the hot bed to make the pressure difference required to generate power (electricity) in the expander (turbine). Process 1-2 is isosteric heating same as in BCAS, while process 2-3 is expansion process from the bed pressure (maximum pressure) to the condenser pressure, process 3-4 is isobaric condensation while the rest processes are similar to BCAS.
3. Multi-bed adsorption system for cooling and electricity

Multi-bed adsorption system for cooling and electricity has the same principle of work for the two-bed adsorption system for cooling and electricity as discussed in section 2. Instead of using only two adsorption beds, a number of adsorption beds are used in the same system. Usually when two-bed is used in the adsorption system the total adsorption time (adsorption time + switching time) is equal to the total
desorption time (desorption time + switching time) i.e. the time ratio $R=1$. This study aims to investigate the effect of using multi-bed configurations with a number of time ratios ($R$) on the overall system performance. For example, in the three-bed adsorption system with $R=1/2$ shown in Figure 3 (a), bed 1 starts with desorption phase (20s switching time + 140s net desorption) as shown in Figure 4 with zero delay time, then it switches to adsorption phase (20s switching time + 300s net adsorption). Bed 2 and bed 3 work in similar way as shown in Figure 4, but with delay times of 160s and 320s respectively. The same concept can be used for the four, five and six-beds systems as shown in Figure 3 (b), (c) and (d) with $R$ of 1/3, 1/4 and 1/5 respectively.

Besides two (2B), three (3B), four (4B), five (5B) and six-bed (6B) systems another two configurations are investigated in this study. The first one is the four-bed system which consisting of a pair of two beds working in parallel with $R=1$ as shown in Figure 3(e), while the other one is six-bed which consisting of a pair of three beds working in parallel with $R=1/2$ as shown in Figure 3(f). Thus in this work, 9 different cases including 7 different bed configurations and 7 adsorption/desorption ratios are investigated to find the best configuration and adsorption/desorption ratio in terms of performance, specific cooling and specific power output.
Figure 3: Schematic diagram of multi bed adsorption system for cooling and electricity (a) three-bed (b) four-bed in series (c) five-bed (d) six-bed in series (e) four-bed in parallel and (f) six-bed in parallel.

Figure 4: Time allocation of the three-bed adsorption system for cooling and electricity with R=1/2.
4. **Mathematical Modelling**

In this study, new advanced adsorption pairs of AQSOA-Z02/water and Aluminium-Fumarate/water are used and compared to Silica-gel/water. The adsorption equilibrium for AQSOA-Z02/water can be written as [37]

\[
x_{eq} = x_0 \left( \frac{k \left( \frac{P_s(T_w)}{P_s(T_a)} \right)^n}{1 + (k-1) \left( \frac{P_s(T_w)}{P_s(T_a)} \right)^{n}} \right)
\]

(1)

\[
k = \alpha \exp \left[ n (Q_{st} - h_f) / (RT_{bed}) \right]
\]

(2)

Where, \(P_s(T_w)\) and \(P_s(T_a)\) are the saturation vapour pressure at water vapour temperature and adsorbent temperature respectively and the constants \(x_0, \alpha, n\) and \(Q_{st}\) are taken from [26].

For Aluminium-Fumarate/water, the adsorption equilibrium of equation (3) is obtained from [38] and listed in Table 1.

\[
x_{eq} = f(A)
\]

(3)

Where

\[
A = -RT_{bed} \ln \left( \frac{P_s(T_w)}{P_s(T_a)} \right)
\]

(4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Aluminium-Fumarate/water isotherms of Eq. (3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A &lt; 2900)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A &gt; 3987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2900 \leq A \leq 3987)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Silica-gel/water, the modified Freundlich equation is used to present the adsorption equilibrium [39-41] as:

\[
x_{eq} = A(T_s) \left( \frac{P_s(T_w)}{P_s(T_a)} \right)^{B(T_s)}
\]

(5)

where

\[
A(T_s) = A_o + A_1 T_s + A_2 T_s^2 + A_3 T_s^3
\]

(6)

\[
B(T_s) = B_o + B_1 T_s + B_2 T_s^2 + B_3 T_s^3
\]

(7)

The constants of equations (6) and (7) are obtained from [41, 42].

