### UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

# Novel targets in the immune microenvironment of the hepatic sinusoids for treating liver diseases

Patten, Daniel A; Shepherd, Emma L; Weston, Christopher J; Shetty, Shishir

DOI: 10.1055/s-0039-1678727

*License:* None: All rights reserved

Document Version Peer reviewed version

#### Citation for published version (Harvard):

Patten, DA, Shepherd, EL, Weston, CJ & Shetty, S 2019, 'Novel targets in the immune microenvironment of the hepatic sinusoids for treating liver diseases', *Seminars in Liver Disease*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 111-123. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-1678727

#### Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement: Checked for eligibility: 03/05/2019 Copyright: Thieme Medical Publishers https://www.thieme-connect.de/products/ejournals/abstract/10.1055/s-0039-1678727

#### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

#### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Novel targets in the immune microenvironment of the hepatic sinusoids for
 treating liver diseases

3

4 Corresponding Author

5 Dr Shishir Shetty MBChB PhD FRCP, Centre for Liver Research, 5<sup>TH</sup> Floor IBR,
6 Institute of Immunology and Immunotherapy, Medical School, University of

- 7 Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT
- 8 Email <u>s.shetty@bham.ac.uk</u>
- 9 Tel +441214158700
- 10 Fax +441214158701
- 11
- 12 Co-Authors

Dr Daniel A Patten PhD, Centre for Liver Research, 5<sup>TH</sup> Floor IBR, Institute of
Immunology and Immunotherapy, Medical School, University of Birmingham,
Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT

16

Dr Emma L Shepherd PhD, Centre for Liver Research, 5<sup>TH</sup> Floor IBR, Institute of
Immunology and Immunotherapy, Medical School, University of Birmingham,
Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT

20

Dr Christopher Weston PhD, Centre for Liver Research, 5<sup>TH</sup> Floor IBR, Institute of
Immunology and Immunotherapy, Medical School, University of Birmingham,
Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT

24

26

#### 27 Abstract

Immune dysregulation and accumulation of leukocytes is a hallmark of adult chronic 28 29 liver diseases. Progressive hepatic inflammation can lead to fibrosis and cirrhosis with a high risk of liver failure or hepatocellular cancer (HCC). Recent advances 30 have been made in the treatment of liver disease including the development of highly 31 effective antiviral therapy for hepatitis C and the potential of immunotherapy for 32 HCC. Despite this, the majority of other chronic liver diseases including alcoholic 33 34 liver disease, fatty liver disease and cholestatic diseases do not respond to conventional anti-inflammatory therapies. Recent studies defining the organ-specific 35 properties that contribute to resident immune activation and immune cell recruitment 36 37 from the circulation in these conditions have identified novel hepatic inflammatory pathways which are now being targeted in clinical trials. Further understanding of 38 how the immune microenvironment is regulated within the liver and how disease 39 40 specific mechanisms alter this process will hopefully lead to combination therapies to prevent aberrant inflammation and also promote fibrosis resolution. In this review, 41 we focus on the advances that have been made in identifying key components of the 42 inflammatory pathway including the recognition of danger signals, the recruitment 43 44 and retention of lymphocytes from the circulation and the pathways which promote 45 resolution.

46

#### 47 Main Concepts and Learning Points

The majority of adult chronic liver diseases are driven by inflammatory
 processes which are unresponsive to conventional anti-inflammatory
 therapies.

- 2. Recent work has highlighted the major role of macrophages, tissue resident
   Kupffer cells and recruited monocytes, in sensing hepatic damage which
   drives downstream immune responses.
- 3. Lymphocyte recruitment via the hepatic sinusoids contributes to hepatitis and
  is mediated by interactions with liver sinusoidal endothelial cells via typical
  and atypical adhesion molecules.
- 4. Clinical trials are targeting macrophage responses to epithelial damage and
  immune cell recruitment via adhesion molecules as novel anti-inflammatory
  approaches in chronic liver disease.
- 5. Further approaches to treat hepatic inflammation should take into account inflammatory pathways which mediate immune cell retention in liver tissue and promote resolution of fibrogenesis.
- 63
- 64

65 Adult inflammatory liver diseases lead to a major global burden on human health, and patients with progressive disease are at risk of developing fibrosis and cirrhosis 66 which can culminate in end-stage liver failure or hepatocellular cancer (HCC), both of 67 which are associated with extremely high mortalities<sup>1</sup>. Recent advances have been 68 made in the treatment of liver disease, especially in the field of viral hepatitis. The 69 development of direct-acting antivirals for the treatment of hepatitis C has 70 71 demonstrated very high rates of viral eradication<sup>2</sup>. In the case of hepatitis B, current 72 therapies are effective at suppressing viral replication and can reduce necroinflammation with reversal of fibrosis as well as reducing HCC risk<sup>3,4</sup>. In 73 74 contrast, the inflammatory processes that drive other major liver diseases such as alcoholic liver disease, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and cholangiopathies have 75

continued to be a major therapeutic challenge. For those patients who progress to advanced chronic liver disease there are limited options when they develop endstage liver disease, with transplantation being the only choice in many cases<sup>5</sup>. New therapies are therefore urgently required to reduce the burden on transplantation and the associated high waiting list mortality.

81

Adult chronic liver diseases are driven by inflammation, which promotes epithelial 82 damage and death leading to the activation of resident immune cells and the 83 accumulation of circulating immune cells recruited from the circulation<sup>6,7</sup>. Each 84 disease has a specific pattern of injury which is dependent on the site of initial 85 86 damage. For example, NASH is triggered by hepatocyte damage characterised by sublethal injury associated with lipotoxicity, resulting in parenchymal inflammation 87 associated with innate and adaptive immune responses<sup>8</sup>. In contrast, primary 88 sclerosing cholangitis is driven by cholangiocyte injury leading to the localised 89 release of chemokines and pro-inflammatory cytokines associated with portal 90 inflammation and ductal proliferation and ductular loss<sup>9</sup>. These inflammatory 91 processes are associated with the activation of hepatic stellate cells and if left 92 93 unchecked lead to excessive deposition of extracellular matrix, fibrosis and persistent damage culminating in cirrhosis<sup>10</sup>. The site of injury determines the pattern 94 of fibrosis with parenchymal diseases such as ALD/NASH presenting centrilobular 95 and sinusoidal fibrosis and cholangiopathies associated with periportal fibrosis 96 leading to irregular shaped nodules<sup>11</sup>. 97

98 Targeting the inflammatory pathways that drive these conditions has the potential of
99 inhibiting fibrogenesis, but the mechanisms involved are poorly understood.
100 Autoimmune hepatitis for example is often responsive to steroid-based therapy and

101 immunomodulators, whereas other immune-mediated liver diseases such as primary sclerosing cholangitis and primary biliary cholangitis are currently unresponsive to 102 these medications<sup>9,12</sup>. Furthermore, patients suffering from the major inflammatory 103 liver diseases secondary to alcohol and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease do not 104 derive benefit from current anti-inflammatory approaches. We therefore urgently 105 106 require better understanding of the underlying core inflammatory pathways that drive these diseases to identify novel therapies which can prevent the progression to 107 108 cirrhosis and end stage liver disease.

109

110 In this review, we focus on three major processes which are implicated in chronic 111 inflammatory liver diseases, the immune response to danger signals released by 112 persistent epithelial damage, the recruitment/retention of immune cells from the 113 circulation and the factors which drive resolution and repair within the liver.

114

#### 115 The immune response to danger signals released from epithelial damage.

Epithelial damage is a key factor in initiating inflammatory liver diseases. This 116 involves cellular stress secondary to factors such as lipotoxicity in fatty liver disease, 117 accumulation of breakdown products of alcohol and hepatotrophic viruses. These 118 processes are associated with the release of danger signals or danger associated 119 molecular patterns (DAMPs) into the microenvironment. How these danger signals 120 121 are sensed and processed by the innate immune system is one of the key determinants of progression of these inflammatory conditions<sup>13</sup> (summarised in 122 Figure 1). 123

124

#### 125 Kupffer cell recognition of DAMPS

126 The major cellular population to sense and respond to these danger signals are the 127 liver resident macrophages, Kupffer cells. Kupffer cells are the sentinels of the liver and are derived from yolk sac precursors which self renew<sup>14</sup>. They play a role in 128 processing gut-derived products and mediating immune responses to microbes. 129 Additionally, they sense sterile injury and associated DAMPS which are a 130 131 characteristic of the major inflammatory liver diseases including alcoholic steatohepatitis (ASH) and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). 132 DAMPs which have been associated with Kupffer cell activation include high mobility group protein 133 B1 (HMGB1), ATP, uric acid, DNA fragments and cholesterol crystals<sup>14</sup>. Targeting 134 135 the pathway of DAMP recognition is already underway in clinical trials. DAMPs are 136 recognised by pattern recognition receptors including Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and 137 scavenger receptors which are both highly expressed by macrophages. TLR4 has been studied extensively and a TLR4 antagonist, JKB-122, is currently undergoing 138 assessment in the setting of NASH as an early phase II clinical trial NCT02442687. 139 140 Galectin-3 expressed on Kupffer cells which is a member of the scavenger receptor family which recognise the terminal galactose residues on glycoproteins. Galectin-3 141 plays a key role in hepatic uptake of advanced lipoxidation and glycation end 142 products<sup>15</sup>. An agent which binds galectin-3, GR-MD-02, is progressing through 143 early stage clinical trials in the setting of NASH<sup>16</sup>. Other members of the scavenger 144 receptor family which have been implicated in promoting hepatic inflammation 145 include CD36 and Scavenger Receptor-A (SR-A). Targeted deletion of these 146 receptors on myeloid cells, led to reduced levels of inflammation and fibrosis in 147 models of fatty liver disease<sup>17</sup>. A recent study confirmed that the recognition of 148 149 DAMPs, specifically products of lipid peroxidation such as malondialdehyde (MDA)-LDL, by CD36 and SR-A led to the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines<sup>18</sup>. Blocking 150

the action of these receptors may therefore be beneficial in the setting of NASH. Interestingly, the authors also targeted the DAMP directly, in this case the MDA epitope, by *in vivo* neutralization with antibodies. This approach was successful in reducing inflammation in their pre-clinical model of fatty liver disease.

