Valency – Actuality – Meaning: A Peircian Semiotic Approach to Music

Introduction

Peircian semiotics has retained a place in the study of music for more than forty years. Early examples are found in the work of Wilson Coker and David Osmond-Smith and aspects of Nattiez’s work draw on Peircian thought. Raymond Monelle’s 1991 article titled ‘Music and the Peircian Trichotomies’ exhibits a particularly rigorous engagement of Peirce in relation to musical signification, but the most important and influential studies to date that deploy Peirce’s semiotics are Robert Hatten’s *Musical Meaning in Beethoven: Markedness, Correlation and Interpretation* of 1994 and Naomi Cumming’s *The Sonic Self: Musical Subjectivity and Signification* of 2000. More recently, Peircian ideas have become a significant force in theories of music cognition through the work of Dowling and Harwood and have even been invoked by scholars such as Richard Cohn, whose work can be situated within the blossoming field of Neo-Riemannian theory. Even novel approaches to the field of music semiotics, such as Stephen Rumph’s *Mozart and Enlightenment Semiotics*, which looks to draw primarily upon eighteenth-century conceptions of signification, retain Peirce as an important theoretical underpinning.

This scholarship provides some insightful and at times brilliant uses of Peirce’s semiotics. In the hands of Hatten, in particular, Peircian thought has proved both flexible and theoretically astute. Despite this continued interest in Peirce, however, few studies

---

have focused upon arguably the most important aspects of Peirce’s thought: his contribution to logic and his development of a pragmatic approach to epistemology. These two areas are intimately linked and indeed Peirce’s entire project is arguably thoroughly unified despite certain shifts in his thinking around 1886.⁵ This article develops a theory of Peircian semiotics in music that, I argue, is rigorously derived from the key insights Peirce offered philosophy and science. It focuses upon his theory of the proposition, which was central to his conception of the human ability to make progress in understanding. In so doing, the article posits an approach to music analysis that is sensitive to the importance of music’s internal structure while looking to understand more fully the role of cultural contexts and social forces in the development of musical meanings. To this end it avoids any tendency to conflate structure and meaning, looking instead to recognize their categorical separation so as to theorize their interaction. The article begins with an introduction to Peircian semiotics before developing a theory of musical valency, which is applied to the Allegro of Mozart’s ‘Prague’ symphony. It concludes by theorizing the role of cultural and ideological forces in articulating and saturating a music’s valency.

*Peircian semiotics and music studies*

In approaching Peircian thought music scholars have tended to be less concerned with Peirce’s broader philosophical project than with a set of theoretical tools extracted from it. These tools are, of course, intimately bound up with Peirce’s wider system but the process of extraction has tended to produce a piecemeal engagement of Peircian thought that has not harnessed the full potential of his far-reaching insights. This section will briefly consider popular Peircian theoretical tools and their application in earlier studies of music before outlining how arguably the most important of these tools, the trichotomy icon, index and symbol, might be better approached in a manner that takes fuller account of Peirce’s philosophical project.

There are two theoretical tools that have proved particularly popular with music

---

scholars looking to deploy Peircian thought. The first is the trichotomy of the sign complex: sign, object and interpretant; the second, I have already mentioned, and might be described as the trichotomy of sign functions: icon, index and symbol. I will deal with each of these in turn.

The trichotomy of the sign complex occurs early in Peirce’s published works but under different guises. Examples include ground–correlate–interpretant (1868), quality–relation–representation (1868), sign–object–mind (1885), sign–object–interpretant (1903).[6]

In music studies the trichotomy of the sign complex, addressed using this later terminology, has been picked up as a means of moving beyond a simpler bipartite picture of musical meaning. Hatten, for example, emphasize the advantages of the dynamic conception of musical meaning that Peirce’s trichotomy of the sign affords. Key here is Peirce’s claim that any given sign will relate to an object such that an interpretant develops. This interpretant (a more developed sign), acts as a sign to that same object and will, in turn, be developed by a further interpretant. We have, then, a dynamic series of signs/interpretants that constitute a growth in our understanding of an actual object. Hatten draws attention to the way in which, amongst other things, Peirce’s sign complex ‘avoids the more simplistic or mechanical mapping of a rudimentary code’. [7] Nattiez too places special emphasis upon Peirce’s dynamic conception of the sign complex, but any application of it to music is limited by Nattiez’s adoption of an essentially Saussurian framework.

The trichotomy of sign functions holds a similarly foundational place in Peirce’s semiotics and there is extensive interconnection in his thinking around these two trichotomies. [8] In the case of the trichotomy of sign functions we encounter: likeness–

---


[8] In the 1903 typology Peirce attempts to define the relationship between these two trichotomies in quite narrow terms. Here he conceives icon-index-symbol as the trichotomy of the relationship between a sign and its object, two other trichotomies apply to other parts of the sign complex. I see this 1903 typology as an interesting experiment in relating and these trichotomies and developing further trichotomies. However, it is important to recognize that this typology is not laid out in earlier work and is quickly replaced by sign

The trichotomy of sign functions has had a clear appeal to music scholars with an interest in musical meaning because it appears to offer a means of exhaustively categorizing the different processes through which music can generate meaning or be meaningful. Thus, in an early study, Wilson Coker devotes separate sections of his book to icons, indices and symbols in music. Other theorists have tended to focus upon one of these sign functions as particularly characteristic or important in musical meaning, thus Osmond-Smith focuses upon the icon in music and Karbusicky emphasizes the indexical. The scholarship of Raymond Monelle also tends to emphasize musical meaning as indexical while demonstrating a willingness to consider aspects of the Peirce’s later systems in which sign functions are proliferated.9

Perhaps the most compelling application of the icon-index-symbol trichotomy to music is found in the work of Robert Hatten. Hatten uses the trichotomy as a means of exploring the details of musical meaning within a framework derived from markedness theory. In Musical Meaning in Beethoven: Markedness Correlation and Interpretation, Hatten connects musical structure and expressive meaning with appeal to the notion of correlated markedness values. Thus the structural opposition between minor (marked) and major (unmarked) correlates with the expressive opposition between tragic (marked) and non-tragic (unmarked).

Peirce’s trichotomy of sign functions is subsequently invoked to explain and fill out this framework. Hatten conceives the correlations as dependent upon ‘structural iconism’ i.e. it is the qualitative (rather than the actual or conventional) connection between these markedness values that makes correlation possible. Similarly Hatten examines this process further by conceiving musical meanings as motivated by either iconism or indexicality. The symbolic is also given a role as it explains the process by which meanings are retained through cultural habit, i.e. through symbolic sign functions,

---

even when iconic motivations have broken away.

The work of Naomi Cumming shows a far more elaborate engagement of Peircian semiotics but it lacks the clear and systematic approach of Hatten. Furthermore Hatten’s work contains many compelling interpretations of canonic works and his handling of Peirce appears assured without a sense of getting lost amongst the proliferated sign systems of the late and posthumously published writings and letters.

But while Hatten’s work is compelling it again draws on Peirce’s system with limited reference to his wider philosophical project. It is really markedness theory rather than Peircian pragmatism that provides the framework for Hatten’s *Musical Meaning in Beethoven*. As a result, the potential for Peircian thought to open up new insights into the tradition of music theory and analysis as a whole is not fully explored. By affording Peircian thought a more central position this article will explore its potential to elucidate questions of musical meaning more thoroughly.

Key to this approach is a focus upon the trichotomy icon, index, symbol that, as I have argued elsewhere, continues to underpin Peirce’s thought even in the more elaborate sign systems of his later unpublished writings. The tendency throughout this article is to generalize these sign functions as indicative of Peirce’s universal categories (firstness, secondness and thirdness). While I acknowledge the importance of the trichotomy of the sign complex (sign-object-interpretant) in theorizing the details of sign operations the concern in this article is to move from sign function to more generalized categories. As a result, direct discussion of the trichotomy of the sign complex is limited.

The broader aim of this article is to develop a holistic recognition of Peirce’s categories in the process of musical meaning. My primary concern is to consider how all three categories will operate more-or-less simultaneously in the experience of musical meaning and to gain insight, thereby, into the relationship between music’s form and its socio-political work. To begin, however, it is necessary to consider how Peirce’s semiotics can be situated within his wider philosophical system.

---


There is no better illustration of Peirce’s importance as a philosopher than the fact that he developed many of the central ideas of quantificational logic at around the same time as, and independently of, Frege. Fregean logic now forms a staple for analytical philosophical training much as Schenkerian thought does for music-analytical training, and it is instructive to consider that Peirce is a significant figure in what is often characterized as the only fundamental shift to occur in the field of logic since Aristotle.

Peirce’s work on quantificational logic is bound up with his discovery of a logic of relations, a point that warrants some explanation. Quantificational logic allows us to deal more successfully with sentences concerning notions such as ‘all’ and ‘some’ (especially where these notions occur more than once in a sentence), that is, with notions pertaining to quantity. The flexibility of the systems that Frege and Peirce developed to this end also make possible a means of going beyond the simple logic of monadic predicates (as in ‘John is male’) to more complex relational constructions (as in ‘John loves Mary’, or, more complex still, in ‘John gives the ring to Mary’).

While Peirce’s contribution to the development of logic is extremely important, to understand his achievements more fully (so that they can be applied more carefully to music) we need to recognize the extraordinary scope of his philosophical project, which retains a rigour comparable to his work in logic. Furthermore, his work in logic should not be isolated from the broad sweep of his wider system; a point usefully underlined by Peirce’s reconception of logic as semiotics (or Semeiotic) and the concomitant breadth with which he defined semiotics as a field of study.  

To understand the connection between Peirce’s work in logic (in the narrower sense) and his wider system we might start with another of his most prominent contributions to philosophy: the positing of the pragmatic maxim in ‘How to Make Our Ideas Clear’ in 1878:

---

Consider what effects, which might conceivably have practical bearings, we conceive the object of our conception to have. Then, our conception of these effects is the whole of our conception of the object.¹³

In this oft-cited claim Peirce lays out the intimate connection between the world as idea or conception and the world as it actually is – the world in practice. For Peirce our ideas about the world are not in any sense sealed off from an external reality. Our ideas derive from inferences that develop from our practical engagement with the world as actuality and can be understood in terms of the practical consequences our ideas will be expected to have in the future. Furthermore, given the proper approach to inquiry, a community of inquirers will acquire an evermore-accurate understanding of the objects they study.

The languages of logic are not divorced from the inquiry process, quite the contrary, they are essential to it, and it is here that we see how the so-commonly deployed (but usually too narrowly understood) terms icon, index and symbol play a vital role in drawing together Peirce’s work in logic and his pragmatism.¹⁴ In Peirce’s logic of relations there are only three types of relation: those with a valency of one, those with a valency of two and those with a valency of three. These can be exemplified thus:

- (__) is red
- (__) loves (__) 
- (__) gives (__) to (__)

All relations can be reduced to one or more propositions with a valency no higher than three,¹⁵ and each of these constructions can be conceived as a diagram that maps out the relationship between entities. The pattern ‘(__) loves (__)’ is analogous to the relationship that holds between two people in the actual world. For this reason we consider ‘(__) loves (__)’ to be an icon. Its meaning derives, at one important level, from

---


¹⁴ In this article Peirce’s labels for his categories – Firstness, Secondness and Thirdness – are avoided in favour of iconicity, indexicality and symbolism as the latter terms indicate more overtly the close-knit relationship between sign types and the categories. For an introduction to Peirce’s categories see Hookway, *Peirce* 80–117.

that quality (the quality of connecting two entities) it shares with the relation it represents.

