Subtype-specific regulatory network rewiring in acute myeloid leukemia

Assi, Salam A.; Imperato, Maria Rosaria; Coleman, Daniel J. L.; Pickin, Anna; Potluri, Sandeep; Ptasinska, Anetta; Chin, Paulynn Suyin; Blair, Helen; Cauchy, Pierre; James, Sally R.; Zacarias-cabeza, Joaquin; Gilding, L. Niall; Beggs, Andrew; Clokie, Sam; Loke, Justin C.; Jenkin, Phil; Uddin, Ash; Delwel, Ruud; Richards, Stephen J.; Raghavan, Manoj

DOI:

[10.1038/s41588-018-0270-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0270-1)

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Assi, SA, Imperato, MR, Coleman, DJL, Pickin, A, Potluri, S, Ptasinska, A, Chin, PS, Blair, H, Cauchy, P, James, SR, Zacarias-cabeza, J, Gilding, LN, Beggs, A, Clokie, S, Loke, JC, Jenkin, P, Uddin, A, Delwel, R, Richards, SJ, Raghavan, M, Griffiths, MJ, Heidenreich, O, Cockerill, PN & Bonifer, C 2019, 'Subtype-specific regulatory network rewiring in acute myeloid leukemia', *Nature Genetics*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 151-162.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0270-1>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

This document is the Author Accepted Manuscript version of a published work which appears in its final form in Nature Genetics. The final Version of Record can be found at: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0270-1>

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Subtype-specific regulatory network rewiring in acute myeloid leukemia

Salam A. Assi^{1*}, Maria Rosaria Imperato^{1*}, Daniel J. L. Coleman^{1*}, Anna Pickin¹, Sandeep Potluri¹, Anetta Ptasinska¹, Paulynn Suyin Chin¹, Helen Blair², Pierre Cauchy¹, Sally R. James³, Joaquin Zacarias-Cabeza¹, Liam Niall Gilding¹, Andrew Beggs¹, Sam Clokie⁴, Justin C. Loke¹, Phil Jenkin⁵, Ash Uddin⁵, H. Ruud Delwel⁶, Stephen J. Richards⁷, Manoj Raghavan^{1,8}, Michael J. Griffiths⁴, Olaf Heidenreich², Peter N. Cockerill^{1&} and Constanze Bonifer^{1&}

^{*}Equal contribution

[&]Corresponding authors

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a heterogeneous disease caused by a variety of mutations in transcription factors, epigenetic regulators and signaling molecules. To determine how different mutant regulators establish AML subtype-specific transcriptional networks we performed a comprehensive global analysis of cis-regulatory element activity and interaction, transcription factor occupancy and gene expression patterns in purified leukemic blast cells. Here, we focussed on specific sub-groups of patients carrying mutations in genes encoding transcription factors (*RUNX1*, *CEBPA*) and signaling molecules (*FTL3-ITD*, *RAS*, *NPM1*). Integrated analysis of these data demonstrates that each mutant regulator establishes a specific transcriptional and signaling network unrelated to normal cells sustaining the expression of unique sets of genes required for AML growth and maintenance.

27 **Introduction**

28

29 Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is characterized by blocked myeloid lineage differentiation
30 and accumulation of leukemic blast cells. AML is a highly heterogeneous disease caused by
31 different types of mutations affecting signaling pathways as well as transcriptional and
32 epigenetic regulators¹⁻³. Recurrent mutations include loss of function mutations in
33 transcription factors (TFs) controlling hematopoietic development, such as RUNX1, GATA2
34 or C/EBP α ⁴, and gain of function mutations in signaling molecules such as FLT3, KIT, JAK2
35 and NRAS regulating inducible TFs such as NF- κ B, STAT or AP-1 family members^{5,6,7}. The
36 most common FLT3 mutations are internal tandem duplications (FLT3-ITD), which give rise
37 to a constitutively active growth factor receptor^{8,9} and often occur together with
38 nucleophosmin1 mutations (NPM1). Another major group of mutations alters genes
39 encoding epigenetic and chromatin regulators^{10,11}. Genes belonging to this class play
40 widespread roles in development and differentiation by controlling establishment,
41 maintenance and extinction of lineage-specific gene expression programs. These include
42 regulators of histone and DNA methylation such as MLL, EZH2, BCOR, TET2, DNMT3A and
43 IDH1/2¹¹⁻¹⁷. In normal cells, all common mutation targets cooperate to control the finely
44 balanced gene expression changes essential for cell differentiation and lineage commitment.

45 TFs interact with defined target gene sequences and recruit epigenetic regulators to
46 program specific chromatin states and mediate the coordinated activation and de-activation
47 of cis-regulatory elements driving gene expression^{18,19}. Distal cis-regulatory elements
48 interact directly with proximal promoter elements, an arrangement that is both dynamic and
49 robust^{20,21}. From global studies examining a few selected types of AML we know that gene
50 expression patterns and the epigenetic landscape differ from normal cells²²⁻²⁷. However, how
51 the disruption of specific TF activity leads to a specific pattern of aberrant chromatin
52 programming and changes in gene expression in AML is unclear. We do not know at the

53 global level which cis-regulatory elements are affected in their activity in different types of
54 AML, how their activity is altered in patients carrying TF and signaling mutations, how such
55 differential activity relates to the differentiation block in primary cells of actual patients and
56 which factors maintain their transcriptional networks.

57 In this study we addressed these questions by collecting transcriptome, digital
58 footprinting and chromatin conformation capture data from purified leukemic blasts from
59 AML patients with defined transcription factor and signaling molecule mutations with the aim
60 of defining the components of AML subtype-specific regulatory circuitries. Our study
61 provides global insights into mutation-specific chromatin programming, and comprises a
62 comprehensive resource of the transcriptional networks of different AML subtypes,
63 highlighting pathways required for tumour maintenance

64

65

66 **Results**

67 **AML with different mutant regulators adopt unique chromatin landscapes**

68 In order to examine how specific TF and signaling mutations alter the epigenome of AML,
69 we purified CD34+ or CD117+ leukemic blast cells from bone marrow or peripheral blood
70 samples from a cohort of AML patients (Fig 1A). After determining the mutation status by
71 targeted sequencing and cytogenetics (Table S1), we selected a cohort of patients with
72 defined mutations in transcription factor, signaling and epigenetic regulator genes. Mutations
73 included: *RUNX1* mutations affecting DNA-binding (D-type) or lacking the trans-activating
74 domain (T-Type), t(8;21) translocations fusing the DNA binding domain of *RUNX1* to the co-
75 repressor ETO, inv(16) which fuses CBF β to smooth muscle myosin heavy chain 11
76 (MYH11) protein, independent mutations of both alleles of the *CEBPA* gene whereby at one
77 mutation leads to loss of DNA-binding activity²⁸ and FLT3-ITD with or without NPM1
78 mutations. One of the patients carrying a *RUNX1* mutation (*RUNX1*-T-7) who had Non-

79 Hodgkin Lymphoma (NHL) was included as an alternative class of patient. To identify AML-
80 specific gene regulatory networks we performed high read depth DNase-Seq (Fig.1B) and
81 RNA-Seq (Fig S1A) on 29 samples comprising seven major groups, and at least one
82 analysis on 12 additional samples, with mutations such as *NRAS*, *CBL*, *JAK2*, *SRFS2*, or
83 *inv(3)*, as defined in Table S1. Samples were compared to CD34+ mobilized peripheral
84 blood stem cells (PBSCs) from the peripheral blood of two healthy individuals and to cord
85 blood (CB) CD34+ cells. To provide the community with a data resource, we established an
86 online database containing multiple data-sets including a genome browser (see Data
87 Availability).

88 Unsupervised clustering revealed that distal DHSs clustered in different groups
89 according to the class of mutations (Fig 1C). Samples with FLT3-ITD and/or NPM1
90 mutations represented one major group with sub-clusters for patients with NPM1 mutations
91 or carrying two FLT3-ITD alleles, but excluding a FLT3-ITD patient carrying a RUNX1
92 mutation. DHSs from the t(8;21), *inv(16)* and *CEBPA* double mutant patients clustered as
93 discrete groups within a larger group, indicating that these mutations affect similar pathways.
94 Examples of these patterns can be seen for DHSs in the *POU4F1* locus in t(8;21) and
95 *CEBPA*-mutated samples, and DHSs in the *FOXC1* locus in patients with FLT3-ID or NPM1
96 mutations (Fig. S1B). DHSs from patients with RUNX1 mutations were more heterogeneous
97 and formed a larger cluster together with the PBSCs and the *inv(3)* patients. The NHL
98 (RUNX1-T-7) and the NPM1/RAS sample were unrelated to any of the others. We further
99 validated our findings by including an independently derived published ATAC-Seq data-set
100 in our analysis²⁵, confirming that mutations in FLT3 underpin one major component of the
101 clustering (Figure S2A). In contrast, the presence or absence of epigenetic mutations such
102 as *DNMT3A* did not influence chromatin accessibility levels (Fig. S2B) or gene expression
103 (data not shown).

Unsupervised clustering analysis of RNA-Seq data from the same patients (Fig S1C, S2C) revealed strong correlations between mutation-specific accessible chromatin landscapes and mutation-specific differential gene expression. This was again exemplified at the *POU4F1* and *FOXC1* loci (Fig. S1D) where the mRNA patterns correlated well with the chromatin profiles (Fig. S1B). We identified distinct patterns of expression for specific TF genes in different AML types (Fig S2D), with, for example a number of homeo-domain gene family members (*HOX*, *NKX*, *IRX* and *PBX* families) specifically up-regulated in the FLT3-ITD and NPM1-mutated patients. In summary, our comparative analyses show that aberrant TFs and chronic signaling impose distinct mutation group-specific programs of chromatin accessibility and gene expression, irrespective of the presence of other classes of mutations such as DNMT3A.

We next investigated, whether the mutation class and its associated DHS pattern correlated with a block at a specific stage of the differentiation. Here we again used published ATAC-Seq data²⁵ describing the open chromatin landscape of normal stem and progenitor cells (Fig 2A). Our DNaseI-Seq data correlated well with these data (Fig S3A and S3B), whereby CD34+ PBSC sequences clustered with hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and early progenitors but not monocytic cells. When compared to the various types of progenitor cells, t(8;21), inv(16), CEBPA(x2) and NPM1-mutated AML displayed distal element patterns most similar to those of normal GMPs, with some differentiation into monocytes (Fig 2B). In contrast, RUNX1 and FLT3-ITD/NPM1 mutated AML displayed a spread of lineage-specific patterns with little or no monocytic differentiation (Fig 2B). Gene-set enrichment analysis comparing the gene expression patterns of AML cells with the various progenitor stages confirmed that the mutation-group specific cistrome was mirrored by the gene expression pattern (Fig 2C). However, although AML subtypes showed some characteristics of normal progenitor cells, they still clustered away from normal cells (Fig S3B). Importantly, our mutation analyses showed no indications for the presence of

confounding major sub-clones in the purified undifferentiated AML cell population, as mutations were present at close to either a 50% or a 100% allele frequency (Dataset S1).

AML-specifically active cis-regulatory elements cluster into common and unique groups

We next examined which active cis-regulatory elements were specific for each AML subtype and which TF families were responsible for their activation. To this end, we defined the union of all AML-specific DHSs as compared to CD34+ PBSCs and performed k-mean clustering to identify unique and common DHSs shared between patients, which identified 20 distinct DHS clusters (Fig 3A). Less than half of these DHSs were found in any of the Corces et al.²⁵ progenitor data ATAC-Seq sets and the percentage overlap varied substantially between clusters (range 2 – 40%; Fig. 3C). These data indicated that AML cells did indeed reprogram their chromatin and adopted a separate identity compared to all stages of normal myeloid cells. We also verified mutation-specific clustering behaviour of our samples by comparing them with a recently published AML histone H3K27 acetylation data set containing samples with FLT3-ITD, RUNX1 and CEBPA double mutations. Mutation-specific cis-regulatory elements from this study²⁶ largely overlapped with the mutation-specific DHSs identified here (Fig. S3D). We then defined mutation specific groups of deregulated DHSs that were shared between the specific members of each of the seven major mutation groups defined in Table S1 (Figs. S4A and S4B) which were distributed between both the mutation-specific clusters and the shared clusters (Fig 2B) and were associated with differentially expressed genes (Dataset S2). As seen above in the clustering analysis, the t(8;21), inv(16), and *CEBPA* groups showed similar patterns whereby 914 upregulated DHSs were shared between the three groups (Fig. S4A). The FLT3-ITD, FLT3-ITD/NPM1 and NPM1 mutation groups also showed substantial overlap with 942 shared DHSs, and with only 19% of these DHSs also included in the 914 ITD/NPM1-specific DHSs. These AML-specific patterns showed little

similarity to normal myeloid differentiation as the majority of these specific sites were not up-regulated in GMPs relative to PBSCs (Supplementary note SN1B).

The presence of specific DHSs strongly correlated with the up-regulation of their nearest genes (Dataset S3), indicating that AML type-specific cis-regulatory elements drive the expression of AML type-specific genes (Fig S4C) as exemplified by a DHS at *POU4F1* (Fig S4D). Fig S4E shows examples of AML-specific up-regulated genes of regulatory relevance, including those encoding growth factor receptors or TFs which were associated with the presence of AML type-specific DHSs, in this case *NFIX*, *POU4F1*, *MEIS1* and *FOXC1* (Dataset S4). The gene expression patterns of such genes were validated using publicly available data-sets (Fig S5).

