Electric single-molecule hybridization detector for short DNA fragments

Loh, A. Y. Y.; Burgess, C. H.; Tanase, D. A.; Ferrari, G.; McLachlan, M. A.; Cass, A. E. G.; Albrecht, T.

DOI:

[10.1021/acs.analchem.8b04357](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b04357)

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Loh, AYY, Burgess, CH, Tanase, DA, Ferrari, G, McLachlan, MA, Cass, AEG & Albrecht, T 2018, 'Electric single-molecule hybridization detector for short DNA fragments', *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 90, no. 23, pp. 14063-14071. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b04357>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Final Version of Record available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b04357>

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Article

An Electric Single-Molecule Hybridisation Detector for short DNA Fragments

Amelia Yue Yan Loh, Claire H Burgess, Diana A. Tanase, Giorgio Ferrari,
Martyn A. McLachlan, Anthony Edward George Cass, and Tim Albrecht

Anal. Chem., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b04357 • Publication Date (Web): 06 Nov 2018

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on November 12, 2018

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

ACS Publications

is published by the American Chemical Society, 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W.,
Washington, DC 20036
Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society.
However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works
produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the
course of their duties.

An Electric Single-Molecule Hybridisation Detector for short DNA Fragments

A.Y.Y. Loh,¹ C.H. Burgess,² D.A. Tanase,¹ G. Ferrari,³ M.A. McLachlan,² A.E.G. Cass,¹ T. Albrecht^{*1,4}

¹Imperial College London, Department of Chemistry, Exhibition Road, London SW7 2AZ, UK

²Imperial College London, Department of Materials and Centre for Plastic Electronics, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

³ Politecnico di Milano, Dipartimento di Elettronica, Informazione e Bioingegneria, P.za Leonardo da Vinci 32, Milano, Italy

⁴University of Birmingham, School of Chemistry, Edgbaston Campus, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

* t.albrecht@bham.ac.uk

Keywords: Nanopores; Nanopipettes; Single-Molecule; Stochastic; Circulating DNA

Abstract

In combining DNA nanotechnology and high-bandwidth single-molecule detection in nanopipettes, we demonstrate an electric, label-free hybridisation sensor for short DNA sequences (< 100 nt). Such short fragments are known to occur as circulating cell-free DNA in various bodily fluids, such as blood plasma and saliva, and have been identified as disease markers for cancer and infectious diseases. To this end, we use as a model system a 88-mer target from the RV1910c gene in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* that is associated with antibiotic (isoniazid) resistance in TB. Upon binding to short probes attached to long carrier DNA, we show that resistive pulse sensing in nanopipettes is capable of identifying rather subtle structural differences, such as the hybridisation state of the probes, in a statistically robust manner. With significant potential towards multiplexing and high-throughput analysis, our study points towards a new, single-molecule DNA assay technology that is fast, easy to use and compatible with point of care environments.

Nanopore devices are a new class of stochastic single-molecule sensors. As nanoscale analogues of the well-known Coulter counter, which is routinely used for cell counting in hospital environments, they have been developed towards fast and label-free DNA sequencing.¹ This feat has now largely been achieved with (modified) biological pores, such as α -hemolysin.² However, resistive pulse sensing with solid-state nanopores and nanopipettes offers a range of other potential applications. These nanodevices are relatively easy to fabricate (especially nanopipettes^{3,4}) and there is usually considerable flexibility in their design, with regards to the pore dimensions (diameter, channel length, shape). This means that they can more readily be adapted to larger or structurally more complex

1
2
3 analytes, including double-stranded (ds) DNA, peptide nucleic acid (PNA)/DNA or protein/DNA
4 complexes, and potentially be used as an 'all electric' sensor concept in gene profiling or fingerprinting,
5 for disease diagnostics and monitoring.^{5,6,7,8,9,10}
6
7

8 The general operating principle is rather simple, as illustrated in figure 1 A) and explained in detail
9 elsewhere.⁸ Briefly, in a nanopore device the pore channel is typically the largest source of electric
10 resistance in the cell. When an ion current is driven through the system *via* an applied voltage V_{bias} ,
11 any changes in the pore resistance thus result in a measureable change in the ion current I through
12 the system. This occurs, for example, when DNA, charged particles or proteins pass through the
13 channel.^{11,12,13,14} The ion current modulation can be low (~ 100 pA) and short-lived (< 1 ms), depending
14 on the analyte, the pore design and the experimental conditions. In a simple case, for example
15 involving a cylindrical pore channel, the corresponding $I(t)$ modulation (translocation 'event' with a
16 duration τ_e) is approximately rectangular in shape, but sub-structure is usually found for more complex
17 analytes. For example, a protein bound to DNA typically produces an individual spike ('sub-event' with
18 a duration τ_{se}) in the $I(t)$ trace that is superimposed on the actual DNA translocation event.¹⁵ Hence,
19 the number and relative positions of the sub-events can thus provide information on the number of
20 bound proteins, potentially the thermodynamics of the binding equilibrium and the location of the
21 proteins along the strand (if the translocation speed is known). Since the sub-event duration is
22 normally small compared to the event duration, $\tau_{se} \ll \tau_e$, resolving the sub-events electrically can be
23 challenging, and requires the detection of rather low currents at high bandwidth. However, recent
24 developments in instrument design now routinely allow for time resolutions well below $10 \mu s$ with
25 nanopipettes and even lower with nanopore chips.^{16,17,18,19}
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 1: A) Illustration of the experimental setup, cross-sectional view (not to scale). The quartz nanopipette is immersed in a liquid-filled cell, typically containing a highly concentrated chloride solution as the electrolyte. In our experiments, DNA was translocated from the outside to the inside of the pipette, as indicated. The custom-built detection electronics split the pore current into a slow ('DC') and a fast ('AC') channel, where the former contains the average pore current and the latter the translocation events. B) I/V_{bias} curve for a typical quartz nanopipette in 1 M KCl + 10 mM TE buffer. The conductance is $G = 33.1$ nS, as determined from the average of the slopes from the forward and reverse scan between ± 0.1 V. The rectification ratio RR is 0.98 for these voltages. Top inset: Optical micrograph showing the overall shape of the same pipette. Bottom inset: TEM image of the pipette's tip. The blob-like features are built up with imaging time and are most likely due to carbon contamination. The long taper length and conical shape especially towards the pipette tip are apparent.

Meller *et al.* pioneered this concept with chip-based nanopores (diameter ~ 5 nm, Si_3N_4 membrane) and two different types of PNA (specifically, bis-PNA and γ -PNA), to probe short base sequences in long pieces of dsDNA and ultimately genes.^{6,7} PNA binds to dsDNA in a sequence-specific manner and with very high affinity, resulting in a local change in structure (bulging). The latter in turn produces sub-structure in the translocation data, which can be related to the presence (or absence) of a particular gene sequence. Meller *et al.* exploited this capability to differentiate sub-types of the HIV pol-1 gene, for pathogen classification.