Each of the icons above contains between one and three bracketed underscores. In each case a bracketed underscore can be understood as an unsaturated bond that is filled by a sign that represents an object in the world. This sign, that serves to saturate the bond, connects with an actuality. The connection in question may well involve a combination of iconicity (formal similarity), indexicality (actual connection, e.g. the pointing finger) and symbolism (habitual connection that appears arbitrary). But while all three sign types may operate, the only necessary sign operation will be the index; for it is only via the index that a connection between actuality and sign can be achieved. These signs, then, pick out actualities and are considered, first and foremost, indices. Their meaning derives from their ability to connect with the actual world.\(^{16}\)

In the production of meaning icons and indices are always working together. But their relationship is not one of simple opposition because the qualitative aspect that identifies the icon as an icon is also a constituent of the entity picked out by the index. Indices, in this sense, are icons with the added aspect of actuality – indices refer to instantiated qualities. In this way we need to think of icons as degenerate forms of indices, that is as indices with actuality removed to leave only a qualitative potentiality, and this brings us to Peirce’s third central sign type, the symbol, because just as icons are degenerate forms of indices, indices are degenerate forms of symbols, that is symbols with thought removed such that the object prior to conception remains (see Figure 1).

\(^{16}\) There is a potential source of confusion here because Peirce will tend to emphasize the indexicality of such a connection on every level. Thus the pointing finger and pronoun are his most common examples of signs that saturate the bonds of an icon like (__) loves (__). But clearly the symbolic is also in play here. Similarly, the iconic might have a part to play as well. For example, in propositions containing onomatopoeias such as ‘I heard a meow’, there is an iconic connection between the index ‘meow’ and the type of sound signified, especially if the word ‘meow’ is stated with an approximate impression of a cat. This point becomes more important in applying this model to music.
The role of the symbolic cannot be over emphasized because just as quality (icons) cannot be rendered meaningful without instantiation (indices), that is, without being actualized, so actualities (represented by indices) cannot be made meaningful without drawing in the dimension of rule or habit, or, to use a term that is more fashionable but less commonly used by Peirce: convention. It is this aspect that identifies the symbolic. In the examples explored thus far this is particularly easy to recognize because both iconic constructions, such as (__) loves (__), and the indexical terms that might saturate the bonds of the icons, such as John and Mary, rely upon linguistic conventions to be meaningful. More generally we can note that in using signs we are always inferring from past experience such that we act and think in accordance with rules and habits – rules and habits that are derived from the past but are orientated towards the future (consider again the pragmatic maxim, which conceives reasoned thought in terms of future practical consequences).\(^\text{17}\) Meaning in this sense is never simple and innocent it is always subject to inferences that produce habits. Symbols, then, are the sine qua non of meaning.

**Analysing musical valency**

\(^{17}\) Peirce alludes to this point in his Lowell Lectures of 1903 when he notes that ‘I say of a stone that it is hard. That means that so long as the stone remains hard, every [future] essay to scratch it by the moderate pressure of a knife will surely fail. To call the stone hard is to predict that no matter how often you try the experiment, it will fail every time. That innumerable series of conditional predictions is involved in the meaning of this lowly adjective.’ Charles S. Peirce, *Collected Papers of Charles Sanders Peirce, Vol. 4*, ed. C. Hartshorne, Weiss, & A. W. Burks (Cambridge, Mass., 1931) para. 615.
In applying Peircian semiotics to music let us begin with that stalwart example of musical meaning, the cuckoo (or imitation of a cuckoo) in Beethoven’s Sixth Symphony (henceforth Beethoven’s cuckoo). Earlier work on music semiotics would tend to explain this example quite simply as an iconic relationship between music and a bird call. While such an analysis is accurate up to a point, we will find that by applying the more sophisticated account of Peircian models outlined above we leave ourselves better equipped to address more complex examples of musical meaning. The analysis may at first seem a little laboured but it is hoped that the adjustments in thinking outlined at this point will pay dividends in grasping the theories that follow.

The two-note clarinet melody that constitutes Beethoven’s cuckoo can be conceived as an icon because it maps the relationship between two entities in time and pitch space. The two pitches form an icon that, like the icon ‘(__) loves (__)’, has two unsaturated bonds to be filled by two signs that refer to actual experienced entities. If (and this is an important if) Beethoven’s cuckoo does bring to mind the call of the cuckoo, these bonds have been saturated by memories of the notes of an actual cuckoo call. In this way the connection between musical sound and cuckoo call is understood not simply as straightforward resemblance but as the result of two simultaneous semiotic functions: an icon, which concerns the form of the music, and indices which concern actualities, remembered by the listening subject, that now saturate the bonds of the more abstract form of the music. The symbolic is also important here as it plays a vital role in determining the actualities used to saturate bonds (Beethoven’s annotation of the score is obviously relevant here) and also in enabling the timbre of a clarinet to be transformed such that it is understood as equivalent to the timbre of a cuckoo call.

The example of Beethoven’s cuckoo is a useful introductory example because it deals with a small-scale, relatively simple example of how valency articulates meaning. The central point to grasp in this example is the process by which musical meaning is

---

18 This connection might, in turn, be extended by suggesting that the idea of the cuckoo’s song can subsequently engender further association. See, for example, Raymond Monelle, *The Sense of Music* (Princeton, 2000), p.17.

19 This point is derived from Peirce conception of the category of ‘Representation’ (later ‘Thirdness’ or what I am terming ‘symbolism’) presented in his early but highly important essay ‘On a New List of Categories’ of 1867. Peirce demonstrates this category by considering how the process of comparing two things, such as the letters ‘p’ and ‘b’, requires a mediating representation that ‘represents one of them to be (when turned over) the likeness of the other’. Peirce, *The Essential Peirce, Volume 1*, 5.
made possible through the simultaneous operation of icons (at the level of form) and indices (at the level of past actualities brought to the listening situation by the listening subject) underpinned by the symbolic (conventions or rules that guide the process as a whole and at various levels). It is useful to keep these broad functions in mind as we approach more subtle examples such as the tendency for the rhythmic structure of music (in the broad sense\textsuperscript{20}) to be systematically correlated with higher and lower levels of activity, a point convincingly demonstrated by Juslin and Timmers.\textsuperscript{21}

At the level of the icon (pure form\textsuperscript{22}) music’s rhythmic structure concerns the mapping of discrete entities through time. To simply claim that each entity will form an unsaturated bond is misleading because in practice each rhythmic entity will tend to form part of a group. It is this group, more often than not, that will form an unsaturated bond to which an actuality/index will be brought to bear. As a result, more clearly distinguishable meanings tend to derive from the conception of grouped rhythmic entities as unsaturated bonds, but we can still theorize the correlation of faster and slower tempi with higher and lower levels of activity using the theory of valency pursued here. The articulation of discrete entities to form rhythmic groupings at an intricate level, are icons that are saturated by indices. In the case of faster tempi these indices will derive from our experience of levels of activity, which can entail say faster heart beats, faster footsteps or faster repeated gestures. Again, then, the form of music serves to allow actualities (actual experiences) to be configured (or reconfigured) such that musical meaning is generated.\textsuperscript{23}

\textsuperscript{20} I follow Cooper and Meyer here in using the term rhythmic structure to encompass a range of temporal factors such as pulse, tempo, meter, stress, rhythm and grouping. See Grosvenor Cooper and Leonard B. Meyer, \textit{The Rhythmic Structure of Music}, (Chicago, 1960).


\textsuperscript{22} It is important to note here that I use the term pure form in the Peircian sense of a logical possibility, which I would distinguish from the notion of essence. Pure form or a pure icon can be conceived by considering that from any thought of an object (symbol) we can prescind the act of thinking to leave only the object (index). From this object we can prescind its existence leaving only the possibility of the qualities that object embodies. This is an icon proper or pure form – it does not reside anywhere because it does not exist.

\textsuperscript{23} I have emphasized the way in which an icon can articulate a valency of either one, two or three. There may appear to be an awkward conflation of quality and quantity here, but it is important to note that Peirce does not draw an opposition between quality and quantity in conceiving his categories. These categories can be identified as concerning quality, actuality and rule or in quantitative terms as relations of 1, 2 or 3, but there is no straight-forward opposition here between quantity and quality. Furthermore, any sign (e.g,
The first period of Mozart’s Sonata in F major, K. 332

When musical entities (pitched or unpitched) are grouped to form larger gestalts they can be conceived as unsaturated bonds that mirror more closely the kinds of sign functions outlined by Peirce’s theory of propositions like ‘John loves Mary’. A useful musical example here is the opening period of Mozart’s piano sonata in F, K. 332. It is likely that any listening subject will, at some level, hear this period as just that: a period, i.e. two units – antecedent and consequent – with the second unit cadencing more strongly than the first. Such a conception parallels that of a proposition with a valency of two, e.g. ‘John loves Mary’. In the same way that the linguistic proposition maps out a relationship between two entities, the musical period maps out a connection between two musical gestalts through temporal juxtaposition. There may appear to be something of a conceptual leap here in moving from the linguistically secured relation of (__) loves (__) to the temporal juxtaposition of music that lacks such linguistic moorings. However, we need to remain mindful of Peirce’s conception of (__) loves (__) as an icon. The iconic function of ‘loves’ here derives not from linguistic conventions but from its role in mapping out two unsaturated bonds. It is the form of (__) loves (__) that is iconic not the further conceptual apparatus invoked by the term ‘loves’. There is, then, a sense in which temporal juxtaposition in music more thoroughly exemplifies the iconic dimension of the proposition for it is a purer mapping more easily divorced from conceptual habits.

24 This is only one of Caplin’s criteria for identifying the period. The other is the pattern basic idea – contrasting idea (articulating a weaker cadence), followed by basic idea – contrasting idea (articulating a stronger cadence). I follow Allanbrook in classing these twelve bars as a (non-parallel or contrasting) period, whereas Caplin might conceive them in terms of a hybrid theme (hybrid 1 perhaps). See William E. Caplin, Classical Form: A Theory of Formal Functions for the Instrumental Music of Haydn, Mozart and Beethoven (New York, 1998), 265, n. 1. Wye Jamison Allanbrook ‘Two Threads Through the Labyrinth: Topic and Process in the First Movements of K.332 and K.333’, Convention in Eighteenth- and Nineteenth-Century Music: Essays in Honor of Leonard G. Ratner ed. Wye Jamison Allanbrook et al. (Stuyvesant, NY, 1992), 131.
The relationship between the antecedent and consequent of a musical period can be demonstrated in spatial terms by annotating a score, with the label ‘V2’ employed to indicate that the icon involved has a valency of two (Example 1).

Example 1. Mozart, Sonata in F, K. 332, i, bars 1–12, with basic valency analytical notation. A line connecting the bracketed antecedent and consequent phrases is labelled V2.