155

#### 156 The role of the inflammasome in chronic liver disease

Whilst the direct recognition of DAMPs is a viable pathway to target, there are also 157 downstream pathways which play significant roles in the progression of chronic liver 158 disease. The recognition of these danger associated ligands by pattern recognition 159 receptors on Kupffer cells leads to the formation of the inflammasome. 160 161 Inflammasomes are multi-protein complexes which are comprised of a nucleotide 162 oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptors and effector molecules including procaspase-1, and adaptor molecules e.g. apoptosis-associated speck-like CARD-163 domain containing protein (ASC)<sup>19</sup>. Following the formation of the inflammasome, 164 165 Kupffer cells produce inflammatory mediators, such as interleukin 1beta and other pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Activation of inflammasome complexes 166 have been confirmed in pre-clinical models of alcoholic liver injury and fatty liver 167 disease<sup>20</sup>. This leads to the recruitment of other innate populations from the 168 circulation such as neutrophils, monocytes and populations of T cells. 169 Therefore targeting the pathway of inflammasome formation is also a rational approach to 170 171 prevent progression of inflammatory liver diseases. Studies in pre-clinical models of alcoholic liver disease demonstrated that targeting the inflammasome pathway by 172 pharmacological inhibition of IL-1R1 prevented the development and progression of 173 alcoholic liver disease<sup>21</sup>. Additionally, one the most extensively studied 174 inflammasomes in macrophages is the NOD-, LRR- and pyrin domain-containing 3 175

(NLRP3) inflammasome which has previously been shown to play a critical role in the progression of murine models of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease <sup>22</sup>. A recent study confirmed its role in driving liver inflammation and fibrogenesis by studying liver injury in mice with constitutive activation of NLRP3 in myeloid cells. Activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome led to excess production of TNF and IL-17 resulting in severe inflammation and fibrosis <sup>23</sup>.

182

#### 183 Recruitment of peripheral monocyte populations

Another major downstream consequence of Kupffer cell driven inflammation is the 184 recruitment of other monocyte populations from the circulation via the CCL2-CCR2 185 axis<sup>24,25</sup>. The chemokine CCL2 promotes recruitment of CCR2<sup>+</sup> monocytes from the 186 circulation, and this has been confirmed in experimental models of both alcoholic 187 liver disease and fatty liver disease<sup>26</sup>. A recent study confirmed the increased 188 accumulation of CCR2<sup>+</sup> macrophages within liver tissue parallels with fibrosis 189 progression in fatty liver disease. These populations of cells were seen as 190 aggregates of monocyte-derived macrophages around portal tracts<sup>27</sup>. Furthermore, 191 gene analysis of these recruited (monocyte-derived macrophages) versus resident 192 193 (Kupffer cells) confirmed that monocyte-derived macrophages were associated with multiple growth factors and cytokines leading to fibrosis progression, whereas 194 Kupffer cells were characterised by factors associated with inflammation initiation. 195 196 Therapeutic targeting of the recruitment of these CCR2<sup>+</sup> monocytes by administration of Cenicriviroc a CCR2/CCR5 dual chemokine receptor antagonist led 197 to amelioration of hepatic inflammation and fibrosis in several models of NASH<sup>27</sup>. In 198 keeping with these findings, there is encouraging clinical experience that Cenicriviroc 199 200 could be a potential therapy for chronic liver disease. A phase 2b study of this agent

201 in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and established fibrosis demonstrated a significant improvement in fibrosis compared to placebo after 1 year of treatment<sup>28</sup>. 202 Activated Kupffer cells also secrete several other chemokines including CCL25, 203 CX3CL1, CXCL2 and CXCL8<sup>14</sup>; thus, targeting these chemokines may also influence 204 the recruitment of other distinct immune populations from the circulation during 205 206 inflammatory liver disease leading to other novel targets for treatment. An intriguing recent study has also identified the recruitment of immune populations from the 207 peritoneal compartment. In a model of sterile liver injury a population of GATA6-208 positive macrophages were detected at a very early stage of tissue damage. These 209 210 GATA6<sup>+</sup> macrophages migrated directly across the mesothelium and their 211 recruitment was dependent on the adhesion molecule CD44 and adenosine triphosphate<sup>29</sup>. The role of these macrophages in the progression of chronic 212 inflammatory liver diseases and their therapeutic potential is yet to be confirmed. 213

214

#### 215 The activation of unconventional lymphocytes

In parallel to the initiation of inflammation by myeloid populations, there is gathering 216 interest in the role of unconventional lymphocytes which are found highly enriched in 217 epithelial tissues and have well established roles in anti-microbial immunity<sup>30</sup>. Their 218 roles in early immune responses has led investigators to study if they could be 219 220 pivotal in the triggering and regulation of progressive liver disease.  $\gamma\delta$  T cells are predominantly generated in the thymus and characterised by a  $\gamma\delta$  T cell receptor 221 (TCR), they only account for 2-3% of all CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells in secondary lymphoid organs 222 but have been found to be enriched in the liver<sup>31</sup>.  $\gamma\delta$  T cells recognise conserved 223 structures including non-peptide metabolites and heat shock proteins. They can 224 rapidly release cytokines which are known to regulate adaptive immune populations 225

including conventional  $\alpha\beta$  T cells and therefore have been postulated as an 226 additional link between innate and adaptive immune responses<sup>32</sup>. Experimental 227 models of liver disease have demonstrated the accumulation of these cells during 228 liver injury and their contribution to disease progression. In a murine model of 229 230 autoimmune hepatitis,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells played a protective role associated with reduced liver damage and inflammatory cytokine levels. In this setting the protective 231 mechanism was found to be regulated by IL-17 produced by 232  $\gamma\delta$  T cells downregulated the function of another family of unconventional T cells, natural killer 233 T (NKT) cells<sup>33</sup>. Further support for the protective role of these cells in liver disease 234 235 has been demonstrated in models of chronic liver injury. Murine models of fibrosis and steatohepatitis demonstrated that the CCR6<sup>+</sup> subset of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells prevented 236 fibrosis by promoting the apoptosis of hepatic stellate cells<sup>34</sup>. 237

As alluded to earlier, another subset of unconventional lymphocytes, NKT cells, 238 appear to promote inflammatory liver disease. NKT cells are lymphocyte subsets 239 240 which express cell surface markers associated with NK cells as well as the T cell receptor and they are characterised by their recognition of glycolipid antigens. They 241 have been shown to localise to the hepatic sinusoids and demonstrate a 242 crawling/patrolling phenotype<sup>35</sup>. NKT cells accumulated in models of liver injury and 243 were shown to promote hepatic inflammation and contributed to progressive 244 fibrosis<sup>36</sup>. Further studies focused on the potential contribution of NKT cells to fatty 245 liver disease. Higher levels of NKT cells were detected in patients undergoing 246 247 transplantation for NASH compared to order indications, this accumulation was also 248 seen in murine models of NASH and mice deficient in NKT cells were protected from fibrosis in this model<sup>37</sup>. Subsequent studies implicated hepatic NKT cells in the 249

increased production pro-fibrogenic factors including osteopontin and hedgehog
ligands<sup>38</sup>.

Further understanding of the functional properties of another unique subset of 252 innate-like T cells, mucosal-associated invariant T cells (MAIT) cells, has highlighted 253 their potential as regulators of liver inflammation. MAIT cells are characterised by 254 the expression of a semivariant TCR that recognises a MHC-like protein (MR-1)<sup>39</sup>. 255 MR-1 presents vitamin B metabolites derived from commensal and pathogenic 256 bacteria and thus MAIT cells can be activated by a variety of bacterial strains<sup>40</sup>. The 257 high levels of these cells in human gut biopsies and accumulation in laminia propria 258 led to them being named MAIT cells<sup>41</sup>. Subsequent studies have now shown that 259 260 they are also enriched in the liver and have explored their antimicrobial properties in immune mediated liver disease and alcoholic liver disease<sup>42-44</sup>. This has led 261 investigators to speculate that MAIT cells may make a significant immune 262 contribution in the liver acting as a firewall between the host and gut derived 263 bacteria<sup>45</sup>. However these reports have also shown that MAIT cells are highly 264 activated in the liver and are the predominant IL-17 producers within the hepatic T 265 cell compartment and could therefore be important drivers of aberrant hepatic 266 267 inflammation. A recent study has studied the contribution of these cells in chronic liver injury. MAIT cells were found to be enriched in the periportal region and along 268 the fibrotic septa in tissue from cirrhotic livers and in a carbon tetrachloride model of 269 270 chronic liver injury these cells were found to be pro-fibrogenic by promoting the proinflammatory properties of both monocyte-derived macrophages and fibroblasts<sup>46</sup>. 271 Unconventional lymphocytes are therefore a novel target to treat chronic 272 273 inflammatory liver disease, but further work is clearly required to understand how to either manipulate their function or utilise them as cell therapy. 274

275

276

277

#### 278 Lymphocyte recruitment via the liver sinusoids

The accumulation of adaptive immune cell populations within the liver is also a 279 hallmark and driver of all adult chronic inflammatory liver diseases. A prerequisite 280 for leukocyte recruitment from the circulation into organs is their interaction with 281 endothelial cells lining blood vessels. In general, leukocyte migration from the blood 282 into inflamed tissues occurs in post-capillary venules<sup>47</sup>; however, in the liver, this 283 process occurs in the low shear flow microvasculature of the hepatic sinusoids which 284 are lined by liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSEC)<sup>7</sup> (Figure 2). LSECs are a 285 phenotypically and functionally unique population of endothelial cells. They are 286 characterised by a minimal basement membrane and atypical cellular junctions as 287 well as membranous pores organised in sieve plates called fenestrations<sup>48</sup>. 288 Additionally, LSECs are also characterised by the expression of an array of 289 scavenger receptors (SRs)<sup>49</sup>. 290 These structural and phenotypic characteristics support the physiological functions of LSEC but they also influence the mechanisms 291 292 of lymphocyte recruitment and thus are potential organ specific anti-inflammatory targets. The low shear stress environment of the hepatic sinusoids negates the 293 requirement for the early rolling steps of the leukocyte adhesion cascade<sup>7</sup>. As a 294 consequence, LSEC express negligible levels of selectins<sup>50</sup>, a small family of 295 transmembrane Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent lectins which play an integral role in the initial 296 stages of leukocyte recruitment in more conventional vascular beds<sup>51</sup>. A critical step 297 in determining if lymphocytes accumulate at sites of inflammation is not only their 298 adhesion to endothelium but also their subsequent transmigration across the 299