Mutation-specific cis-regulatory elements display specific transcription factor occupancy patterns

To identify TF motifs responsible for the establishment of the different patterns, we performed digital footprinting analysis from high-read depth DNaseI-Seq data using our Wellington algorithm²⁹. We began by creating a curated list of motifs based on several TF databases (Table S2) since closely related factors typically recognise identical sequences. We therefore selected single representative motifs for each of the different transcription factor families, so as to remove redundant motifs bound by multiple factors. Examples of footprints are depicted for NFI and ETS motifs at the *MDFI* locus in FLT3-ITD/NPM1-mutated AML (Fig. 4A) and for RUNX, NFAT and C/EBP motifs at the *C3AE1* locus in t(8;21) and CEBPA-mutated AML (Fig. S6A). The majority of AML type-specific DHSs within the 20 AML-specific DHS clusters contained footprints, as exemplified by a DHS at *C3AE1* (Fig.S6B). For validation, we compared RUNX motif footprints with publicly available RUNX1 ChIP data from our studies (FLT3-ITD/NPM1²⁴, t(8;21)³⁰) and others (inv(16)³¹) (Fig.S6C). Between 60% and 85% of footprinted RUNX motifs occurred in regions shown to bind

RUNX1. Additional motif enrichment analyses of up-and down regulated DHSs are shown in Supplemental Notes, Fig SN1 - 3.

We next evaluated occupied motifs for enrichment in any of the AML type-specific DHSs defined by the 20 DHS clusters (Fig. 4B). This analysis showed that motif occupancy patterns were highly AML type-specific. For example, the FLT3-ITD/NPM1-specific clusters 5 and 19 are enriched for occupied HOX, PBX, FOX/E-box and NFI motifs. Occupancy correlated with up-regulation of multiple homeo-domain genes together with *FOXC1* and *NFIX* (Fig S2C). We have previously shown that AP-1 is a crucial mediator of FLT3-ITD signaling²⁴. Occupied AP-1 motifs are enriched in multiple clusters (01, 05, 07, 12, 13, 18, 19), many of which come from AML with signaling mutations. The same is true for NF-κB motifs which are enriched in clusters 01, 03, 06, and 08 which are shared amongst different AML groups. NF-κB and AP-1 factors are mediators of MAP kinase signaling which points to a wide-spread and specific activation of this signaling pathway not just in FLT3-ITD AML²⁴, but in other AML types. Composite ETS/E-box motifs are occupied in clusters 02, 17 and 20 (associated with the CBF/CEBPA groups), and also in clusters 03, 11 and 14. Finally, we observed significant occupancy of the motif for POU4F1 in clusters 02 and 20 containing samples from patients carrying the t(8;21) and CEBPA double mutations, but nowhere else (Fig4B). *POU4F1* has been shown to be aberrantly expressed in t(8;21) cells³², but has so far not been linked to *CEBPA* double mutations. A similar differential occupancy picture was seen when footprints were clustered according to mutation-specific groups of DHSs (Fig. S6D). Inspection of motif occupancy of C/EBP motifs in AMLs where both alleles of *CEBPA* are mutated showed little, if any, reduction in overall motif occupancy, indicating a compensatory action of other C/EBP family members (Fig S6D) which are expressed in these cells (Dataset S4). This analysis connects the AML type-specific occupancy of the motifs to the AML subtype-specific expression of defined sets of TFs and demonstrates that

their expression is of functional relevance for the programming of chromatin at their target genes.

To examine the position of transcription factor occupancy patterns within the hematopoietic hierarchy, we correlated the presence of footprints specific for the different AML-subtypes with accessible chromatin regions present in precursor cells (Fig 4C)²⁵. This analysis revealed unique factor occupancy patterns of AML cells compared to normal progenitor cell types. For example, HOX motifs within open chromatin regions observed in HSCs, MPPs and MEPs are occupied in the FLT3-ITD/NPM1 and RUNX1 groups, but not in the t(8;21) group, confirming the early block in differentiation (Fig 2B). Many of the samples, including NPM1, FLT3-ITD/NPM1 and t(8;21) cells, also displayed high AP-1 motif occupancy which is normally only seen in monocytes. POU4F1 is expressed in HSCs, MPPs, MEPs and in CLPs²⁵ and its binding motifs are occupied in t(8;21) and CEBPA double mutant cells, yet these AML cells also show strong occupancy of C/EBP motifs, which is normally a hallmark of GMPs and Monocytes.

In summary, our high-resolution digital footprinting analysis shows (i) that each AML subtype employs a different combination of factors binding to elements shared with different types of precursor cells and that (ii) lineage unrelated expressed TFs such as FOXC1, NFIX and POU4F1 participate in such cooperation.

AML type-specific cis-regulatory elements show differential intra-chromosomal interactions mediated by shared and specifically expressed transcription factors

The construction of gene regulatory networks requires the linking of cis-regulatory elements to their respective promoter³³. We therefore examined whether the differential activity of cis-regulatory elements in different AML sub-types resulted in the formation of alternate cis-element interactions, and which TF families mediated such interactions. To this end we analysed cells from relapse patient sample t(8;21)-1R (Table S1), which maintained a gene

regulation network similar to the presentation sample t(8;21)-1 (Figs. 1C, S1C and S7A), and a patient carrying a FLT3-ITD/NPM1 mutation (ITD/NPM1-2, Table S1) using promoter-capture chromosomal structure analysis (CHi-C) and compared these data to a dataset derived from human CD34+ cells³⁴. Most interactions occurred intra-chromosomally and did not differ at the global level (Fig. S7B). Fig 5A shows interactions across a segment of chromosome 2, projected on the DHS pattern, demonstrating the organization of this region into topologically associated domains (TADs) that are separated by regions devoid of DHSs. This higher-level structure was unaffected by the type of AML.

Intra-chromosomal interactions driving gene expression are mediated by transcription factor complexes binding to cis-regulatory elements which exist as DHS. The proportion of DHSs involved in AML type-specific interactions varied between the DHS-clusters (Fig 3A, Fig S7C). Moreover, ~40% of all promoters showing differential interactions were associated with expressed genes (Fig S7D,E). Fig S7F shows a direct comparison between the data from the two patients and demonstrates that differential interactions (i) correlated with a differential DHS pattern and that (ii) this difference led to the expression of a differential set of genes with different GO terms (Dataset S5). Fig S7G shows an example of differential interactions within the *KLF2* gene which is differentially expressed between FLT3-ITD and t(8;21) and CD34+ cells.

On average 80% of all DHSs mapped in the t(8;21) AML, the FLT3-ITD AML and the CD34+ cells participated in interactions (Fig S7H). An average of 17% of interactions were specific for each AML-type and not found in CD34+ PBSCs (Fig S7I), whereby half of these were unique to the type of AML (Fig S7J). To identify the TF families involved in regulating differential interactions we determined the proportions of enriched occupied motifs in the DHSs underlying interactions (Fig S7K). These analyses revealed (i) that hematopoietic TFs such as RUNX, ETS and C/EBP family members participated in differential interactions in both AML types, together with the ubiquitously expressed inducible

AP-1 factor family and the normally invariantly binding CTCF factor and (ii) that AML subtype-specifically expressed TFs participated in such interactions as well. In the FLT3-ITD AMLs this included HOX proteins and factors occupying FOX/E-box motifs. In the t(8;21) AMLs this included NF- κ B and proteins binding to FOXO motifs as well as POU4F1, with some motifs being differentially occupied in the same DHS cluster.

Differential interactions drive AML subtype-specific expression of signaling genes but the majority of interactions are shared

In order to integrate differential interactions between promoters and DHSs, digital footprinting data and gene expression data, we assigned the respective DHSs to the promoter they interact with for the two patient classes as described in Fig 5B. We next used these interactions to link DHSs to their respective promoters for AML type-specifically expressed genes across all FLT3-ITD/NPM1 and t(8;21) patients as compared to CD34+ PBSCs. This analysis revealed that the vast majority of DHS underlying interactions between the three data-sets and those of individual patients were shared with an average level of more than 80% overlap (Fig S8A) confirming earlier observations that the global transcriptional network of related cells is also highly related^{35,36}. Sub-type-specific DHSs participating in interactions clustered within their patient group, and related groups, but not with unrelated groups (Fig S8B), confirming that the two patients were representative for those groups. For both the FLT3-ITD/NPM1 and the t(8;21) sample the nearest promoter accounted for 65-74% of AML type-specific interactions driving the expression of genes that are up-regulated compared to CD34+ cells (Figure 5C). Similar results were seen for each of the 20 DHS clusters (Fig. S8C).

GO-term and KEGG-pathway analysis of expressed genes in the two types of AML (Fig 5D-G) revealed an AML type-specific core signature of genes being driven by specific cis-regulatory elements (for an extended gene list see Dataset S5). For both AML samples

these included genes involved in regulating pro-inflammatory pathways such as cytokine receptor signaling and NF- κ B signaling. FLT3-ITD cells also displayed an activated MAP Kinase signaling signature whereas the t(8;21) signature also included RAP, RAS, PI3K and FOXO signaling genes. Significantly, FOXO1 is already known to be part of the t(8;21) pre-leukemic maintenance program³⁷. Importantly, more than 50% of all genes within these pathways were targets of RUNX1-ETO (Figure 5H)³⁰ linking them to the actual driver mutation. A similar percentage of the genes within the FLT3-ITD/NPM1 core pathway are bound by RUNX1 (Fig 5H) which is up-regulated in FLT3-ITD²⁴ (Dataset S5). This included a number of growth factor receptor genes such as the normally T-cell specifically expressed IL-2 receptor alpha chain which is specifically up-regulated in FLT3-ITD/NPM1 patients.

We noticed that ~83% of the DHSs which were involved in significant interactions in each of the 3 samples (Fig S8D). We therefore merged all three ChIP data-sets (Fig S8D) to use this data to assign the DHS from the 20 clusters (Fig 3A) to their respective promoters. The remaining 17% of DHS were assigned to the nearest promoter. Genes associated with the DHS with confirmed interactions are listed in Dataset S6. GO-term and KEGG-pathway analysis of such genes again showed activation of genes connected with signaling processes such as an inflammatory response, regulation of MAPK activity and cytokine regulation in all types of AML.

Different types of AML are maintained by different transcription factor networks

Constitutive and inducible transcription factors form regulatory circuitries and networks by interacting with their own/or other regulatory genes³⁵. Cancer cells are capable of maintaining a stable regulatory network over extended periods of time, implying that the expression of each member of such a network is tightly controlled and remains in balance. Consequently, perturbation of the network components maintaining this balance may destabilize leukemic cells thus offering novel therapeutic options. We therefore combined

footprinting, TF gene expression and where possible, CHi-C data to construct transcription factor networks in normal CD34+ cells and the different AML subtypes by linking occupied binding motifs on TF genes to specific TF families. The full network structure for each cell type without filtering can be studied in detail via the weblink (<http://bioinformatics-bham.co.uk/tfinaml/>). Comparison between the different AML subtypes and normal CD34+ cells identified interactions between TF sets that were either shared between AMLs and CD34+ cells (Fig. S9) or were specific for each subtype (Fig. 6). These analyses suggested that the AP-1 family network, which is known to integrate multiple MAPK signalling pathways, is of central relevance for leukemic maintenance in all AML subtypes (Fig 6 B-G). Interestingly, in each case these networks reveal tight links between AP-1 and KLF family members that form another node of general relevance in each AML. POU4F1 and HLH family factors that recognising MYC/MAX type E-boxes formed prominent nodes in t(8;21) AML only, while HOX proteins, FOXC1, NFIX and the MAF family were exclusively highlighted in FLT3-ITD and NPM1mut-associated AML. Specific nodes and edges were also part of the normal precursor program (Fig S9). For example the link between the C/EBP family and *NFIL3* was shared between the FLT3-ITD/NPM1 cells (Fig 6F) and CD34+ PBSCs (Fig S9F). A detailed discussion of the different network structures and the role of different TF families with more examples can be found in Supplemental Note 5.

Network analysis identifies transcription factors contributing to AML propagation

We next used our network analyses to guide experiments validating the important role of TFs forming network nodes that were either widely employed in AML, or which were AML type-specific. To this end, we transduced three different AML cell lines and primary FLT3-ITD AML cells with lentiviral vectors coding shRNAs targeting *POU4F1* (specific for t(8;21)), or targeting *NFIX* or *FOXC1* (specific for FLT3-ITD), as well as control shRNAs. *NFIX* is known to play a role in myeloid lineage specification³⁸ but has not been linked to

specific mutation types. *FOXC1* is an oncogene in its own right³⁹ and overexpression is observed in AMLs with FLT3-ITD mutations²⁴. However, *NFIX* and *FOXC1* have not yet been directly linked to the maintenance of the FLT3-ITD AML-phenotype. We applied two distinct shRNA constructs per TF gene with all of them significantly reducing the corresponding TF transcript and protein levels in FLT3-ITD and t(8;21) cell lines (Fig S10A-F). Knockdown of *POU4F1* (Figs. S10 A and D) significantly inhibited the proliferation of t(8;21)-positive Kasumi-1 cells (Figs. 7A and S10G) in agreement with our previous findings³². Similarly, expression of NFIX shRNAs efficiently suppressed NFIX expression (Figs. S10B and E) and significantly impaired the proliferation of FLT3-ITD-positive MV4-11, but not FLT3-ITD-negative Kasumi-1 cells (Figs. 7B,C, S10H,I). We next tested the effect of transduction of shRNA constructs targeting these genes on the colony forming ability of patient CD34⁺ cells carrying the FLT3-ITD/NPM1 mutations as well as on sorted CD34⁺ PBSCs. Importantly, both NFIX and FOXC1 shRNA constructs reduced the colony forming ability of patient AML cells carrying the FLT3-ITD/NPM1 mutations, but not that of normal CD34⁺ HSP cells (Figs. 7D, E).