The saturation of the two bonds of the icon is the function of the index and it is at this point that we can begin to note a vital discrepancy between the semiotics of language and the semiotics of music. In language indices quickly become consolidated through symbolic convention. Thus the name ‘XXXX’ has been clearly connected with my body as an actuality and in certain contexts, therefore, there will be little ambiguity as to what the index (or what Hookway would term a symbol functioning as an index) ‘XXXX’ refers. It is easy to conceive of the indices ‘John’ and ‘Mary’ having the same unambiguous power of reference in certain contexts, rendering the proposition ‘John loves Mary’ capable of signifying an actual state of affairs.

25 On the face of it, of course, the icon of the linguistic proposition (e.g. (__) loves (__)) is fundamentally different from that in music, because the former involves a symbol (the verb, in this instance) whereas the latter consists only in juxtaposition. It is important to remember, however, that Peirce conceives formulations, such as (__) loves (__), as icons only in so far as they map out relations between two objects. Words, afterall, are essentially symbols, so the word ‘love’ is not an icon, but such words can function iconically in the mapping of relations and, in this sense, correspond to the mapping processes of musical form.

26 Hookway, Peirce, 131.
Music’s indexicality in Western culture is rarely, if ever, consolidated through symbolic convention in the way that it is in language.\textsuperscript{27} Music’s indexicality tends to be contested in Western cultures, particularly those cultures pertaining to the tradition of classical music, making the kinds of clear indices of language rare and only imaginable in unusual contexts.\textsuperscript{28} The tendency to contest the musical index or, more accurately, to contest the conventionalization of music’s indexical functions can be related to a tendency for iconicity to play a more prominent role in music. Thus, as we saw with the example of faster tempi correlating with ideas of higher activity, music will often be engaged such that those actualities that share qualities, that is to say, are overtly similar, will come to saturate the musical icon – the music as pure form.\textsuperscript{29}

While the iconicity of musical signs may go some way to compensate for the contesting of their indexicality, musical signs remain far less stable than linguistic signs in terms of their ability to connect with actualities. This has certain consequences that may be construed as positive or ideologically questionable, a point tied to the idea of music’s transcendence (more on this later). But the relative instability of musical signification has not prevented a considerable degree of conventionality to develop in

\textsuperscript{27} Evidence for this abounds but two examples will have to suffice here: consider a recent issue of *Music Analysis* where René Rusch discusses the very different interpretations of a striking shift in Schubert’s *Moment Musical* in A flat major or a representative example from music semiotics where Eero Tarasti notes that the ‘cross’ motive in Bach’s Fugue in C\# minor, from Book I of the *Well-Tempered Clavier* has acted for some listeners as a representation of Christ whilst for others as representation of ‘absolute’ music. René Rusch ‘Rethinking Conceptions of Unity: Schubert’s *Moment Musical* in Ab Major’, *Music Analysis*, 30/i (2011), 58–88; Eero Tarasti, *Signs of Music: A Guide to Musical Semiotics* (Berlin, 2002), 6.

\textsuperscript{28} One such context might be that of a lookout who is allocated three tunes to whistle by a thief to indicate the observation of particular different objects (e.g. a police officer) or a comparable children’s game in which specific actualities might be communicated through distinct melodic ideas. Such instances are clearly not representative of the way music is generally used in the West.

\textsuperscript{29} The sharing of qualities between two entities is inevitable, but ‘overtly similar’ refers here to a situation where the qualities shared are more obvious. To suggest that an index can operate iconically may seem contradictory but recall that any index will involve an icon (see Figure 1). Thus, returning to the example of Beethoven’s cuckoo, we can observe that the mapping out of the cuckoo’s song in time and pitch space is iconic and that the saturation of this map with remembered experience of actual cuckoo song is indexical, but the indexical function here is articulated, in part, by the qualitative dimension (in this case the timbre) of each of the notes of Beethoven’s cuckoo. Thus, an indexical function will always involve a more-or-less overt role for the iconic. In music, it is often particularly overt, in language it is not – the exception is the onomatopoeia.
relation to certain musical ideas, gestures and patterns. It is here that we encounter that most ubiquitous of music-semiotic concepts: the musical topic.\(^{30}\)

The notion of meaningful topics has developed primarily in relation to late-eighteenth century music. In such music, it seems, we can recognize a relatively high degree of conventionalized musical meaning, making the saturation of the musical index a more generalizable (albeit still controversial) process. In the case of Mozart’s K. 332 the two unsaturated bonds of the opening period are likely to be guided by what Ratner has termed the singing style, in the case of the first unit, and the learned style, in the case of the second unit.

It is important to recognize that topics are not actualities. The singing style does not exist as such. Singers, however, do, and acts of singing have and will continue to take place. Clearly it is not any singer and song that is evoked by the singing style (the representative song chosen by Ratner is ‘Ach wohin ohne Euridyke’ from Gluck’s *Orfeo*) but the notion of a singing style connects with a broad range of possible experiences a listener may have had, which will all be tied to actuality. It is not necessarily the case that one particular memory of a song will be brought to bear on the process of saturating the bond articulated by the antecedent of the period in Example 1 but rather that a range of ideas, thoughts and feelings might be brought to bear, all of which will derive from actual experience, and those actual experiences are likely to be of song.

The consequent is arguably more complex in topical terms because the learned style that dominates its first four bars dissolves in the next four bars, leading Allanbrook to label bars 9–12 as ‘galant minuet style’.\(^{31}\) If we hear bars 5–12 as two units, the music

---


\(^{31}\) Wye Jamison Allanbrook, ‘Two Threads Through the Labyrinth: Topic and Process in the First Movements of K.332 and K.333’, *Convention in Eighteenth- and Nineteenth-Century Music: Essays in Honor of Leonard G. Ratner*, ed. Wye Jamison Allanbrook et al. (Stuyvesant, NY, 1999), 132. Some of the fuzziness that characterizes topic theory is evident here. Ratner notes that in a singing style ‘[p]resumably any of the familiar dance rhythms could be used’. In this instance a minuet is arguably used from the outset but a minuet character is more noticeable in bars 9–12. This is perhaps due to the character of the music in these bars which appears to sit particularly comfortably with those terms identified by Ratner in his account of late-eighteenth century descriptions: ‘noble, charming, lively, expressing moderate cheerfulness’. Leonard Ratner, *Classic Music: Expression, Form and Style* (New York, 1980), 9.
they contain can be analysed as a V2 on a lower hierarchical level from that indicated in Example 1 (Figure 3).

Bars 1–4
Bars 5–8
Bars 9–12

Figure 3. Valency analysis of Mozart’s K. 332, i, bars 1–12

In the case of both the valency analysis in Example 1 and that outlined in Figure 3, the iconic structure perceived will only be rendered meaningful if the bonds they exhibit are saturated by an index. These indices will always derive from past experience of the actual world, for if musical meaning is to be understood as more than simply the music in and of itself, then, from a Peircean perspective, it is only facts or actualities (which are always in the past) that can engender meaning even if that meaning is ostensibly internal.\(^\text{32}\) This is a point outlined in Peirce’s first of four anti-Cartesian conclusions: ‘We have no power of Introspection, but all knowledge of the internal world is derived by hypothetical reasoning from our knowledge of external facts.’\(^\text{33}\)

The indices I have given as likely occurrences, due to topical conventions, are brought into a new relationship through the iconic (i.e. formal) structure of this passage. In simple terms we might look at the sign situation as one in which we connect singing-style ideas with learned-style ideas, with the latter relating to more straight-forward minuet ideas (straight forward in that there is no other obvious topical reference in bars

---

\(^{32}\) These claims highlight an important contrast between my thinking (and perhaps my interpretation of Peirce) from that of Raymond Monelle. In *The Musical Topic* Monelle claims that that we should not assume that the ‘signified [of the musical topic] was ever part of the social and material world’. I follow Peirce, in conceiving all thought as ultimately derived from experience of the material world. Insight into Peirce’s position can be gained in his approach to the problem of mythical, non-existent objects. In 1905 he notes that ‘although no phoenix really exists, real descriptions of the phoenix are well known to the speaker and his auditor; and thus the word is really affected by the Object denoted.’ Monelle, *The Musical Topic*, 26; Peirce, *The Essential Peirce Vol. 2*, 295.

\(^{33}\) The other conclusions are as follows: ‘We have no power of Intuition, but every cognition is determined logically by previous cognitions. We have no power of thinking without signs. We have no conception of the absolutely incognizable.’ Peirce, *The Essential Peirce Vol. 1*, 30.
9–12) to form an intricate network of signs. This network of signs will be symbolic, as no thought is possible without the symbol. But these symbols will be bound to actualities (indices) and formal qualities (icons).

*Temporality, harmony and formal function*

One way in which this model of semiotic analysis, as it has been explained thus far, might seem lacking is with respect to temporality. This issue I would argue, is partly unavoidable with my analysis of the semiotic processes involved in hearing K. 332 because I have relied on the generalizing force of the topic, which, by its nature, will posit conceptual gestalts that deliberately overlook certain internal contrasts developing through time. Peircian thought, however, is not insensitive to the importance of temporality to any sign situation. In fact, time is a central consideration in conceiving the categories of iconicity, indexicality and symbolism, for as Apel notes these categories can be ‘rigidly coordinated with the dimensions of time’.34

For Peirce, thought occurs as a series through time, with one thought developing from another. In the present we experience sensations and perceptions which are connected through past thought to actuality, a process that entails the production of habits and rule that are made manifest by future conduct. Time, then, is construed as a continuum but one that can be segmented in accordance with the dimensions of time. Corrington provides a usefully summary:

> He [Peirce] argues that the three modes of past, present and future all function differently and that they have different modal properties. This reconstruction of the three modes of time makes it possible for Peirce to show how there are qualitative breaks within the flow of time (even though time is a continuum; that is, modal differences do not break the more basic ontological continuity of the modes of time).35

---

This recognition of time as both a continuum and as segmentable allows us to develop further the analytical methods already outlined. Firstly, the analysis in Figure 3 can be understood as drawing out only one (or perhaps two) levels of iconic structure. A more thorough analysis might be guided by Mozart’s articulation marks and take each trochaic figure in each of the first four bars of the right hand as a lower level V2 (Example 2).

Example 2. Mozart, Sonata in F, K. 332, i, bars 1–4 with valency analysis of lower level.

It is now widely recognized that analysis always entails interpretation, and there will be as many ways of analysing the valency structure of these units as there are ways of hearing them. The most obvious approach might be to pair bar 2 to 1 and bar 4 to 3. I, however, prefer a different analysis which points to the sense of cumulative meaning. This stems from the way in which each trochaic unit might be heard as deriving its meaning from all of the music that precedes it. That is to say, each low level V2, when recognized as a gestalt in the relative present, can be drawn into a relationship with the music heard previously within the same work (Example 3).

---

36 The later bar is stated first here as it is only on hearing the later bar that it can be paired with the first. In this sense, we always work back from the present to form V2s.
Example 3. Mozart, Sonata in F, K. 332, i, bars 1–4 with valency analysis of multiple levels.