300 endothelial barrier. We now know that the process of transendothelial migration (TEM) in itself is a multi-step pathway involving a combination of receptor 301 interactions which are potential therapeutic targets for inflammation<sup>52</sup>. 302 The conventional route for TEM by leukocytes is via the paracellular route (in between 303 cells, through cellular junctions), but it has also been shown that leukocytes can 304 migrate via the transcellular route (directly through the endothelial body)<sup>53</sup>. Studies 305 on human LSEC demonstrate that a significant proportion of lymphocytes migrate via 306 the transcellular route<sup>54</sup>. Additional *in vitro* studies, demonstrated that the structure 307 of these endothelial cells permits a novel migratory pattern, where lymphocytes were 308 shown to migrate directly into LSEC and then migrate into adjacent endothelial 309 cells<sup>55</sup>. This migration was dependent on interferon gamma and facilitated by the 310 unique junctional complexes between LSEC. This work highlights that the sinusoidal 311 vascular bed is not a simple barrier but plays an active role in regulating the immune 312 microenvironment within the liver and the positioning of lymphocytes in liver tissue. 313 Further work has elucidated some the molecular contributors to this process and 314 their potential as novel anti-inflammatory targets. 315

316

#### 317 Conventional adhesion molecules

Several studies have demonstrated that LSEC use a unique combination of both conventional endothelial adhesion molecules, such as vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1), and atypical adhesion molecules to mediate lymphocyte recruitment in chronic liver disease <sup>56,57</sup>. VCAM-1 binds the leukocyte-expressed  $\alpha_4\beta_1$  integrin <sup>58</sup> and plays an important role in capturing lymphocytes from blood flow within the hepatic sinusoids and subsequently mediates stabilisation<sup>59,60</sup>. ICAM-1 supports firm adhesion of

lymphocytes, via binding to  $\alpha_1 \beta_2$  integrin (lymphocyte function-associated molecule-1 325 (LFA-1))<sup>61</sup>, and subsequently mediates their transmigration across LSEC<sup>54,62</sup>. Both 326 VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 are significantly upregulated by proinflammatory factors, such 327 as cytokines<sup>63</sup>; however, their adhesive function is largely dependent on the 328 formation of endothelial adhesive platforms (EAPs)<sup>64</sup>. EAPs play an essential role in 329 the spatial organisation of VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 within the cell membrane, resulting 330 in concentrated areas of expression of the adhesion molecules in the contact area 331 with adherent leukocytes<sup>64</sup>. The formation of EAPs has been proposed to be 332 regulated by the tetraspanin family of receptors, which are able to laterally associate 333 with adhesion molecules to form microdomains<sup>64,65</sup>. In support of this previous work, 334 335 the tetraspanin CD151 associated with VCAM-1 within LSECs and was able to regulate lymphocyte adhesion under physiological flow conditions *in vitro*<sup>66</sup>. Due to 336 their widespread constitutive expression in a number of cell types and tissues, 337 VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 are unlikely to represent viable therapeutic targets; however, 338 modulating their lateral interactions with tetraspanins, such as CD151, may present 339 an attractive and organ-specific target for chronic inflammatory liver disease. 340

341

342 Mucosal vascular addressin cell adhesion molecule-1 (MAdCAM-1), which belongs to the immunoglobulin family along with VCAM-1 and ICAM-1, is known to bind to 343 the  $\alpha_4\beta_7$  integrin<sup>67</sup> and plays an important role in lymphocyte trafficking to the gut, via 344 mucosal vessels<sup>68</sup>. Under normal physiological conditions, MAdCAM-1 is absent 345 346 from the liver; however, previous studies have demonstrated that MAdCAM-1 can 347 be upregulated through the enzymatic activity of an atypical adhesion molecule, vascular adhesion protein-1 (VAP-1), in LSEC in some chronic liver diseases<sup>69</sup>. This 348 is particularly evident in primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), where it promotes the 349

recruitment of, gut-activated T cells which express high levels of  $\alpha_4\beta_7$  integrin <sup>70,71</sup>. Its 350 hepatic functionality is highly supportive of immunological crosstalk between the gut 351 and the liver, and MAdCAM-1 might contribute to the pathophysiological link 352 between inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and PSC, a progressive autoimmune 353 biliary disease which is associated with IBD in ~80% of cases. Currently, clinical 354 355 trials are being considered to target MAdCAM- $1/\alpha_4\beta_7$  interactions in PSC using therapeutic antibodies originally developed for the treatment of IBD. Trials have 356 included a selective humanised monoclonal antibody. Vedolizumab, to  $\alpha_4\beta_7$  Prior 357 clinical studies with Vedolizumab in the setting of IBD have confirmed that this drug 358 359 can modulate lymphocyte recruitment to the gut in both ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease leading to a reduction in inflammation and improved mucosal healing<sup>72,73</sup>. 360 This has led to gathering interest in the use of Vedoluzimab in the setting of diseases 361 where MAdCAM-1 has been shown to be upregulated, particularly PSC. 362 Until recently, this had involved single centre case series with results suggesting safety 363 and improvement of inflammatory parameters<sup>74</sup>. A multi-centre study has now been 364 completed in patients with PSC and IBD which demonstrated clinical responses in 365 the IBD pathology, and the drug was safely tolerated, but it did not lead to any 366 detectable improvement in liver biochemistry<sup>75</sup>. Whether targeting the MAdCAM-367  $1/\alpha_4\beta_7$  interaction could improve long term outcomes in PSC, including prevention of 368 progressive fibrosis, transplant-free survival and cancer incidence, still needs to be 369 370 addressed.

371

#### 372 Atypical adhesion molecules

373 Vascular adhesion protein-1 (VAP-1) is a membrane-bound amine oxidase that,374 under normal physiological conditions, is expressed in vascular endothelial cells,

smooth muscle cells, and adipocytes<sup>76</sup>. During homeostasis VAP-1 is localised to 375 cytoplasmic vesicles in endothelial cells, but under inflammatory conditions the 376 protein is trafficked to the cell surface<sup>77</sup>. Early studies of VAP-1 showed that it 377 mediated leukocyte binding to high endothelial venules (HEVs), the specialised post-378 capillary venules found in lymph nodes<sup>78</sup>. Further studies confirmed that VAP-1 was 379 expressed at high levels in chronically diseased liver tissues ex vivo<sup>79</sup> and directly 380 mediated adhesion and transmigration across LSEC in vitro<sup>80</sup>. In addition, via its 381 enzyme activity, VAP-1 can upregulate expression of other adhesion molecules (e.g. 382 VCAM-1, ICAM-1 and MAdCAM-1) and chemokines (e.g. CXCL8) in LSECs, 383 consequently enhancing leukocyte recruitment<sup>69,81</sup>. More recently, these results have 384 385 been corroborated in vivo, confirming the multifaceted role of VAP-1 in leukocyte recruitment to the liver in murine models of liver injury, and described VAP-1 386 expression by hepatic stromal cell populations<sup>82</sup>. A number of preclinical studies 387 targeting VAP-1 have confirmed that inhibition of its enzymatic activity and/or 388 blockade of its adhesive function with therapeutic antibodies reduces leukocyte 389 infiltration in a range of rodent models of inflammatory diseases<sup>83</sup>. 390

391

Scavenger receptor that binds phosphatidylserine and oxidized lipids (SR-PSOX), 392 which in its soluble form is also known as the chemokine, CXCL16, is expressed by 393 LSEC<sup>84</sup> and is upregulated in both acutely<sup>85,86</sup> and chronically injured liver tissues<sup>87</sup>. 394 395 CXCL16 is a specific ligand for the chemokine receptor CXCR6, thus enabling its membrane-bound form to interact with intrahepatic CXCR6<sup>+</sup> immune cells, such as 396 effector T cells<sup>87,88</sup>, natural killer (NK) cells<sup>89,90</sup> and NKT cells<sup>84</sup>. Genetic deficiency of 397 SR-PSOX has recently been shown to reduce the extent of inflammation and 398 necrosis in a murine model of acetaminophen (APAP)-induced acute liver injury<sup>85</sup>. 399

Additionally, and perhaps more encouragingly, pharmacological intervention with 400 neutralising antibodies against SR-PSOX has shown efficacy in reducing 401 inflammation in preclinical murine models of sepsis-mediated<sup>86,91</sup> and carbon 402 tetrachloride (CCl<sub>4</sub>)-mediated<sup>92</sup> acute liver injury. Furthermore, Wehr and colleagues 403 were also able to demonstrate the efficacy of SR-PSOX antibody therapy in a 404 405 commonly used murine model of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), showing a reduction in both macrophage infiltration and triglyceride levels. Therefore, targeting 406 the SR-PSOX (CXCL16)/CXCR6 axis may hold promising potential for treatment of 407 inflammation and subsequent fibrosis of the liver<sup>92</sup>. 408

409

410 The class H scavenger receptor stabilin-1, also known as common lymphatic endothelial and vascular endothelial cell receptor (CLEVER-1), was originally shown 411 to mediate lymphocyte transmigration across HEVs<sup>93</sup>. Given the phenotypic 412 similarities between lymphatic endothelial cells and LSEC<sup>50</sup>, stabilin-1 was found to 413 be expressed in human liver and shown to be significantly upregulated in the hepatic 414 sinusoids in chronic liver disease<sup>54</sup>. Following this, adhesion assays with lymphocyte 415 subsets demonstrated that stabilin-1 specifically mediated transendothelial migration 416 417 of T<sub>reas</sub> and B-cells through LSECs in vitro, under conditions which mimic the physiological flow and proinflammatory microenvironment of the hepatic sinusoids 418 during liver injury<sup>54,62</sup>. This was the first demonstration of a  $T_{red}$ -specific adhesion 419 420 molecule and transmigration of this lymphocyte subset was shown to be dependent on a combination of stabilin-1, VAP-1 and ICAM-1. T<sub>reas</sub> play a vital role in promoting 421 tolerance, they mediate immunosuppression through multiple mechanisms and 422 prevent autoimmunity and counteract inflammatory reactions mediated by the 423 effector arm of the immune system<sup>94</sup>. Therefore, in the context of inflammatory liver 424

diseases approaches to upregulate stabilin-1 or promote the function of stabilin-1 could promote  $T_{reg}$  accumulation as a strategy to prevent progressive hepatitis.