Linear driving force (LDF) equation is used to describe the adsorption/desorption rate as [39, 40, 43]

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = k_o \exp \left( - \frac{E_a}{RT} \right) (x_{eq} - x)
\]

(8)
The kinetics constants ($k_o$ and $E_a$) of equation (8) are taken from reference [44] for AQSOA-ZO2/water, from reference [38] for Aluminium-Fumarate/water and from references [39, 40] for Silica-gel/water.

Lumped model method is used define the energy balance in adsorption beds, where the adsorbent, the adsorbate and the bed materials are assumed to be at the same temperature during the cycle time [43, 45, 46].

\[
\left( M_{c_p} \right)_{\text{bed}} \frac{dT_{\text{bed}}}{dt} + \left( M_a c_p \right)_{\text{bed}} \frac{dx_{\text{bed}}}{dt} = \varphi M_a \left( \frac{dx_{\text{bed}}}{dt} \right) (Q_{st}) \left( T_{j,o} - T_{j,in} \right)
\]  

Flag $\varphi$ equals to 0 at switching time and equals to 1 at adsorption/desorption process and the bed outlet temperature is given by [43, 45].

\[
T_{j,o} = T_{j,in} \left( T_{j,in} - T_{j,bed} \right)^{-1} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{-UA_r}{\varphi} \right) \right] (10)
\]

The energy balance equations for the condenser can be expressed by [46, 47].

\[
\left( M_{c_p} \right)_{\text{cond}} \frac{dT_{\text{cond}}}{dt} = \varphi h_f g M_a \frac{dx_{\text{des}}}{dt} \left( c_p \right)_{\text{cond}} (T_{w,o} - T_{w,i}) - \left( c_p \right)_{\text{cond}} (T_{w,o} - T_{w,i}) M_a \frac{dx_{\text{des}}}{dt} (11)
\]

The condenser outlet temperature is given by [46, 47].

\[
T_{w,o} = T_{\text{cond}} + \left( T_{w,in} - T_{\text{cond}} \right) \left[ \exp \left( \frac{-UA_r}{\varphi} \right) \right] (12)
\]

The energy balance in the evaporator is expressed as [46, 47].

\[
\left( M_{c_p} \right)_{\text{evap}} \frac{dT_{\text{evap}}}{dt} = \varphi h_f g M_a \frac{dx_{\text{ads}}}{dt} - \left( c_p \right)_{\text{evap}} (T_{\text{chill},o} - T_{\text{chill},i}) - \left( c_p \right)_{\text{w}} (T_{\text{cond}} - T_{\text{evap}}) M_a \frac{dx_{\text{des}}}{dt} (13)
\]

The outlet temperature of the chilled water can be written as [40, 46, 47].

\[
T_{\text{chill},o} = T_{\text{evap}} + \left( T_{\text{chill},in} - T_{\text{evap}} \right) \left[ \exp \left( \frac{-UA_r}{\varphi} \right) \right] (14)
\]

The mass balance in the adsorption evaporator can be written as [40, 43, 45, 46].

\[
\frac{dM_{\text{ref}}}{dt} = -M_a \left[ \frac{dx_{\text{des}}}{dt} + \frac{dx_{\text{ads}}}{dt} \right] (15)
\]

Mechanical work produced in the expander (turbine), can be written as below:
\[ W_{\text{exp}} = \int_0^{\tau_{\text{cycle}}} \eta_{\exp} \dot{m}_{\text{ads}} \Delta h dt \]  

(16)

Where \( \Delta h \) is the enthalpy difference through the adsorption expander and \( \dot{m}_{\text{ads}} \) is the water mass flow rate through the expander, while \( \eta_{\exp} \) is the expansion efficiency which is assumed to be ideal for this thermodynamic study. The overall performance of the adsorption system for cooling and electricity can be defined using the terms SCP, SP, COP, adsorption power efficiency (\( \eta_{\text{ads}} \)), COPe and SCPe and written in equations (17-21).

\[ SCP = \frac{(\dot{m}_{cp})_{\text{evap}} \int_0^{\tau_{\text{cycle}}} (T_{\text{chill},o} - T_{\text{chill},i}) dt}{M_{\text{a} \tau_{\text{cycle}}}} \]  

(17)

\[ SP = \frac{\int_0^{\tau_{\text{cycle}}} \eta_{\exp} \dot{m}_{\text{ads}} \Delta h dt}{M_{\text{a} \tau_{\text{cycle}}}} \]  