The approach taken to analysis in Example 3 is partly justified on harmonic grounds. In bar 1 we hear chord I which in bar 2 is supplemented by an E flat to form a secondary dominant – V7 of IV. Bars 1 and 2, then, I hear as an (F major) unit that becomes more tense as the melody rises, until it is partly resolved, on a higher hierarchical level, at bar 3 with the sounding of chord IV. The chord vii over a tonic pedal in bar 4, by drawing a partial closure, seems to comment on all that preceded it, at a yet higher hierarchical level. In this way, valency analysis can reflect harmonic structure and avoids the heavy reliance upon melodic structure that characterizes paradigmatic semiotic analysis (to which it remains indebted to some degree).

The top-level V2 in Example 3, that highlights the relationship between the cadential figure and the phrase it closes, begins to demonstrate the intersection between valency analysis and William Caplin’s theory of formal functions. To understand this point, it is first useful to conceive temporality as working on at least two levels in valency analysis. These are at the intermusical level and the intermedial level (Figure 4).

38 An intramusical level is conceived in this system as an aspect of the intermusical level. Intermusical level, then, will generally involve reference of one part of a work to another but it may also involve reference from one work, or part of a work, to another work, or part of a work.
Icons of valency one, two or three are saturated in the present by non-musical actuality (which is always past) and may, through that process, point to a future non-musical state.

Icons of valency one, two or three are formed through the reference of music in the present either to itself (V1), to music in the past (V2) or to music in the past in order to point towards music yet to occur (V3).

Figure 4. Two levels at which temporality defines musical reference

The intersection of valency analysis with the formal functions of William Caplin, then, occurs at the intermusical level of valency analysis. In simple terms the V1, V2 and V3 of valency analysis can be mapped respectively onto Caplin’s initiating, ending and medial functions.39

In order to explain this point we can return again to the simple analysis in Example 1. The V2 in this analysis indicates a listening response in which a listening subject draws a connection between the antecedent and (extended) consequent of a period. This relationship obviously cannot be established during the antecedent. It is only on hearing the consequent that the V2 can develop with reference to past musical experience (i.e. the experience of hearing the antecedent). The paradigm case of the V2 is a musical unit that articulates a sense of arrival and, in so doing, draws a particularly stark relation between itself and that which preceded it (its musical past) – such a unit is the cadence. Thus Caplin’s end function corresponds to the V2 of valency analysis. The sense of finality is pertinent here because a V2 exhibits indexicality (compare Figure 1 and Figure 5). Thus although the indexicality and V2 appear in the middle of most schematizations of the categories they concern actuality and it is the actual, for Peirce, from whence, and towards which, all thought develops.40

39 This terminology is encountered in Classical Form. For a more recent summary of these functions see William E. Caplin, ‘What are Formal Functions?’, Musical Form, Forms and Formenlehre, ed. Pieter Bergé (Leuven, 2009), 25–27. Caplin notes the similarity between his functions and the ‘beginning-middle-end paradigm’ of introversive semiosis (Ibid, 25). See also Agawu, Playing with Signs 51ff. Melanie Lowe deploys a similar taxonomy using the terms opening, closing and continuing functions. Melanie Lowe, Pleasure and Meaning in the Classical Symphony (Bloomington, 2007), 30–54.
40 It is also important to note here that the extensive use of V2s in Example 3 is possible because the label V2 is not limited to instances of ending functions. Such ending functions are perhaps the paradigm
Prior to the development of the V2 in question, we are still able to consider the valency of the antecedent on this same hierarchical level. This valency can be considered simply as an expression of character or quality and, like the icon ‘(__) is red’, it will have a valency of one. This V1, then, develops from conceiving any lower level valencies (such as those in Example 3) as gestalts, which might then be saturated by a generalized concept such as ‘singing style’. In this way valencies develop in relation to one another, with the establishment of a V1 a necessary condition for the subsequent establishment of a V2 (although the development of a V2 is likely to inflect the conception of the V1s it entails). The V1 indicates a character in itself, and in that sense does not refer to the past but simply serves to establish its own character as a present entity. This is comparable to Caplin’s initiating function that is usually identifiable by a more static harmonic structure, which serves to prolong a single harmony (usually the tonic).

The V3 does not necessarily develop from a cadence – what I have described as the quintessential V2 unit. But the V3 will develop from a V2 in that a sense of looking to the musical past will be necessary. The V3 will extend the process of a V2, however, by now placing a special emphasis upon the future of the music. That is, patterns recognizable with reference to the past will be anticipated by the listener and in this sense a V3 places greater emphasis upon what will happen to the music – its habit or rule. This corresponds closely to Caplin’s medial function that is identifiable by its use of patterns, such as model–sequence, which point the music forward, often to a subordinate harmonic region. Example 4 demonstrates how the differing functions of a sentence correspond to valencies of one, two or three. The presentation sets up a character that prolongs tonic harmony such that it could be looped (an approach more common in Mozart); this can be labelled ‘V1’. The continuation refers to the presentation in the use of a melodic case of the V2 because they draw attention most powerfully between a present moment and music that has past. But V2s of a weaker sort will occur far more regularly whenever there is a sense of one musical gestalt being opposed to another that has passed.

---

41 This notion of a character in itself is clearly problematic, a point pursued by Peirce early in his career in ‘On a New List of Catagories’, see Peirce, The Essential Peirce Vol. 1, 1–10. For Peirce, however, (especially the later Peirce) we can prescind habit (the symbolic) and actuality (the indexical) in order to arrive at a quality (an icon) in itself as a pure possibility.

42 For a description of ‘Mozart loops’ see James Hepokoski and Warren Darcy, Elements of Sonata Theory: Norms, Types, and Deformations in the Late Eighteenth-Century Sonata (New York, 2006) 80–86. Hepokoski and Darcy refer to bars 1–5 of K. 332 as an ‘initial circular stasis’ and as exemplifying ‘tonic overdetermination’ (92). More generally they claim that ‘[s]avouring the single- or double-cycle of
fragment, and this fragment articulates a model-sequence pattern that points forward emphatically, generating a V3. The cadence puts the brakes on this forward motion and in closing the sentence invites the listener to refer back to that which preceded it, thereby forming a V2.\textsuperscript{43}

Example 4. Beethoven, Opus 2/1, i, with annotations showing the intersection of Caplin’s formal functions and the differing icons of valency analysis

Although there are times when Caplin suggests a continuum operating in relation to formal functions, such as in his discussion of the positioning of his hybrid themes ‘within a spectrum of formal possibilities, where the sentence and period occupy the two extreme positions’,\textsuperscript{44} the functions themselves seem reasonably self-contained. A theory of musical valency points to a somewhat different state of affairs. Like the categories – outlined in Figure 1 – valencies have a strict interconnection (Figure 5).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
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\caption{The interconnection of valencies in line with the interconnection of Peirce’s categories.}
\end{figure}

In the same way that the symbolic (thought and habit) must relate to the indexical (an actual object) that must in turn instantiate the iconic (qualities), so musical valencies

\textsuperscript{43} In closing a unit a V2 will simultaneously set up a V1 at a higher hierarchical level.
\textsuperscript{44} Caplin, \textit{Classical Form}, p.63
at the intermusical level must be formed such that any given musical process must point forward (V3) by referring to past music (V2), which in turn assumes the possibility of a music of the present moment (V1). Similarly, at the intermedial level thoughts develop (the symbolic) in the process of musical listening such that our past (the indexical) must be invoked and this, in turn, must assume the possibility of a present moment of musical meaning (the iconic).

In considering the intersection of formal functions and valencies, it is also important to be clear that musical valencies are not defined by formal functions. Valencies at the intermusical level (see Figure 3) are defined by the extent to which a listening subject will be invited to consider the experience of the music at any given moment in relation to past and future musical experience. As with the Peircian categories, all three valencies will be operating at any given point. Strictly speaking, then, (and moving in the opposite direction through the categories) our experience of music, like thought, will always be in the present, refer to what is in the past and thereby point to the future by way of rules (such as the rules of a model-sequence pattern). But music can be configured (again like thought) such that there is a greater apparent emphasis on the present, the past or the future. Valency theory might, in this way, allow us to develop our conception of formal functions as handed down by Schoenberg, Ratz and, of course, Caplin, in order to address concerns such as those raised by James Hepokoski and James Webster, especially those points concerning the excessive self-evidence of the beginning, middle and end functions (Hepokoski) and the insensitivity to context these functions might signal (Webster).\[45\]

Valency theory, by positing three ever-functioning, interconnected iconic structures (that can be mapped with some qualification to Caplin’s formal functions), provides a flexible analytical approach that indicates a certain hierarchy of functions and a recognition that subtle formal differences might engender a higher degree of one valency in relation to another, while positing a systematic interconnection between

functions. Furthermore, valencies act both within music and in connecting it to non-musical aspects of the world – that is to musical meanings.

_Towards a Peircian framework for musical meaning_

The Peircian categories operate at every level of musical meaning. At the level of form (the intermusical or iconic level) the categories apply in the segmentation and connection of musical gestalts of differing valency across a range of scales. At the level of the actual (the intermedial or indexical level) the categories apply in the saturation of valencies with experience that can be traced to the actual. The third level, as one might expect, is the level of the symbolic. This is a governing level and is characterized by habit or rule. It concerns the interaction of human subjects (rather than musical gestalts or actual objects in experience) and can therefore be termed the intersubjective level. It is constituted by the social. At this level of rule or habit (intersubjective or symbolic) the categories apply in determining the rules according to which valencies are saturated. The intermusical, the intermedial and the intersubjective are again strictly interconnected in the process of musical meaning (Figure 5b).

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 5b** The interconnection of levels in musical meaning in line with the interconnection of Peirce’s categories.

The intersubjective or social level, then, will govern the ways in which form is rendered meaningful through saturation by the actual. We saw in the case of K. 332 that the notion of musical topic gives a particularly clear example of how intersubjective
habit, within a certain social formation, will engender relatively stable meanings. In the case of the singing style such meanings were more conventionalized, but can still be traced to the actual (the act of singers), which in turn can be taken to instantiate certain qualities (of legato phrasing for instance). In the case of faster tempi indicating say a faster heartbeat, convention and quality are clearly in operation, but it is the actual that seems most overtly operable (especially if dancing is involved). And in the case of Beethoven’s cuckoo convention and the actual again operate, but the qualitative connection between clarinet part and birdcall seems most pertinent.

One might be tempted then to regard key questions in musical meaning to be thoroughly addressed by Peircian semiotics, but there is a fundamental complication that needs further consideration. The contesting of the musical index undermines the operation of musical signs at all levels, but particularly at the intermedial level. Indexicality in Peircian thought (our connection with the actual) is the key stabilizing component of semiotic processes. The actual determines thought and provides the basis for the assertion of a qualitative potentiality. By contesting a connection with the actual, musical meanings, within certain Western listening communities, are brought into doubt.

One way to understand the implications of the contested index concerns two interrelated points. Firstly, as a result of this contesting, listening subjects appear freer to divine their ‘own’ meanings, indeed the contesting of the index might appear, in part, to take place with the express purpose of freeing the individual in this manner. Secondly, the process of claiming a special, personal (and thereby potentially transcendent) meaning for music is itself an aspect of the intersubjective or social dimension of musical meaning. In certain listening communities we have a somewhat paradoxical situation, then, in which social habits appear determined to efface themselves. The rules that constitute the intersubjective level of musical meaning do not derive primarily from the actual (as is the case in meanings within proper scientific inquiry), and the rules themselves are thereby radically undermined in the contesting of any place for the actual in musical meaning.