427

428 The expression of the stabilin-1 homologue, stabilin-2, has also been described in LSEC and was originally shown to act as a clearance receptor for hyaluronan from 429 the blood<sup>95,96</sup>. Through a number of mutation experiments and antibody blockade 430 studies in vitro, Jung et al. found that stabilin-2 was also able to mediate lymphocyte 431 binding and identified the integrin  $\alpha_M \beta_2$  as the lymphocyte-expressed ligand<sup>97</sup>. They 432 also determined that stabilin-2 predominantly acts in the firm adhesion step of the 433 leukocyte adhesion cascade as its silencing, via shRNA, did not affect lymphocyte 434 435 rolling or transendothelial migration, but was still able to significantly reduce the number of adherent cells<sup>97</sup>. To date, the study by Jung et al. remains the sole 436 investigation of the role of stabilin-2 in leukocyte recruitment to LSEC. Further work 437 is required to understand how the stabilin receptor family expressed on LSEC 438 contribute to lymphocyte recruitment in preclinical models of inflammatory liver 439 disease. 440

441

442 Scavenger receptor class F, member 1 (SCARF1 or SR-F1), also known as scavenger receptor expressed by endothelial cells (SREC-I), has also been shown to 443 be expressed in both murine and human LSEC<sup>98,99</sup>. Recently, it has been shown that 444 SCARF1 plays a role in the selective recruitment of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells to human LSEC, 445 under physiological shear stress conditions in vitro<sup>99</sup>. In this study, SCARF1 446 contributed to the firm adhesion step of the leukocyte adhesion cascade, with 447 endothelial surface expression of SCARF1 observed in adhesive cup structures 448 formed on the surface of the LSEC<sup>99</sup>. However, SCARF1 is an understudied 449

450 scavenger receptor<sup>100</sup> and more research into the extent of the contribution of 451 SCARF1 in immune cell recruitment is required before it can be considered as a 452 therapeutic target. Nevertheless, SRs including SCARF1 have been shown to be 453 upregulated in several human inflammatory liver diseases and appear to accumulate 454 at the interface between inflammation/fibrosis and correlate with fibrosis progression.

455

#### 456 Chemokines

457 Chemokines are an important component in the process of leucocyte recruitment and contribute to both firm of adhesion of leukocytes to endothelium and their 458 459 subsequent migration across the endothelium. They are a family of small proteins 460 which bind to G-protein coupled receptors on the leukocyte surface and induce conformational changes of intergrins which triggers firm adhesion<sup>101</sup>. They are also 461 found within intraendothelial vesicles and promote transendothelial migration<sup>102</sup>. We 462 have already highlighted their role in monocyte and NK/NKT populations but they 463 also play a significant role on lymphocyte recruitment within the sinusoids. The most 464 extensively investigated are the inflammatory chemokines CXCL9-11 which bind to 465 the receptor CXCR3 and have been shown to be upregulated in a range of liver 466 diseases<sup>103-105</sup> and functionally they contribute to the transendothelial migration of 467 lymphocytes across primary human HSEC<sup>103</sup>. Previous studies have also shown 468 that chemokines contribute to the compartmentalisation of lymphocytes in liver 469 470 diseases with the CXCR3 ligands promoting recruitment into the parenchyma whereas CCR5 ligands (the chemokines CCL3-5) contribute to portal tract 471 recruitment<sup>103,106,107</sup>. The contribution of chemokines to inflammation provides a 472 clear rationale for targeting them as novel anti-inflammatories but a recent study 473 highlights the difficulties of achieving sustained inhibition of chemokines. NI-0801 is 474

475 a human monoclonal antibody against the CXCR3 ligand, CXCL10, which was 476 studied in the context of PBC<sup>108</sup>. Investigators completed a phase 2a study in 477 patients with PBC with inadequate response to ursodeoxycholic acid with the aim of 478 assessing the safety and efficacy of NI-0801. The study demonstrated that the drug 479 was safely tolerated and led to pharmacological responses in the blood but there 480 was no therapeutic benefit identified with repeated infusions.

481

482 An alternative approach would be to consider targeting lymphocyte subsets, focusing on pro-inflammatory subsets and allowing persistent recruitment of regulatory 483 subsets in order to shift the balance in the hepatic microenvironment. Whilst CXCR3 484 485 ligands have been implicated in the recruitment of several subsets including both T<sub>reas</sub> cells and subsets which secrete the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-17 (Th17 486 cells)<sup>109,110</sup>, other chemokines were implicated in the subsequent migration into 487 hepatic tissue of these subsets. T<sub>reg</sub> recruitment was regulated by the CCR4 ligands 488 CCL17 and CCL22, whereas Th17 recruitment was regulated by CCL20, a CCR6 489 ligand<sup>109,110</sup>. In view of these findings, targeting the chemokine CCL20 rather than 490 CXCR3 ligands may prove to be a more effective anti-inflammatory approach which 491 will not alter T<sub>req</sub> recruitment. Recent studies highlight the importance of the 492 Th17/Treg balance in determining progressive inflammatory liver disease<sup>111-113</sup>. 493

494

#### 495 **Retention of immune cells in the stromal compartment**

Following migration into the tissue, infiltrating immune cells are maintained in the local microenvironment. Complemetary to the role of the endothelial layer, the stromal compartment of the liver maintains a microenvironment which permits the recruitment and retention of inflammatory cells. The hepatic stellate cell (HSC)

500 population are a hepatic stromal cell type which resides in a quiescent state in the 501 sub-endothelial layer between the endothelium and the parenchymal cells, namely 502 the space of Disse. Release of stimulating factors from injured epithelial cells and 503 infiltrating immune causes the HSCs to become activated, driving a programme of proliferation, migration and contractility of HSC controlled by a plethora of both 504 505 paracrine and autocrine stimuli. The consequence of this activation is the synthesis of extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins and subsequent accumulation of scar tissue. 506 507 In view of the key role played by HSC in fibrogenesis, there has therefore been a 508 vast drive to investigate how these cells may be targeted as a therapeutic strategy in liver disease (reviewed in <sup>114</sup>). 509

510

511 In vitro activated primary human HSCs and in vivo activated liver myofibroblasts (aLMFs) secrete a range of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors which can 512 recruit and position leukocytes by G-coupled receptor-dependent and -independent 513 mechanisms<sup>115</sup>. When cultured in basal conditions, aLMFs and HSC secreted high 514 levels of IL-6, HGF, VEGF, CCL2, and CXCL8 under control conditions and 515 stimulation with pro-inflammatory cytokines TNFa and IFNy enhanced all factors and 516 517 induced secretion of additional chemokines including CCL5, CXCL9 and CXCL10. Moreover, aLMF- and HSC-conditioned supernatants promoted strong and rapid 518 migration of lymphocytes towards these chemotactic factors under pro-inflammatory 519 520 conditions and stimulated increased recruitment of lymphocytes across adjacent 521 LSEC monolayers. These findings demonstrated that there are signals from HSCs which can recruit infiltrating immune cells which may be targeted to halt the 522 progression of fibrogenesis. One such target which we have already discussed in the 523 context of inflammation is VAP-1. VAP-1 is a dual functioning entity which, as 524

525 described, acts as an adhesion molecule as well as an enzyme which has a role in recruiting lymphocytes across endothelial cells<sup>80</sup>. More recent *in vivo* studies 526 described a novel role of VAP-1 in hepatic inflammation and fibrogenesis through 527 modulating HSC phenotype<sup>116</sup>. Soluble VAP-1 secreted by HSCs was enzymatically 528 active and was able to recruit lymphocytes. VAP-1 modulation in the HSC cell line 529 530 LX-2 increased transcription of profibrogenic genes such as collagen 1a1 as well as enhancing wound healing. These data were supported by murine models of liver 531 injury in which VAP-1 knockout animals had less inflammation and fibrosis in 532 response to injury<sup>116</sup>. The blockade of VAP-1 to treat primary sclerosing cholangitis 533 (PSC) is currently being evaluated in the phase II clinical trial BUTEO (BUTEO 534 535 NCT02239211).

536

### 537 Inflammatory pathways which promote fibrosis resolution and liver 538 regeneration

539 We have covered some of the mechanisms which drive effector immune responses within the liver but it is also becoming clear that pathways which promote resolution 540 of the inflammatory process play a key role in determining the severity of tissue 541 542 injury. Targeting cellular populations that promote resolution could provide a novel anti-inflammatory approach. The resolution of inflammation and fibrosis is a highly 543 co-ordinated, multifaceted process that is intended to eliminate remaining injurious 544 agents responsible for the initial insult and shift the balance from a pro-inflammatory 545 546 to an anti-inflammatory microenvironment (Figure 3). This is achieved through a sequence of events where selected immune cell populations are removed through 547 apoptosis/necrosis/efferocytosis accompanied by recruitment and differentiation of 548 pro-resolution immune subsets such as macrophages. Homeostasis is then restored 549

following repopulation of the injured area through regeneration of the hepatocyte pool, repopulation of the Kupffer cell niche and maintenance of hepatic tolerance, for example through  $T_{reg}$  recruitment and retention.

553

#### 554 Immune cell intervention

555 Resolution of fibrosis is usually ascribed to the function of a specific macrophage population that secrete a range of pro-resolution mediators including matrix 556 metalloproteinases, such as MMP-13<sup>117</sup>, which promote the degradation of scar 557 tissue. Duffield and co-workers used a transgenic CD11b-DTR mouse to selectively 558 deplete CD11b<sup>hi</sup> macrophages in a reversible CCl<sub>4</sub>-induced model of liver injury and 559 560 described a biphasic injurious response; depletion of macrophages during ongoing injury reduced the extent of tissue damage, whereas depletion of the macrophage 561 population following withdrawal of the toxin delayed recovery<sup>118</sup>. Building on these 562 preliminary observations, hepatic macrophages have been shown to transition from 563 pro-inflammatory Ly6C<sup>hi</sup>CCR2<sup>hi</sup>CX<sub>3</sub>CR1<sup>lo</sup> expressing populations to pro-reparative 564 Ly6C<sup>lo</sup>CCR2<sup>lo</sup>CX<sub>3</sub>CR1<sup>hi</sup> subsets in mice, a process thought to be dependent on IL-4, 565 IL-10 and phagocytosis<sup>24,119</sup>. Development of cellular therapy for liver cirrhosis 566 567 through the provision of human phagocytic macrophage populations (CD163<sup>hi</sup>CD169<sup>hi</sup>CD206<sup>hi</sup>CCR2<sup>lo</sup>) is underway, with potential advantages over 568 conventional monotherapeutic intervention strategies<sup>120,121</sup>. 569

570

571 Adhesion receptors may also play a dual role in both the establishment and 572 resolution of hepatic injury. Stabilin-1 has been discussed in the context of leukocyte 573 recruitment, but this molecule is also expressed by a highly phagocytic macrophage 574 population during resolution of chronic liver disease where it serves to limit further

575 inflammation and fibrosis by scavenging products of lipid peroxidation and 576 suppressing secretion of CCL3<sup>122</sup>. Similar roles for other scavenger receptors are 577 highly likely within the context of inflammatory liver disease<sup>123</sup>.