(18)

\[ \eta_{\text{ads}} = \frac{\int_0^{\tau_{\text{cycle}}} \eta_{\exp} \dot{m}_{\text{ads}} \Delta h dt}{(\dot{m}_{cp})_{h} \int_0^{\tau_{\text{cycle}}} (T_{h,o} - T_{h,i}) dt} \]  

(19)

\[ \text{COPe} = \frac{(\dot{m}_{cp})_{\text{evap}} \int_0^{\tau_{\text{cycle}}} (T_{\text{chill},o} - T_{\text{chill},i}) dt + F[\int_0^{\tau_{\text{cycle}}} \eta_{\exp} \dot{m}_{\text{ads}} \Delta h dt]}{(\dot{m}_{cp})_{h} \int_0^{\tau_{\text{cycle}}} (T_{h,o} - T_{h,i}) dt} \]  

(20)

\[ \text{SCPe} = \frac{(\dot{m}_{cp})_{\text{evap}} \int_0^{\tau_{\text{cycle}}} (T_{\text{chill},o} - T_{\text{chill},i}) dt + F[\int_0^{\tau_{\text{cycle}}} \dot{m}_{\text{ads}} \Delta h dt]}{M_{\text{a} \tau_{\text{cycle}}}} \]  

(21)

The term (\( \eta_{\text{ads}} \)) is used to represent the power generation efficiency of the adsorption system i.e. the ratio of the amount of power generated through the expander (turbine that incorporated within the adsorption system) to the total heat consumed by the adsorption beds. The terms COPe (equivalent coefficient of performance) and SCPe (equivalent specific cooling power) are used to compare the performance of adsorption system for cooling and electricity to the two-bed basic cooling adsorption system (BCAS). F is the typical COP for compression refrigeration system, which is assumed to be (3) in this work i.e. the power generated, by adsorption system can be converted into cooling again and in order to compare between adsorption system for cooling and electricity system and the basic cooling adsorption system (BCAS).
The Exergy efficiency depending on the second law of thermodynamic can be defined as the ratio between the exergy output to the exergy input and it is used to highlight the different grade of cooling and electricity generated by the adsorption system used in this paper. The exergy efficiency can be defined as [17, 48]:

$$\eta_{ex} = \frac{W_{exp} + E_{evap}}{E_{in}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Where $E_{evap}$ is the cooling exergy through the evaporator and can be defined as [49-51]:

$$E_{evap} = \frac{(m_{cp})_{evap} \int_{0}^{t_{cycle}} (T_{chill,i} - T_{chill,o}) dt}{t_{cycle}} \left[ \frac{T_{amb}}{T_{evap}} - 1 \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

While, $E_{in}$ is the exergy input to the system and can be defined as [17, 48]:

$$E_{in} = \dot{m}_{h} \left[ (h_{h,in} - h_{h,o}) - T_{amb} (s_{h,in} - s_{h,o}) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

5. Results and discussions

Table 2 (a) shows the main operating conditions used in this work, while Table 2 (b) and (c) show the features of the main components characteristics (bed, condenser, and evaporator) used in this study.

Figure 5 shows the values of COP/COPe, SCP/SCPe and exergy efficiency for two-bed basic cooling adsorption system (BCAS) and adsorption system for cooling and electricity (with two-bed configuration) for Silica-gel, AQSOA-Z02, and Aluminium-Fumarate with a range of heat source temperature. For most cases, COPe achieved by the adsorption system for cooling and electricity using Silica-gel, Aluminium-Fumarate, and AQSOA-Z02 is higher than the COP of BCAS and this is because additional electricity is generated in adsorption system for cooling and electricity. However, for AQSOA-Z02 with heat source temperature of 120 °C (or less), the COP of BCAS is higher than COPe of adsorption system for cooling and electricity and this is due to AQSOA-Z02 shows low performance with adsorption system for cooling and electricity at low heat source temperatures. Moreover, SCPe achieved by the adsorption system for cooling and electricity is higher than that produced by BCAS for most cases using Silica-gel, Aluminium-Fumarate, and AQSOA-Z02 (except for Silica-gel at 80 °C and AQSOA-Z02 at 120 °C or less and this is because less power is generated with low heat source temperatures). At high heat source temperatures the mass flow rate of refrigerant (water) is higher because of high adsorption/desorption
rate. In addition, at high heat source temperatures, high pressure ratio can be obtained through the expander (turbine) which means more electricity can be generated. As the grade of electricity is higher than cooling (i.e. each 1kW of electricity produces about 3 kW of cooling depending on the typical COP for compression refrigeration system, which is assumed to be three in this study), SCPe of adsorption system for cooling and electricity is higher than that of BCAS at heat source temperature higher than 120 °C. For all adsorption materials and heat source temperatures used, the exergy efficiency achieved by adsorption system for cooling and electricity is higher than that for BCAS and this is because the electric power generated by the former has high grade than cooling. The maximum exergy efficiency of 54% is achieved using Silica-gel at heat source temperature of 80 °C, results also show that using adsorption system for cooling and electricity can enhance the exergy efficiency of BCAS by up to 2.5 times when using Al-Fumarate at heat source temperature of 160 °C. Also, results showed that, different adsorption materials presented different values of COP/COPe, SCP/SCPe, and exergy efficiency. For example, Silica-gel showed the highest COP and exergy efficiency, while AQSOA-Z02 showed the highest SCP with heat source temperature of 140 °C or higher. COP is the most important coefficient of any heat pump, because high COP values means less energy used. However, if the energy used is infinite or semi-infinite source like solar energy or geothermal energy, SCP can be the most important criterion, because high SCP means more cooling is generated using the same heat pump size. For system generating cooling and electricity, exergy efficiency is essential because the electricity has different grade compared to cooling, so it helps to make a good comparison between BCAS system and the adsorption system for cooling and electricity.