The contesting of the musical index complicates musical meaning processes but it does not render them completely inaccessible to analysis. Within the framework I have outlined, we are still able to explore the intermusical level in considerable detail, and this
will be pursued at considerable length in relation to the Allegro of Mozart’s ‘Prague’ symphony. The process by which the intersubjective level will determine the saturation of valencies at the intermediad level in the context of a radically contested index can also be explored further. We saw in the case of K. 332 that the notion of topics can provide some insight into the saturation of valencies to generate meanings, but my concern in analysing the Allegro of the ‘Prague’ will be less with topical reference and more with the ways in which the contesting of the musical index, because of the ways in which subjectivity is thereby brought to the fore, can render certain interpretative patterns apt. These interpretative patterns embrace the paradox of musical meaning and subject formation in certain Western communities and it is this particularly complex area that will be considered at some length in the final sections of this article.

Approaches to the Allegro of Mozart’s ‘Prague’ Symphony, K. 504

As has already been suggested in relation to Example 3, an exhaustive analysis of the valencies that might develop on hearing a work (particularly a large-scale work) would entail considering an enormous number of permutations. Such an analysis would be unwieldy and would fail to recognize the performative role of analysis, that is, the role of offering a well-informed means of hearing a musical work anew.

The analysis offered below of the Allegro of Mozart’s ‘Prague’ symphony focuses on certain aspects of the movement in an attempt to provide such a performative gesture. It also provides further insight into the methods of valency analysis and how this approach might work in relation to a canonized work that has received considerable scholarly attention. Having presented this analysis I will go on to consider the process by which the bonds identified by musical valencies are saturated, and the how recognition

of contested indexicality plays out in exploring musical meaning in the Allegro of the ‘Prague’. However, before entering into my own analysis, I will consider a number of other scholarly approaches to the movement.

Analysing the large-scale structural components of the Allegro of Mozart’s ‘Prague’ symphony is not a straightforward task due to the occurrence of the opening theme in the dominant at bar 71, 26 bars before a lyrical second subject at bar 97. This point is underlined by the fact that Sisman gives the start point for the transition or bridge as bar 71 whereas Hepokoski and Darcy identify bars 59 – 71 as ‘TR modules’, that is, as transitional.47 While Sisman’s analysis is arguably the neatest, in that it posits similarly portioned sections, all with distinct cadential and thematic demarcation, Hepokoski and Darcy’s approach derives from the special significance they place upon the ‘medial caesura’. In the Allegro of the ‘Prague’ there are two medial caesuras one at bar 70 and the other at bar 96/97 forming what Hepokoski and Darcy term a trimodular block in that the ‘S zone’ or second subject area comprises three blocks: a secondary theme (in this case the primary theme transposed up a fifth with a slight alteration), a transition-like section, and another secondary theme (which in this case has a character more typical of secondary themes). While the Allegro of the ‘Prague’ fits the theory of the trimodular block quite comfortably, aspects of it appear to stray from the outlines Hepokoski and Darcy provide, especially with regard to the reuse of the opening theme after the first medial caesura – a ‘deformation’ that is not discussed. Furthermore, while the medial caesura at bar 70 seems indisputable (a half cadence in the tonic or I:HC with a ‘dominant lock’ and caesura fill) Hepokoski and Darcy’s only discussion of medial caesura’s in relation to the ‘Prague’ concerns the second medial caesura at bar 96/97 (a medial caesura ‘blocked’ by vi and subsequently ‘dovetailing’ a Perfect Authentic Cadence (PAC) in V with ‘S material’).48 Hepokoski and Darcy do not recognize the second medial caesura of a trimodular block as structural and refer to it as a ‘post-medial

---

47 Hepokoski and Darcy, Sonata Theory, 378; Sisman, ‘Genre, Gesture and Meaning in Mozart’s “Prague” Symphony’, 57. ‘TR modules’ refers to thematic units in the transition. It is difficult to say exactly where Hepokoski and Darcy might place the beginning of the ‘TR zone’. Bar 55 seems a likely place, as this is where ‘energy gain’ and an increase in dynamic level occur overtly.

48 Hepokoski and Darcy, Sonata Theory, 47.
caesura but this is not highlighted in their discussion of bars 96/97. More noticeably, Hepokoski and Darcy label the last block of the trimodular block (i.e. the lyrical second subject) ‘S’, and not ‘TMB3’, as one would expect if this ‘S zone’ were to be understood as an example of a trimodular block. There remains some uncertainty, then, it seems to me, as to how Hepokoski and Darcy conceive the structural components of the Allegro of the ‘Prague’, perhaps because the very integrity of P (primary) and S (secondary) zones is brought into question by the play of key identity that characterizes much of the movement.

The double medial caesura and reuse of the opening theme up a fifth also poses problems for Schenkerian analysis. Second-subject areas of a major-key sonata form are usually conceived in Schenkerian analysis as prolonging the Urlinie component 2, with a descent from 5 in the dominant articulated during the latter parts of the exposition (Figure 6).

![Figure 6. Common Schenkerian patterns for an exposition in D major.](image)

When the opening theme of the ‘Prague’ reappears at bar 71, one would expect that it could be analysed so as to exhibit the same background pattern as the opening theme itself (only transposed up a fifth). If, however, the opening theme has been conceived as prolonging 3 or an ascent to 3 (as is the case in Lauri Suurpää’s analysis of this exposition) then the most likely outcome is that the transposed version of the theme at 71 will be reduced to 2 (5 in the dominant) as 3 in the dominant would clearly be premature. Consequently an analysis such as Suurpää’s comes to the awkward conclusion

---

that the first occurrence of the theme articulates an ascent to 3 whereas the same theme sounded in the dominant simply prolongs 5. Nor can this inconsistency be resolved if we conceive the first theme as a prolongation of 5 (A in the tonic) because 5 in the dominant (E) is altered at 72 to E# (hence the parenthesized in E in Figure 7), which would surely undermine its ability to instantiate such a strong structural function. This is the case not least because it is now heard (more overtly) as a chromatic neighbour to the F# of the D major chord to which it moves in bar 73.

Figure 7. Lauri Suurpää’s Schenker graph for the first 52 bars of the Allegro of Mozart’s ‘Prague’ symphony.

The second medial caesura at bars 96/97 also causes problems for a Schenkerian analysis of the Allegro. The difficulty here is that the PAC in the dominant that dovetails with the lyrical second subject clearly articulates a descent to 1 (in the dominant). More importantly the lyrical second subject follows a clear middle ground pattern of A (1) ascending through B to C# before reaching further up the scale only to fall back to B, with a half cadence leading back to the A that begins the consequent of this 16-bar period. Although A is clearly a more structurally significant note in this passage, this point cannot be easily accepted in a Schenkerian context. This is because completing the Urlinie when the second subject proper has just started suggests that the last 46 bars of the exposition (which include this second subject) are little more than cadential/post cadential material. Suurpää offers the intriguing solution that the A, which appears to have such a clear structural role in the lyrical second subject, is actually an inner voice,
and the C# is thereby afforded Urlinie status. This is clearly an inventive solution, and a Schenkerian might assert that although the A appears more structurally significant when the lyrical second subject is heard in isolation, in the context of the work as a whole the C# will (or should) be heard as the structural voice. Such an argument is clearly performative and makes an interesting case for a way of hearing the exposition of the ‘Prague’. Whether one accepts such arguments or not, there is surely little doubt that the ‘Prague’ does not sit easily within the confines of the Ursatz, and that Suurpää’s Schenkerian approach to the movement appears to have encountered a degree of tension between local musical events and the need to posit a normative structural underpinning.

_A Valency analysis of the ‘Prague’_

The analysis offered here of the Allegro of Mozart’s ‘Prague’ symphony focuses on certain aspects of the movement in an attempt to provide one possible conception of its form in the process of meaning generation. It looks to provide further insight into the methods of valency analysis and to demonstrate how this approach might be developed in relation to a canonized work that has received considerable scholarly attention.\(^{51}\) Whilst the analysis that follows posits certain ways of hearing Mozart’s Allegro, the flexibility of valency theory needs to be borne in mind, because it is this flexibility that allows us to avoid the issues and tensions encountered by the more prescriptive approaches discussed above. This flexible approach also allows valency to draw heavily upon the work of other scholars, particularly that of Caplin, in the use of formal functions to identify three-fold valencies at a medium and large scale, and that of Leonard B. Meyer, in that his implication-realization model has clear points of contact with the V3 with dotted or

---

unbroken arrow designating ambiguity/clarity of pattern continuation.\textsuperscript{52} My analysis is followed by a detailed consideration of the process by which the bonds that constitute musical valencies are saturated.

A valency analysis of the Allegro of the ‘Prague’ might fruitfully begin with Charles Rosen’s point that ‘[a]t each playing of the main theme, there is an alteration – sometimes slight – which elucidates its new significance’.\textsuperscript{53} As well as providing a useful starting point, analysis of the main theme of the ‘Prague’ in its various guises will provide a means of demonstrating the potential of valency theory to elucidate aspects of the Allegro under-theorized by the scholarly approaches to it discussed above.

When Caplin analyses the opening theme of the Allegro he conceives the entire theme as exhibiting a continuation function with a one bar introduction. This is explained by the point that the ‘harmonic instability, fragmentation, and increased surface rhythm project a strong continuational quality, which is maintained up to the imperfect cadence at measure 43.’\textsuperscript{54} Even the cadential figure in bar 42, therefore, is not labelled as a cadence because of the unusual structure of Mozart’s theme.\textsuperscript{55} The point that a continuational passage might still contain a cadence is consistent with Caplin’s theory that the continuation phrase (the second phrase of a sentence) ‘combines the formal functions of continuation and cadential’.\textsuperscript{56} However, the possible ambiguities here

\textsuperscript{52} Meyer’s implication-realization model is developed first in Leonard B. Meyer, \textit{Emotion and Meaning in Music} (Chicago: Chicago University Press 1956). It is developed further and applied more systematically in Leonard B. Meyer, \textit{Explaining Music: Essays and Explorations} (Chicago: Chicago University Press 1973). It is important to note that while the theory of musical valency draws on Meyer in positing the V3 with dotted arrow, valency theory is not exhausted by Meyer’s work. In particular, valency theory avoids the more prescriptive aspects of Meyer’s theory such as the need to identify continuation types (e.g. linear, triadic and gap fill) whilst admitting their relevance for certain listening strategies. My emphasis upon social and ideological forces in the development of meaning, in the section that follows, indicates a marked distinction between my position and the absolute expressionist position developed by Meyer.

\textsuperscript{53} Rosen, \textit{Sonata Forms}, 221. Rosen, it seems to me, has picked up upon a particularly important aspect of the Allegro of the ‘Prague’ with this statement. However, despite a number of further insights into how and to what end the main theme is varied he does not provide a clear argument as to what the significance of this theme – elucidated by alteration – might be beyond the very general statement that ‘the motif articulates structure [and] emphasizes the most crucial points’ (Ibid. 224).