578

Bile acids can signal through two major receptor pathways that regulate hepatic lipid 579 and glucose metabolism, namely farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and TGR5 (a G protein-580 coupled bile acid receptor). Treatment of mice with the dual FXR/TGR5 agonist INT-581 767 induced a restorative intrahepatic macrophage phenotype (Ly6C<sup>lo</sup>CD206<sup>hi</sup> and 582 expression of *Retnla* and *Clec7a*)<sup>124</sup>. Provision of agonists for FXR and TGR5 have 583 been suggested as potential therapeutics during liver regeneration where there is an 584 excess bile acid pool<sup>125</sup> in NASH<sup>126</sup> or in cholestatic liver diseases<sup>127</sup> although some 585 caution is required given the pleiotropic effects of these receptors, such as the role of 586 TGR5 in the development of cholangiocarcinoma<sup>128</sup>. 587

588

During acute liver failure (ALF), a marked increase in inflammatory macrophages is 589 observed in areas of necrosis. However, patients with ALF exhibit an expanded 590 population of macrophages with a resolution-like phenotype with suppressed innate 591 592 and enhanced efferocytic/phagocytic responses that are present in both circulatory and tissue compartments. This functional switch was associated with the expression 593 of the TAM family member Mer tyrosine kinase (MerTK<sup>+</sup>HLA-DR<sup>high</sup>) induced by 594 595 secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor (SLPI) produced within the inflamed liver of both mice and humans following ALF. Such reprogramming of the myeloid 596 population promotes neutrophil apoptosis and subsequent clearance through 597 enhanced efferocytosis, and may be a target for future therapies<sup>129</sup>. Hepatocytes 598 (and other liver resident cells) are also able to remove apoptotic and necrotic cells by 599

600 efferocytosis, although the relative contributions of this process to the resolution of 601 chronic liver injury has not been determined fully<sup>130</sup>.

602

Macrophages are not the sole mediators of hepatic resolution. NK cell cytotoxicity 603 against early-activated or senescent-activated HSC via NK cell activating ligands 604 (RAE-1 in mice; MICA in human), TRAIL receptors and production of IFN-y, an 605 inhibitor of HSC activation, promotes the resolution of liver injury<sup>131</sup>. Invariant NKT 606 cells are thought to promote HSC killing, but can also be activated at the site of injury 607 by self-antigens, leading to the production of IL-4 (but not IFN-y), driving hepatocyte 608 proliferation, a shift in the macrophage population from Ly6C<sup>hi</sup> to Ly6C<sup>lo</sup> expression 609 and improved healing responses<sup>132</sup>. In mice, the regeneration of LSEC is dependent 610 on the relative expression of the CXCL12 receptors CXCR4-7. During injury 611 constitutive FGFR1 signalling increased the ratio of CXCR4:CXCR7 expression by 612 LSEC, leading to an altered angiocrine response and proliferation of the stromal cell 613 niche. Conversely, during resolution CXCR7 upregulation acts in concert with 614 CXCR4 to induce the transcription factor Id1 with concomitant release of 615 regenerative angiocrine factors and promotion of a pro-resolution environment<sup>133</sup>. 616

617

#### 618 Hepatic regeneration

619 Cellular repopulation of the hepatic niche following injury is essential to maintain not 620 only the metabolic function of the organ, but also the ability to detoxify xenobiotics. 621 Regeneration of the hepatocyte population is promoted by Kupffer cells through the 622 production of IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$ , driven by local recruitment of neutrophils in an ICAM-1 623 dependent process<sup>134-136</sup>, production of complement proteins C3a and C5a<sup>137</sup> and 624 local provision of growth factors such as HGF, VEGF and IL-1a<sup>138</sup>. Repopulation of

the hepatic niche usually occurs through self-replication of hepatocytes; however, in chronic liver disease hepatocyte proliferation is often impaired (for example through immune cell-derived IFN- $\gamma$  <sup>131,139,140</sup>). Under these circumstances, the hepatocyte pool may be supplemented through a ductular reaction that regenerates functional hepatocytes from biliary cells, with important implications for therapeutic restoration of liver function<sup>141</sup>.

631

632 Conclusion

We have highlighted several pathways and targets which could potentially contribute 633 to new therapies for inflammatory liver disease. It is likely that combination therapies 634 635 will be required to achieve significant clinical end points in terms of fibrosis regression and improvement in overall survival. An additional consideration is the 636 dynamic and complex cycle of maldaptive wound repair which characterises 637 advanced liver disease. It will be crucial that anti-inflammatory treatment for liver 638 639 disease involves a personalised/precision medicine approach taking into account the stage of disease, inflammatory infiltrate and potential of driving fibrosis resolution. 640 Whilst the benefits of inhibiting inflammation and driving resolution in chronic liver 641 642 diseases are clear, the chronic nature of most liver diseases and the unique microenvironment of the liver promote the development of HCC. The future of 643 developing novel anti-inflammatory agents in liver disease needs to take into account 644 the potential of promoting HCC in the setting of subclinical malignancy or carcinoma-645 in situ. Previous studies have highlighted this potential risk in the setting of hepatitis 646 C eradication with direct acting anti-viral therapy<sup>142</sup> and it is now becoming clear that 647 HCC thrives in immunosuppressive microenvironments<sup>143</sup>. It is therefore important 648 that we dedicate further research into understanding in which situations the 649

approach of suppressing inflammation in patients who have suffered liver disease for many years could potentially promote HCC. Nevertheless, we remain hopeful that the progress which has been made in understanding the regulators of inflammation in the liver microenvironment will lead to successful therapies to prevent the progression/reverse chronic liver disease.

655

656 Figure Legends

## Figure 1 Immune response to danger signals released from chronic epithelial injury

659 Chronic epithelial damage in the liver leads to cellular stress and the release of 660 danger signals. Pro-inflammatory pathways are triggered by Kupffer cell recognition 661 of these danger signals by receptors including TLR-4, galectin 3 and CD36 as well as activation of the inflammasome. Subsequent recruitment of CCR2+ monocytes 662 into liver tissue from the circulation leads to exacerbation of fibrogenesis. 663 664 Unconventional T cells also play an important role in sensing cellular stress at epithelial surfaces. CCR6+  $\gamma\delta$  T cells prevent fibrosis by promoting hepatic stellate 665 666 cell apoptosis whereas NKT cells and MAIT promote fibrogenesis with NKT cells releasing pro fibrogenic factors such as osteopontin and hedgehog ligands and MAIT 667 cells activating proinflammatory and profibrogenic pathways in macrophages and 668 hepatic stellate cells. DAMPS, danger associated molecular patterns; HMGB1, high 669 mobility group protein B1; MDA-LDL, Malondialdehyde- low density lipoprotein; ATP, 670 671 adenosine triphosphate; NLRP3, NOD-, LRR- and pyrin domain-containing 3; NKT cell, natural killer T cell; MAIT cell, mucosal associated invariant T cell; HSC, hepatic 672 673 stellate cell; ECM; extracellular matrix.

674

#### Figure 2 Lymphocyte recruitment and retention within the hepatic sinusoids

#### 676 during chronic liver injury

677 All progressive chronic inflammatory liver diseases are associated with recruitment 678 and retention of circulating lymphocytes into liver tissue. This recruitment occurs within the low shear stress environment of the hepatic sinusoids, where lymphocyte 679 680 recruitment is triggered by selectin-independent capture and firm adhesion by VCAM-1 supported by CD151 on the endothelial surface. Other factors promote 681 lymphocyte subset specific recruitment including aberrant adhesion of gut-homing 682 lymphocytes (alpha4beta7+) to MAdCAM-1 and CD4 lymphocytes adhesion 683 mediated by SCARF1. Presentation of chemokines including IP-10 to CXCR3<sup>+</sup> T 684 685 cells and CXCL16 to CXCR6<sup>+</sup> T cells triggers activation and migration of T cells. 686 The subsequent transendothelial migration step involves a combination of receptors including the atypical adhesion molecule VAP-1 with Treg specific recruitment 687 occurring via transcellular pathway mediated by VAP-1 and stabilin-1. HSCs 688 689 contribute to subendothelial retention of lymphocytes through the release of several 690 chemotactic factors and contribution from VAP-1. T cell subset positioning in liver tissue is further regulated by chemokines including CCL20 for Th17 cells and CCL17 691 692 and CCL22 for Tregs. VCAM-1, vascular adhesion molecule-1; MAdCAM-1, mucosal vascular addressin cell adhesion molecule-1: SCARF1, scavenger receptor 693 class F, member 1; IP-10, interferon gamma-induced protein 10; VAP-1, vascular 694 695 adhesion protein-1.

696

Figure 3 Pathways which promote fibrosis resolution and liver regeneration
The liver has the capacity to promote resolution of fibrosis and regenerative
pathways. Kupffer cells have the capability to promote hepatocyte regeneration

through the release of several factors including IL-6 and TNF $\alpha$ . Liver sinusoidal 700 701 endothelium can promote a pro regenerative pathway rather than pro-fibrotic through the upregulation of CXCR7 which induces the transcription factor Id1 leading to 702 703 proregenerative angiocrine factors. NK cells can contribute to fibrosis resolution by directly killing senescence activated HSCs. Macrophages also play a pivotal role in 704 fibrosis resolution through the release of several factors including MMP13 which 705 A key role is played by a subset of macrophages 706 degrades scar tissue. characterised by the pro-resolution phenoptype Ly6C<sup>lo</sup>CCR2<sup>lo</sup>CX<sub>3</sub>CR1<sup>hi</sup>. In chronic 707 liver injury, uptake of products of lipid peroxidation such as oxLDLs by macrophages 708 709 expressing stabilin-1 suppresses the release of pro-fibrotic factors. During acute 710 liver injury the release of SLPI leads to the upregulation of MerTK on macrophages 711 which promotes neutrophil apoptosis and subsequent clearance leading to resolution of inflammation. NK cell, natural killer cell; MMP-13, metalloproteinase-13; oxLDL, 712 oxidised low density lipoprotein; SLPI, secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor; MerTK, 713 Mer tyrosine kinase. 714 715 716 717 718 719 1. Pimpin L, Cortez-Pinto H, Negro F, et al. Burden of liver disease in Europe: 720 epidemiology and analysis of risk factors to identify prevention policies. J Hepatol. 721 2018. 722 2. Chung RT, Baumert TF. Curing chronic hepatitis C--the arc of a medical triumph. N 723 Engl J Med. 2014;370(17):1576-1578. 724 3. Chang TT, Liaw YF, Wu SS, et al. Long-term entecavir therapy results in the reversal 725 of fibrosis/cirrhosis and continued histological improvement in patients with chronic

- 726 hepatitis B. *Hepatology.* 2010;52(3):886-893.
- Papatheodoridis GV, Lampertico P, Manolakopoulos S, Lok A. Incidence of
  hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis B patients receiving nucleos(t)ide
  therapy: a systematic review. *J Hepatol.* 2010;53(2):348-356.