A conceptually different approach is to employ artificial, engineered structures as 'carriers' that have some function - such as protein binding capability or a recognition element - engineered into them.^{20,21} For example, Bell and Keyser used nanopipettes and a carrier design based on DNA self-assembly, to include, firstly a sequence of structural features (dumbbells) as a 'barcode' identifying the DNA, and secondly a site for antibody binding.²² Different carriers may thus be identified in mixtures and several different proteins can be assayed at the same time (multiplexing), as the authors demonstrate with biotin, bromodeoxyuridine, puromycin and digoxigenin modifications as antigens and their respective antibodies. Notably, similar engineered structures have also been used to

characterise the translocation process itself, such as the translocation velocity and dynamics.^{23,24}, or towards the detection of single nucleotide polymorphisms.²⁵

A similar idea, albeit based on aptamers, was pursued by Edel, Ivanov *et al.*²⁶ Specifically, the single-stranded (ss) ends of λ -DNA were modified with probes containing two parts: one complementary to the ss ends and a second one made of aptamer sequences optimised for thrombin and acetylcholinesterase binding. This yielded DNA constructs with protein binding sites on either end, which were again analysed by translocation through nanopipettes (from the inside to the outside of the pipette in this case). The DNA carriers in earlier studies had to be fabricated by reconstituting the dsDNA from a long ss template and a large number of short, complementary strands, which is rather cumbersome and comparatively expensive. The approach of Edel and co-workers is somewhat simpler, as it starts with intact λ -DNA, albeit at the cost of reduced design flexibility and probe density. The authors also demonstrate translocation experiments in diluted human serum, which is a step towards the application of nanopore sensing in complex, perhaps more realistic media. That said, when coupled to suitable workflows, operation in such environments might not always be required. Beamish, Tabard-Cossa and Godin combine some of the above concepts in their recent work.²⁷ Using sub-5 nm pores in chip-based nanopore devices (SiN membrane, thickness ~ 10 nm), prepared not by electron or ion beam drilling but by dielectric breakdown,²⁸ they employed DNA engineering to synthesize 255 basepair (bp) dsDNA scaffolds with ds overhangs as short as 15 bp. These overhangs could reliably be detected and resolved by ion current sensing in a label-free manner. Moreover, the authors also prepared scaffolds with ssDNA overhangs, which could bind an aptamer-based probe in the presence of ATP. The bound probe was then detected by nanopore sensing, as an indirect way of detecting ATP.

An interesting alternative approach for detecting hybridisation of short DNA (and potentially other) targets is the use of modified nanoparticles. In particular, particles with magnetic cores can first be released into the sample medium, where they bind their targets, and then re-captured and pre-concentrated using magnetic fields. Binding to the target then either changes the surface properties of the particles (e.g., zeta potential), and hence their translocation characteristics (speed),^{29,30} or produces altogether new structures (such as particle dimers),³¹ which are then detected by resistive pulse sensing. While these approaches do not probe individual binding or hybridisation sites, there appears to be some potential for multiplexed detection, for example by employing particles of different sizes. Apart from simple target capture, such studies have also included site-specific detection of methylation sites.³²

Figure 2: Design and initial characterisation of the DNA structures under study. A) Basic design of the samples with one and two overhangs ('protrusions' with suffices '1' and '2', respectively) and the positions indicated. 'hyx': hybridised overhang; 'ssx': single-stranded overhang. The ss part of the overhang and its complementary target strand are ~88 nt long. B) Typical AFM image of hyx1 in air (tapping mode) after drop-casting on mica (scale bar: 100 nm). The overhang is indicated with the red arrow. The short DNA fragments are impurities from the assembly process, as shown in the gel chromatography data (SI, section 1) and nanopore translocation data below. C) Histograms of the DNA carrier length, the relative position of the protrusion and its length (based on 23 DNA structures in total).

In our present work, we build on these advances and have developed a high-throughput sensing concept with new capabilities and applications, namely with focus on the label-free detection and quantification of short (~100 nucleotide (nt)) ssDNA fragments. Such short ssDNA segments are found in blood, urine and other bodily fluids as circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA), where they have been implicated in disease diagnostics and monitoring, for example in the context of urinary tract infections.³³ In cancer the ratio of short (< 150 bp) vs. long DNA in plasma is increased, most likely due to enhanced rates of cell apoptosis and necrosis.^{34,35} Equally, such short DNA fragments may serve as diagnostic markers for infections, such as with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (TB)³⁶ and other diseases. In the present proof-of-concept study, we show how resistive pulse sensing in combination with suitable carrier design may be used to detect short DNA sequences in solution. Specifically, we designed 7.2 kbp long dsDNA structures with either one or two protrusions ('overhangs') in specific locations along the carrier strand (see Methods section and SI for details). These protrusions comprised of a short (~12 bp) ds section close to the carrier backbone and an 88 nt long ss section, which could be hybridized with its complementary sequence (the target). In translocation experiments with quartz nanopipettes, we then detected and differentiated ss and hybridised protrusions and hence determined the hybridisation state of the overhang in a rapid and label-free manner.

We chose the sequence of the probe regions to be identical for all samples, also to allow for a comparison between different overhang locations. It was taken from the RV1910c gene in TB, a gene regulatory region of the *KatG* protein.³⁷ *KatG* is a catalase peroxidase, which is responsible for activating Isoniazid (INH), one of the most effective and specific anti-tuberculosis drug since its introduction in 1952.^{38,39} Deregulation of the *KatG* gene thus triggers INH resistance in the bacteria and renders the drug useless. Furthermore, INH resistance is often the first step towards multi-drug resistance,⁴⁰ so robust and fast identification of antibiotic resistance could inform already the early stages of therapy. Based on our results, it appears that resistive pulse sensing with nanopores and nanopipettes could help address this need, in particular when coupled with a suitable workflow for sample extraction and amplification.

The quartz pipettes used in this study are produced with a mechanical puller and the exact geometry of the channel and the pore size at the pipette can vary to some degree. Based on conductance measurements, optical and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging, we found however that the device-to-device variation for the pulling parameters used was relatively small (see Methods section). We typically obtained pipettes with (inner) pore diameters at the tip between 20 and 30 nm and good agreement between the different characterisation methods. As an example, we show the current-voltage (*I/V*) characteristics (forward and reverse voltage sweep), optical microscopy image and TEM image recorded for the same pipette in figure 1 B). From the average slope of the two sweeps between ± 0.1 V, a conductance of 33.1 nS was obtained, which in conjunction with equation S2 in the Supporting Information (SI), was used to estimate a pore diameter of 29 nm. This compares well with the pore diameter determined by TEM, which yielded 31 nm for the same pipette. The TEM and optical images also reveal that the channel geometry is approximately conical over long distances with an opening angle of about 15°. The small offset between the forward and the reverse voltage scan is due to capacitive charging of the system, as discussed in detail elsewhere.^{41,42} The DNA structures under study here are illustrated in figure 2 A) and comprise of two pairs of samples, as mentioned above. Namely, these are two structures with a single overhang ('ssx1' and 'hyx1') and two structures with two overhangs ('ssx2' and 'hyx2'). 'ssx' refers to DNA carriers with single-stranded overhangs, 'hyx' to those where the overhang(s) have been hybridised with an 88 nt complementary strand (as the model disease marker). Based on equilibrium binding considerations and taking into account the concentration conditions during the assembly, we found that the affinity of the complementary strand was high enough to ensure near quantitative binding for the 'hyx' samples (see SI, section 1).