\textsuperscript{54} Caplin, \textit{Classical Form}, 199.

\textsuperscript{55} Caplin, \textit{Classical Form}, 198–99.

\textsuperscript{56} Notable also is the point made by Caplin, in an email to me last year, that he does not always label ‘relatively compressed cadential functions’. In the same email Caplin suggests that his theorizing a continuation phrase as containing a cadential function could be a confusing way of thinking. It strikes me that we simply need to recognize the operation of functions at different levels. This approach is pursued
perhaps point to the need for an approach to analysis that will allow for a more-fine
graded differentiation of functions.\textsuperscript{57} That is to stay, Caplin’s analysis, in relation to this
theme, may highlight important relations at one structural level and hint at others but
valency theory might fill out this account by considering these levels in more detail, and
theorizing the connection between them.

![Valency analysis of Mozart’s ‘Prague’ Symphony, K.504, i, bars 37–43.]

Consider, then, the valency analysis of the opening theme of the Allegro in Example 5. I
follow Caplin in suggesting that the opening bar (37) stands alone to some extent in
simply introducing material. This syncopated figure does, of course, repeat, but the sense
of a separable gestalt is so limited that there is little sense of reference between
syncopated figures. It is for this reason that no V2 is posited between 37 and subsequent
bars. With the entry of the lower strings at 38 the sense of distinct gestalts becomes
stronger, and I have generally followed Mozart’s phrasing in positing a series of one bar
units each of which forms a V1. The V2 between bars 38 and 39 might also have been
omitted since it has a weaker sense of reference than that suggested between bars 39 and
40 (a limitation of the annotations). So it is worth underlining the point that the V2
between bars 39 and 40 forms our most significant V2 relation thus far, and it is from this
point that cumulative valency relations begin to form (this cumulative approach to
valency analysis was introduced in relation to Example 3). The ‘loopablity’ of the figure
in the lower strings in bars 39 and 40 brings a sense of stasis comparable to that which
characterizes presentations. Consider again here Hepokoski and Darcy notion of the

more overtly in Caplin’s ‘What Are Formal Functions’ and taken further, I would suggest, in my theory of
musical valencies.

\textsuperscript{57} Caplin, \textit{Classical Form}, 40.
Mozartian loop. Hepokoski and Darcy conceive the phenomenon as a two to six measure module that is ‘either elided or flush-juxtaposed with a repetition of itself before moving forward into differing material’. They relate this phenomenon to the larger-scale process of P (main theme or first subject) moving to TR (or transition), but we might also relate it to the smaller-scale process we find in Example 5. The relatively static music in bars 39 and 40 is succeeded by an idea (bar 41) that exhibits ‘energy gain’ and a greater sense of harmonic momentum achieved through the change to a steady harmonic rhythm. This leads to a cadential figure in bars 42–3 which, despite its continuation-like qualities (hence Caplin’s analysis), I have still analysed as a V2, because the sense of close it articulates still draws our attention to that which preceded it. Here again, then, we can observe how valency analysis allows us to uncover more detailed relations (which are comparable to what Caplin terms functions). Furthermore, the interconnections schematized in Figure 5 demonstrate the way in which the establishment of one relation does not exclude the development of another. Thus the V2 at the highest hierarchical level (above bar 42) in Example 5 could be converted to a V3 if the listener senses the forward-looking quality of the cadential figure more strongly.

Taken as a whole the valency analysis in Example 5 also highlights the way in which Mozart’s theme, while being unconventional, still exhibits a similar pattern of valencies to that of the sentence. This comes all the more apparent when we note that a V2 will generally occur during the presentation of a sentence, when a version of the basic idea is repeated (see Example 6) even though the overriding sense will be of a stable singularity – a V1.

---

58 Hepokoski and Darcy, Sonata Theory, 80.
59 Caplin follows a comparable train of thought in his application of the beginning, middle and end functions or initiating, medial and ending functions onto what he terms main theme, transition and subordinate theme. See Caplin, ‘What Are Formal Functions’, 26 – 28.
Example 6. Beethoven, Opus 2/1, i, with annotations showing a slightly different valency analysis from that given in Example 4

Like the archetypal sentence, then, the opening theme of Mozart’s Allegro begins with an idea that, despite containing repetition that could form a V2, is likely to be heard as a single gestalt with a particular character. This leads to a section with greater energy and a stronger sense of harmonic motion that will refer back in order to point forwards. This, in turn, leads to a cadence that serves to draw our attention to that which has passed.

The analysis in Example 5 also contains a somewhat different approach to schematizing the V3 in that the line pointing forwards is dotted. This dotted line highlights what is perhaps most remarkable about the theme, its harmonic ambiguity. Thus the dotted arrow is employed to show that although we are being pointed forwards, it is not entirely clear where we are going in harmonic terms. We could be moving to G major or D major. This point can be highlighted by a recomposition of the theme so that it cadences with an Imperfect Authentic Cadence (IAC) in G instead of D (Example 7).  

Example 7. Recomposition of the opening of the Allegro of K. 504 so that it cadences in G.

It is arguably this process of pointing forwards with a sense of ambiguity – indicated by the dotted arrow of the V3 – that characterizes so much of the Allegro of the ‘Prague’. The idea of needing to retain a sense of ambiguity until what Hepokoski and Darcy term the essential expositional close (EEC), and to reinstate it only to be resolved even more emphatically at the essential sonata close (ESC), provides a means of
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60 Hepokoski and Darcy make the important point that Mozart’s Piano Sonata in F, K. 332 and the Allegro of the ‘Prague’ can both be analysed in terms of standard structural melodic underpinning 8 – b7 – 6 – $\flat 7$ – 8. There is of course some truth in this observation but the ‘Prague’, I would argue, draws out the potential ambiguity of this pattern by: avoiding the tonic pedal (heard in the opening bars of K. 332); avoiding a root position tonic until the end of the phrase; and repeating the b7 – 6 progression, thereby allocating a higher proportion of bars to the flatward-leaning component of the line. Hepokoski and Darcy, *Sonata Theory*, 92.
understanding both the larger and smaller-scale design of the Allegro. Valency analysis provides a means to draw out and examine these processes.

In pursuing this line of enquiry let us consider a restatement of the opening theme with a countermelody in the oboe (Example 8) after a short fanfare section at bars 43–44. Rosen points out that the C§ in the oboe ‘underlines the harmonic instability of the theme’.¹ This is an important point for valency analysis for if the valency pattern in Example 6 is to be applicable again at bars 46–50, something is needed to reinvigorate the directional ambiguity indicated by the V3 with dotted arrow. The emphasis on the C§ in the oboe’s countermelody fulfils this role. If the countermelody were not sounded, there would be little reason to expect anything other than a cadence in D, but a countermelody emphasizing C§ underlines its harmonic instability such that we are invited to doubt its direction. For this reason, then we retain the dotted arrow in analysing this theme (Example 8).²

Example 8. Valency analysis of Mozart’s ‘Prague’ Symphony, K.504, i, bars 45–51.

¹ Rosen, *Sonata Form*, 221.
² Another valency analysis might convert the dotted arrow to a solid arrow as the C§ in the oboe might be taken as insufficient cause to doubt the cadence in D and, as that this turns out to be the case, there is certainly good reason for the solid arrow. However, given the repeated play with expectation around this theme that occurs in subsequently it seems appropriate to indicate at least some possibility of thwarting expectations for a cadence in D. One further objection here might concern the point that repeated listening to musical works can intensify their apparent meaningfulness. My theory might be taken to suggest that knowledge of what, in terms of form, will occur next will undermine the meaning of the music. My response to this is point is that repeated listening to the same work inevitably does change our reading. I conceive the ‘Prague’ differently every time I hear it. But, importantly, this does not render later experiences of the work less meaningful even though, so to speak, arrows that were once dotted might become more solid. Greater predictability might reduce the intensity of one’s experience in some respects but it will intensify it in others. Once one can predict musical form it will acquire a valency reading more dominated by V3s and this will, of course, enrich our experience in certain respects.
The next occurrence of the opening theme is at bar 71 now transposed up a fifth and with the E (the fifth of what has previously turned out to be the tonic chord in this thematic context) becoming E sharp. One of Rosen’s explanations for this sharpened note is that it ‘pushes the theme towards the dominant’. I would suggest, however, that the E sharp points us not sharpwards towards A major but flatwards back towards D. This is because the E sharp functions as a chromatic neighbour to the F sharp and suggests a dominant function for the resulting augmented chord on A, a reasonably common device in late-eighteenth century music. Again, then, any uncertainty as to how this theme will resolve is reinvigorated by a suggestion that the opening note (A in this case, D in bars 37 and 45) is actually the dominant rather than the tonic. An E natural at bar 72 would result in little ambiguity for we have heard this same pattern before and could predict its future with some security, but the E sharp (like the prominent C§ in bar 46) again suggests that a move flatwards could occur – a possibility that is again thwarted by a cadence that reasserts the first chord of the theme (in this case A) as tonicized. As a result, this occurrence of the theme could again be annotated with a V3 with dotted arrow.

The opening theme is not heard again until the end of the exposition, directly after the EEC at bar 129. At this point the ambiguity has been completely overcome. Clearly this is partly a result of the tutti perfect authentic cadence at the EEC, but the new sense of tonal affirmation is reinforced by a tonic pedal, that now appears in the bass, and the removal of the syncopation (we now hear straight quavers) that seemed to underline the theme’s earlier instability. The tutti scoring with the highest notes sounded thus far in the first violins adds to the overpowering sense of confidence in tonal grounding while assimilating the V7 of IV to IV progression that has, up to this point, been articulated less
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63 Ibid.
64 Rosen’s assertion that the augmented chord on A pushes towards the dominant is perhaps derived from the fact that the harmonic device V of IV to IV leading to a PAC is quite common in Mozart, (in the ‘Linz’ Symphony, for example, we find this device used in all but the second movement: bars 67 and 213 of the first movement; bar 93 of the second movement; and bars 400–1 of the last movement). However, the simpler raising of the fifth of a more straightforward chord V is also a common device (see, for example bar 4 of the second movement of Mozart’s ‘Haffner’, K. 385). At bar 72 of the Allegro of the ‘Prague’ we have just heard a half close in D, thus the augmented chord, I would suggest, functions in a similar manner to that in the slow movement of the Haffner. It intensifies a perceived pull towards the resolution chord, which, along with the implied dominant seventh chord on A that follows in bar 73, brings a greater sense of the opening note of the theme (in this case A) functioning as a dominant rather than a tonic.
confidently and with a greater sense of directional, i.e. tonal, ambiguity. This newfound confidence could be indicated by simply replacing the dotted line of the V3 arrow with a solid line.

The large-scale operation of the opening theme during the exposition of the ‘Prague’ can also be examined through valency analysis. Figure 8 outlines important valencies in the exposition and places particular emphasis upon the role of, and relationships between, occurrences of the primary theme. The analysis identifies a number of distinct levels of valency. The lowest level highlights the V3s with dotted arrows that, I have argued, aptly describe the primary theme. The occurrence of the primary theme at bar 130, however, is identified as a V2 to indicate its confident cadential character.