730	5.	Ramachandran P, Henderson NC. Antifibrotics in chronic liver disease: tractable
731		targets and translational challenges. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2016;1(4):328-
732	_	340.
733	6.	Canbay A, Friedman S, Gores GJ. Apoptosis: the nexus of liver injury and fibrosis.
734		Hepatology. 2004;39(2):273-278.
735	7.	Shetty S, Lalor PF, Adams DH. Lymphocyte recruitment to the liver: molecular
736		insights into the pathogenesis of liver injury and hepatitis. <i>Toxicology</i> .
737		2008;254(3):136-146.
738	8.	Ibrahim SH, Hirsova P, Gores GJ. Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis pathogenesis:
739		sublethal hepatocyte injury as a driver of liver inflammation. <i>Gut.</i> 2018;67(5):963-
740		972.
741	9.	Hirschfield GM, Karlsen TH, Lindor KD, Adams DH. Primary sclerosing cholangitis.
742		Lancet. 2013;382(9904):1587-1599.
743	10.	Tsuchida T, Friedman SL. Mechanisms of hepatic stellate cell activation. Nat Rev
744		Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017;14(7):397-411.
745	11.	Lo RC, Kim H. Histopathological evaluation of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis regression.
746		Clin Mol Hepatol. 2017;23(4):302-307.
747	12.	Webb GJ, Siminovitch KA, Hirschfield GM. The immunogenetics of primary biliary
748		cirrhosis: A comprehensive review. J Autoimmun. 2015;64:42-52.
749	13.	Kubes P, Mehal WZ. Sterile inflammation in the liver. Gastroenterology.
750		2012;143(5):1158-1172.
751	14.	Krenkel O, Tacke F. Liver macrophages in tissue homeostasis and disease. Nat Rev
752		Immunol. 2017;17(5):306-321.
753	15.	Iacobini C, Menini S, Ricci C, et al. Accelerated lipid-induced atherogenesis in
754		galectin-3-deficient mice: role of lipoxidation via receptor-mediated mechanisms.
755		Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2009;29(6):831-836.
756	16.	Harrison SA, Marri SR, Chalasani N, et al. Randomised clinical study: GR-MD-02, a
757		galectin-3 inhibitor, vs. placebo in patients having non-alcoholic steatohepatitis with
758		advanced fibrosis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2016;44(11-12):1183-1198.
759	17.	Bieghs V, Wouters K, van Gorp PJ, et al. Role of scavenger receptor A and CD36 in
760		diet-induced nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in hyperlipidemic mice. Gastroenterology.
761		2010;138(7):2477-2486, 2486 e2471-2473.
762	18.	Busch CJ, Hendrikx T, Weismann D, et al. Malondialdehyde epitopes are sterile
763		mediators of hepatic inflammation in hypercholesterolemic mice. <i>Hepatology</i> .
764		2017;65(4):1181-1195.
765	19.	Guo H, Callaway JB, Ting JP. Inflammasomes: mechanism of action, role in disease,
766		and therapeutics. Nat Med. 2015;21(7):677-687.
767	20.	Wree A, Marra F. The inflammasome in liver disease. J Hepatol. 2016;65(5):1055-
768		1056.
769	21.	Petrasek J, Bala S, Csak T, et al. IL-1 receptor antagonist ameliorates inflammasome-
770		dependent alcoholic steatohepatitis in mice. J Clin Invest. 2012:122(10):3476-3489.
771	22.	Henao-Meija J. Elinav E. Jin C. et al. Inflammasome-mediated dysbiosis regulates
772	-	progression of NAFLD and obesity. <i>Nature</i> . 2012:482(7384):179-185.
773	23.	Wree A. McGeough MD. Inzaugarat ME. et al. NLRP3 inflammasome driven liver
774		injury and fibrosis: Roles of IL-17 and TNF in mice. <i>Hepatology</i> . 2017.

775 24. Dal-Secco D, Wang J, Zeng Z, et al. A dynamic spectrum of monocytes arising from 776 the in situ reprogramming of CCR2+ monocytes at a site of sterile injury. J Exp Med. 777 2015;212(4):447-456. 778 25. Karlmark KR, Weiskirchen R, Zimmermann HW, et al. Hepatic recruitment of the 779 inflammatory Gr1+ monocyte subset upon liver injury promotes hepatic fibrosis. 780 Hepatology. 2009;50(1):261-274. 781 26. Tacke F. Targeting hepatic macrophages to treat liver diseases. J Hepatol. 782 2017;66(6):1300-1312. 783 27. Krenkel O, Puengel T, Govaere O, et al. Therapeutic inhibition of inflammatory 784 monocyte recruitment reduces steatohepatitis and liver fibrosis. Hepatology. 785 2018;67(4):1270-1283. 786 Friedman SL, Ratziu V, Harrison SA, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of 28. 787 cenicriviroc for treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis with fibrosis. *Hepatology*. 788 2018;67(5):1754-1767. 789 29. Wang J, Kubes P. A Reservoir of Mature Cavity Macrophages that Can Rapidly Invade 790 Visceral Organs to Affect Tissue Repair. Cell. 2016;165(3):668-678. 791 Kaufmann SH. gamma/delta and other unconventional T lymphocytes: what do they 30. 792 see and what do they do? Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1996;93(6):2272-2279. 793 31. Kenna T, Golden-Mason L, Norris S, Hegarty JE, O'Farrelly C, Doherty DG. Distinct 794 subpopulations of gamma delta T cells are present in normal and tumor-bearing 795 human liver. Clin Immunol. 2004;113(1):56-63. 796 32. Carding SR, Egan PJ. Gammadelta T cells: functional plasticity and heterogeneity. Nat 797 Rev Immunol. 2002;2(5):336-345. 798 33. Zhao N, Hao J, Ni Y, et al. Vgamma4 gammadelta T cell-derived IL-17A negatively 799 regulates NKT cell function in Con A-induced fulminant hepatitis. J Immunol. 800 2011;187(10):5007-5014. 801 34. Hammerich L, Bangen JM, Govaere O, et al. Chemokine receptor CCR6-dependent 802 accumulation of gammadelta T cells in injured liver restricts hepatic inflammation 803 and fibrosis. *Hepatology*. 2014;59(2):630-642. 804 35. Geissmann F, Cameron TO, Sidobre S, et al. Intravascular immune surveillance by 805 CXCR6+ NKT cells patrolling liver sinusoids. *PLoS Biol.* 2005;3(4):e113. 806 36. Wehr A, Baeck C, Heymann F, et al. Chemokine receptor CXCR6-dependent hepatic 807 NK T Cell accumulation promotes inflammation and liver fibrosis. J Immunol. 808 2013;190(10):5226-5236. 809 37. Syn WK, Oo YH, Pereira TA, et al. Accumulation of natural killer T cells in progressive 810 nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology*. 2010;51(6):1998-2007. 811 Syn WK, Agboola KM, Swiderska M, et al. NKT-associated hedgehog and osteopontin 38. 812 drive fibrogenesis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Gut. 2012;61(9):1323-1329. 813 Kurioka A, Walker LJ, Klenerman P, Willberg CB. MAIT cells: new guardians of the 39. 814 liver. Clin Transl Immunology. 2016;5(8):e98. 815 40. Kjer-Nielsen L, Patel O, Corbett AJ, et al. MR1 presents microbial vitamin B 816 metabolites to MAIT cells. Nature. 2012;491(7426):717-723. 817 Treiner E, Duban L, Bahram S, et al. Selection of evolutionarily conserved mucosal-41. 818 associated invariant T cells by MR1. Nature. 2003;422(6928):164-169. 819 42. Riva A, Patel V, Kurioka A, et al. Mucosa-associated invariant T cells link intestinal 820 immunity with antibacterial immune defects in alcoholic liver disease. Gut. 821 2018;67(5):918-930.

822	43.	Tang XZ, Jo J, Tan AT, et al. IL-7 licenses activation of human liver intrasinusoidal
823		mucosal-associated invariant T cells. J Immunol. 2013;190(7):3142-3152.
824	44.	Jeffery HC, van Wilgenburg B, Kurioka A, et al. Biliary epithelium and liver B cells
825		exposed to bacteria activate intrahepatic MAIT cells through MR1. J Hepatol.
826		2016;64(5):1118-1127.
827	45.	Balmer ML, Slack E, de Gottardi A, et al. The liver may act as a firewall mediating
828		mutualism between the host and its gut commensal microbiota. Sci Transl Med.
829		2014;6(237):237ra266.
830	46.	Hegde P, Weiss E, Paradis V, et al. Mucosal-associated invariant T cells are a
831		profibrogenic immune cell population in the liver. <i>Nat Commun.</i> 2018;9(1):2146.
832	47.	Nourshargh S, Alon R. Leukocyte migration into inflamed tissues. Immunity.
833		2014;41(5):694-707.
834	48.	Braet F, Wisse E. Structural and functional aspects of liver sinusoidal endothelial cell
835		fenestrae: a review. <i>Comp Hepatol</i> . 2002;1(1):1.
836	49.	Sørensen KK, McCourt P, Berg T, et al. The scavenger endothelial cell: a new player in
837		homeostasis and immunity. American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative
838		and Comparative Physiology. 2012;303(12):R1217-R1230.
839	50.	Lalor P, Lai W, Curbishley S, Shetty S, Adams D. Human hepatic sinusoidal endothelial
840		cells can be distinguished by expression of phenotypic markers related to their
841		specialised functions in vivo. World J Gastroenterol. 2006;12(34):5429-5439.
842	51.	McEver RP. Selectins: initiators of leucocyte adhesion and signalling at the vascular
843		wall. Cardiovascular research. 2015;107(3):331-339.
844	52.	Schnoor M, Alcaide P, Voisin MB, van Buul JD. Crossing the Vascular Wall: Common
845		and Unique Mechanisms Exploited by Different Leukocyte Subsets during
846		Extravasation. Mediators Inflamm. 2015;2015:946509.
847	53.	Muller WA. Transendothelial migration: unifying principles from the endothelial
848		perspective. Immunol Rev. 2016;273(1):61-75.
849	54.	Shetty S, Weston CJ, Oo YH, et al. Common lymphatic endothelial and vascular
850		endothelial receptor-1 mediates the transmigration of regulatory T cells across
851		human hepatic sinusoidal endothelium. J Immunol. 2011;186(7):4147-4155.
852	55.	Patten DA, Wilson GK, Bailey D, et al. Human liver sinusoidal endothelial cells
853		promote intracellular crawling of lymphocytes during recruitment: A new step in
854		migration. <i>Hepatology</i> . 2017;65(1):294-309.
855	56.	Shetty S, Lalor PF, Adams DH. Lymphocyte recruitment to the liver: molecular
856		insights into the pathogenesis of liver injury and hepatitis. Toxicology.
857		2008;254(3):136-146.
858	57.	Shetty S, Lalor PF, Adams DH. Liver sinusoidal endothelial cells—gatekeepers of
859		hepatic immunity. Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 2018:1.
860	58.	Elices MJ, Osborn L, Takada Y, et al. VCAM-1 on activated endothelium interacts with
861		the leukocyte integrin VLA-4 at a site distinct from the VLA-4/fibronectin binding
862		site. <i>Cell.</i> 1990;60(4):577-584.
863	59.	Lalor PF, Clements JM, Pigott R, Humphries MJ, Spragg JH, Nash GB. Association
864		between receptor density, cellular activation, and transformation of adhesive
865		behavior of flowing lymphocytes binding to VCAM-1. <i>EurJImmunol.</i> 1997;27(6):1422-
866		1426.
867	60.	Lalor PF, Shields P, Grant A, Adams DH. Recruitment of lymphocytes to the human
868		liver. Immunol Cell Biol. 2002;80(1):52-64.