In order to confirm that the assembly had been successful, atomic force microscopy (AFM) characterisation was performed in selected cases, as shown for hyx1 in figure 2 B). A small amount of

shorter adsorbed fragments is also seen, which were still present in the sample solution. In this context, we felt that further purification unnecessary, in light of the fact that in mixtures the longer DNA carrier can readily be distinguished from shorter fragments, both in AFM and nanopore sensing (see below). This is clearly a strength of the nanopore sensor concept presented here, which can ultimately simplify workflows in real-life applications.

In terms of the structural analysis of the hyx1 species on the surface, we focused on a region-of-interest of between 0.3 and 0.7 of the total DNA length and excluded features that had markedly different contrast than the DNA carrier itself (pointing to coiling, knotting or random co-adsorption of shorter DNA fragments). With regards to the DNA length, we found a rather broad distribution with an average of $1.85 \pm 0.13 \mu\text{m}$, cf. figure 2 C) (left panel), which is somewhat shorter than the expected value of $2.46 \mu\text{m}$ (7228 bp as per design, 0.34 nm/bp). This has been observed by others before and is most likely due to the DNA on the surface not being fully stretched.²³ In support of this hypothesis, we found good agreement with the intended design for the relative position of the overhang (0.51 ± 0.05 vs. expected 0.51) and its length ($39 \pm 7 \text{ nm}$ vs. expected: 34 nm), as shown in the middle and right panel of figure 2 C). Hence, partial decomposition is unlikely the reason for the shorter observed carrier length, unless it affects the carrier symmetrically on both sides.

Figure 3: Translocation data from three different nanopipettes for hyx1 ($V_{bias} = 0.7 \text{ V}$, $4 \text{ M LiCl} + 10 \text{ mM TE electrolyte}$). A) Scatter density plot of $\log(\Delta I_e)$ vs. $\log(\tau_e)$. Two event clusters 1 and 2 emerge, where cluster 1 contains events from hyx1 (1166 out of a total of 59964 events). These are of interest in the present context. Cluster 2 contains shorter DNA fragments that are still present in the sample, as discussed in the context of the AFM results above. B) Scatter plot for cluster 1 only with the data points from the different pipettes colour-coded. Some small, but systematic differences arise for the cluster centres. C) One-dimensional τ_e histogram, showing the combined dataset for cluster 1 (black, solid line) as well as the individual data for each pipette (same color-coding as in B). Comparison with translocation data from ssx1, i.e. with the unhybridised overhang, reveals that the data are identical within experimental error, cf. figure S7. This suggests that the hybridisation state of the overhang does not significantly affect the translocation characteristics of the carrier DNA.

Figure 3 shows the results of translocation experiments performed with the same 'hyx1' sample in three different nanopipettes, each with (internal) pore diameters between 20 and 30 nm ($V_{bias} = 0.7$ V; 4 M LiCl + 10 mM TE electrolyte). In panel A), all events (59964) are combined in one (logarithmic) scatter density plot, $\log_{10}(\Delta I_e)$ vs. $\log_{10}(\tau_e)$. These events include electric noise and the translocation of short DNA fragments at short τ_e , as well as the translocation of the DNA carriers at longer τ_e . Two clusters, labelled '1' and '2', clearly emerge where cluster 1 contains the translocation events from the longer DNA carriers (1166 events), in line with the translocation characteristics reported for similar DNA under comparable conditions.¹⁶ Panels B) and C) show a blow-up of cluster 1 and one-dimensional histograms, respectively, with the data color-coded according to the pipette used. The histogram in white/black solid line combines all three datasets. It is well represented well by a log-normal fit with a mean translocation time of $\langle \tau_e \rangle = 0.94 \pm 0.01$ ms. However, as shown by the color-coded individual datasets, there are small, but systematic differences between the individual nanopipettes used. This is not surprising, since the channel dimensions are known to affect the translocation time and the associated current modulation.^{43,8} Specifically, for larger pore diameters d_p , τ_e and ΔI_e (relative to mean pore current) decrease, for smaller d_p , the opposite effect is observed. So, while every effort was made to use very similar nanopipettes in the experiments, in terms of their conductance G , the actual pore dimensions, and thus the translocation characteristics of an analyte, will not be exactly the same. The weighted average of the translocation times for all three pipettes is $\langle \tau_e \rangle = 1.0 \pm 0.2$ ms (weighted standard error) and hence the same as the previous value of the mean translocation time, within experimental error. The small relative shifts between the individual translocation time distributions, however, led to some broadening of the overall (combined) translocation time distribution, which would in turn affect the determination of related parameters, such as the effective diffusion coefficient of the DNA segment in the pore.⁴⁴ However, this aspect is not in focus of the present study and we now turn to the discussion of the event sub-structure, related to the presence of the different overhangs.

Three example events of each case – ssx1, hyx1, ssx2 and hyx2 – are shown in figure 4 A) ($V_{bias} = 0.7$ V), along with a graphical illustration of some parameters used for further analysis (cf. Methods section). ssx data are colored in green, hyx data in red throughout this figure. Analogous data recorded at $V_{bias} = 0.5$ V using different pipettes are shown in the SI. As expected, the samples featuring a single overhang approximately in the centre of the construct (ssx1 and hyx1) displayed a sub-event current spike approximately in the centre of the respective event (see section 3 of the SI for a discussion on DNA knotting). For the samples with two overhangs, ssx2 and hyx2, the situation is more complex. Namely, the second, off-centred overhang can appear before or after the central one, depending on which part of the DNA carrier enter the pore first. This is illustrated in the examples given for ssx2

(bottom left), where the off-centred overhang appears after the centred one in event 1 and 3 (top and bottom), and before in event 2 (middle). These considerations are also borne out in the statistical analysis of the sub-event positions, as shown in panel B) for ssx1 and hyx1 (top) and ssx2 and hyx2 (bottom). Some further observations are worth noting: First, all events shown in panel A) share some common features, in terms of their overall shape. Namely, they all start with a relatively sharp $I(t)$ transition as the DNA enters the pore channel from the outside, reflecting the relatively abrupt boundary between the pore entrance and the bulk solution. The current level then remains relatively constant until there is first an abrupt change and a non-linear tail-off. Again, this most likely reflects the internal geometry of the pore channel and, in particular during tail-off, how the DNA leaves the narrowest part of the channel towards the bulk solution inside the pipette. With geometrically simple and well-defined analytes, such as spherical nanoparticles, this effect has previously been exploited to reconstruct the inner shape of the pore channel.⁴⁵ Secondly, the normalised histograms of the sub-event positions for ssx1 vs. hyx1 and of ssx2 vs. hyx2 strongly overlap, suggesting that the hybridisation state of the overhang has little effect on the translocation characteristics of the carrier DNA. This is despite the fact that the pore diameter is smaller than the length of the overhangs, which are in turn shorter than the persistence length of double-stranded DNA (>35 nm).^{46,47} The same conclusion is however also borne out in more detailed analysis of the translocation events below. Finally, the peak positions for all four samples are in excellent agreement with expectations based on the DNA design and in accordance with the AFM data above. From Gaussian fitting, ssx1 and hyx1 feature a single peak at relative positions of 0.51 ± 0.04 and 0.53 ± 0.07 (normalised to τ_e , error: $\pm 1\sigma$). The expected value based on the DNA design is $3576/7228 = 0.49$ or $(7228 - 3576)/7228 = 0.51$, depending on the DNA orientation, a difference that is within experimental error. For comparison, the AFM characterisation of hyx1 yielded a relative overhang position of 0.51 ± 0.05 , *vide supra*. For ssx2, peaks occur at 0.32 ± 0.04 , 0.50 ± 0.05 and 0.69 ± 0.05 , those for hyx2 at 0.33 ± 0.06 , 0.50 ± 0.05 , 0.68 ± 0.04 (fit: sum of 3 Gaussians). The expected values are 0.32 and 0.51 for the two overhangs in one translocation direction, and 0.49 and 0.68 for the other. The combined peak positions are again in very good agreement with the experimental values, within error. We also note that the observed intensity ratio is approximately 1:2:1, which is expected if the two DNA ends enter the pore with roughly equal probability (actual values, from triple Gaussian fits, ssx2: 1:2.1:1.3; hyx2: 1:1.8:1.4). Taken together, these data strongly suggest that the preparation of the DNA designs has been successful in all four cases.