In addition to subtype-specific TFs such as POU4F1 or NFIX, our network analysis suggested that the AP-1 TF family is of general significance for all AML subtypes examined. AP-1 is a heterodimer formed by members of the FOS, ATF, JUN and JDP families of transcription factors and, consequently, challenging to target by defined RNAi approaches. In order to interfere with the binding of all AP-1 family members, we introduced an inducible version of a dominant negative FOS (dnFOS) protein^{40,41}. Doxycyclin-mediated induction of dnFOS significantly inhibited proliferation of both t(8;21)-positive Kasumi-1 cells and FLT3-ITD expressing MV4-11 cell lines as compared to non-induced controls (Figs. 7F, G, S10J, K). Moreover, transduction of primary CD34⁺ FLT3-ITD cells with a lentivirus encoding a constitutively expressed dnFOS reduced the colony forming ability of MV4-11 FLT3-ITD cells but not of CD34⁺ HPSCs (Figs. 7H, I, S10L). Finally, we examined the significance of AP-1

for leukaemia propagation *in vivo*. To that end, we transplanted either Kasumi-1 or MV4-11 cells expressing a doxycycline-inducible dnFOS into immunodeficient RG mice followed by randomization into a doxycycline and untreated arm. In the case of Kasumi-1 transplantation, 6 out of 7 animals of the control group, but only 2 animals of doxycycline-treated group developed granulosa sarcomas (Fig. 7J). Importantly, neither of the latter two tumours expressed dnFOS after DOX treatment (data not shown), further suggesting that induction of dnFOS was incompatible with tumour formation. Similarly, doxycycline treatment of mice transplanted with FLT3-ITD MV4-11 cells that harbored the *dnFOS* transgene inhibited the development of leukemia while all untreated mice rapidly developed tumours and had to be sacrificed (Fig. 7K). Taken together, these findings demonstrate the significance of AP-1 for several AML subtypes and emphasize the potential of transcriptional network analyses to predict TFs crucial for malignant propagation.

Discussion

In this study we define how aberrantly expressed TFs and signaling molecules shape the epigenetic landscape of different sub-types of primary AML. We show (i) that it is possible to use high-quality DNaseI footprinting analysis of purified AML blast cells to identify AML subtype specific TF networks, (ii) that such TF networks allow us to infer a dependency on specific factors for leukemic growth and (iii) that the global activation of signaling pathways in multiple types of AML parallels a growth dependency on AP-1 activity. This comprehensive integrative comparison of gene expression patterns, chromatin accessibility and TF occupancy of primary AML reveals a strong connection between leukemic classifier mutations and networks of TFs and signaling components. Moreover, mapping of cis-element promoter interactions by CHiC enabled assigning the majority of genes of all analysed subtypes to their correct promoter. It has long been known that different types of AML can be characterised by their gene expression and methylation patterns^{42,43} suggesting

the existence of specific gene regulatory networks. However, our work now defines these networks in detail, and convincingly proves that leukemic drivers determine the regulatory phenotype by establishing and maintaining gene regulatory and signaling networks distinct from normal cells. Networks consist of shared and specific components and even involve regulators normally not expressed in myeloid cells, such as such as *FOXC1* or *POU4F1*. Our validation experiments show that induced and aberrantly expressed TFs are not just bystanders, but are important for network maintenance and leukemic growth, thus harbouring novel therapeutic opportunities for targeted treatment.

A clinically relevant novel finding from our study is that *CEBPA* double mutant AML is epigenetically highly related to t(8;21) AML. The t(8;21) is driven by a single aberrant TF (*RUNX1-ETO*) which is sufficient to establish a pre-leukemic state and whose mechanism of action has been under scrutiny for many years. The most likely reason for this epigenetic congruence between t(8;21) and *CEBPA* double mutant AML is that both mutations target a common key control point of myeloid differentiation. *RUNX1-ETO* represses the *CEBPA* gene while *C/EBPα* is required for the differentiation response of t(8;21) cells to *RUNX1-ETO* knock-down²². Consequently, the two types of AML share a number of pathways, as exemplified by the expression of *POU4F1*, which could be translated into common therapeutic strategies.

The full set of target genes of *RUNX1-ETO* in t(8;21) is known and the t(8;21) specific epigenome and TF binding pattern has been extensively characterized⁴⁴. A number of target genes relevant for the maintenance or establishment of the leukemogenic state have already been identified, including *FOXO1*, *UBASH3B*, *POU4F1*, and *LAT2* together with the members of the *RUNX1-ETO* complex^{22,32,37,45-47}. Our current comparative study has validated these targets, highlighting the power of our methodology and has identified multiple new network components. However, for the other types of AML, in particular for the *FLT3-ITD* there had been insufficient knowledge of which genes and TFs are primarily

responsible for directing the AML type-specific gene regulatory networks. Here, we identified a number of signaling and transcriptional components distinguishing FLT3-ITD from normal blasts and from other types of AML comprising a rich resource for combination therapy approaches. We examined the contribution to leukemic growth for two genes with AML type-specific activity (*NFIX* and *FOXC1*) and showed that in every case their elimination resulted in a growth reduction in AML but not normal cells, yet again confirming that each type of AML stabilises a specific transcriptional network required for survival.

The AP-1 factor family has been known to play an important role in many types of tumours⁴⁸ and our study shows that it is also of major importance for different types of AML. FLT3-ITD MV4-11 cells have abundant levels of nuclear AP-1, and FLT3-ITD target genes such as *CCNA1* are suppressed by MAP kinase inhibitors in these cells²⁴. We have recently shown that JUN scores highly in a siRNA dropout screen examining the requirements for tumour development in t(8;21) AML (Martinez-Soria et al., in press). Moreover, FOS plays an important role in the resistance against BCR-ABL inhibition in CML by activating compensatory signaling pathways⁴⁹. Since several growth factor and stress signal cascades feed into AP-1, a targeted inhibition of all AP-1 binding may be less likely to lead to resistance by rewiring of signalling pathways.

The classical picture of two-step leukemogenesis states that in AML a mutation altering a differentiation trajectory cooperates with signaling mutations directing leukemic growth^{10,11}. Mutations in TFs which program chromatin directly, and epigenetic regulators such as DNMT3A and TET2 which set up a specific global epigenetic landscape upon which TFs act, fall into the first category while FLT3-ITD falls into the second. However, these distinctions are now becoming blurred as from the viewpoint of the regulation of gene expression, growth factor receptors elicit a strong influence on transcriptional activity via the action of inducible TFs. Moreover, they play a dominant role in driving the differentiation trajectory as their binding patterns, as exemplified by AP-1 family members, show an AML

sub-type specific occupancy signature that is uninfluenced by the presence or absence of epigenetic regulator mutations (in this case DNMT3A)²⁴. This is not to say that mutations in such genes do not influence the developmental trajectory of AML and clinical outcomes, as shown in CBF AML⁵⁰ since AML cells with such mutations acquire an altered DNA methylation landscape that is likely to influence TF binding⁵¹. However, our data show that the leukemic phenotype and self-renewal in different types of AML defined by differentially activating a multitude of different and often lineage-unrelated signaling pathways and by expressing lineage-unrelated TFs. From the viewpoint of finding therapeutic targets, identifying such mutation-specific pathways will offer to eliminate their specific maintenance program by targeting multiple pathways simultaneously. Our study provides a first step towards this goal.

Methods

Patient samples and PBSC cell processing

Human tissue was obtained with the required ethical approval from the NHS National Research Ethics Committee. AML and PBSC samples used in this study were either surplus diagnostic samples, or were fresh samples obtained with specific consent from the patients. AML samples were obtained from either (i) the Haematological Malignancy Diagnostic Service (St James's Hospital, Leeds, UK, (ii) the Centre for Clinical Haematology, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, Birmingham, UK, (iii) the West Midlands Regional Genetics Laboratory, Birmingham Women's NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK, or from iv) Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. Mononuclear cells were purified on the same day that they were received, and in most cases also directly further purified using either CD34 or CD117 (KIT) magnetic antibodies, as previously described²⁴. For some samples with greater than 92% blast cells the column purification was not performed. Mobilized PBSCs were provided by NHS BT, Leeds, and NHS BT, Birmingham.

Mutation detection

Mutated genes identified in each patient are summarized in Supplementary Table 1, together with the age, gender and white blood cell count for each patient. Mutations were identified by one of two different methods. The first batch of patients were assayed by targeted exon sequencing of 55 cancer-associated genes using 1212 pairs of previously defined PCR primers²⁴ for amplification using a RainDance Technologies platform. The mutation sequence data from this screen was analyzed using algorithms to detect either (i) nucleotide variants using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK)⁵² or insertions and deletions using Pindel⁵². Mutations were also screened against the COSMIC database of previously observed mutations (<http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/>). Subsequent samples were assayed using the Illumina Trusight myeloid panel of primers and processed by approaches

similar to those used for the first batch. All identified mutations are listed in Table S1. Some of these patients were also included in a previous publication from our laboratory, using different patients identification codes²⁴ to those used in the current study.

Cell lines

Cell lines were cultured in an incubator at 37°C in GIBCO™ 1640 RPMI + Glutamax™ medium supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal calf serum (GIBCO), 100 U/ml Penicillin, 100 mg/ml Streptomycin.

Growth curve measurements

250000 MV4-11 or Kasumi-1 cells were cultured in RPMI supplemented with 10 % fetal calf serum, 2mM L-Glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin. Cells were counted with Trypan Blue exclusion and split every 3 days to maintain them in the log phase of growth. For the inducible dnFOS, cells were counted and split every 2 days and 1.5 µg/ml of doxycycline was added.

Co-culture of Primary Cells with MS-5 feeders

Primary cells were maintained in co-culture with MS-5 cells⁵³ Briefly, cells were cultured in LTC medium (α-minimum essential medium (Lonza) supplemented with heat-inactivated 12.5% fetal calf serum (Gibco), heat-inactivated 12.5% horse serum (Gibco), penicillin and streptomycin, 200 mM glutamine, 57.2 µM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma) and 1 µM hydrocortisone; (Sigma) supplemented with 20 ng/ml IL-3, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) and thrombopoietin (TPO) in flasks pre-coated with MS-5 cells.

Lentiviral transduction and shRNA treatment

LEGO-iG-shRNA were generated by cloning shRNAs with the target sequences described below into the LEGO-iG vector⁵⁴. LEGO-iG-dnFOS was generated by cloning the dnFOS insert, originally generated by Charles Vinson (National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, USA⁴⁰ into the LEGO-iG backbone. Inducible dnFOS was cloned into a pENTR backbone and then using Gateway Cloning to insert that into the Tet-on plasmid pCW57.1 (David Root,

504 Addgene plasmid #41393). Backbone vectors LEGO-iG and Inducible dnFOS then used to
 505 generate lentiviral particles using packaging and envelope genes on four separate plasmids:
 506 TAT, REV, GAG/POL and VSV-G⁵⁵.
 507 shRNA Target sequences: shFOXC1_B GTCACAGAGGATCGGCTTGAA; shFOXC1_C
 508 GCCGCACCATAGCCAGGGCTT; shNFI_X_B: GGAATCCGGACAATCAGAT;
 509 shNFI_X_C GCAGTCTCAGTCCTGGTTCCT; shPOU4F1_C
 510 GCCGAGAACTGGACCTCAAA; shPOU4F1: GCCGATTAACAAGACTGAAAT;
 511 shMM GCGCGATAGCGCTAATAATTT
 512 For virus production, 293T Human Embryonic Kidney cells were cultured in Dulbecco's
 513 Modified Eagle Medium supplemented with 10 % fetal calf serum, 2mM L-Glutamine, 100
 514 U/ml penicillin, 100 mg/ml streptomycin and 0.11 mg/ml Sodium pyruvate; and were seeded
 515 to achieve 70-80% confluency at time of transfection. HEK293T cells were transfected using
 516 the calcium phosphate co-precipitation of the five-plasmids (LEGO-iG with TAT, REV,
 517 GAG/POL and VSV-G) at a mass ratio of 24 µg : 1.2 µg : 1.2 µg : 1.2 µg : 2.4 µg per 150
 518 mm diameter plate of cells. Viral supernatant was harvested after 24 h and subsequently
 519 every 12 h for 36 h prior to concentration with Centricon Plus 70 100 kDa filter (Millipore,
 520 USA), using the manufacturer's instructions. Concentrated viral particles were stored at 4 °C
 521 prior to lentiviral transduction. Cell lines were transduced with concentrated virus in the
 522 presence of 8 µg/ml polybrene by spinoculation at 1500 xG for 50 min. After 12 – 16 h
 523 incubation at 37 °C viral media was exchanged for fresh media. Cell sorting by FACS was
 524 performed to isolate GFP+ cells 3 days after transduction.
 525 Primary cell samples were defrosted 24 h prior to transduction and co-cultured with MS-5
 526 feeder cells in LTC medium. 6 well non-tissue culture treated plates were coated with 24
 527 µg/ml retronectin (Takara Clontech) for 2 h prior to blocking with 2% BSA PBS for 30 min.
 528 The blocking buffer was washed off with HBSS (Gibco) containing 2.5% HEPES. 1 ml viral
 529 concentrate was applied to the retronectin coated plate by centrifugation at 2000 xG for 45

minutes, after which the concentrated viral supernatant was refreshed and the centrifugation repeated. Primary cells suspended to a concentration of 1×10^6 cells/ml in the remaining viral supernatant; supplemented with 20 ng/ul G-CSF, IL-3, TPO and 8 µg/ml polybrene, were then added to the plate and transduced by spinoculation at 1500 xG for 50 min. After 12 – 16 h incubation at 37 °C viral media was exchanged for fresh media. Cell sorting by FACS was performed to isolate GFP+ cells 3 days after transduction.