Figure 6, Figure 7, and Figure 8 show the cooling and power (electricity) generated using 7 different configurations utilizing Silica-gel, AQSOA-Z02 and Aluminium-Fumarate with heat source temperature of 120°C. Results show that cooling and electricity can be generated at the same time however, the amount of cooling and electricity generated is varied from one configuration to another and from one material to another. As the number of beds increases the amount of cooling and electricity increases because of more adsorption materials are added to the system and more uptake and mass flow can be generated. In addition, as the number of beds increases, more continuity in cooling and electricity can be noticed i.e. the cooling and electricity with less fluctuation which is more preferable. A significant gain in cooling and electricity can be noticed between 2B (two-beds) and 3B (three-bed) configurations where
more cooling and electricity can be generated with configuration 3B. Configuration 6B (six-beds) in parallel with R=1/2 gives the highest average cooling and electricity generated as shown Figure 6, Figure 7, and Figure 8 for Silica-gel, AQSOA-Z02, and Aluminium-Fumarate respectively.

Figure 9 shows the COP of different configurations and R ratios utilizing Silica-gel, AQSOA-Z02, and Aluminium-Fumarate with a range of heat source temperature between 80 and 160 °C. The maximum COP achieved in this investigation is 0.64 using Silica-gel at heat source temperature of 80 °C with 2B configuration and R=1. Compared to other materials at heat source temperature between 80-120 °C, Silica-gel shows the highest COP and this is due to the high cooling capacity achieved with this material as a result of high water uptake (high adsorption/desorption rate). Silica-gel’s isotherms which has linear and uniform shape, besides its good kinetics helps to generate such high uptake rate and this can explain the high water and the high cooling capacity produced by this material. Regarding the heat source temperature, at 80 °C the amount of heat consumed is the lowest which leads to highest COP. In terms of the number of beds and R ratio used, the configuration 2B with R=1 shows the maximum COP and this is due to less amount of heat when using 2B configuration.

Figure 10 shows SCP of different configurations and R ratios utilizing Silica-gel, AQSOA-Z02 and Aluminium-Fumarate with heat source temperature between 80 and 160 °C. The maximum SCP achieved is around 650 W/kg_{ads} Using AQSOA-Z02 at heat source temperature of 160 °C with three-bed configuration and R=1/2. Generally, desorption rate is faster than adsorption rate [32] and this is because the later occurs at relatively low temperature (28 °C in this study as an example), so discharging the refrigerant (water) from the adsorption material can be faster than charging the materials with the refrigerant. As a result, there is an optimum R ratio for each case depending on the adsorption material and the regeneration temperature used. Again, this can explain why the configurations with R>1 have low SCP compared to other configurations, as the time of adsorption is more than that of desorption.