Figure 4: DNA carrier translocation data, analysis of sub-events ($V_{bias} = 0.7$ V). A) Three example events for each of the four DNA structures are shown: ssx1 (top left), hyx1 (top, right), ssx2 (bottom, left) and hyx2 (bottom, right). The color-coding, ssx (green) and hyx (red), is the same throughout this figure. Key parameters characterising the events and sub-events are illustrated, including the 1σ line defining event start/stop according to our definition and the 20%/80% boundary for the sub-event search (see Methods). Some sub-events, as defined by the search algorithm used here, are shown in blue. B) Normalised histograms of the relative sub-event position for single-overhang samples (ssx1, hyx1; top) and the double-overhang samples (ssx2, hyx2; bottom), inc. Gaussian fits. C) Normalised histograms of sub-event characteristics, relative to the respective event: τ_{se}/τ_e , q_{se}/q_e and $\Delta I_{se}/\Delta I_e$. All distributions are non-Gaussian and are represented well by log-normal fits (solid lines). Importantly, as the aim of the study is to distinguish hybridised (red) from non-hybridised overhangs (green), the difference between the two cases appears to be largest for the $\Delta I_{se}/\Delta I_e$ distributions (This is also the case for the data recorded at $V_{bias} = 0.5$ V, see SI).

We now address the key question of the present study, namely whether the hybridisation state of the overhangs can reliably be determined using resistive pulse sensing under the present conditions. Three fundamental signal properties were explored in this context, namely the sub-event duration τ_{se} , the sub-event charge q_{se} and the maximum current within a sub-event, ΔI_{se} . This was based on the consideration that a stiffer (hybridised) overhang may increase the residence time in the sensing zone (and hence τ_{se}), and that the increased presence of DNA could increase q_{se} or enhance blockage (thus ΔI_{se}). Due to the relatively large variance in each of these event characteristics, we found it necessary to normalise τ_{se} , q_{se} and ΔI_{se} to the corresponding event properties for each event. Since in our DNA design the overhangs are either single-stranded or double-stranded, there is no obvious internal reference for this normalisation process, in contrast to design used by others.²² Accordingly, the respective normalised histograms for all three cases, τ_{se}/τ_e , q_{se}/q_e and $\Delta I_{se}/\Delta I_e$, are shown in figure 4 C) (top: ssx1/hyx1, bottom: ssx2/hyx2, in green and red, respectively). The solid lines are fits to log-normal distributions, which generally provide a very good representation of the histograms. The

individual values of the fit parameters are of less relevance here, but the fact that the data are not normally distributed affects the statistical analysis, as discussed below. From the histogram shapes it is apparent that of those three classification parameters, the $\Delta I_{se}/\Delta I_e$ histograms show the largest differences between the ssx and hyx samples. The same observations hold true for the data recorded with a different set of pipettes at $V_{bias} = 0.5$ V, as shown in the SI (section 5).

In order to test whether the observed differences in the $\Delta I_{se}/\Delta I_e$ distributions were statistically significant, we subsequently performed a three-factor Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with two levels each, taking into account the hybridisation state of the overhangs (ssx vs. hyx), the bias voltage (0.5 V vs. 0.7 V) and the number of overhangs per carrier ('single' vs. 'double'). In this context, it is worth reiterating that the overhangs in all samples have the same sequence composition. Accordingly, our analysis initially considers whether there is any significant difference between ssx and hyx, irrespective of the sample and conditions used. An ANOVA is thus the preferred method, as it allows for multiple comparisons to be performed at the same time and provides information on the interactions between those factors. It is more conservative than performing multiple t-tests and avoids an accumulation of type-I errors (false positives).⁴⁸ In a second step, we also performed a series of *post hoc* Tukey-Kramer 'means difference' tests for the individual comparisons (ssx1 vs. hyx1, ssx2 vs. hyx2 at the two different voltages) to investigate the observed main effects and some of the interactions in more detail, cf. sections 6 and 7 in the SI.

Focusing on the main effects here, the means of two factors, namely 'hybridisation state' and 'voltage', are statistically significantly different at a 0.05 confidence level ($p \approx 0$ and 0.008; means difference: -0.139 and 0.021; sample sizes: 1266 (ssx)/1088 (hyx) and 657 (0.5 V)/1697 (0.7 V)), while the third factor, 'number of overhangs', is not ($p = 0.061$; means difference: 0.015; sample size: 1224 (single overhang)/1130 (double overhang)). The effect of 'hybridisation state' is clearly relevant to the underlying idea of the present work and will be explored in further detail below. A main effect of 'voltage' could also be of interest in that it could suggest that optimisation of V_{bias} could lead to improved sensor performance. However, as discussed in section 7 of the SI, when considering interaction effects and suitable *post hoc* tests, the effect was found not to be statistically robust, in terms of the individual comparisons (i.e., differentiation between ssx and hyx was similar at the two V_{bias} values studied). Finally, the absence of statistically significant effects for the number of overhangs would suggest that the latter does not affect the detection of the individual sub-events, at least in the present samples and condition used.

