Discussion of “evaluation of an innovative composite railway 1 sleeper for a narrow-gauge track under static load”

Kaewunruen, Sakdirat

DOI:

[10.1061/\(ASCE\)CC.1943-5614.0000915](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CC.1943-5614.0000915)

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Kaewunruen, S 2018, 'Discussion of “evaluation of an innovative composite railway 1 sleeper for a narrow-gauge track under static load”', *Journal of Composites for Construction*, vol. 23, no. 1, 07018001.

[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)CC.1943-5614.0000915](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CC.1943-5614.0000915)

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility:

This material may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the American Society of Civil Engineers. This material may be found at <https://ascelibrary.org/doi/10.1061/%28ASCE%29CC.1943-5614.0000915>.

© American Society of Civil Engineers

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

**Discussion of “Evaluation of an Innovative Composite Railway
Sleeper for a Narrow-Gauge Track under Static Load” by Wahid
Ferdous; Allan Manalo; Gerard Van Erp; Thiru Aravinthan.**

April 2018, Vol 22 No 2, 04017050

DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)CC.1943-5614.0000833

Sakdirat Kaewunruen¹

The development of novel material technologies for railway tracks is welcome indeed. The discussor read with interest the paper, which was well written by the authors. It is appreciated that the authors concluded that the study has limitations, and that further research is needed. Thus, the discussor strongly recommends that the authors continue to perform field measurements on the composite sleepers that are currently installed on the tracks. This recommendation stems from the fact that:

- The authors focused solely on the quasi-static behavior. The ‘design’ rail seat load was determined using a combination of American code and empirical algorithms. As the design method for composite sleepers has not been standardized yet (Silva et al., 2017), the discussor believes that this ‘design’ load is based on allowable stresses. Therefore, it is imperative that material reduction factors be identified based on the data collected through field measurements (You et al., 2017). This data would later aid the railway owner

¹Senior Lecturer in Railway and Civil Engineering, School of Engineering, The University of Birmingham, Edgbaston B152TT United Kingdom, E-mail: sakdirat@hotmail.com; s.kaewunruen@bham.ac.uk;

or authority to verify the cost-effectiveness and safety margin of the composite sleepers, as shown in Figure 1. This allowable stress design concept determines the maximum strength of constituent materials, which then cannot be exceeded in the component. Safety and serviceability aspects such as brittle fracture, bursting, fatigue failure and allowable deflections are taken into account in this design method by the determination of safety factor values. The cost-effectiveness can then be evaluated using reliability indices (Kaewunruen and Remennikov, 2009) whether the component is either optimally, overly or under-designed.

- The dynamic service load factor (or impact factor) of 1.5 and axle load distribution factor of 0.48 were used in the initial design. These values are slightly different from those presented in other reports with similar track moduli, such as in Leong and Murray (2008). In addition, by inserting a composite sleeper sideways over an existing old foundation, the track modulus could significantly deviate from the originally assumed value of 30 MPa. Therefore, it is important to ensure that such changes due to maintenance operations do not result in longer-term track problems as reported in the past (Kaewunruen et al., 2018).
 - It is critical to note that narrow-gauge sleepers tend to be damaged from center-binding. Center-binding occurs at the midspan of short sleepers subject to hogging moments, especially as the ballast densifies and deteriorates over time under dynamic loads (Remennikov and Kaewunruen, 2008; You et al., 2018). In many instances, the midspan cross section of a sleeper becomes the most critical section for design, as it is often the case in narrow-gauge sleepers
-

(Standards Australia, 2012). A better insight into this behavior would assist track engineers with devising suitable plans for track inspection.

The discussor hopes that the field experience and practical notes shared in this discussion are useful and encourage the authors to extend their future research to field measurements.

References

Leong J, and Murray, M. H. “Probabilistic Analysis of Train-track Vertical Impact Forces.” *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers Transport* 161: 15–21, (2008).

Kaewunruen, S., Remennikov, AM. “Structural safety of railway prestressed concrete sleepers”, *Australian Journal of Structural Engineering* 9 (2), 129-140 (2009).

Kaewunruen, S., Lewandowski, T., Chamniprasart, K., “Dynamic responses of interspersed railway tracks to moving train loads”, *International Journal of Structural Stability and Dynamics* 18 (01), 1850011 (2018).

Remennikov AM, Kaewunruen S. “A review of loading conditions for railway track structures due to train and track vertical interaction”, *Structural control and Health monitoring* 15 (2), 207-234 (2008).

Silva ÉA, Pokropski D, You R, Kaewunruen S. “Comparison of structural design methods for railway composites and plastic sleepers and bearers” *Australian Journal of Structural Engineering* 18 (3), 160-177, (2017).

Standards Australia. AS1085.14-2003 Railway Track Material – Part 14: Prestressed Concrete Sleepers. Sydney, Australia, 2012.

You R, Silva EA, Kaewunruen S. “Methodologies for designing railway plastic and composite sleepers”, Chinese Journal of Railway Engineering, (2017) doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1003-1995.2017.08.29

You R, Li D, Ngamkhanong C, Janeliukstis R and Kaewunruen S Fatigue Life Assessment Method for Prestressed Concrete Sleepers. Front. Built Environ. 3:68. (2017) doi: 10.3389/fbuil.2017.00068

Figure 1. Allowable stress design method of each material (SF is safety factor)