869 61. Marlin SD, Springer TA. Purified intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) is a 870 ligand for lymphocyte function-associated antigen 1 (LFA-1). Cell. 1987;51(5):813-871 819. 872 62. Shetty S, Bruns T, Weston CJ, et al. Recruitment mechanisms of primary and 873 malignant B cells to the human liver. *Hepatology*. 2012;56(4):1521-1531. 874 Haraldsen G, Kvale D, Lien B, Farstad IN, Brandtzaeg P. Cytokine-regulated 63. 875 expression of E-selectin, intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1), and vascular 876 cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) in human microvascular endothelial cells. The 877 Journal of Immunology. 1996;156(7):2558-2565. 878 Barreiro O, Zamai M, Yáñez-Mó M, et al. Endothelial adhesion receptors are 64. 879 recruited to adherent leukocytes by inclusion in preformed tetraspanin 880 nanoplatforms. The Journal of cell biology. 2008;183(3):527-542. 881 Barreiro O, Yáñez-Mó M, Sala-Valdés M, et al. Endothelial tetraspanin microdomains 65. 882 regulate leukocyte firm adhesion during extravasation. Blood. 2005;105(7):2852-883 2861. 884 66. Wadkin JCR, Patten DA, Kamarajah S, et al. CD151 supports VCAM-1 mediated 885 lymphocyte adhesion to liver endothelium and is upregulated in chronic liver disease 886 and hepatocellular carcinoma. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2017:ajpgi 887 00411 02016. 888 Berlin C, Berg EL, Briskin MJ, et al.  $\alpha 4\beta 7$  integrin mediates lymphocyte binding to the 67. 889 mucosal vascular addressin MAdCAM-1. Cell. 1993;74(1):185-195. 890 68. Habtezion A, Nguyen LP, Hadeiba H, Butcher EC. Leukocyte Trafficking to the Small 891 Intestine and Colon. Gastroenterology. 2016;150(2):340-354. 892 69. Liaskou E, Karikoski M, Reynolds GM, et al. Regulation of mucosal addressin cell 893 adhesion molecule 1 expression in human and mice by vascular adhesion protein 1 894 amine oxidase activity. *Hepatology*. 2011;53(2):661-672. 895 70. Grant AJ, Lalor PF, Hubscher SG, Briskin M, Adams DH. MAdCAM-1 expressed in 896 chronic inflammatory liver disease supports mucosal lymphocyte adhesion to 897 hepatic endothelium (MAdCAM-1 in chronic inflammatory liver disease). 898 Hepatology. 2001;33(5):1065-1072. 899 71. Grant AJ, Lalor PF, Salmi M, Jalkanen S, Adams DH. Homing of mucosal lymphocytes 900 to the liver in the pathogenesis of hepatic complications of inflammatory bowel 901 disease. Lancet. 2002;359(9301):150-157. 902 72. Feagan BG, Rutgeerts P, Sands BE, et al. Vedolizumab as induction and maintenance 903 therapy for ulcerative colitis. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(8):699-710. 904 73. Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Rutgeerts P, et al. Vedolizumab as induction and 905 maintenance therapy for Crohn's disease. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(8):711-721. 906 74. Lim TY, Pavlidis P, Gulati S, et al. Vedolizumab in Inflammatory Bowel Disease 907 Associated with Autoimmune Liver Disease Pre- and Postliver Transplantation: A 908 Case Series. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2016;22(10):E39-40. 909 75. Christensen B, Micic D, Gibson PR, et al. Vedolizumab in patients with concurrent 910 primary sclerosing cholangitis and inflammatory bowel disease does not improve liver biochemistry but is safe and effective for the bowel disease. Aliment Pharmacol 911 912 Ther. 2018;47(6):753-762. 913 76. Salmi M, Jalkanen S. Vascular Adhesion Protein-1: A Cell Surface Amine Oxidase in 914 Translation. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2017.

915 77. Jaakkola K, Nikula T, Holopainen R, et al. In vivo detection of vascular adhesion 916 protein-1 in experimental inflammation. The American journal of pathology. 917 2000;157(2):463-471. Salmi M, Tohka S, Berg EL, Butcher EC, Jalkanen S. Vascular adhesion protein 1 (VAP-918 78. 919 1) mediates lymphocyte subtype-specific, selectin-independent recognition of 920 vascular endothelium in human lymph nodes. JExpMed. 1997;186(4):589-600. 921 79. McNab G, Reeves J, Salmi M, Hubscher S, Jalkanen S, Adams D. Vascular adhesion 922 protein 1 mediates binding of T cells to human hepatic endothelium. 923 Gastroenterology. 1996;110(2):522-528. 924 Lalor PF, Edwards S, McNab G, Salmi M, Jalkanen S, Adams DH. Vascular adhesion 80. 925 protein-1 mediates adhesion and transmigration of lymphocytes on human hepatic 926 endothelial cells. J Immunol. 2002;169(2):983-992. 927 Lalor PF, Sun PJ, Weston CJ, Martin-Santos A, Wakelam MJ, Adams DH. Activation of 81. 928 vascular adhesion protein-1 on liver endothelium results in an NF-KB-dependent 929 increase in lymphocyte adhesion. *Hepatology*. 2007;45(2):465-474. 930 82. Weston CJ, Shepherd EL, Claridge LC, et al. Vascular adhesion protein-1 promotes 931 liver inflammation and drives hepatic fibrosis. The Journal of clinical investigation. 932 2015;125(2):501-520. 933 Salmi M, Jalkanen S. Vascular Adhesion Protein-1: A Cell Surface Amine Oxidase in 83. 934 Translation. Antioxidants & redox signaling. 2017. 935 Geissmann F, Cameron TO, Sidobre S, et al. Intravascular immune surveillance by 84. 936 CXCR6+ NKT cells patrolling liver sinusoids. PLoS biology. 2005;3(4):e113. 937 Wang H, Shao Y, Zhang S, et al. CXCL16 deficiency attenuates acetaminophen-85. 938 induced hepatotoxicity through decreasing hepatic oxidative stress and 939 inflammation in mice. Acta biochimica et biophysica Sinica. 2017;49(6):541-549. 940 86. Xu H, Xu W, Chu Y, Gong Y, Jiang Z, Xiong S. Involvement of up-regulated CXC 941 chemokine ligand 16/scavenger receptor that binds phosphatidylserine and oxidized 942 lipoprotein in endotoxin-induced lethal liver injury via regulation of T-cell 943 recruitment and adhesion. Infection and immunity. 2005;73(7):4007-4016. 944 87. Heydtmann M, Lalor PF, Eksteen JA, Hübscher SG, Briskin M, Adams DH. CXC 945 chemokine ligand 16 promotes integrin-mediated adhesion of liver-infiltrating 946 lymphocytes to cholangiocytes and hepatocytes within the inflamed human liver. 947 *The Journal of Immunology.* 2005;174(2):1055-1062. 948 88. Sato T, Thorlacius H, Johnston B, et al. Role for CXCR6 in recruitment of activated 949 CD8+ lymphocytes to inflamed liver. The Journal of Immunology. 2005;174(1):277-950 283. 951 89. Hudspeth K, Donadon M, Cimino M, et al. Human liver-resident CD56bright/CD16neg 952 NK cells are retained within hepatic sinusoids via the engagement of CCR5 and 953 CXCR6 pathways. Journal of autoimmunity. 2016;66:40-50. 954 90. Stegmann KA, Robertson F, Hansi N, et al. CXCR6 marks a novel subset of T-bet lo 955 Eomes hi natural killer cells residing in human liver. Scientific reports. 2016;6:26157. 956 91. Xu H-B, Gong Y-P, Cheng J, Chu Y-W, Xiong S-D. CXCL16 participates in pathogenesis 957 of immunological liver injury by regulating T lymphocyte infiltration in liver tissue. 958 World journal of gastroenterology: WJG. 2005;11(32):4979. 959 Wehr A, Tacke F. The Roles of CXCL16 and CXCR6 in Liver Inflammation and Fibrosis. 92. 960 Current Pathobiology Reports. 2015;3(4):283-290.