To confirm whether the hybridised and non-hybridised overhangs in a given sample could indeed be differentiated in a statistically significant manner, we performed Tukey-Kramer 'means difference' tests for each of the four relevant individual comparisons, cf. figure S12 (Panels A-D: ssx1 vs. hyx1,

1
2
3 ssx2 vs. hyx2, at $V_{bias} = 0.5$ V and 0.7 V, respectively). Indeed, in all cases, the difference is found to be
4 statistically significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ (type-I error rate). Moreover, the means difference between the
5 ssx and hyx samples have the same sign (A to D: -0.095; -0.199; -0.133; -0.129) and are on average of
6 similar magnitude (i.e., there is no obvious difference between the single- and double-overhang
7 samples, in accordance with the discussion above). Thus, the $\Delta I_{se}/\Delta I_e$ ratio is slightly, but consistently
8 larger for hybridised overhangs, compared to their single-stranded analogs.
9

10 Our results therefore confirm that the hybridisation state of the overhangs may be detected in a
11 statistically significant manner, based on a sufficient number of translocation events. The comparison
12 was based on 2354 samples, but a power analysis yielded a hypothetical power of 95% for a sample
13 size of 100 ($\alpha = 0.05$), an indication that a significantly smaller number of events may be sufficient to
14 determine the hybridisation state of the probes in a statistically significant manner. Nevertheless, the
15 difference between ssx and hyx samples is relatively small at present, but may be improved further.
16 V_{bias} is a parameter we have considered in this context, but no significant dependence on V_{bias} was
17 found.
18

19 Decreasing the pore size may be another strategy towards improving the sensor performance and
20 is known to improve the signal-to-noise ratio for translocation events.⁴³ Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD)
21 of oxides has been explored in this context, also in nanopipettes.^{49,50} However, care needs to be taken
22 with regards to the identity and surface properties of the oxide as well as the preparation conditions.
23 For example, we observed that some Al_2O_3 films were not stable under the experimental conditions
24 used in the present study, i.e. at very high halide concentrations, in line with previous
25 literature.^{51,52,53,54} Moreover, in the presence of the Al_2O_3 layer, the channel surface is net positively
26 charged,⁴⁹ leading to adsorption of the DNA to the pore surface, wider translocation time distributions
27 and less well resolved individual events. Hence, a different oxide, for example SiO_2 with negative
28 surface charge in solution, may be preferable in this regard.
29

30 In conclusion, we have demonstrated how nanopipette-based electric detection, combined with
31 robust statistical analysis, is capable of probing the hybridisation state of short, approximately 100 nt
32 long single-stranded overhangs. Their length is comparable with short circulating DNA fragments,
33 which are found in different bodily fluids and have been identified as potential markers in disease
34 diagnostics, for example in TB detection. To illustrate this aspect, the 88 nt probe design employed in
35 this study was taken from the RV1910c gene, a gene regulatory region known to play a key role in TB
36 resistance against INH. With the overhangs arranged over a long DNA carrier, the sensing strategy also
37 encompasses some multiplexing capabilities. Not only is it possible to integrate a larger number of
38 probes in one carrier (of equal or different sequence composition), but also to mix carriers of different
39 lengths (and different overhangs). Equally, it would also be possible to encode specific features into
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

the carriers (such as hairpins), to differentiate those of equal length.²² Notably, while the carrier designs used in present study have been implemented using DNA self-assembly from small fragments, similar structures may be created involving enzymatic modification of dsDNA.^{55,56,57,58} The latter may be significantly more cost-effective and enable the preparation of larger amounts, both required for a viable sensor technology in the future. Following first efforts to improve the sensor performance, we have identified a small number of parameters to be explored in this context in the future. Finally, our study demonstrates the remarkable sensitivity of electric, nanopipette-based sensing towards the detection of even minor changes in DNA structure or composition, in a label-free manner.

Preparation and characterisation of the DNA constructs. The synthesis was adapted from Bell et al. and Plesa *et al.*^{22,23} The restriction enzymes (RE) (BamHI-HF, EcoRI-RF), M13mp18 circular ssDNA and M13mp18 RF circular dsDNA were purchased from New England Biolabs (NEB) (Ipswich, U.K.). The 190 staples, ssDNA overhangs sequences, and target DNA sequence were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) (Leuven, Belgium). The sequence for the staples were similar to the ones reported by Bell et al.¹⁹ These overhang and target strand sequences can be found in the SI.

The M13mp18 ssDNA was linearised to form the DNA carrier strand. The oligonucleotides with the sequence 5' – TCT AGA GGA TCC CCG GGT ACC GAG CTC GAA TTC GTA ATC – 3' were hybridized to the ssDNA to form a double stranded restriction site recognisable by the RE. For the hybridization and RE cutting, 5 µL of M13mp18 (250 ng/µL), 5 µL of 10x NEB 'cut smart' buffer, 1 µL of oligonucleotide (100 µM) and 37 µL of autoclaved ultrapure water was mixed. To hybridise the oligonucleotide, the mixture was heated to 65 °C for 5 minutes, followed by cooling at 25 °C for 5 min. and further cooling at 10 °C for 10 min. in a thermocycler (Eppendorf Mastercycler Gradient).

Afterwards 1 µL of BamHI-HF and 1 µL of EcoRI-HF (20,000 units/mL) were added to the mixture. The mixture was incubated in the thermocycler at 37 °C for 2.5 hours and then heated to 65 °C for 20 min. to denature the RE. The ssDNA was cleaned up using the Monarch PCR & DNA Clean-up kit (NEB, Ipswich, U.K.) and eluted in autoclaved TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.8, Sigma Aldrich, Irvine, U.K.). A purity check (running the eluted DNA on an 0.8 % agarose gel) was performed after each digestion. The final concentration of the ssDNA was measured with UV-visible spectroscopy (Nanodrop 1000, Thermo Scientific). The same procedure (without hybridisation) was also used to form the linearised dsM13mp18 from the circular M13mp18-RF.

To form the dsDNA carrier strand with one double stranded overhang, 42 µL of linearised M13mp18 (11 nM), 1 µL of staple mix (38 bp oligonucleotides, each oligo 30 µM), 2 µL of both overhang strands (short and long) (100 µM each), 2 µL of target sequence (100 µM), 5 µL of MgCl₂ (100 mM) and 8 µL of autoclaved ultrapure water were mixed. The mixture was heated to 72 °C and cooled at a rate of 1

°C every 4 minutes till the temperature dropped to 23 °C. The excess staples, overhangs and target strands were (partially) removed using the Amicon Ultra 100 kDa cut-off centrifugal filters (Millipore, Massachusetts, U.S.). The purification step consisted of diluting the mixture with 400 µL of TE buffer and then centrifuging at 3000 g for 10 min. at 4 °C. The filtrate was then decanted and the above procedure repeated for 6 washing steps. The sample was recovered by inverting the filter and centrifuging at 1000 g for 2 min. The product quality and quantity were initially characterised by gel electrophoresis and UV-vis spectroscopy. A similar procedure was carried out to form constructs with a single (unhybridised) ss-overhang and those with two overhangs.

AFM studies. The DNA samples were imaged in tapping mode in air at 23 °C with an Agilent 5500 AFM/SPM microscope (Keysight Technologies, Arizona, U.S.A.) and commercial “PointProbe® Plus-NCHR-10” probes (Windsor Scientific, Slough, U.K.). Images were processed with the ‘plane’ and ‘flatten’ filters in the WSxM 5.0 Develop 7.0 software.⁵⁹ Preparation of the substrate: the buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.6, 4 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA; Sigma Aldrich) was filtered using a 0.2 µm syringe filter (EMD Millipore Hertfordshire, U.K.) to remove any large particle contaminants and then autoclaved. The construct (1.5 ng/µL) was prepared in 20 µL of the said buffer and deposited on a freshly cleaved Mica (9.9 mm diameter, Agar Scientific, Stanstead, U.K.). The DNA was left to adsorb to the surface for 5-10 min. The surface was then rinsed with 1 mL of nuclease-free water (NEB) thrice and dried in a N₂ gas flow.