Colony Formation Assays of Primary Cells

Colony formation assays were performed on sorted cells by seeding at 2500 cells/ml in Methocult Express (Stem Cell Technologies). After 14 days colonies were counted.

Animal experiments

Immunodeficient Rag2^{-/-}Il2rγ^{-/-}129×Balb/c (RG) mice were housed in the Comparative Biology Centre (Newcastle University) under specific pathogen free conditions. All animal work was conducted in accordance with Home Office Project License PPL60/4552 by researchers who had completed approved Home Office training and held current Personal Licenses under the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. Kasumi-1 pCW57.1-dnFOS cells were intrahepatically injected into 14 newborn (2 days old) RG mice at a cell dose of 2.5×10^5 cells/mouse as described previously (Martinez Soria et al., 2009). Twelve days later, mice were randomized into two treatment groups, one given doxycycline 50 mg/kg three times per week intraperitoneally in an unblended fashion till the experimental endpoint. MV4-11 pCW57.1-dnFOS cells were intrafemorally injected into RG mice at a cell dose of 5×10^5 cells/mouse followed by randomization into two groups. For the dox group doxycycline was added at a concentration of 2 mg/ml for the initial 3 days and at 0.2 mg/ml subsequently to drinking water containing 2% sucrose. Controls were given water containing 2% sucrose. Animals were humanely killed upon clinical signs of illness or at defined experimental endpoints.

RT- qPCR

RNA was extracted using the Machery-Nagel Nucleospin kit. 1µg RNA was used to make cDNA with 0.5µg OligoDT primer, Murine Moloney Reverse Transcriptase and RNase Inhibitor (Promega, USA) according to manufacturer's protocol. RT-PCR was performed using Sybr Green mix (Applied Biosystems, UK), at 2x dilution. Primers were used at 100nM concentration. A 7900HT system (Applied Biosystems, UK) was used to perform qPCR. Analyses were performed in technical duplicates using a standard curve derived from the untreated cell line.

Western Blotting

Protein lysates from cell lines were analysed by Western blot. Relevant primary antibodies against FOXC1 (Cell Signaling Technology - #8758) , NFIX (Invitrogen - #PA5-31234), POU4F1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology – sc-8426) were used to detect target genes and GAPDH (mouse αGAPDH – Abcam – ab8245; rabbit αGAPDH – Cell Signaling Technology – 2118L) was used as a housekeeping gene. Secondary antibodies mouse anti-rabbit HRP (Rockland – 18-8816-31) and goat anti-mouse HRP (Jackson ImmunoResearch – 115-035-062) enabled detection and quantifications by densitometry using Imagelab software and a GelDoc imager.

RNA-Seq library preparation

RNA was extracted and analyzed from purified AML cells as previously described²³

DNaseI-Seq

DNaseI digestions of permeabilized cells were performed as previously described⁵⁶. Briefly, live cells were added directly to a solution of DNaseI (DPFF, Worthington) in dilute Nonidet P40, digested for 3 min at 22°C, and the reactions then terminated by addition of SDS to 0.5%. DNaseI was typically used in the range of 2-6 µg/ml using a final 1.5 x 10⁷ cells/ml. DNaseI-Seq libraries were then prepared and validated essentially as previously described³⁰. Libraries were run on Illumina sequencers.

Promoter capture HiC (CHi-C) from patient AML blasts

AML cells from patient peripheral blood were first purified by density gradient centrifugation (Lymphoprep™) and then using CD34 antibody coupled beads. 5×10^7 t(8;21) blasts (patient t(8;21)-1R) and FLT3-ITD/NPM1 blasts (patient ITD/NPM1-2) were fixed in 37 ml of RPMI-1640 supplemented with 15% FBS and 2% formaldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature. 6 ml of 1M glycine (0.125 M final concentration) was added to quench the reaction and cells were incubated at room temperature for 5 min, followed by 15 minutes on ice before pelleting the cells at 4 °C and washing them in ice cold PBS. Each sample was flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C. Cells were lysed in a tight dounce homogeniser (ten cycles) with 3ml of cold lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 10 mM NaCl, 0.2% Igepal CA-630, one tablet protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche complete, EDTA-free, 11873580001)). Cells were left on ice for five minutes then homogenised another ten times. The lysed cells, in 3 ml lysis buffer, were added to 47ml of lysis buffer and incubated on ice for 30 minutes with occasional mixing. Chromatin was pelleted and resuspended in 1ml of 1.25x NEBuffer 2 and split into four. Each sample was then pelleted at 1000 rpm and resuspended in 358 µl of 1.25x NEBuffer 2. 11 µl 10% SDS was added and each tube was incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes, rotating at 950 rpm. Samples were mixed by pipetting up and down every 15 minutes. SDS was quenched with 75µl 10% Triton X-100 and incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes. HindIII digestion, biotinylation, ligation, crosslink reversal, promoter capture and library preparation was performed exactly as described in³⁴.

Bioinformatics analyses

DNaseI-Seq data analysis

Alignment: DNaseI-seq sequences from all experiments were mapped onto the reference human genome version hg38, with Bowtie version 2.3.1⁵⁷ using default parameters. Low quality reads were trimmed prior to the alignment and the quality control (QC) statistics for

the samples were obtained using FastQC tools. Reads that were aligned to unique chromosomal positions were retained.

Peak calling. DNaseI Hypersensitive Sites (DHSs) were called with MACS2 using callpeak function (nomodel, call-summits and q= 0.005 parameters)⁵⁸. DHSs were allocated to genes and to the gene promoter if it was within 2kb of the gene transcription start site (TSS), and as distal otherwise. Overlaps between DHSs peaks were defined by requiring the summits of two peaks to lie within +/-200 bp.

DNaseI-Seq peak set definition: To define a common set of coordinates covering all of the significant distal DHSs investigated in this study, we merged all of the individual DNaseI-Seq reads for all of the AML samples assayed by DNaseI-Seq. This data set was then used to define the peak summits of 128,864 distal peaks, excluding promoters, which were detected in the merged data. This approach was designed to maximize the precision and sensitivity of the peak detection, allowing us to generate a single set of peak coordinates that (i) included all the regions where peaks might be found, thereby reducing the level of false negatives, and (ii) greatly diminished the number of false positives. The DNA read counts were then determined for 400 bp windows centered on each peak for each AML sample and for the PBSC samples. To account for the different number of reads in each of the samples; the read counts were initially normalized for total read depth using DEseq2⁵⁹. Because most of our individual DNaseI-Seq data sets encompassed in the range of 25,000 to 40,000 significant distal DHSs, we further normalized the values obtained on the basis of the midpoint (12.5 percentile) of the top 25% of peaks (32,216 peaks).

Mutation-specific DNaseI-Seq peak set definition: We determined the average log2 values for 7 distinct subsets of AMLs that carried the same specific mutations in key regulators, and which shared similar patterns of DHSs based on the DHS clustering analysis. The samples included in each group are color-coded and listed in order in Table S1 for AML samples with the following mutations: (i) 3 samples with FLT3-ITD but not NPM1 (#1 to 3), (ii)

6 samples with FLT3-ITD and NPM1 (# 1 to 6), (iii) 2 samples with NPM1 but not FLT3-ITD (# 1 and 2), (iv) 4 samples with t(8;21) (1 to 4), (v) 3 samples with inv(16) (# 1 to 3); (vi) 6 samples with RUNX1 or RUNX1 and CEBPA (1 to 6), and (vii) 3 samples with 2 CEBPA mutations (#1 to 3). To define mutation-specific subsets of specific DHSs, we identified peaks where the average log₂ value both was at least 64 and at least 3-fold higher than in PBSCs. Downregulated DHSs are defined as being at least 3-fold less than in PBSCs. Samples were not included in these 7 specific groups in cases where, for example, 2 copies of the FLT3-ITD mutation were present, the NPM1 mutation was paired with a NRAS instead of the FLT3-ITD, RUNX1 mutations were paired with a JAK2 mutation, or where only a single CEBPA allele was mutated.

Clustering of DNaseI-Seq data: Clustering of DNaseI-seq samples was carried out using the merged distal DHSs. The number of reads that mapped to these DHSs was counted in a 400bp window centered on the DHS summit, and subsequently normalized to total sample size using DEseq2⁵⁹. Pearson correlation coefficients were then calculated for each pair of samples using the log₂ of the normalized read counts, and then hierarchically clustered using Euclidean distance and complete linkage clustering of the correlation matrix in R.

K-mean clustering of AML specific DHSs: A combined set of up-regulated distal DHSs that defined as being at least 3-fold greater than in PBSCs was used to perform unsupervised k-mean clustering. The number of reads that mapped to these peaks was counted in a 400bp window centered on the DHS summit, and subsequently normalized to total sample size using DEseq2⁵⁹. Clustering was done on rows (DHSs) while samples (columns) were ranked based on the hierarchical clustering in Figure 1C. Initially the read counts output from DEseq2⁵⁹ was further quartile normalised using the “preprocessCore” package in R, The log₂ of the normalised reads were clustered using k-means clustering with Euclidean distances (stats package in R) and the optimal number of clusters was determined to be 20 based on the lowest Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) scores

(Schwarz, 1978). Each of the 20 clusters was then hierarchically clustered using the “complete linkage” agglomeration method.

ATAC sequencing data analysis

ATAC-seq profiles of hematopoietic and leukemic cell types taken²⁵ were downloaded from GEO with accession number GSE74912. ATAC-seq data of HSC, MPP, CMP, CLP, MEP, GMP and Monocytes were downloaded and aligned to the human genome version hg38. Aligned reads with the same cell line were merged and then ATAC peaks were obtained using MACS2 with default parameter. Overlaps between DHS and ATAC peaks were defined by requiring the summits of two peaks to lie within +/-200 bp. Pair-wise peak overlaps between DHSs and ATAC peaks of hematopoietic *i* and *j* were performed in order to calculate the fraction (M_{ij})

$M_{ij} = \frac{N_{ij}}{N_i}$ where N_{ij} is the total peaks that overlap, N_i is the total number peaks in set *i* (DHSs) and N_j is the total peaks in *j* (ATAC). A matrix with the calculated fraction multiply by 100 was generated and a heatmap was plotted (Figure 2B) after hieratically clustered in R. Clustering of DNaseI-seq and ATAC-seq samples (Figure S2A and Figure S3B) was carried out using the merged distal DHSs as described earlier using the DNaseI-seq only.

ChIP sequencing data analysis

ChIP-Seq sequencing reads were downloaded from GEO with accession numbers (GSM1581788, GSM1693378, GSM1466000)²⁴ (GSM722705, GSM722704)³⁰, the reads were aligned to the human genome version hg38 with Bowtie version 2.3.1⁵⁷. Reads that mapped uniquely to the genome were retained and duplicated reads were removed using the MarkDuplicates function in Picard tools (<http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/>). Peaks were identified with MACS version 1.4.2⁵⁸ and DFilter software⁶⁰ with recommended parameters (-bs=100 -ks=50 -refine). Peaks common to both peak calling methods were considered for further analysis.

H3K27Ac ChIP data analysis

H3K27Ac ChIP data from²⁶ were downloaded from NCBI with accession number SRP103200. The raw reads were aligned to the human reference genome hg38 and density profiles were generated using *bedtools*. The *bedGraph* files were used to generate the H3K27Ac average coverage plotted a long side the DHSs of the 20 clusters (Figure S3D).

Digital genomic footprinting

Digital genomic footprinting was performed using the *Wellington_footprints* function of the Wellington algorithm²⁹ on High-depth AML and CD34+ PBSC DHSs. DHS footprints probability and DNase forward and reverse cut coverages, were generated using the *dnase_wig_tracks* function of Wellington. AML-specific footprints compared to PBSC CD34+ cells were identified using *wellington_bootstrap* function of Wellington. Mutation-specific footprints of the groups were identified by using the *Wellington_footprints* function using the merged reads of the Mutation-specific individual DNaseI-Seq of each group.

Motif identification

De novo motif analysis was performed on peaks using HOMER⁶¹. Motif lengths of 6, 8, 10, and 12 bp were identified in within ± 200 bp from the peak summit. The *annotatePeaks* function in HOMER was used to find occurrences of motifs in peaks. In this case we used known motif position weight matrices (PWM).