At a slightly higher level these V3s and V2 can be considered gestalts that are perceived as singularities (V1s). At a higher level again the first two V1s form a V2. The hierarchical level of this V2 is comparable to that of the sentence-like move from V3 to V2, and this characterizes the medium-scale structure of all three sections. These V3s are indicated at bars 55, 77 and 121 and in each case lead to a substantial cadence or dominant arrival (sections beginning at bars 69, 96/97 and 130).

When the primary theme occurs at bars 71–7 we have a clear reference between two of the three large-scale sections that comprise the exposition. This is defined as a V2 and placed at a higher hierarchical level. However, the V2 that occurs at bar 130 can be situated at a higher level again because it represents a relational thread that runs throughout the exposition. The power of this V2 is indicated in the valency analysis in a number of ways. Firstly, the accumulative V2 relations are given particular prominence by connecting lines, in the manner already outlined in Example 3, with the V2 at 130 standing at the head of these connections. The growing scope of each of these V2s is indicated by the size and position of the boxes. Secondly, the V3s with dotted arrows at the lowest hierarchical level lead to a V2 at bar 130 to make clear the importance of local-level detail in articulating the power of the primary theme at 130. Lastly, at bar 130 the valency analysis draws attention to the process by which V2s at three levels are coordinated so as to perform a powerful resolution of the syntactical relations developing through the exposition. The increasing size of boxes as we move up through hierarchical
levels indicates the temporal scope of the relation represented, but this scope should not be taken to out-weight that of the lower-level valencies. These local details are equally important in the forming of valencies and the generation of meaning, a point that is sometimes overlooked in Schenkerian analyses.

Key: IAC = Imperfect Authentic cadence; PAC = Perfect Authentic Cadence, HC = Half Close, DA = Dominant Arrival, DC = Deceptive Cadence, ‘a’ before a bar number = an anacrusis, ‘e’ after a bar number = an elision with next phrase, seq = sequential

Figure 8. Valency analysis of the exposition of the ‘Prague’ with particular attention drawn to the role of and relationships between occurrences of the primary theme
Figure 9. Valency analysis of the development and recapitulation of the ‘Prague’ with particular attention drawn to the role of and relationships between occurrences of the primary theme

Key: IAC = Imperfect Authentic cadence; PAC = Perfect Authentic Cadence, HC = Half Close, DA = Dominant Arrival, DC = Deceptive Cadence, ‘a’ before a bar number = an anacrusis, ‘e’ after a bar number = an elision with next phrase, seq = sequential
A valency analysis of the development and recapitulation of the ‘Prague’ highlights the similarities in process between the music before and after the double bar line (both sections are repeated). Like Figure 8, Figure 9 is concerned with the middle-level operation of valencies in the ‘Prague’ and with highlighting the role and relationships between occurrences of the main theme. Comparison of Figure 8 and 9 demonstrate how the substantial reduction in length of the recapitulation, when compared with the exposition, does not alter the accumulative V2 pattern articulated by the main theme. Thus although Mozart has effectively gone from three sections (exposition) to two (recapitulation), the potent vehicle for the development of meaning formed by the V2s connecting occurrences of the main theme have a similar structure, which allows, in turn, a large scale V2 to be more plausibly drawn between the overall structure of the two sections (as dictated by the repeats) of the Allegro. This point may also help us to explain why Mozart, in Hepokosi and Darcy’s terms, converts a Type 2 sonata form (where the recapitualtion starts not with the main theme but with material that first occurred later in the exposition) to a Type 3 sonata form (where the recapitulation begins text book-like with the opening material of the exposition and a return to the tonic – the double return).

In the process of effecting a Type 2 to Type 3 conversion and concentrating the exposition material into fewer bars while enacting a comparable accumulative V2 patterning in the second half of the Allegro, Mozart again exploits the ambiguity and uncertainty of direction that characterizes the main theme. In the second half of the Allegro, the theme first occurs at bar 189 before the double return proper. The theme begins exactly as it was heard in bar 71 on A and we might reasonably expect a IAC in A major to follow. However, Mozart now introduces F naturals and Bb such that the key outlined is unquestionably D minor. This subtle change re-enlivens the ambiguity of the theme. As it closes with a half cadence (German sixth to V in D minor) it appears to be fulfilling its potential to be resolved in a subdominant direction. But this appears only a suggestion; it remains unpredictable so again the V3 with dotted arrow is appropriate in its analysis. However, at 195 the sounding of a dominant pedal instigates a growing

---

65 For a more detailed valency analysis of the development section, an analysis dominated by V3s, see Curry, Reading Conventions, 335.
expectation of a double return (a Type 3 sonata), and this process by which uncertainty becomes replaced by growing certainty warrants a further adaptation of the analytical annotations – the dotted arrow of the V3 at 189 transforms into a solid arrow as the function of the dominant pedal is more likely to be understood. 67

When the recapitulation proper (what is ostensibly a double return) begins at bar 208 a move flatwards is suggested again by a V♯5 chord, but the more likely reassertion of the tonic follows. In the music that follows a particularly sophisticated listener may well expect to hear the subdominant tendency of the main theme exploited so as to accomplish the retransition, that is by landing back in the tonic via a brief shift into the subdominant. Few would expect, however, the move to B♭ major/F major that follows. A move again achieved by exploiting the ambiguous directional tendency of the main theme. This move flatwards is the most extreme yet but it is again thwarted by a quick move through D minor to D major via another German augmented sixth in the second half of bar 227.

The V3 with dotted arrow allows us to repeatedly highlight the ambiguous directionality of the main theme. Through various means we are kept guessing as to its future. It clearly points forward but its security is continually in question. In aligning the main theme with both the EEC and the ESC, however, Mozart invites us to radically reconsider the meaning of this theme. In both instances its newly acquired valency (V2 rather than a V3) invites us to look back with confidence on past structures that were once uncertain, and this transformative process is further underlined by the sentence-like move from V3 to V2 at the medium-scale structure of all the sections I have designated by double lines in Figures 8 and 9.

The valency of three (V3) encountered in the first subject in its different guises forms a complex of slots. Each V3 can be conceived as an icon, which the listener will saturate with indices (constituted by past memories). In theorizing the saturation of these valencies I will pay particular attention to Susan McClary’s essay ‘Narratives of Bourgeois Subjectivity in Mozart’s “Prague” Symphony’. 68 I consider McClary’s focus upon subjectivity insightful and useful to valency analysis because the process of

67 I am indebted to my first anonymous reviewer for her/his recommendation on this point.
68 Susan McClary, ‘Narratives of Bourgeois Subjectivity in Mozart’s “Prague” Symphony’, Understanding Narrative, ed. James Phelan and Peter J. Rabinowitz (Columbus, 1994).
saturating icons with indices can be understood as a process of subject formation. In saturating icons we draw upon memories rendered significant in the forming of our subjectivity and in doing so conform to the dominant habits of classical music listening. On experiencing the V3s above the tendency is to pick out and bring to bear those memories key to our conception of self. This is consistent with Peirce’s tendency to conceive woman/man as a sign. For Peirce we have no powers of introspection but we reason the self through a dialogue comparable to that of a sign-using community of inquirers: the human subject consists of a sign or a series of interpretants inferred from past occurrence. In the present moment, Peirce insists, ‘there is no time for any inference at all’ and as a consequence ‘the present object must be an external object’. Thus when conceived as a series of present moments music can be seen to provide a particularly successful means to enact the process of identity formation. But noticing the success of music in fulfilling this role is not the same as suggesting that such a role for music is necessary or inevitable. It seems more reasonable to conceive the act of reading the self into the music, and certainly the act of reading the music in terms of autonomous selfhood, as a habit that could be and is otherwise within different musical cultures.

*Saturation valency and contesting meaning*

The flexibility of valency analysis, I would suggest, has the potential to provide new insights into the internal relations of musical works. The approach has been developed primarily through engaging Peirce’s theory of the proposition, but the similarity to Caplin’s notion of form functionality provides an important guide when attempting to apply and refine the theory in relation to specific works. The primary purpose of valency analysis, however, is not to provide a better means of analysing internal structure. Its flexibility is clearly a virtue on some levels but arguably leads to a lack of rigour in certain respects when compared with the outcomes of Schenkerian, form-

---


70 Clearly the choice of late-eighteenth century repertoire is significant here. It is my hope that valency analysis may play a role in developing a means of applying form functional analysis and related approaches to later and early musics of the West as well as those musics developing in non-Western contexts.
functional or sonata-theoretical approaches. Whatever the drawbacks of valency analysis, I would suggest that the flexibility it provides forms a necessary step in developing a model of analysis that genuinely has the potential to capture the manifold interpretations that are liable to develop when multiple listeners experience the ‘Prague’. The flexibility of valency theory lays plain the enormous scope for interpretation provided by Mozart’s work because it allows us to identify, in abstract terms, an enormous number of internal structural relations, which can all serve as icons, that is as slots to be saturated by indices in the process of meaning generation.

In considering the first period of Mozart’s sonata in F, K332, I asserted that in the West, and in the Western classical tradition in particular, music’s indexicality is contested. This is a particularly important concept in my application of Peircian semiotics to music. It is a claim that is intimately bound to the now commonplace recognition that classical music since the end of the eighteenth century has become characterized by a discourse of transcendence, a point that can, in turn, be related to the rise and dominance of the bourgeoisie in the years following the French revolution. The growing emphasis upon an individual autonomous subject has been accompanied by a tendency to consider musical meaning a private affair that transcends the material world. This private affair, nevertheless, albeit paradoxically, makes possible the attainment of some kind of universal truth through the experience of the artwork. These threads are succinctly drawn together by Terry Eagleton in *Ideology of the Aesthetic* when he writes:

> From the depths of late feudal autocracy, a vision could be projected of a universal order of free, equal, autonomous human subjects, obeying no laws but those which they gave to themselves. This bourgeois public sphere breaks decisively with the privilege and particularism of the *ancien régime*, installing the middle class, in image if not in reality, as a truly universal subject, and compensating with the grandeur of this dream for its political supine status. What is at stake here is nothing less that the production of an entirely new kind of
human subject – one which, like the work of art itself, discovers the law in the depths of its own free identity, rather than in some oppressive external power.\textsuperscript{71}

In semiotic terms, this manoeuvre is achieved through the contention that meaning, in the case of music, is not limited by reference to the material world, from whence, in Peirce’s pragmatic terms, all semiosis (i.e. meaning) must derive. The fundamental role of indexicality in any meaningful experience, then, is contested. But if we accept Peirce’s pragmatism there cannot be meaning without indexicality, the external world must play some role in the formation of any thought. What happens as a result is that meaning is generated with reference to those experiences that the listening subject regards as particularly profound – those experiences that have the semblance of being somehow removed from the material world such as emotional states and, perhaps more importantly for my argument, conceptions of self.