Nanopipette fabrication and characterisation. Nanopipettes were made from filamented quartz capillaries (O.D.:1 mm, I.D.:0.5 mm; length: 7.5 cm, Sutter Instruments, Novato, USA). The capillaries contain a ~160 µm glass filament that facilitates the filling of the nanopipette with electrolyte by capillary action.⁶⁰ The glass capillaries were first plasma-cleaned for 7 minutes (Harrick Scientific, New York, U.S.A.) before loading it into the laser pipette puller (P2000, Sutter Instruments). The pulling programme involved two steps and the following parameter settings: Step 1 ('Heat': 880-890; 'Filament': 4; 'Velocity': 30; 'Delay': 175-190; 'Pull': 100-110). Step 2 ('Heat': 900; 'Filament': 1; 'Velocity': 15-20; 'Delay': 170-175; 'Pull': 160). Minor re-optimisation of the parameter settings was sometimes required, when the apparent pore diameters consistently veered off the desired range from 20-30 nm (as judged by the pore conductance, *vide infra*). This was most likely due to small changes in the environmental conditions (humidity, ambient temperature) or the puller itself, but those changes were small, as shown above. The inner diameter of the nanopore at the end of the pipette was initially estimated from the conductance of the pipette (see SI) in 1 M KCl and, in some cases, characterised further using TEM. For translocation experiments, the pipettes were then integrated into a custom-built liquid cell, with one Ag/AgCl electrode on the inside and the other one on the outside of the pipette.

TEM characterisation of the pipettes. TEM imaging of the nanopipettes was carried out using the JEOL JEM-2100F TEM. The measurements of the images were conducted using Image J.⁶¹ Sample preparation: The tip of the pipette is positioned such that it was sitting parallel on the centre of the Cu TEM slot grid (Cat no.:GG030, Taab Laboratory Equipment Ltd, Aldermaston, U.K.) and glued to the grid with a two-component epoxy glue (Araldite, Basel, Switzerland). The glue was left to set for 6 hours, after which the pipette attached to the grid was cleaned under UV/Ozone for 20 mins (UVOCS). It was then sputter coated (Polaron Quorum Technologies, East Sussex, U.K.) with 10 nm Cr to reduce charging effects. The parts of the pipette lying just outside the grid was cut off using a scalpel before the grid was placed in the sample holder of the TEM.

Translocation experiments were performed in 4 M LiCl electrolyte, which is known to reduce the translocation speed in comparison to KCl,^{62,63} using a custom-made low-noise, wide-bandwidth current amplifier reported previously.^{16,17} The electronics output is split into a 'DC' and an 'AC' channel, containing slow (below 10 Hz) and fast (> 10 Hz) modulations of the current, respectively. Specifically, this means that translocation events appear in the AC output. It is zero mean, which greatly simplifies any background correction (minor constant offsets were corrected prior to the event search, *vide infra*). The DC channel contains the steady-state current through the cell, which is related to the pore conductance. The AC output is filtered as specified with an eight-pole low-pass (analog) Bessel filter (Krohn-Hite Corporation, Massachusetts, U.S.A.). A digital oscilloscope (Picoscope 4262, Pico Technology, Cambridgeshire, U.K.) served as analog-to-digital converter at 1 μ s sampling rate. Custom-written Matlab code was used for instrument control, data acquisition and analysis, as detailed below. The liquid cell and the amplifier were housed in a double-Faraday cage to minimize electrical noise. In total 23 different nanopipettes were used in the translocation experiments presented in this work.

Analysis of the translocation data. Current-time traces were initially subjected to a zero-order background correction to account for minor, constant offsets in the AC channel output (typically < 10 pA). Then, a threshold search was performed with a 5σ cutoff, where σ is the standard deviation of the current noise in the AC channel. The search algorithm then found the data points, which first crossed the zero baseline, relative to the 5σ cutoff, as well as the corresponding 1σ values. The latter served as definition for the event start and stop, as a compromise between minimizing the effect of local baseline fluctuation on the event characteristics and our ambition to capture the overall event shape as much as possible. Thus, the ' 1σ ' event duration is $\tau_e = t_{stop} - t_{start}$. The probability distribution of τ_e was found to be skewed and well approximated heuristically by a log-normal distribution.^{16,17} For a physically rigorous closed-form solution of the distribution function, see reference 44. The effective

event magnitude ΔI_e was calculated from the integral of the $I(t)$ trace between t_{start} and t_{stop} , q_e , divided by τ_e .

Sub-events are more challenging to identify and analyse, because they typically contain far fewer data points than the events themselves. We therefore took a somewhat different approach in searching for and analysing those sub-events. First, the median of a central section of the event (0.2 to 0.8 relative event duration) was determined to serve as baseline (the median, rather than the mean, was chosen to be less sensitive to outliers, such as spikes). Sub-events were identified in a threshold search with a $1.2 \cdot \Delta I_e$ cutoff. The search algorithm also extracted all adjacent data points before and after, until the median value was reached. The sub-event duration τ_{se} is thus the time difference between the first median crossing after the sub-event threshold was reached and the last median crossing before the threshold value (capturing a large part of the sub-event shape). ΔI_{se} was taken to be the maximum current, relative to median, and q_{se} was determined from the integral of the $I(t)$ trace, within a sub-event. In absence of a closed-form solution for the distribution functions of $\Delta I_{se}/\Delta I_e$, q_{se}/q_e and τ_{se}/τ_e , the corresponding probability distributions were also approximated by log-normal distributions. These were mainly used for illustration purposes and to highlight the non-normality of the data, in the context of the subsequent statistical analysis.

Associated content

Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS publication website. It contains further details on the design of the DNA carriers, their characterisation; modelling results on the field distribution in the nanopipette and on the binding equilibrium between the DNA capture probe and the carrier; additional nanopore translocation data at $V_{bias} = 0.5$ V; and further discussion of the statistical tests, including the 3-way ANOVA.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Willem van Schaik (Institute for Global Innovation and Institute of Microbiology and Infection, University of Birmingham, UK), Robert Neely (School of Chemistry, University of Birmingham) and Katja Kornysheva (Department of Psychology, Bangor University, UK) for discussions. The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study and the analysis scripts are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Author contributions