Motif co-localisation clustering: Motif co-localisation clustering was performed as previously described²². A motif position search was done within DHSs that are group mutation-specifically footprinted. The distance between the centres of each motif pairs was calculated and the motif frequency was counted if the first motif was within 50bps distance from the second motif. Z-scores were calculated from the mean and standard deviation of motif frequencies observed in random sets using bootstrap analysis, peak sets with a population equal to that of the footprinted peaks were randomly obtained from the merged footprints of all AML and CD34+ footprints sets. Motif search and motif frequencies

calculations were repeated 1000 times for each random set. A matrix was generated and Z-scores were displayed after hierarchical clustering as a heatmap with R.

Motif enrichment

To identify motifs that are relatively enriched in the distal footprinted DHSs of each of AML mutation groups (Figure S5) and the AML DHSs clusters (Figure 3A). For a given set j of footprints, we defined a motif enrichment score (ES_{ij}) for motif i in footprint set j as

$$ES_{ij} = \frac{n_{ij}/M_j}{\sum_j n_{ij}/\sum_j M_j} \quad \text{where } n_{ij} \text{ is the number of footprints in each subset } j \ (j=1,2,\dots,12)$$

containing motif i ($i=1, 2, \dots, l$), l is the total number of motifs used in the test, and M_j the total number of peaks in each subset j ($j=1,2,\dots,30$). A matrix was generated and the motif enrichment scores were displayed as a heatmap after hierarchical clustering with Euclidean distance and complete linkage. The heatmap was generated using R. The statistical significance for a ES_{ij} score of a given motif i in peak set j is computed as Z-scores using bootstrapping ($N=1000$), where a random set of peaks is extracted from a global set of footprinted regions and ES is calculated. After N iterations the mean (μ_{ij}) and the standard deviation (σ_{ij}) are computed and the z-scores are computed as $Z_{ij} = \frac{ES_{ij} - \mu_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}}$. The global set of regions is a merged set of all the AML footprints. These Z-scores are provided in Table S7.

RNA-seq data analysis

RNA-Seq reads were aligned to the human genome hg38 build with STAR⁶² using ENCODE recommend parameters. Separate density profiles for the positive and negative strand were generated using bedtools. Cufflinks⁶³ was used to calculate the expression values as Fragments Per Kilobase per Million aligned reads (FPKM) from the aligned RNA-seq data. Mutation-specific group's gene-wise expression values were obtained using the *cuffdiff* function of cufflinks. The correlation between any two AML samples was obtained as the Pearson correlation coefficient of expression values over all genes. A correlation matrix was

thus generated for all the samples and hierarchically clustered to study the relationship among samples as given in Figure S1C. Smooth scatter plots were generated in R.

Gene expression analysis

Differentially expressed genes were extracted using the limma R package⁶⁴. Genes were said to be differentially expressed (DE) if there was a twofold change in expression between any each of the AML patient sample or each of the mutation-specific group and the PBSC CD34+ with a p -value less than or equal to 0.01 and with FPKM greater than 1 in at least one AML sample. For each value of a DE gene a pseudo-count $\gamma = 0.1$ was added to the FPKM values and the binary logarithm of this value was considered as the expression value of the gene in each sample (j), $e_{ij} = \log_2(FPKM_{ij} + \gamma)$. These DE values were then clustered (Figure S1A) using hierarchical clustering with Euclidean distances (*stats* package in R). While Hierarchical clustering of transcription factors gene expression was carried out on fold-changes for genes associated with at least a 2-fold change compared to the CD34+.

Gene set enrichment analysis

A publically available RNA-seq data of hematopoietic cell types were downloaded from GEO with accession number GSE74246. The downloaded RNA-seq data were processed in similar way as described above. The GSEA software⁶⁵ was used to perform gene set enrichment analysis on group of genes. Module map⁶⁶ implemented by Genomic software was used to find which groups of genes are significantly up- or down-regulated using a statistical test based on the hyper-geometric distribution the fraction of up or down regulated is displayed as a heatmap (Fig 2C and Fig S4C).

Gene ontology (GO) analysis: Gene ontology (GO) analysis was performed using clueGO tools⁶⁵ with Hypergeometric for overrepresentation and Benjamini and Hochberg (FDR) correction for multiple testing corrections. KEGG Pathway network analysis was performed using clueGO tools⁶⁵ with kappa score = 0.3. A right-sided enrichment (depletion) test based on the hypergeometric distribution was used for terms and groups. The size of the nodes

reflects the number of genes within the term. The color of nodes reflects the enrichment significance of the terms. The network is laid out using Cytoscape. The KEGG pathway network figures for all DHS-cluster associated genes are shown in Table S6.

Expression profiles from larger patient cohort datasets

Microarray data from Verhaak et al.⁴² were downloaded from GEO under the accession number GSE6891. Patients were split according to their mutational status; Boxplots showing the expression of the indicated genes in FLT3-ITD, NPM1, CEBPA, t(8;21), inv(16) and NRAS mutation groups. The statistical significance of the difference in expression between FLT3-ITD and other mutations was determined using an unpaired t-test.

Promoter Capture HiC data analysis

The CHi-C paired-end sequencing reads from ITD/NMP1-2 and t(8;21)-1R patients and a publically available CD34+ dataset (accession numbers ERR436032 and ERR436025) were put through *HiCUP* pipeline⁶⁷. The raw sequencing reads were separated and mapped against the human genome (hg38). The reads were then filtered for experimental artefacts and duplicate reads, and then re-paired. Statistically significant interactions were called using *GOTHIC* package⁶⁸ and HOMER software. This uses a cumulative binomial test to detect interactions between distal genomic loci that have significantly more reads than expected by chance, by using a background model of random interactions. This analysis assigns each interaction with a p-value, which represents its significance. Differential interactions were determined with HOMER⁶¹ for t(8;21) using FLT3-ITD or CD34+ as background and FLT3-ITD using t(8;21) or CD34+ as a background. A difference with a p-value of less than 0.1 was deemed to be significant

Transcription Factor Gene Regulatory Network Construction

We identified a subset of 310 transcription factor (TF) genes that are expressed in one or more of our AML samples. The gene names for transcription factors in human were obtained from AnimalTFDB⁶⁹. The 310 TFs were considered as nodes and the nodes coloured

according to their expression values at each AML subtype (Fig 6, Fig S9 and Fig SN5). Node border colour signifies whether the gene is up-regulated, down-regulated or invariant base on a 2-fold-change compared to CD34+ cells. Node border type indicates whether gene is differentially expressed in one AML subtype as compared to other subtypes. A directed edge from TF_a to TF_b indicates motif binding of a TF_a to the locus of the TF_b and the edge is prominently displayed if TF_a binds to the locus at that stage. The edge is classified and colour coded according to the significant of motif count enrichment.

Motif count enrichment for TFs network: Initially footprints for each AML subtype were identified by using the Wellington algorithm²⁹ and were annotated to their related promoter using ChI-C data where possible. Motif search within footprint coordinates were performed using HOMER⁶¹. The number of motifs per TF gene were counted and the significance of motif enrichment was identified using bootstrapping on random sampling, a random set of mapped motif were extracted from all union footprinted motif of all AML subtypes and the CD34+ cells. After 1000 iterations the mean, standard deviation and the z-scores are computed. Motif (TF_a) is linked to gene (TF_b) with only positive Z-score.

Motif count enrichment for up-regulated TFs: The correlations (r_e) between all TF genes based on FPKM values from the RNA-seq analysis were identified and the correlations (r_m) between all TF genes based on motif count binding were identified. The correlation coefficients were z-transformed using Fisher Z-transformation with “FisherZ” function in R. The average of the transformed z-scores of both gene expression and motif were transformed to correlation (r). All TF genes with a correlation coefficient equal or greater than a cut-off of 0.3 were considered. Then for each AML cell type, the differentially expressed TF genes among these correlated genes were identified. First with AML subtype as compared to CD34+ cells and second with AML subtype compared to the average expression of other subtypes includes the CD34+. Up-regulated genes with a 2-fold-change in expression were either compared to CD34+ or compared to other AMLs were considered

to construct the network. Motif enrichment for the correlated and up-regulated TF genes and edges were identified as described above.

List of used position weight matrices: A description of how the motifs were curated can be found in the legend of Table S2.

Data availability

Processed data will be available from our webserver

<http://bioinformatics-bham.co.uk/tfinaml/>

Password sal2018.

Raw data have been deposited at GEO under the accession number GSE108316.

References

1. Cancer Genome Atlas Research, N. Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* **368**, 2059-74 (2013).
2. Papaemmanuil, E. *et al.* Genomic Classification and Prognosis in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *New England Journal of Medicine* **374**, 2209-2221 (2016).
3. Bonifer, C. & Cockerill, P.N. Chromatin Structure Profiling Identifies Crucial Regulators of Tumor Maintenance. *Trends Cancer* **1**, 157-160 (2015).
4. Rosenbauer, F. & Tenen, D.G. Transcription factors in myeloid development: balancing differentiation with transformation. *Nature reviews. Immunology* **7**, 105-17 (2007).
5. Cockerill, P.N. Receptor Signaling Directs Global Recruitment of Pre-existing Transcription Factors to Inducible Elements. *Yale J Biol Med* **89**, 591-596 (2016).
6. Ward, A.F., Braun, B.S. & Shannon, K.M. Targeting oncogenic Ras signaling in hematologic malignancies. *Blood* **120**, 3397-406 (2012).

- 838 7. Parikh, C., Subrahmanyam, R. & Ren, R. Oncogenic NRAS rapidly and efficiently
839 induces CMML- and AML-like diseases in mice. *Blood* **108**, 2349-57 (2006).
- 840 8. Masson, K. & Ronnstrand, L. Oncogenic signaling from the hematopoietic growth
841 factor receptors c-Kit and Flt3. *Cell Signal* **21**, 1717-26 (2009).
- 842 9. Gerloff, D. *et al.* NF-kappaB/STAT5/miR-155 network targets PU.1 in FLT3-ITD-
843 driven acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia* **29**, 535-47 (2015).
- 844 10. Corces-Zimmerman, M.R., Hong, W.J., Weissman, I.L., Medeiros, B.C. & Majeti, R.
845 Preleukemic mutations in human acute myeloid leukemia affect epigenetic regulators
846 and persist in remission. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 2548-53 (2014).
- 847 11. Shlush, L.I. *et al.* Identification of pre-leukaemic haematopoietic stem cells in acute
848 leukaemia. *Nature* **506**, 328-33 (2014).
- 849 12. Di Croce, L. & Helin, K. Transcriptional regulation by Polycomb group proteins.
850 *Nature structural & molecular biology* **20**, 1147-55 (2013).
- 851 13. Broske, A.M. *et al.* DNA methylation protects hematopoietic stem cell multipotency
852 from myeloerythroid restriction. *Nature genetics* **41**, 1207-15 (2009).
- 853 14. Bonifer, C. & Bowen, D.T. Epigenetic mechanisms regulating normal and malignant
854 haematopoiesis: new therapeutic targets for clinical medicine. *Expert reviews in*
855 *molecular medicine* **12**, e6 (2010).
- 856 15. Challen, G.A. *et al.* Dnmt3a is essential for hematopoietic stem cell differentiation.
857 *Nature genetics* **44**, 23-31 (2012).
- 858 16. Ko, M. *et al.* Impaired hydroxylation of 5-methylcytosine in myeloid cancers with
859 mutant TET2. *Nature* **468**, 839-43 (2010).
- 860 17. Shih, A.H., Abdel-Wahab, O., Patel, J.P. & Levine, R.L. The role of mutations in
861 epigenetic regulators in myeloid malignancies. *Nature reviews. Cancer* **12**, 599-612
862 (2012).

- 863 18. Goode, D.K. *et al.* Dynamic Gene Regulatory Networks Drive Hematopoietic
864 Specification and Differentiation. *Dev Cell* **36**, 572-87 (2016).
- 865 19. Obier, N. & Bonifer, C. Chromatin programming by developmentally regulated
866 transcription factors: lessons from the study of haematopoietic stem cell specification
867 and differentiation. *FEBS Lett* **590**, 4105-4115 (2016).
- 868 20. Wilson, N.K. *et al.* Combinatorial transcriptional control in blood stem/progenitor
869 cells: genome-wide analysis of ten major transcriptional regulators. *Cell Stem Cell* **7**,
870 532-44 (2010).
- 871 21. Rubin, A.J. *et al.* Lineage-specific dynamic and pre-established enhancer-promoter
872 contacts cooperate in terminal differentiation. *Nat Genet* **49**, 1522-1528 (2017).
- 873 22. Ptasinska, A. *et al.* Identification of a Dynamic Core Transcriptional Network in
874 t(8;21) AML that Regulates Differentiation Block and Self-Renewal. *Cell Reports* **8**,
875 1974-1988 (2014).
- 876 23. Loke, J. *et al.* RUNX1-ETO and RUNX1-EVI1 Differentially Reprogram the
877 Chromatin Landscape in t(8;21) and t(3;21) AML. *Cell Rep* **19**, 1654-1668 (2017).
- 878 24. Cauchy, P. *et al.* Chronic FLT3-ITD Signaling in Acute Myeloid Leukemia Is
879 Connected to a Specific Chromatin Signature. *Cell Rep* **12**, 821-36 (2015).
- 880 25. Corces, M.R. *et al.* Lineage-specific and single-cell chromatin accessibility charts
881 human hematopoiesis and leukemia evolution. *Nat Genet* **48**, 1193-203 (2016).
- 882 26. McKeown, M.R. *et al.* Superenhancer Analysis Defines Novel Epigenomic Subtypes
883 of Non-APL AML, Including an RARalpha Dependency Targetable by SY-1425, a
884 Potent and Selective RARalpha Agonist. *Cancer Discov* **7**, 1136-1153 (2017).
- 885 27. Martens, J.H. *et al.* ERG and FLI1 binding sites demarcate targets for aberrant
886 epigenetic regulation by AML1-ETO in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* **120**, 4038-48
887 (2012).