These experiences are indexical in temporal terms. They are remembered experiences, experiences that have tended to acquire a certain profundity in retrospect, and which are now brought into new relations in the present in accordance with the valency structure of the music experienced. The iconic (valency) and the indexical (past experience) work together in this sense to create musical meaning but they do so within the operation of the symbolic. The symbolic, here, can be understood as a listening habit that predisposes the listening subject to engage semiotic functions in a particular way. Music does not have to be conceived in terms of private emotion and self-realization, but that is the habit that has developed in the West – not by necessity but through habit. And these habits are, of course, developed in response to historical and social forces. They cannot be exhaustively explained by the intentions of an enlightened artist or the apparent structural necessities of a particular artistic medium or work within that medium.

When Susan McClary analyses the ‘Prague’ symphony she provides enormous insight into these issues. Drawing on the work of Eagleton cited above, McClary conceives the ‘Prague’ in terms of bourgeois subjectivity, bringing quite specific meanings to bear upon specific structural relations and more generally upon tonality and sonata procedure. In this analysis, as in others, McClary is liable to oversimplify the

\textsuperscript{71} Terry Eagleton, \textit{Ideology of the Aesthetic} (Oxford, 1990), 19.
processes involved. In his recent and otherwise sympathetic account of McClary’s work on Mozart, Rumph notes, with reference to both Kerman’s and Powers’ criticism of her work, ‘an undeniable weakness in her approach – the absence of a semantic theory mediating musical and social meanings’. In discussing the ‘Prague’ McClary perhaps goes too far in mapping the journey of the bourgeois subject onto the thematic successions and developments of the Allegro without sufficient qualification. But a theory of valency may go some way towards providing the theory of mediation that Rumph identifies as lacking.

This theory, however, is not straightforward; it does not plug a theoretical gap in the manner that might be expected. The theory of listening habits is key to grasping this complexity. Listening habits, in the case of the Western classical tradition, as I have explained, do not simply govern the process by which a musical structure gets mapped onto a particular thought or meaning. Listening habits in this context are peculiar, contradictory even, phenomena whereby relationships between musical form and the reality that underpins our thought are contested. There is no habitual process whereby Mozart’s music is understood to signify the social world of the late eighteenth century. And if ever such an explanatory process begins to develop, it tends to be undermined by claims for Mozart’s transcendence of social forces and resistance to social critique. In this sense it is not so much the musical text that articulates the habits of bourgeois subjectivity but the listening habits that surround it. However, to conceive of listening habits as straightforwardly detached from the texts they are employed to engage is to miss the way in which habit, or the symbolic contains indexicality and iconicity. Listening habits surround a work but they also penetrate it. To put this another way, any listening habit must surely inform the decisions of an artist. McClary does much to draw on this core assumption in addressing sonata form in relation to the ‘Prague’:

[S]onata is never merely a form imposed from the outside. Because it captures so well the dilemmas surrounding identity and dynamic change in the bourgeois era, sonata becomes the central arena in music where such issues are explored, fought through, and negotiated. Whatever the solution in any given movement, the
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72 Rumph, Mozart and Enlightenment Semiotics, p.111.
tensions between energy and stasis inherent in the procedure remain – just as they remain unreconciled in the social sphere.\textsuperscript{73}

McClary’s argument is powerful in the abstract. It becomes less convincing when we look to apply it to specific texts or common procedures. The theory seems to slip in transition from the general to the particular – from the symbolic to the indexical. But this can be explained in precisely the terms I have outlined. In moving to the particular work and specific meanings we come up against that manoeuvre that allows the habit of bourgeois listening to cover its own tracks. Claims to universality and transcendence problematize the process by which such claims themselves can be recognized in form. The contesting of the index is, in this sense, an ingenious means of both developing an artistic experience that appears divorced from the social world and simultaneously undermining the possibility of critiquing its meaning. To put this argument in stark terms (perhaps too stark – a point to which I return in the conclusion), listeners/analysts, in adhering to this listening habit, are guaranteed musical experiences that nourish the self and affirm a sense of separation from the realities of a stratified and palpably unjust social world.

For these reasons there is no straightforward means of identifying those ideas that will saturate the valencies of the ‘Prague’. The contested index makes possible an enormous number of interpretations. However, we can identify a tendency in the West to saturate valencies with ideas pertinent to the formation of the listening subject’s identity. The contested index, in one sense, empties music of its meaning but, in another, affords the listening subject a freedom to explore those ideas and memories that seem most pertinent to their own, ostensibly private, subject formation.\textsuperscript{74} The ‘Prague’ seems particularly apt to exemplify this process because it has been habitually understood as an

\textsuperscript{73} McClary, ‘Narratives of Bourgeois Subjectivity in Mozart’s “Prague” Symphony’, p.72–73.

\textsuperscript{74} This central claim highlights an important difference between my theory of musical valency and sonata theory. In sonata theory the focus upon norms, types and deformations is such that any question of a listener’s subjectivity are almost completely eclipsed by questions concerning formal patterns and deviations from them. Thus in considering the end of the exposition of the Allegro Hepokoski and Darcy pay no attention to any significance the main theme may develop for a listener as the exposition nears its completion and the music drives vigorously (unambiguously V3) towards the more confident (tonally relatively unambiguous) declamation of the main theme. Instead they suggest that a reading will (or should) be dominated by questions such as whether the PAC at bar 121 ‘is permitted to function as an EEC, ending S [the secondary theme area]’. Hepokoski and Darcy, \textit{Sonata Theory}, 162.
autonomous work of art, but also because the potential valency structure constructed through the manipulation of the main theme seems to invite its saturation with ideas of subject formation. In positing such arguments we are able to further support and develop McClary’s claims concerning the Allegro. The recurrent use of the main theme to articulate V3s – complex subjects that look back in order to look forwards but do so with some uncertainty – that eventually transform into V2s – versions of the subject that look back with this ambiguity dramatically reduced – appears to invite the listening subject to conceive themselves in similarly transformative terms. Identifying the habit of conceiving classical music as informing our conception of ourselves, coupled with the quite reasonable step, posited by McClary, of focusing this conception on the main theme, renders her reading of the symphony compelling and astute. What valency analysis can do, by means of support, is to offer a systematic method of mapping out the internal relations that are integral to this interpretation. Furthermore, the theory of musical valency and its contested saturation provides a means to understand the complex and, at times, seemingly contradictory processes that mediate structure and meaning – sign and interpretation. Peirce’s rigorous but flexible triple thinking proves invaluable in this.

Conclusion: Peirce and subjectivity

One of the central claims of this article is that the experience of music in the West is closely bound to processes of a listener’s subject formation. I have suggested that Peircian semiotics can elucidate this process when we recognize that musical form, experienced in the present, functions as an icon that can be saturated by memories of past experience, which thereby function as indices. This entire process is governed by habit or the symbolic. The contention that semiosis (in this case in music but the same applies to other symbolic interaction) governs the process of subject formation is consistent, I would suggest, with Peirce’s thinking on the relationship between the world and the thinking subject. In an important early essay titled ‘Some Consequences of Four Incapacities’, Peirce marks out how his pragmatic thinking leads us to conclude that the mind (the human subject) is inferred, not through powers of introspection, but from experiencing of the world.
[T]he content of consciousness, the entire phenomenal manifestation of mind, is a sign resulting from inference. Upon our principle, therefore, that the absolutely incognizable does not exist, so that the phenomenal manifestation of a substance is the substance, we must conclude that the mind is a sign developing according to the laws of inference.75

In the next paragraph Peirce makes the identity of the human subject and signhood explicit when he states that ‘the fact that every thought is a sign, taken in conjunction with the fact that life is a train of thought, proves that man is a sign’.76

In this essay Peirce appears to consider words as the primary means through which the notion of a human subject becomes manifest. ‘[M]en and words’ he tells us ‘reciprocally educate each other’. But music, it seems to me, has a similar role in the development of thought and perhaps an even more important role in the development of subjectivity, or the conception of self. Music in the West is habitually deployed as a means of renegotiating a conception of self. As we experience music in the present we draw on our past and thereby develop a notion of ourselves that stretches into the future. I have underlined the ideological implications of this process in quite stark, negative terms but we should surely recognize that music’s role in subject formation cannot be understood as entirely negative. Early in Ideology of the Aesthetic, Eagleton highlights a dual function of the aesthetic:

It is not only, as radical thought has familiarly insisted, that art is […] conveniently sequestered from all other social practices, to become an isolated enclave within which the dominant social order can find an idealized refuge from its own actual values of competitiveness, exploitation and material possessiveness. It is also, rather more subtly, that the idea of autonomy – of a mode of being which is entirely self-regulating and self-determining – provides the middle class with just the ideological model of subjectivity it requires for its

75 Peirce, The Essential Peirce Vol. 1, 55.
material operations. Yet this concept of autonomy is radically double-edged: if on the one hand it provides a central constituent of bourgeois ideology, it also marks an emphasis on the self-determining nature of human powers and capacities which becomes, in the work of Karl Marx and others, the anthropological foundation of a revolutionary opposition to bourgeois utility.\textsuperscript{77}

The contesting of the index in music renders the art form a particularly potent force in engaging and articulating the discourse of autonomy. Music has with relative ease, it would seem, been ‘sequestered from all other social practices’ and yet its ability to soak up the subjective has repeatedly allowed it to be used positively in empowering the disempowered and, through its role in subject formation, in easing personal suffering. The theory of musical valency is developed here as a means of theorizing the connection between such processes and musical form. It is for this reason that this theory does not seek out correct interpretations within a historically reconstructed field (as in sonata theory), although it does acknowledge the interest and possible benefits of such an approach. Nor does the theory of musical valency seek out essentialized, normative underpinnings (as in Schenkerian theory), although it does recognize the possible influence of such models upon listeners and their interaction with other structural habits. The theory of valency looks instead to conceive musical form as a potentiality that may through its actualization and comprehension engender a wide variety of relations and interrelations. These relations need to be conceived flexibly because music’s role in social practices relies upon this flexibility. This, in turn, relates to the contesting of the index because the recognition of particular valency patterns (icons) will occur in dialogue with the ideas, inferred from experience, that saturate the bonds of these patterns (indices). Thus the flexibility with which we theorize musical form is a necessary step if we are to understand how musical form performs its role in listening processes that are underpinned by cultural habit.

It is hoped that the method of identifying and relating valencies developed in this article could be deployed in exploring possible listening strategies and formal complexity that may enrich further listening, but its primary purpose is to demonstrate that a theory
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of musical form that pays close attention to the social and ideological role of music can and should be developed. The rigorous logic of Peirce’s categories, as it is deployed here, provides, I think, a fruitful means of engaging this process.

ABSTRACT

Peircian semiotics has retained a place in the study of music for more than forty years. Few studies, however, have focused upon arguably the most important aspects of Peirce’s thought: his contribution to logic and his development of a pragmatic approach to epistemology. This article develops a theory of Peircian semiotics in music that is rigorously derived from the key insights Peirce offered philosophy. It focuses upon his theory of the proposition and posits an approach to music analysis that is sensitive to the importance of music’s internal structure while recognizing the enormously significant role played by cultural contexts and social forces in the development of musical meanings. The article introduces Peircian semiotics and develops a theory of musical valency with particular reference to the Allegro of Mozart’s ‘Prague’ symphony. It concludes by theorizing the role of cultural and ideological forces in articulating and saturating a music’s valency.
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