T.A. conceived the experiment. T.A., A.Y.Y. Loh and C.H Burgess performed the experiments and analysed the data. The manuscript was written with contributions from all authors.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- ¹ L. Luo, S.R. German, W.-J. Lan, D.A. Holden, T.L. Mega, H.S. White, Resistive-Pulse Analysis of Nanoparticles, *Ann. Rev. Anal. Chem.* 2014, 7, 513-535.
- ² M. Wanunu, Nanopores: A journey towards DNA sequencing, *Phys. Life Rev.* 2012, 9, 125-158.
- ³ L.J. Steinbock, O. Otto, C. Chimere, J. Gornall, U.F. Keyser, Detecting DNA Folding with Nanocapillaries, *Nano Lett.* 2010, 10, 2493-2497.
- ⁴ L.J. Steinbock, A. Lucas, O. Otto, U.F. Keyser, Voltage-driven transport of ions and DNA through nanocapillaries, *Electrophoresis* 2012, 33, 3480-3487.
- ⁵ M. Wanunu, J. Sutin, A. Meller, DNA Profiling Using Solid-State Nanopores: Detection of DNA-Binding Molecules, *Nano Lett.* 2009, 9, 3498-3502.
- ⁶ A. Singer, M. Wanunu, W. Morrison, H. Kuhn, M. Frank-Kamenetskii, A. Meller, Nanopore Based Sequence Specific Detection of Duplex DNA for Genomic Profiling, *Nano Lett.* 2010, 10, 738-742.
- ⁷ A. Singer, S. Rapireddy, D.H. Ly, A. Meller, Electronic Barcoding of a Viral Gene at the Single-Molecule Level, *Nano Lett.* 2012, 12, 1722-1728.
- ⁸ T. Albrecht, Progress in single-biomolecule analysis with solid-state nanopores, *Curr. Op. Electrochem.* 2017, 4, 159-165.
- ⁹ D.H. Stoloff, M. Wanunu, Recent trends in nanopores for biotechnology, *Curr. Op. Biotechnol.* 2013, 24, 699-704.
- ¹⁰ B.N. Miles, A.P. Ivanov, K.A. Wilson, F. Dogan, D. Japrun, J.B. Edel, Single molecule sensing with solid-state nanopores: novel materials, methods, and applications, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, 42, 15-28.
- ¹¹ S.M. Bezrukov, I. Vodyanoy, V.A. Parsegian, Counting polymers moving through a single-ion channel, *Nature* 1994, 370, 279-281.
- ¹² J.L. Li, M. Gershow, D. Stein, E. Brandin, J.A. Golovchenko, DNA molecules and configurations in a solid-state nanopore microscope, *Nat. Mater.* 2003, 2, 611-615.
- ¹³ C. Plesa, S.W. Kowalczyk, R. Zinsmeister, A.Y. Grosberg, Y. Rabin, C. Dekker, Fast Translocation of Proteins through Solid State Nanopores, *Nano Lett.* 2013, 13, 658-663.
- ¹⁴ M. Firnkes, D. Pedone, J. Knezevic, M. Doblinger, U. Rant, Electrically Facilitated Translocations of Proteins through Silicon Nitride Nanopores: Conjoint and Competitive Action of Diffusion, Electrophoresis, and Electroosmosis, *Nano Lett.* 2010, 10, 2162-2167.
- ¹⁵ S.W. Kowalczyk, A.R. Hall, C. Dekker, Detection of Local Protein Structures along DNA Using Solid-State Nanopores, *Nano Lett.* 2010, 10, 324-328.
- ¹⁶ R.L. Fraccari, P. Ciccarella, A. Bahrami, M. Carminati, G. Ferrari, T. Albrecht, High-speed detection of DNA translocation in nanopipettes, *Nanoscale* 2016, 8, 7604-7611.
- ¹⁷ R.L. Fraccari, M. Carminati, G. Piantanida, T. Leontidou, G. Ferrari, T. Albrecht, High-bandwidth detection of short DNA in nanopipettes, *Faraday Discussions* 2016, 193, 459-470.
- ¹⁸ P. Nuttall, K. Lee, P. Ciccarella, M. Carminati, G. Ferrari, K.-B. Kim, T. Albrecht, Single-Molecule Studies of Unlabeled Full-Length p53 Protein Binding to DNA, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2016, 120, 2106-2114.
- ¹⁹ J.K. Rosenstein, M. Wanunu, C.A. Merchant, M. Drndic, K.L. Shepard, Integrated nanopore sensing platform with sub-microsecond temporal resolution, *Nature Methods* 2012, 9, 487-492.
- ²⁰ N.A.W. Bell, U.F. Keyser, Specific Protein Detection Using Designed DNA Carriers and Nanopores, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, 137, 2035-2041.
- ²¹ J. Kong, N.A.W. Bell, U.F. Keyser, Quantifying Nanomolar Protein Concentrations Using Designed DNA Carriers and Solid-State Nanopores, *Nano Lett.* 2016, 16, 3557-3562.
- ²² N.A.W. Bell, U.F. Keyser, Digitally encoded DNA nanostructures for multiplexed, single-molecule protein sensing with nanopores, *Nature Nanotechnol.* 2016, 11, 645-652.
- ²³ C. Plesa, N. van Loo, P. Ketterer, H. Dietz, C. Dekker, Velocity of DNA during Translocation through a Solid-State Nanopore, *Nano Lett.* 2015, 15, 732-737.
- ²⁴ N.A.W. Bell, K. Chen, S. Ghosal, M. Ricci, U.F. Keyser, Asymmetric dynamics of DNA entering and exiting a strongly confining nanopore, *Nat. Commun.* 2017, 8, 380.