- 888 28. Pulikkan, J.A., Tenen, D.G. & Behre, G. C/EBPalpha deregulation as a paradigm for
889 leukemogenesis. *Leukemia* **31**, 2279-2285 (2017).
- 890 29. Piper, J. *et al.* Wellington: a novel method for the accurate identification of digital
891 genomic footprints from DNase-seq data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**, e201 (2013).
- 892 30. Ptasinska, A. *et al.* Depletion of RUNX1/ETO in t(8;21) AML cells leads to genome-
893 wide changes in chromatin structure and transcription factor binding. *Leukemia* **26**,
894 1829-1841 (2012).
- 895 31. Mandoli, A. *et al.* CBFB-MYH11/RUNX1 together with a compendium of
896 hematopoietic regulators, chromatin modifiers and basal transcription factors
897 occupies self-renewal genes in inv(16) acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia* **28**, 770-8
898 (2014).
- 899 32. Dunne, J. *et al.* AML1/ETO proteins control POU4F1/BRN3A expression and function
900 in t(8;21) acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancer Res* **70**, 3985-95 (2010).
- 901 33. Dekker, J., Rippe, K., Dekker, M. & Kleckner, N. Capturing chromosome
902 conformation. *Science* **295**, 1306-11 (2002).
- 903 34. Mifsud, B. *et al.* Mapping long-range promoter contacts in human cells with high-
904 resolution capture Hi-C. *Nat Genet* **47**, 598-606 (2015).
- 905 35. Chasman, D. & Roy, S. Inference of cell type specific regulatory networks on
906 mammalian lineages. *Curr Opin Syst Biol* **2**, 130-139 (2017).
- 907 36. Neph, S. *et al.* Circuitry and dynamics of human transcription factor regulatory
908 networks. *Cell* **150**, 1274-86 (2012).
- 909 37. Lin, S. *et al.* A FOXO1-induced oncogenic network defines the AML1-ETO
910 preleukemic program. *Blood* **130**, 1213-1222 (2017).
- 911 38. O'Connor, C. *et al.* Nfix expression critically modulates early B lymphopoiesis and
912 myelopoiesis. *PLoS One* **10**, e0120102 (2015).

- 913 39. Somerville, T.D. *et al.* Frequent Derepression of the Mesenchymal Transcription
914 Factor Gene FOXC1 in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Cancer Cell* **28**, 329-42 (2015).
- 915 40. Olive, M. *et al.* A dominant negative to activation protein-1 (AP1) that abolishes DNA
916 binding and inhibits oncogenesis. *J Biol Chem* **272**, 18586-94 (1997).
- 917 41. Obier, N. *et al.* Cooperative binding of AP-1 and TEAD4 modulates the balance
918 between vascular smooth muscle and hemogenic cell fate. *Development* **143**, 4324-
919 4340 (2016).
- 920 42. Verhaak, R.G.W. *et al.* Prediction of molecular subtypes in acute myeloid leukemia
921 based on gene expression profiling. *Haematologica* **94**, 131-134 (2009).
- 922 43. Figueroa, M.E. *et al.* DNA Methylation Signatures Identify Biologically Distinct
923 Subtypes in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Cancer cell* **17**, 13-27 (2010).
- 924 44. Lin, S., Mulloy, J.C. & Goyama, S. RUNX1-ETO Leukemia. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **962**,
925 151-173 (2017).
- 926 45. Goyama, S. *et al.* UBASH3B/Sts-1-CBL axis regulates myeloid proliferation in human
927 preleukemia induced by AML1-ETO. *Leukemia* **30**, 728-39 (2016).
- 928 46. Sun, X.J. *et al.* A stable transcription factor complex nucleated by oligomeric AML1-
929 ETO controls leukaemogenesis. *Nature* **500**, 93-7 (2013).
- 930 47. Essig, A., Duque-Afonso, J., Schwemmers, S., Pahl, H.L. & Lubbert, M. The
931 AML1/ETO target gene LAT2 interferes with differentiation of normal hematopoietic
932 precursor cells. *Leuk Res* **38**, 340-5 (2014).
- 933 48. Trop-Steinberg, S. & Azar, Y. AP-1 Expression and its Clinical Relevance in Immune
934 Disorders and Cancer. *Am J Med Sci* **353**, 474-483 (2017).
- 935 49. Kesarwani, M. *et al.* Targeting c-FOS and DUSP1 abrogates intrinsic resistance to
936 tyrosine-kinase inhibitor therapy in BCR-ABL-induced leukemia. *Nat Med* **23**, 472-
937 482 (2017).

- 938 50. Faber, Z.J. *et al.* The genomic landscape of core-binding factor acute myeloid
939 leukemias. *Nat Genet* **48**, 1551-1556 (2016).
- 940 51. Levis, M. *et al.* Results from a randomized trial of salvage chemotherapy followed by
941 lestaurtinib for patients with FLT3 mutant AML in first relapse. *Blood* **117**, 3294-301
942 (2011).
- 943 52. DePristo, M.A. *et al.* A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-
944 generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat Genet* **43**, 491-8 (2011).
- 945 53. van Gosliga, D. *et al.* Establishing long-term cultures with self-renewing acute
946 myeloid leukemia stem/progenitor cells. *Exp Hematol* **35**, 1538-49 (2007).
- 947 54. Weber, K., Bartsch, U., Stocking, C. & Fehse, B. A multicolor panel of novel lentiviral
948 "gene ontology" (LeGO) vectors for functional gene analysis. *Mol Ther* **16**, 698-706
949 (2008).
- 950 55. Mostoslavsky, G. *et al.* Efficiency of transduction of highly purified murine
951 hematopoietic stem cells by lentiviral and oncoretroviral vectors under conditions of
952 minimal in vitro manipulation. *Mol Ther* **11**, 932-40 (2005).
- 953 56. Bert, A.G., Johnson, B.V., Baxter, E.W. & Cockerill, P.N. A modular enhancer is
954 differentially regulated by GATA and NFAT elements that direct different tissue-
955 specific patterns of nucleosome positioning and inducible chromatin remodeling. *Mol*
956 *Cell Biol* **27**, 2870-85 (2007).
- 957 57. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S.L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat*
958 *Methods* **9**, 357-9 (2012).
- 959 58. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome Biol* **9**, R137
960 (2008).
- 961 59. Love, M.I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and
962 dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).

963 60. Kumar, V. *et al.* Uniform, optimal signal processing of mapped deep-sequencing
964 data. *Nat Biotechnol* **31**, 615-22 (2013).

965 61. Heinz, S. *et al.* Simple Combinations of Lineage-Determining Transcription Factors
966 Prime cis-Regulatory Elements Required for Macrophage and B Cell Identities.
967 *Molecular Cell* **38**, 576-589 (2010).

968 62. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15-21
969 (2013).

970 63. Trapnell, C. *et al.* Differential analysis of gene regulation at transcript resolution with
971 RNA-seq. *Nat Biotechnol* **31**, 46-53 (2013).

972 64. Ritchie, M.E. *et al.* limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-
973 sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, e47 (2015).

974 65. Bindea, G. *et al.* ClueGO: a Cytoscape plug-in to decipher functionally grouped gene
975 ontology and pathway annotation networks. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1091-3 (2009).

976 66. Segal, E., Friedman, N., Koller, D. & Regev, A. A module map showing conditional
977 activity of expression modules in cancer. *Nat Genet* **36**, 1090-8 (2004).

978 67. Wingett, S. *et al.* HiCUP: pipeline for mapping and processing Hi-C data. *F1000Res*
979 **4**, 1310 (2015).

980 68. Mifsud, B. *et al.* GOTHIC, a probabilistic model to resolve complex biases and to
981 identify real interactions in Hi-C data. *PLoS One* **12**, e0174744 (2017).

982 69. Zhang, H.M. *et al.* AnimalTFDB: a comprehensive animal transcription factor
983 database. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**, D144-9 (2012).

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by a programme grant from Bloodwise (15001) to C.B. and P.N.C, as well as studentship awards from Cancer Research UK and Bloodwise to A.Pickin and N.G, respectively, a Kay Kendall Clinical Training Fellowship for J.L. and a MRC/Leuka Clinical Training Fellowship for S.P.

Author Affiliations

¹ Institute of Cancer and Genomic Sciences, University of Birmingham, B17 2TT, UK

² Northern Institute for Cancer Research, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, UK

³Section of Experimental Haematology, Leeds Institute for Molecular Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds LS9 7TF, UK

⁴ West Midlands Regional Genetics Laboratory, Birmingham Women's NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham B15 2TG, UK

⁵ CMT Laboratory NHS Blood & Transplant, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2SG, UK

⁶ Department of Hematology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Dr. Molewaterplein 50, 3015 GE Rotterdam, the Netherlands

⁷ Haematological Malignancy Diagnostic Service, St. James's University Hospital, Leeds LS9 7TF, UK

⁸ Centre for Clinical Haematology, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham B15 2TG, UK, UK

Author contributions:

M.R.I., D.C., S.P., A.P, H.P., A. Pickin, N.G., J.L., P.S.C, R.R., S.R.J. performed experiments and generated data, H.R.D., M.R., S.R., M.G. P.J., A.U. provided patient samples, S.C., A,B, and P.N.C. conducted mutation analysis, S.A.A. and P.C. analysed data, O.H. supervised transplantation experiments and helped editing the manuscript, C.B. and P.N.C. conceived and directed the study and CB wrote the manuscript.

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Corresponding authors

Constanze Bonifer (c.bonifer@bham.ac.uk) and Peter N. Cockerill (p.n.cockerill@bham.ac.uk).

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Different types of AML adopt unique transcriptome and chromatin landscapes. (A) Experimental strategy. (B) UCSC Genome browser tracks of DNaseI-seq mapping in purified AML cells. (C) Hierarchical clustering of Pearson correlation coefficients of DNaseI accessible sequences from all patient samples with normalized read counts of DNaseI-Seq data for the different classes of mutations (left panel), right panel: list of mutations in cells from each patient

Figure 2: Different types of AML are blocked at different stages of differentiation and are regulated by different transcriptional network. (A) Hematopoietic hierarchy; shown are some of the precursor stages from which ATAC-seq and RNA-seq data were generated in Corces et al., 2016: Hematopoietic stem cells (HSC), common myeloid progenitors (CMP), common lymphoid progenitors (CLP), Megakaryocyte Erythrocyte Precursors (MEP) and Granulocyte Macrophage Precursors (GMP). (B) Clustering of the correlation of percentage of peak overlap between DNaseI-Seq and ATAC-seq data by first generating a matrix with all overlap percentages between all DHS peaks, and ATAC-seq peaks and then hierarchically clustering. (C) Gene set enrichment analysis for the differentially expressed genes that are at least 2-fold different compared to the normal CD34+ PBSCs. Up and down regulated gene expression patterns were tested for their similarity to specific pairs of progenitor RNA-seq data from Corces et al. 2016, representing different steps of differentiation. Up-regulated genes are shown in top panel and the bottom panel shows the down-regulated genes.

Figure 3: AML-specifically active cis-regulatory elements cluster into common and unique chromatin landscapes. (A) Heatmap depicting unsupervised K-mean clustering of the DNase-Seq log2 signals seen in each AML specific distal DHS peak in each AML sample compared to PBSCs. Clustering was done only on rows (DHS peaks) while samples were ranked based on the clustering in Figure 1C. A diagram on top of the heatmap shows the DHS peak population used for clustering. (B) A binary heatmap shows the overlap between the clusters from A and the DHSs of the 7 mutation classes which are deregulated compared to CD34+ve PBSCs as described in Fig S4A. (C) The percentage of DHS peaks that overlap with ATAC-Seq data from different progenitor types, DHS clusters from Figure 3A was overlapped with each of the progenitor ATAC peaks; these include CLP, CMP, GMP, MPP, LMPP, MEP and Monocyte populations.

Figure 4: AML-specifically active cis-regulatory elements display AML type-specific transcription factor occupancy patterns. (A) UCSC browser screen shot of the *MDF1* locus zooming in on an AML type-specific DHS (box). (B) Heatmap depicting the degree of motif enrichment after hierarchical clustering of motif occupancy in each of the 20 AML DHS clusters. Enrichment score was calculated by the level of motif enrichment in all the footprints of all high read-depth samples for each cluster, as compared to union of footprints in all experiments. (C) Enrichment analysis of motifs footprinted in AML subgroups which overlap with ATAC-Seq peaks present in precursor cells²⁵.