Figure 11 shows SP of different configurations and R ratios utilizing Silica-gel, AQSOA-Z02 and Aluminium-Fumarate with heat source temperature between 80 and 160 °C. Maximum SP generated in this study is about 64 W/kg utilizing AQSOA-Z02 at 160 °C with 3B configuration and R=1/2. SP of 64 W/kg_{ads} is not very large value compared to the value of cooling (650 W/kg_{ads}), however the grade of electricity is higher than that of cooling because ideally each 1kW of electricity can generate 3kW of cooling when the typical COP for compression refrigeration system is assumed to be 3. In terms of the
number of beds and R ratio used, the configuration 3B with R=½ has the maximum SP for Silica-gel and
Aluminium-Fumarate for all the range of heat source temperatures used, while for AQSOA-Z02 this
occurs only with heat source temperature of 160 °C and this can show that there is a specific limit of heat
source temperature, where after such limit the 3B configuration can be the best. The configurations with
R>1 have low SP compared to other configurations, as the time of adsorption is more than that of
desorption.

Figure 12 shows adsorption power efficiency of different configurations and R ratios utilizing Silica-gel,
AQSOA-Z02, and Aluminium-Fumarate with heat source temperature between 80 and 160 °C. The
maximum power efficiency achieved in this study is 4.63 % using AQSOA-Z02 at heat source
temperature of 160 °C with 2B configuration and R=1. As the heat source temperature increases, the mass
flow rate through the expander (turbine) increases because of high desorption rate. Moreover, high heat
source temperature produces more power and then high adsorption power efficiency and this is mainly
because the high pressure difference between the desorber and the condenser.

The main advantage of using multi-bed adsorption system for cooling and electricity is to increase the
values of SCP and SP generated from this system and offer better options to users and designers. Besides
increasing the quantity of the cooling and electricity, they can be generated with less fluctuation and more
continuity. However, using multi-bed adsorption configurations may lead to large size and heavy weight,
but this problem can be solved by developing new adsorption materials with better adsorption capacity,
and improving the design of the bed heat exchanger, besides using lighter and more efficient materials
in the bed heat exchanger. Also, this study shows that four-bed configuration consisting of a pair of two-beds working in parallel produces more COP and SCP than the configuration with a same number of beds
working in series. The same result can be noticed for the six-bed configuration working in parallel
compared to the same number of beds working in series and this is due to R ratio used in each
configuration of R=1/2 and R=1/3 which produce the highest COP and SCP respectively.
# Parameters used in simulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambient temperature °C</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed heating fluid temperature °C</td>
<td>80-140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed cooling fluid temperature °C</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condenser cooling temperature °C</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed hot fluid mass flow rate kg/s</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed cold fluid mass flow rate kg/s</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condenser mass flow rate kg/s</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporator mass flow rate kg/s</td>
<td>300±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching time s</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Bed heat exchanger characteristics [26]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fin length m</td>
<td>172E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fin width m</td>
<td>30E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fin pitch m</td>
<td>1.2E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module length m</td>
<td>450E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finned length m</td>
<td>370E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of module</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. tubes/module</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube OD m</td>
<td>15.875E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube thickness m</td>
<td>0.8E-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Condenser/evaporator characteristics [26]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pipe length m</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. tubes</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube OD m</td>
<td>15.875E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube thickness m</td>
<td>0.8E-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5: COP/COPe, SCP/SCPe and Exergy efficiency of basic cooling adsorption system (BCAS) and adsorption system for cooling and electricity (with two bed) for a range of heat source temperature utilizing Silica-gel, AQSOA-Z02 and Aluminium-Fumarate.
Figure 6: Cooling and electricity generated from multi-bed adsorption system utilizing Silica-gel at heat source temperature of 120 °C.

Figure 7: Cooling and electricity generated from multi-bed adsorption system utilizing AQSOA-Z02 at heat source temperature of 120 °C.
Figure 8: Cooling and electricity generated from multi-bed adsorption system utilizing Aluminium-Fumarate at heat source temperature of 120 °C.

Figure 9: COP of different configurations of multi-bed adsorption system and adsorption/desorption ratio (R) utilizing Silica-gel, AQSOA-Z02 and Aluminium-Fumarate with a range of heat source temperature.
Figure 10: SCP of different configurations of multi-bed adsorption system and adsorption/desorption ratio (R) utilizing Silica-gel, AQSOA-Z02 and Aluminium-Fumarate with a range of heat source temperature.