- ²⁵ J. Kong, J. Zhu, U.F. Keyser, Single molecule based SNP detection using designed DNA carriers and solid-state nanopores, *Chem. Commun.* 2017, 53, 436-439.
- ²⁶ J.Y.Y. Sze, A.P. Ivanov, A.E.G. Cass, J.B. Edel, Single molecule multiplexed nanopore protein screening in human serum using aptamer modified DNA carriers, *Nat. Commun.* 2017, 8, 1552.
- ²⁷ E. Beamish, V. Tabard-Cossa, M. Godin, Identifying Structure in Short DNA Scaffolds Using Solid-State Nanopores, *ACS Sensors* 2017, 2, 1814-1820.
- ²⁸ H. Kwok, K. Briggs, V. Tabard-Cossa, Nanopore Fabrication by Controlled Dielectric Breakdown, *PLoS One* 2014, 9, e92880.
- ²⁹ E.L.C.J. Blundell, R. Vogel, M. Platt, Particle-by-Particle Charge Analysis of DNA-Modified Nanoparticles Using Tunable Resistive Pulse Sensing, *Langmuir*, 2016, 32, 1082-1090.
- ³⁰ R. Vogel, A.K. Pal, S. Jambhrunkar, P. Patel, S.S. Thakur, E. Reátegui, H.S. Parekh, P. Saá, A. Stassinopoulos, M.F. Broom, High-Resolution Single Particle Zeta Potential Characterisation of Biological Nanoparticles using Tunable Resistive Pulse Sensing, *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, art. no. 17479.
- ³¹ Y.S. Ang, L.-Y. L. Yung, Rapid and Label-Free Single-Nucleotide Discrimination via an Integrative Nanoparticle–Nanopore Approach, *ACS Nano*, 2012, 6, 8815-8823.
- ³² M.J. Healey, W. Rowe, S. Siati, M. Sivakumaran, M. Platt, Rapid Assessment of Site Specific DNA Methylation through Resistive Pulse Sensing, *ACS Sens.*, 2018, 3, 655-660.
- ³³ P. Burnham, D. Dadhania, M. Heyang, F. Chen, L.F. Westblade, M. Suthanthiran, J.R. Lee, I. De Vlamincck, Urinary cell-free DNA is a versatile analyte for monitoring infections of the urinary tract, *Nat. Commun.* 2018, 9, 2412.
- ³⁴ P. Jiang, Y.M.D. Lo, The Long and Short of Circulating Cell-Free DNA and the Ins and Outs of Molecular Diagnostics, *Trends in Genetics* 2016, 32, 360-371.
- ³⁵ S. Gravina, J.M. Sedivy, J. Vijg, The dark side of circulating nucleic acids, *Aging Cell* 2016, 15, 398-399.
- ³⁶ M. Yamamoto, R. Ushio, H. Watanabe, T. Tachibana, M. Tanaka, T. Yokose, J. Tsukiji, H. Nakajima, T. Kaneko, Detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis-derived DNA in circulating cell-free DNA from a patient with disseminated infection using digital PCR, *Intern. J. Infect. Dis.* 2018, 66, 80-82.
- ³⁷ G. K. H. Siu, W. C. Yam, Y. Zhang, R. Y. T. Kao, An Upstream Truncation of the furA-katG Operon Confers High-Level Isoniazid Resistance in a Mycobacterium tuberculosis Clinical Isolate with No Known Resistance-Associated Mutations, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2014, 58, 6093-6100.
- ³⁸ H. Ando, T. Kitao, T. Miyoshi-Akiyama, S. Kato, T. Mori, T. Kirikae, Downregulation of katG expression is associated with isoniazid resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2011, 79, 1615-1628.
- ³⁹ J. Bernstein, W.A. Lott, B.A. Steinberg, H.L. Yale, Chemotherapy of experimental tuberculosis. V. Isonicotinic acid hydrazide (nydrazid) and related compounds, *Am. Rev. Tuberc.* 1952, 65, 357-64.
- ⁴⁰ J.A. Caminero, Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: epidemiology, risk factors and case finding, *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 2010, 14, 382-90.
- ⁴¹ T. Albrecht, How to Understand and Interpret Current Flow in Nanopore/Electrode Devices, *ACS Nano* 2011, 5, 6714-6725.
- ⁴² A. Rutkowska, J.B. Edel, T. Albrecht, Mapping the Ion Current Distribution in Nanopore/Electrode Devices, *ACS Nano* 2013, 7, 547-555.
- ⁴³ M. Wanunu, J. Sutin, B. McNally, A. Chow, A. Meller, DNA Translocation Governed by Interactions with Solid-State Nanopores, *Biophys. J.* 2008, 95, 4716-4725.
- ⁴⁴ D.Y. Ling, X.S. Ling, On the distribution of DNA translocation times in solid-state nanopores: an analysis using Schrodinger's first-passage-time theory, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 2013, 25, 375102.
- ⁴⁵ W.-J. Lan, D. A. Holden, B. Zhang, H. S. White, Nanoparticle Transport in Conical-Shaped Nanopores, *Anal. Chem.*, 2011, 83, 3840-3847.
- ⁴⁶ P.J. Hagerman, Flexibility of DNA, *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biophys. Chem.*, 1988, 17, 265-286.
- ⁴⁷ S. Brinkers, H.R.C. Dietrich, F.H. de Groote, I.T. Young, B. Rieger, The persistence length of double stranded DNA determined using dark field tethered particle motion, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, 130, 215105.
- ⁴⁸ D. Howell, *Statistical Methods for Psychology*, Intern. Ed., Wadsworth Publishing 2012
- ⁴⁹ P. Chen, T. Mitsui, D.B. Farmer, J. Golovchenko, R.G. Gordon, D. Branton, Atomic layer deposition to fine-tune the surface properties and diameters of fabricated nanopores, *Nano Lett.* 2004, 4, 1333-1337.
- ⁵⁰ B.M. Venkatesan, B. Dorvel, S. Yemenicioglu, N. Watkins, I. Petrov, R. Bashir, Highly Sensitive, Mechanically Stable Nanopore Sensors for DNA Analysis, *Adv. Mater.* 2009, 21, 2771-2776.
- ⁵¹ S.E. Potts, L. Schmalz, M. Fenker, B. Díaz, J. Świątowska, V. Maurice, A. Seyeux, P. Marcus, G. Radnóczy, L. Tóth, W.M.M. Kessels, Ultra-Thin Aluminium Oxide Films Deposited by Plasma-Enhanced Atomic Layer Deposition for Corrosion Protection, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2011, 158, C132-C138.

- ⁵² M. Liu, Y. Jin, C. Zhang, C. Leygraf, L. Wen, Corrosion in Electrolyte Containing Chloride Ions, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2015, 357, 2028-2038.
- ⁵³ P.M. Natishan, W.E. O'Grady, Chloride Ion Interactions with Oxide-Covered Aluminum Leading to Pitting Corrosion: A Review, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2014, 161, C421-C432.
- ⁵⁴ B. Díaz, E. Härkönen, V. Maurice, J. Świątowska, A. Seyeux, M. Ritala and P. Marcus, Failure mechanism of thin Al₂O₃ coatings grown by atomic layer deposition for corrosion protection of carbon steel, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, 56, 9609-9618.
- ⁵⁵ J. Deen, S. Wang, S. Van Snick, V. Leen, K. Janssen, J. Hofkens, R.K. Neely, A General Strategy for Direct, Enzyme-Catalyzed Conjugation of Functional Compounds to DNA, *Nucleic Acids Research* 2018, DOI: 10.1093/nar/gky184.
- ⁵⁶ M. Helmer Lauer, C. Vranken, J. Deen, W. Frederickx, W. Vanderlinden, N. Wand, V. Leen, M.H. Gehlen, J. Hofkens, R.K. Neely, Methyltransferase-directed covalent coupling of fluorophores to DNA, *Chem. Sci.* 2017, 8, 3804-3811.
- ⁵⁷ J. Deen, C. Vranken, V. Leen, R.K. Neely, K.P.F. Janssen, J. Hofkens, Methyltransferase-Directed Labeling of Biomolecules and its Applications, 2017, 56, 5182-5200.
- ⁵⁸ K. Chen, M. Juhasz, F. Gularek, E. Weinhold, Y. Tian, U.F. Keyser, N.A.W. Bell, Ionic Current-Based Mapping of Short Sequence Motifs in Single DNA Molecules Using Solid-State Nanopores, *Nano Lett.* 2017, 17, 5199-5205.
- ⁵⁹ I. Horcas, R. Fernández, J. M. Gómez-Rodríguez, J. Colchero, J. Gómez-Herrero, A. M. Baro, WSXM: A software for scanning probe microscopy and a tool for nanotechnology, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2007, 78, 13705.
- ⁶⁰ Sutter Instruments, P-2000 Laser-Based Micropipette Puller System, Operation Manual, 2012.
- ⁶¹ C.A. Schneider, W.S. Rasband, K.W. Eliceiri, NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis, *Nature Methods* 2012, 9, 671-675.
- ⁶² J. Uplinger, B. Thomas, R. Rollings, D. Fologea, D. McNabb, J. Li K⁺, Na⁺, and Mg²⁺ on DNA translocation in silicon nitride nanopores, *Electrophoresis* 2012, 33, 3448-3457.
- ⁶³ S.W. Kowalczyk, D.B. Wells, A. Aksimentiev, C. Dekker, Slowing down DNA Translocation through a Nanopore in Lithium Chloride, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, 12, 1038-1044.

For Table of Contents only