Figure 5: Capture HiC shows differences in locus-specific cis-regulatory interactions between different types of AML and normal cells. (A) Heatmaps showing the raw interactions of the promoter capture HiC data using purified patient blasts on chromosome 2 for the FLT3-ITD (FLT3-ITD/NPM1 patient) (left), t(8;21) (middle) and CD34+ (right), a UCSC tracks is shown below each heatmap. (B) Flow diagram shows the step for

identification of the differential interactions and the downstream analysis. (C) Percentage of up- and down-regulated genes with differential interactions from the FLT3-ITD and the t(8;21) compared to CD34⁺. The bar figure shows also the percentage of the common genes for the FLT3-ITD and the t(8;21), the number of DEG is shown on top of each bar. (D) Top enriched GO terms for the up-regulated genes of the FLT3-ITD compared to the CD34⁺ as outlined in (A). (E) Network diagram of top KEGG pathways for the up-regulated genes of the FLT3-ITD compared to the CD34⁺ as outlined in (A). (F) Top enriched GO terms for the up-regulated genes of the t(8;21) compared to the CD34⁺ shown as outlined in (A) Network diagram of top KEGG pathways for the up-regulated genes of the t(8;21) compared to the CD34⁺ as outlined in (B). (H): percentage of RUNX1-ETO and RUNX1 targets amongst up-regulated genes with differential interactions.

Figure 6: Identification of transcription factor networks driving the expression of AML type-specific up-regulated TF genes

(A) Outline of analysis strategy. (B) t(8;21)-specific TF network, (C) CEBPA(x2)-specific TF, (D) INV(16) specific TF network, (E) Mutant RUNX1-specific TF network, (F) FLT3-ITD/NPM1 specific TF network, (G) NPM1-specific TF network

Factor families binding to the same motif as shown in Table S2 form a node contained within a circle. Arrows going outwards from the entire node highlight footprinted motifs in individual genes generated by any member of this factor family whereby the footprint was annotated to the gene using the ChIP data where possible, otherwise to the nearest gene. For selected nodes, the name of the underlying motif is highlighted in large grey letters. The expression level (FKPM) for the individual genes is depicted in white (low)/red (high) colour. An orange smooth ring around the circle indicates that this gene is specifically up-regulated in this type of AML compared to CD34⁺ PBSCs and/or other AML types, a dotted circle indicates a gene

that is up-regulated as compared to CD34⁺ cells. Genes with no outgoing arrows due to a lack of known binding motifs are highlighted by their octagon shapes.

7: Identification of AML type-specific TFs required for maintaining leukemic growth and colony forming ability.

(A - C) Histogram showing the growth curves of (A) Kasumi-1 cells after transduction with *shPOU4F1* and (B) of MV4-11 cells after transduction with *shNFIX*. (D, E) Histogram showing the number of colonies formed by a FLT3-ITD⁺ primary AML cell samples (D) or PBSCs (E) after transduction with shRNA targeting FOXC1, NFIX or a mismatch control. (F) Histogram showing the growth curve of Kasumi-1 cells transduced with either a doxycycline-inducible dominant negative FOS or an empty vector control (right panel) with and without 1.5 mcg/ml doxycycline. (G) Histogram showing the growth curve of MV4-11 cells transduced with either a doxycycline-inducible dominant negative FOS or an empty vector control (right panel) with and without 1.5 µg/ml doxycycline. (H,I) The expression of a dominant negative FOS causes a reduction in the colony forming ability of CD34⁺ FLT3-ITD⁺ primary AML cells (H) but not CD34⁺ PBSCs (I). All experiments were performed in triplicate (n=3) with * p<0.05, **p<0.01. Error bars show 95% confidence intervals. (J) Granulosarcoma formation in RG mice by Kasumi-1 expressing a doxycycline-inducible dnFOS. dnFOS was induced by intraperitoneal injection of doxycycline. (K) Survival curve for RG mice transplanted with MV4-11 cells expressing doxycycline-inducible dnFOS. dnFOS was induced by adding doxycycline to the drinking water. The control group did not develop any tumors during the observed time frame while all mice of the induced group had to be sacrificed.

Figure 1

Figure 2

```
graph LR; A(PBSC-specific DHS) --- B(AML-specific DHS); A --> C(Union of all AML-specific DHS); B --> C; C --> D(Clustering)
```

The diagram illustrates the process of identifying differentially expressed genes. It starts with two overlapping sets of differentially expressed genes: PBSC-specific DHS and AML-specific DHS. These are combined into the Union of all AML-specific DHS, which is then used for Clustering.

Figure 4

A

B

Specific motif enrichment in DHS groups

C

Enrichment of specific motifs footprinted in AML cells within ATAC peaks present in progenitor cells

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Long terminal repeat (LTR) elements are wide-spread in the human genome and have the potential to act as promoters and enhancers. Their expression is therefore under tight epigenetic control. We previously reported in classical Hodgkin Lymphoma (cHL) that a member of the THE1B class of LTR elements acted as a promoter for the proto-oncogene and growth factor receptor gene CSF1R and that expression of this gene is required for cHL tumour survival. However, to which extent and how such elements participate in globally shaping the unique cHL gene expression program is unknown. To address this question we mapped the genome-wide activation of THE1-LTRs in cHL cells using a targeted next generation sequencing approach (RACE-Seq). Integration of these data with global gene expression data from cHL and control B cell lines showed a unique pattern of LTR activation impacting on gene expression, including genes associated with the cHL phenotype. We also show that global LTR activation is induced by strong inflammatory stimuli. Together these results demonstrate that LTR activation provides an additional layer of gene deregulation in classical Hodgkin lymphoma and highlight the potential impact of genome-wide LTR activation in other inflammatory diseases.

Supplemental Materials

1. Supplemental Figures and Tables

Supplemental Table 1: Patient groups, mutation data, and clinical data. Patient codes depicted in color represent samples included in the seven major defined mutation groups, or which have either 2 FLT-ITD mutations or a mutation in either CBL or NRAS. This table also indicates samples where DHS-Seq and RNA-Seq data is either available (Y) or not available (N). Further details can be found in Supplemental data-set 1.

patient code	Signalling	NPM1	Chrom	RUNX	Other mutations	DHS Seq	RNA Seq	Age	Sex	wbc	case
ITD-1	FLT3-ITD				DNMT3A, TET2x2, BCOR, TP53	Y	Y	45	F	56	Rel
ITD-2	FLT3-ITD		tri(13)		DNMT3A, TET2	Y	Y	68	F	2	Pres
ITD-3	FLT3-ITD				DNMT3A	Y	Y	80	F	143	Pres
ITD/NMP1-1	FLT3-ITD	NPM1			DNMT3A, WT1	Y	Y	45	F	32	Pres
ITD/NMP1-2	FLT3-ITD	NPM1				Y	Y	61	F	7	Rel
ITD/NMP1-3	FLT3-ITD	NPM1				Y	Y	66	F	91	Pres
ITD/NMP1-4	FLT3-ITD	NPM1			GATA2, DNMT3A	Y	Y	65	F	21	Pres
ITD/NMP1-5	FLT3-ITD	NPM1			DNMT3A, BCOR	Y	Y	68	M	190	Pres
ITD/NMP1-6	FLT3-ITD	NPM1			WT1, DNMT3A, TET2, PHF6	Y	Y	58	F	195	Pres
NPM1-1		NPM1			IDH1	Y	Y	37	M	60	Pres
NPM1-2		NPM1			DNMT3A, TET2x2	Y	Y	75	M	94	Pres
t(8;21)-1			t(8;21)		TET2	Y	Y	72	M	29	Pres
t(8;21)/KIT-2	KIT		t(8;21)		NOTCH1	Y	Y	48	M	36	Pres
t(8;21)-3	FLT3-TK		t(8;21)			Y	Y	53	M	6	Pres
t(8;21)-4			t(8;21)			Y	Y	45	M	2	Pres
inv(16)-1	KIT		inv(16)			Y	Y	40	M	22	Pres
inv(16)-2			inv(16)			Y	Y	26	M	63	Pres
inv(16)-3			inv(16)		ASXL1	Y	Y	75	M	54	Pres
RUNX1-DT-1	FLT3		tri(13)	RUNX1	CREBBP, DNMT3A, SF3B1	Y	Y	68	M	112	Rel
RUNX1-DT/CEBPA-2	FLT3-ITD			RUNX1	CEBPA, WT1x2, SF3B1, TP53	Y	Y	83	M	68	Pres
RUNX1-DT-3				RUNX1		Y	Y	58	M	37	Pres
RUNX1(x2)-D&T-4				RUNX1x2	SRSF2, DNMT3A, IDH2	Y	Y	82	M	55	Pres
RUNX1-D-5				RUNX1	IDH1, BCORL1x2, SRSF2x2	Y	Y	65	M	8	Pres
RUNX1-T/CEBPA-6	NRAS		tri(8)	RUNX1	CEBPA, EZH2	Y	Y	75	M	107	Pres
CEBPA(x2)-1					CEBPAx2	Y	Y	76	F	238	Pres
CEBPA(x2)-2					CEBPAx2, GATA2	Y	Y	21	F	10	Pres
CEBPA(x2)-3					CEBPAx2, GATA2, TET2	Y	Y	75	M	106	Pres
ITD(2x)/NPM1-1	FLT3-ITDx2	NPM1			DNMT3A, IDH2	Y	Y	78	F	26	Pres
ITD(2x)/NPM1-2	FLT3-ITDx2	NPM1			CEBPA, IDH2	Y	Y	72	F	68	Pres
NPM1/RAS-3	NRAS	NPM1			PTPN11, DNMT3A, IDH1	Y	Y	30	F	4	Rel
inv(3)/RAS-3	NRAS		inv(3)		ETV6, SF3B1	N	Y	54	M	104	Pres
inv(3)/RAS-1	NRAS		inv(3)		GATA2, SF3B1	Y	Y	59	M	4	Rel
inv(3)/CBL-2	CBL		inv(3)		SF3B1	Y	N	34	F	21	Rel
t(8;21)/ITD(x2)-5	FLT3-ITD		t(8;21)		SMC1A	N	Y	43	M	86	Pres
RUNX1-D/JAK-1	JAK2		tri(21, 9)	RUNX1	IDH2, SRSF2	Y	Y	79	M	12	Pres
RUNX1-T/JAK-2	JAK2			RUNX1	TET2x2, TP53	Y	Y	77	F	79	Pres
RUNX1-T-7 (NHL)			tri(21)	RUNX1	TET2x2, PHF6	Y	Y	73	F	NA	Pres
CEBPA-5					CEBPA, DNMT3A	N	Y	79	F	40	Pres
SRSF2-1					IDH2, SRSF2	N	Y	67	M	2	Pres
SRSF2-2					SOCS1, DNMT3A, IDH2, SRSF2	N	Y	71	M	2	Pres
t(8;21)-1R	KIT		t(8;21)		TET2	Y	Y	72	M	29	Rel

Supplemental Table 2

List of used Position Weight Matrices

motif	logo	motif	logo	motif	logo
AHR		HSF1		PRDM1	
AP-1		IKZF		PU.1	
AR		IRF		RAR	
BCL6		IRX		REST	
CAMTA		KLF		RFX	
C/EBP		LEF1		RUNX	
CREB/ATF		MAF		RXR	
CTCF		MYC/MAX		SMAD	
CUT		MEF2		SNAI	
E2F		MEIS		SOX	
EGR		MITF		SP	
ESR1		MNX1		SRF	
ESRRA		MYB		ST18	
ETS		NF1		STAT3	
ETS:E-box		NFAT		STAT5	
EVI		NFE2		STAT6	
FOXO		NFIL3		TAL1	
FOX:E-box		NF-kB		TCF3	
GATA		NFY		TEAD	
GFI1B		NKX		TFCP2	
GLI		NR		TFDP1	
HBP1		NRF1		TGIF	
HES		OCT		THR	
HHEX		PAX5		VDR	
HIC1		PBX		VENTX	
HIF1A		PKNOX1		XBP1	
HINFP		POU4F1			
HOX		PPAR			

Supplemental Table 2: List of representative position weight matrices for TF families.

To improve the process of linking regulatory factors with their binding sites on DNA, we consolidated the different versions of transcription factor consensus binding sequences for closely related family members where the motif signatures are indistinguishable. For most transcription factor families there are typically various alternate subtly different versions of position weight matrices for not just different family members but also for the same factor from different data sets. The prevalence of so many different related consensus sequences is a major impediment to the construction of regulatory networks from genome-wide analyses of DNA elements. For the current study, we first identified a subset of almost 300 transcription factor genes that are expressed in one or more of our AML samples. We then inspected the motifs listed on either the HOMER or JASPER databases, motifs defined in a recent large-scale study of recombinant proteins (Jolma et al 2013, [10.1016/j.cell.2012.12.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.12.009)), or motifs described in various other publications. We grouped together those factors where the motifs are essentially the same, and chose the best representative example for further analysis. These selections were often validated by referring to the large body of literature which is devoted to defining specific motifs, which also informed the choices of which orientation of motifs represented the conventional form used in publications. The JASPAR motifs were viewed via <http://jaspar.genereg.net/>. The HOMER motifs were viewed via <http://homer.ucsd.edu/homer/motif/HomerMotifDB/homerResults.html>.