Figure 11: SP of different configurations of multi-bed adsorption system and adsorption/desorption ratio (R) utilizing Silica-gel, AQSOA-Z02 and Aluminium-Fumarate with a range of heat source temperature.
Figure 12: Adsorption power efficiency of different configurations of multi-bed adsorption system and adsorption/desorption ratio (R) utilizing Silica-gel, AQSOA-Z02 and Aluminium-Fumarate with a range of heat source temperature.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, 9 cases including 7 multi-bed adsorption system configurations and 7 different adsorption/desorption time ratios for generating cooling and electricity at the same time have been designed and simulated. Furthermore, advanced adsorption materials of AQSOA-Z02/water, Aluminium-Fumarate MOF/water, and Silica-gel/water are studied in terms of system performance and compared to each other. The main results of this study can be listed as:

1. Adsorption system for cooling and electricity is feasible and can generate cooling and electricity simultaneously. For example, utilizing 10.76 kg of Silica-gel at 120 °C of heat source temperature can generate an average cooling of 15.18 kW and an average electricity of 0.94 kW.

2. Adsorption system for cooling and electricity has higher COPe than basic cooling adsorption system (BCAS) except for AQSOA-Z02 with heat source temperature below 120 °C.

3. For all adsorption material and heat source temperatures used in this study, the exergy efficiency of adsorption system for cooling and electricity is higher than that for BCAS, and maximum exergy efficiency of 54% is achieved utilising Silica-gel at 80 °C.

4. Adsorption system for cooling and electricity has higher SCPe than BCAS for Silica-gel with heat source temperature higher than 100 °C, for AQSOA-Z02 with heat source temperature higher than 140 °C and for Aluminium-Fumarate with all heat source temperature used in this study.

5. Two-bed configuration with R=1 (adsorption/desorption time ratio =1) has the maximum COP for all adsorption materials and all range of temperatures used in this work.
6. Three-bed configuration with $R=\frac{1}{2}$ has the maximum SCP and SP for Silica-gel and Aluminium-Fumarate for all the range of heat source temperatures used, while for AQSOA-Z02 with heat source temperature of 160 °C.

7. As the number of beds increases more than two, the COP decreases, while as the number of beds increases more than two or three (depending on martials and heat source temperature used) the SCP and SP decrease.

8. As the number of bed increases, more continuity in cooling and electricity can be achieved.

9. Pairs of two-bed (four beds) and pairs of three-bed (six beds) configurations working in parallel produce more COP and SCP than four-bed and six-bed configurations working in series.

**Nomenclature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A$ adsorption potential, J/mole</td>
<td>$\eta$ efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Al$ aluminium</td>
<td>$\alpha$ constant used in eq. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_r$ area, $m^2$</td>
<td>$\rho$ density $kg/m^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$ specific heat capacity, J/kg$K$</td>
<td>$\varphi$ flag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP coefficient of performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPe equivalent coefficient of performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$ Exergy $kW$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_o$ empirical constant in Eq. (6), 1/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_a$ activation energy, J/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$ enthalpy, J/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{fg}$ evaporation latent heat J/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$ mass, kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{m}$ mass flow rate, kg/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ pressure, Pa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{st}$ isosteric heat of adsorption, J/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$ gas constant (for water vapour), J/kg$K$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$ adsorption/desorption time ratio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$ overall heat transfer coeff., W/m$^2$K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W$ power generated W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SP$ specific power generated W/kg$_{ads}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SCP$ specific cooling power W/kg$_{ads}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCPe equivalent specific cooling power W/kg$_{ads}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$ time, s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$ temperature, K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x$ adsorption uptake, kg/kg$_{ads}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{eq}$ equilibrium uptake, kg/kg$_{ads}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{in}$ adsorbent</td>
<td>ads,a adsorbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{out}$ adsorption</td>
<td>ads adsorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{bed}$ adsorbent bed</td>
<td>amb ambient temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{chill}$ chilled water</td>
<td>cond condenser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{des}$ desorption</td>
<td>des desorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{evap,e}$ evaporator</td>
<td>eff effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{exp}$ expander</td>
<td>liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{ex}$ exergy</td>
<td>gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{f}$ adsorption/desorption</td>
<td>gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{in}$ inlet</td>
<td>constant used in eq. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{j}$ cooling / heating source</td>
<td>hot heating source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{o}$ outlet</td>
<td>saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{w}$ water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge the Iraqi Government and the Iraqi Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research for sponsoring this work.

REFERENCES


34. Zajaczkowski B. Performance analysis and cycle time optimization of a single evaporator three-bed solid-sorption refrigeration system driven by low-temperature heat source, ICR 2015, Conference Proceedings Yokohama, Japan; 2015