Supplementary Figure 1

Supplementary Figure 1: Different types of AML adopt unique transcriptomes. (A) Hierarchical clustering of gene expression as determined by RNA-Seq of all patient samples. Clustering of log2 FPKM values for all differentially expressed genes changing expression at least 2 fold in at least one patient as compared to normal CD34+ PBSC. (B) UCSC genome browser screenshots of DNase-Seq in all AML patients with different classes of mutations and normal CD34+ PBSC at *POU4F1* (left panel) and *FOXC1* (right panel) locus. (C) Hierarchical clustering of Pearson correlation coefficient between all patient samples of RNA-Seq data: (left panel), right panel: list of mutations in cells from each patient. The correlation between any two patients was obtained with log2 FPKM expression values over all genes. (D) UCSC genome browser screenshots of RNA-Seq reads in AML patients at *POU4F1* (left panel) and *FOXC1* (right panel) locus. Asterisks denote samples for which the matching RNA-Seq or DNase-Seq data are unavailable.

Supplementary Figure 2

Supplemental Figure 2: Different types of AML adopt unique transcriptome and chromatinlandscapes. (A) Hierarchical clustering of Pearson correlation coefficients of DNaseI

accessible sequences from all our patient samples with normalized read counts of DNase-Seq data for the different classes of mutations also including ATAC-Seq data from Corces et al., 2016 with similar mutations (SU(nnn), mostly FLT3-ITD). The mutation class is highlighted to the right of the panel and by a color code below the heatmap, again showing that specific elements from specific AML-types cluster together. Note the tight clustering of FLT3 and RAS mutant AML. (B) Scatter plots comparing the DNaseI tag count signals of patients with (11) and without (8) DNMT3 mutations against each other and against PBSCs as indicated by colored shapes. (C) Smooth scatter plots showing the correlation between DNase-Seq and RNA-Seq data from AML patients. Shown are CD34+ PBSC cells from individual #1 versus individual #2 (left plot), CD34+ PBSC from individual #2 versus a patient with NPM1 and NRAS mutation (right plot). RNA-Seq plots (top panel) and DNaseI-Seq plots (bottom panel). Other comparisons can be retrieved from the webserver. (D) Hierarchical clustering of log2 gene expression fold difference for all differentially transcription factor (TFs) and transcriptional regulator genes changing expression at least 2 fold in at least one patient as compared to normal CD34+ PBSC. Clustering was done only on rows (i.e., genes) while samples were ranked based on the clustering in Figure 1C. The heatmap colour is related to the degree of differential expression (fold-change (FC)). Red is up-regulated compared to normal CD34+ and blue is a down regulated TF.

Supplementary Figure 3

Supplemental Figure 3: Different types of AML are blocked at different stages of differentiation and correlation with publicly available data-sets. (A) Smooth scatter plots show the correlation between AML DNase-Seq and ATAC-Seq data. Top panel shows the DNase-Seq from normal CD34+ PBSC patient #1 & #2 versus the ATAC-Seq from Hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) and lower panel shows the DNase-Seq from normal CD34+ PBSC patient #1 & #2 versus the ATAC-Seq from Monocytes (Mono). (B) Hierarchical clustering of Pearson correlation coefficient between all patient samples of AML-Seq data plus the ATAC-seq data from Corces et al. The correlation between any two patients was obtained with normalized read counts calculated with +/- 200 bases from the peak center. (C) Gene set enrichment analysis for the up-regulated genes that are at least 2 fold difference compared to the normal CD34+. The AML up-regulated genes were tested for enrichment against the common myeloid progenitors (CMP) versus Granulocyte Macrophage Precursors (GMP) taken from Corces et al RNA-seq data. (D) Heatmap showing density enrichment of H3K27Ac peaks from McKeown et al., 2017 ranked according to the same coordinates of the DNase-Seq within the clusters (left heatmap), the H3K27Ac densities were plotted with a window size of +/- 2 kb around the DNase-Seq peaks summit. Selected AML-specific blocks of peaks are highlighted. The asterisk highlights samples inv(3)/CBL-2 for which RNA-Seq is available.

A

Overlaps between mutation-specific upregulated DHSs

C

Enrichment for active genes linked to mutation-specific DHSs

E **B**

Motif enrichment analyses of mutation-specific up-regulated DHSs

D

RUNX1/JAK
 SRSF2
 Inv(3)
 RUNX1
 NPM1/RAS
 PBSC

ITD(2x)/NPM1
 NPM1
 ITD/NPM1
 ITD
 CEBPA
 t(8;21)
 inv(16)
 RUNX1/Tri21

Supplemental Figure 4: AML-specifically active cis-regulatory elements cluster into common and unique chromatin landscapes and correlate with the upregulation of expression of the nearest genes. (A) Venn diagrams depicting the overlaps of subsets of DHSs which are up regulated compared to CD34+ve PBSCs within each of 7 mutation classes. These groups were generated as the average log2 values for 7 distinct subsets of AMLs that carried the same specific mutations in key regulators. These 7 mutation groups are defined on the basis of average values derived from 3 ITD patients, 6 ITD/NPM1 patients, 2 NPM1 patients, 4 t(8;21) patients, 3 inv(16) patients, 6 RUNX1 patients, and 3 patients with 2 CEBPA mutations. These groups are defined in Table S1 (note colour code). Up-regulated DHSs are defined as being at least 3-fold greater than in PBSCs, and have a DHS signal spanning a 400 bp window of at least 64. (B) De novo motif search results using Homer for the up regulated DHSs classes and in overlapping deregulated DHSs for ITD and/or NPM1 and for CEBPA and RUNX1 that are shown in (A) the numbers indicate of percentage of each subset that contains the identified motif. (C) Gene set enrichment analysis for all expressed genes that are annotated to the DHSs identified in each of the AML specific 20 clusters, the enrichment scores (right panel) are aligned against each of the 20 clusters (left panel) that was initially described in Figure 3A. The target genes were tested for enrichment against all AML RNA-seq data; red color indicates that these genes are enriched with up-regulated genes compared to CD34+ PBSC. (D) Correlation of gene expression with DNaseI tag count as exemplified for the *POU4F1* gene (see also S1 B,D). (E) Bar figures depicting the expression level for some of the targets differentially expressed genes, the FPKM values were plotted on the y-axis for each AML samples used in this study, the color code identified each of the mutation classes.

Supplementary Figure 5

Validation of gene expression patterns (data from Verhaak et al)

Supplemental Figure 5: Common and group-specific DHS associate with genes belonging to different functional groups. Boxplots validating gene expression patterns for some of the differentially expressed genes using gene expression data from Verhaak et al (2009). P-

values highlighting the significance of differences are shown on each panel; the t-test was used to calculate the p-values.

the CD34+ PBSCs and then the percentage of these differential footprints in the DHS subsets in the 20 clusters was calculated. (B) UCSC genome browser screenshot of DNaseI-Seq data aligned with digital footprints at the *C3AE1* locus. The screenshot shows the DHSs for one patient from each group. Footprint probabilities as calculated by Wellington (Piper et al., 2015) are indicated as grey density below the lines. The bottom indicates the precise location of occupied RUNX, C/EBP and AP-1 footprints. (C) Percentage of footprints with RUNX motifs in the indicated AML-types peaks which are bound by RUNX1 or RUNX1-ETO in ChIP assays from ^{22,33,66}. (D) Heatmap depicting the degree of motif enrichment after hierarchical clustering of all (not just the specific) motif enrichments for each of the mutation-specific AML groups. Enrichment scores were calculated by the level of motif enrichment in all the footprints of all Hi-read depth samples for each group, as compared to the union of footprints in all experiments.

Supplementary Figure 7

Supplemental Figure 7: Capture HiC shows differences in cis-regulatory interactions between different types of AML and normal cells. (A) Smooth scatter plots show the correlation

between t(8;21)-1 presentation and t(8;21)-1 relapse AML DNaseI-Seq data. (B) Heatmaps show the raw overall inter and intra interactions of the promoter capture HiC data for all chromosomes for FLT3-ITD (ITD/NPM1-2, left), t(8;21) (middle) and CD34+ (right) across all chromosomes. (C) Bar figure showing the percentage of DHSs within each of the 20 clusters identified in Figure 3A that have differential interactions compared to CD34+, (D, E) percentage of DHSs within each of the 20 clusters interacting with the nearest gene within differential interactions for all genes expressed genes as identified by the RNA-Seq data. D: FLT3-ITD and E: t(8;21). (F) Heatmap of differential interactions ranked by the strength of interaction (-log p-value) from highly significant to less for the FLT3-ITD and from less significant to more significant for the t(8;21) (left panel) Plotted along-side are the gene expression fold-difference for the FLT3-ITD compared to the t(8;21) and for the FLT3-ITD (middle panel) and the DHS fold difference FLT3-ITD versus the t(8;21) (right panel). (F) The top enriched GO terms for the up regulated genes in the FLT3-ITD compared the t(8;21) where the DHSs differentially interact with the promoter of that gene. Similarly the bottom panel the top enriched GO terms for the up regulated genes in the t(8;21) compared to the FLT3-ITD such that the DHS is differentially interact with the promoter of that gene.. (G) UCSC genome browser showing a screenshot of *KLF2*. The top two tracks display the log p-value of the capture HiC interaction for *KLF2* promoter as viewpoint, the following two tracks display log p-value of the differential interaction of the t(8;21) and the FLT3-ITD compared to the CD34+. Shown are also the DNaseI-Seq and RNA-Seq data of t(8;21), FLT3-ITD and CD34+ PBSC. (H) Bar diagram showing the percentage of DHSs involved in significant interactions. (I) Bar diagram showing the percentage of DHSs involved in significant differential interactions compared to CD34+ cells. (J) Bar diagram showing the percentage of DHSs involved in significant differential interactions for DHSs unique to FLT3-ITD or t(8;21) DHSs compared to CD34+ cells, with DHS common to FLT3-ITD and t(8;21) being excluded. (K) Enriched footprinted motifs in DHS associated each of the 20 clusters involved in differential interactions for the two patients. Motifs for transcription factors normally not expressed in myeloid cells are highlighted in yellow, motifs for inducible factors are marked in green.

Supplemental Figure 8

Supplemental Figure 8: Interactions are representative for their patient groups and the majority of interactions are shared (A)

(A) Percentage of all DHSs with interactions present in each dataset of each individual patients, (B) Heat-map highlighting the percentage of AML-type specific DHS with interactions found in the different patient groups, indicating that the patient chosen for the Chi-C experiment are representative for each patient group. (C) Percentage of up-regulated genes associated with DHS clusters that have significant interactions in any of the three Chi-C experiments. (D) Overlap of all DHSs underlying interactions in all three samples as indicated demonstrating that the majority of interactions are the same in all three samples.

18

node contained within a circle. Arrows going outwards from the entire node highlight footprinted motifs in individual genes generated by any member of this factor family whereby the footprint was annotated to the gene using the Chi-C data where possible, otherwise to the nearest gene. The expression level (FKPM) for the individual genes is depicted in white (low)/red (high) colour. An orange smooth ring around the circle indicates that this gene is specifically up-regulated in this type of AML compared to CD34+ PBSCs and/or other AML types, a dotted circle indicates a gene that is up-regulated as compared to CD34+ cells. Genes with no outgoing arrows due to a lack of known binding motifs are highlighted by an octagon shape. For a detailed guide to node and edge attributes: See legend of Figure 6.

Supplemental Figure 10

Supplemental Figure 10

AML type-specifically expressed transcription factors are required for leukemic growth. (A, B, C) Histograms showing *POU4F1* (A), *FOXC1* (B) and *NFIX* (C) mRNA expression after transduction with the indicated shRNA and control lentiviruses in Kasumi-1, MV4-11 and Fujijoka cell lines, respectively. Note that Fujijoka cells express high levels of *FOXC1* and were only used to test the functionality of our lentiviral construct. *FOXC1* is not highly expressed in MV4-11 cells. (D-F) Western Blots showing the efficiencies of shRNA knock-down for *FOXC1* (D), *NFIX* (E) and *POU4F1* (F). (G - I) Histogram showing doubling time of t(8;21) Kasumi-1 cells after transduction with *shPOU4F1* (G), MV4-11 cells after transduction with *shNFIX* (H) and of Kasumi-1 cells after transduction with *shNFIX* (I). (J, K): doubling times of Kasumi-1 (J) and MV4-11 cells (K) expressing a DOX inducible version of a dominant negative FOS peptide (dnFOS) (K,M) as well as empty control virus (L,O). All experiments were performed in triplicate. In all histograms $n=3$ * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$. Error bars show 95% confidence intervals. (L) Pictures of representative colonies derived from FLT3-ITD patient cells and CD34+ PBSCs transduced with the indicated lentiviral vectors.

List of supplemental data files

Dataset S1: Summary of all AML mutation data

Dataset S2: Up and down-regulated genes associated with mutation groups (related to Figure 3 and Figure S4B)

Dataset S3: Number of differentially expressed genes for Figure 2C and Figure S4C

Dataset S4: List of transcriptional regulator genes showing AML type-specific expression (related to Figure S2C)

Dataset S5: Gene lists and GO terms for Figure 5 and Figure S7F.

Dataset S6: CHi-C-curated KEGG pathways and GO terms of DHS-cluster associated genes (related to Figure 3)

Supplemental Notes with five Figures