961	93.	Irjala H, Elima K, Johansson EL, et al. The same endothelial receptor controls
962		lymphocyte traffic both in vascular and lymphatic vessels. European journal of
963		immunology. 2003;33(3):815-824.
964	94.	Zhao H, Liao X, Kang Y. Tregs: Where We Are and What Comes Next? Front Immunol.
965		2017;8:1578.
966	95.	Politz O, Gratchev A, McCOURT PA, et al. Stabilin-1 and- 2 constitute a novel family
967		of fasciclin-like hyaluronan receptor homologues. Biochemical Journal.
968		2002;362(1):155-164.
969	96.	Zhou B, Weigel JA, Fauss L, Weigel PH. Identification of the hyaluronan receptor for
970		endocytosis (HARE). Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2000;275(48):37733-37741.
971	97.	Jung M-Y, Park S-Y, Kim I-S. Stabilin-2 is involved in lymphocyte adhesion to the
972		hepatic sinusoidal endothelium via the interaction with $\alpha M\beta 2$ integrin. Journal of
973		leukocyte biology. 2007;82(5):1156-1165.
974	98.	Piccolo P, Vetrini F, Mithbaokar P, et al. SR-A and SREC-I are Kupffer and endothelial
975		cell receptors for helper-dependent adenoviral vectors. Mol Ther. 2013;21(4):767-
976		774.
977	99.	Patten DA, Kamarajah SK, Rose JM, et al. SCARF-1 promotes adhesion of CD4(+) T
978		cells to human hepatic sinusoidal endothelium under conditions of shear stress. Sci
979		<i>Rep.</i> 2017;7(1):17600.
980	100.	Patten DA. SCARF1: a multifaceted, yet largely understudied, scavenger receptor.
981		Inflammation Research. 2018:1-6.
982	101.	Campbell JJ, Hedrick J, Zlotnik A, Siani MA, Thompson DA, Butcher EC. Chemokines
983		and the arrest of lymphocytes rolling under flow conditions. <i>Science</i> .
984	402	1998;279(5349):381-384.
985	102.	Shuiman Z, Cohen SJ, Roediger B, et al. Transendothelial migration of lymphocytes
980		denote. Nat Immunol. 2012;12(1):67.76
907	102	Curbishlay SM Eksteen P. Gladue PD Later P. Adams DH. CYCP 2 activation promotes
<u> </u>	105.	lymphocyto transondotholial migration across human honatic ondotholium under
989		fluid flow Am I Pathol 2005:167(2):887-800
990	104	Nishioji K. Okanove T. Itoh V. et al. Increase of chemokine interferon-inducible
992	104.	protein-10 (IP-10) in the serum of nations with autoimmune liver diseases and
993		increase of its mRNA expression in hepatocytes <i>Clin Exp Immunol</i> 2001:123(2):271-
994		279.
995	105.	Butera D. Marukian S. Iwamaye AE. et al. Plasma chemokine levels correlate with the
996		outcome of antiviral therapy in patients with hepatitis C. <i>Blood.</i> 2005:106(4):1175-
997		1182.
998	106.	Ajuebor MN, Hogaboam CM, Le T, Proudfoot AE, Swain MG. CCL3/MIP-1alpha is pro-
999		inflammatory in murine T cell-mediated hepatitis by recruiting CCR1-expressing
1000		CD4(+) T cells to the liver. <i>Eur J Immunol.</i> 2004;34(10):2907-2918.
1001	107.	Shields PL, Morland CM, Salmon M, Qin S, Hubscher SG, Adams DH. Chemokine and
1002		chemokine receptor interactions provide a mechanism for selective T cell
1003		recruitment to specific liver compartments within hepatitis C-infected liver. J
1004		Immunol. 1999;163(11):6236-6243.
1005	108.	de Graaf KL, Lapeyre G, Guilhot F, et al. NI-0801, an anti-chemokine (C-X-C motif)
1006		ligand 10 antibody, in patients with primary biliary cholangitis and an incomplete
1007		response to ursodeoxycholic acid. <i>Hepatol Commun.</i> 2018;2(5):492-503.

1008	109.	Oo YH, Banz V, Kavanagh D, et al. CXCR3-dependent recruitment and CCR6-mediated
1009		positioning of Th-17 cells in the inflamed liver. <i>J Hepatol.</i> 2012;57(5):1044-1051.
1010	110.	Oo YH, Weston CJ, Lalor PF, et al. Distinct roles for CCR4 and CXCR3 in the
1011		recruitment and positioning of regulatory T cells in the inflamed human liver. J
1012		Immunol. 2010;184(6):2886-2898.
1013	111.	Meng F, Wang K, Aoyama T, et al. Interleukin-17 signaling in inflammatory, Kupffer
1014		cells, and hepatic stellate cells exacerbates liver fibrosis in mice. Gastroenterology.
1015		2012;143(3):765-776 e763.
1016	112.	Rios DA, Valva P, Casciato PC, et al. Chronic hepatitis C liver microenvironment: role
1017		of the Th17/Treg interplay related to fibrogenesis. <i>Sci Rep.</i> 2017;7(1):13283.
1018	113.	He B, Wu L, Xie W, et al. The imbalance of Th17/Treg cells is involved in the
1019		progression of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in mice. BMC Immunol. 2017;18(1):33.
1020	114.	Higashi T, Friedman SL, Hoshida Y. Hepatic stellate cells as key target in liver fibrosis.
1021		Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2017;121:27-42.
1022	115.	Holt AP, Haughton EL, Lalor PF, Filer A, Buckley CD, Adams DH. Liver myofibroblasts
1023		regulate infiltration and positioning of lymphocytes in human liver.
1024		Gastroenterology. 2009;136(2):705-714.
1025	116.	Weston CJ, Shepherd EL, Claridge LC, et al. Vascular adhesion protein-1 promotes
1026		liver inflammation and drives hepatic fibrosis. J Clin Invest. 2015;125(2):501-520.
1027	117.	Fallowfield JA, Mizuno M, Kendall TJ, et al. Scar-associated macrophages are a major
1028		source of hepatic matrix metalloproteinase-13 and facilitate the resolution of murine
1029		hepatic fibrosis. <i>J Immunol.</i> 2007;178(8):5288-5295.
1030	118.	Duffield JS, Forbes SJ, Constandinou CM, et al. Selective depletion of macrophages
1031		reveals distinct, opposing roles during liver injury and repair. J Clin Invest.
1032		2005;115(1):56-65.
1033	119.	Ramachandran P, Pellicoro A, Vernon MA, et al. Differential Ly-6C expression
1034		identifies the recruited macrophage phenotype, which orchestrates the regression of
1035		murine liver fibrosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109(46):E3186-3195.
1036	120.	Fraser AR, Pass C, Burgoyne P, et al. Development, functional characterization and
1037		validation of methodology for GMP-compliant manufacture of phagocytic
1038		macrophages: A novel cellular therapeutic for liver cirrhosis. Cytotherapy.
1039		2017;19(9):1113-1124.
1040	121.	Moore JK, Mackinnon AC, Wojtacha D, et al. Phenotypic and functional
1041		characterization of macrophages with therapeutic potential generated from human
1042		cirrhotic monocytes in a cohort study. <i>Cytotherapy</i> . 2015;17(11):1604-1616.
1043	122.	Rantakari P, Patten DA, Valtonen J, et al. Stabilin-1 expression defines a subset of
1044		macrophages that mediate tissue homeostasis and prevent fibrosis in chronic liver
1045		injury. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> . 2016;113(33):9298-9303.
1046	123.	Patten DA, Shetty S. Chronic liver disease: scavenger hunt for novel therapies.
1047		Lancet. 2018;391(10116):104-105.
1048	124.	McMahan RH, Wang XX, Cheng LL, et al. Bile acid receptor activation modulates
1049		hepatic monocyte activity and improves nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J Biol Chem.
1050		2013;288(17):11761-11770.
1051	125.	Merlen G, Ursic-Bedoya J, Jourdainne V, et al. Bile acids and their receptors during
1052		liver regeneration: "Dangerous protectors". Mol Aspects Med. 2017;56:25-33.

1053 Roth JD, Feigh M, Veidal SS, et al. INT-767 improves histopathological features in a 126. 1054 diet-induced ob/ob mouse model of biopsy-confirmed non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. World J Gastroenterol. 2018;24(2):195-210. 1055 1056 127. Baghdasaryan A, Claudel T, Gumhold J, et al. Dual farnesoid X receptor/TGR5 agonist 1057 INT-767 reduces liver injury in the Mdr2-/- (Abcb4-/-) mouse cholangiopathy model 1058 by promoting biliary HCO(-)(3) output. *Hepatology*. 2011;54(4):1303-1312. 1059 128. Reich M, Deutschmann K, Sommerfeld A, et al. TGR5 is essential for bile acid-1060 dependent cholangiocyte proliferation in vivo and in vitro. Gut. 2016;65(3):487-501. Triantafyllou E, Pop OT, Possamai LA, et al. MerTK expressing hepatic macrophages 1061 129. 1062 promote the resolution of inflammation in acute liver failure. Gut. 2018;67(2):333-1063 347. 1064 130. Davies SP, Reynolds GM, Stamataki Z. Clearance of Apoptotic Cells by Tissue 1065 Epithelia: A Putative Role for Hepatocytes in Liver Efferocytosis. Front Immunol. 1066 2018;9:44. 1067 131. Gao B, Radaeva S, Park O. Liver natural killer and natural killer T cells: 1068 immunobiology and emerging roles in liver diseases. J Leukoc Biol. 2009;86(3):513-1069 528. 1070 132. Liew PX, Lee WY, Kubes P. iNKT Cells Orchestrate a Switch from Inflammation to 1071 Resolution of Sterile Liver Injury. Immunity. 2017;47(4):752-765 e755. 1072 Ding BS, Cao Z, Lis R, et al. Divergent angiocrine signals from vascular niche balance 133. 1073 liver regeneration and fibrosis. Nature. 2014;505(7481):97-102. 1074 134. Selzner N, Selzner M, Odermatt B, Tian Y, Van Rooijen N, Clavien PA. ICAM-1 triggers 1075 liver regeneration through leukocyte recruitment and Kupffer cell-dependent release 1076 of TNF-alpha/IL-6 in mice. Gastroenterology. 2003;124(3):692-700. 1077 135. Cressman DE, Greenbaum LE, DeAngelis RA, et al. Liver failure and defective 1078 hepatocyte regeneration in interleukin-6-deficient mice. Science. 1079 1996;274(5291):1379-1383. 1080 136. Akerman P, Cote P, Yang SQ, et al. Antibodies to tumor necrosis factor-alpha inhibit 1081 liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy. Am J Physiol. 1992;263(4 Pt 1):G579-1082 585. 1083 137. Strey CW, Markiewski M, Mastellos D, et al. The proinflammatory mediators C3a and 1084 C5a are essential for liver regeneration. J Exp Med. 2003;198(6):913-923. 1085 138. Michalopoulos GK. Liver regeneration. J Cell Physiol. 2007;213(2):286-300. 1086 139. Sun R, Gao B. Negative regulation of liver regeneration by innate immunity (natural 1087 killer cells/interferon-gamma). Gastroenterology. 2004;127(5):1525-1539. 1088 140. Shen K, Zheng SS, Park O, Wang H, Sun Z, Gao B. Activation of innate immunity 1089 (NK/IFN-gamma) in rat allogeneic liver transplantation: contribution to liver injury 1090 and suppression of hepatocyte proliferation. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 1091 2008;294(4):G1070-1077. 1092 Raven A, Lu WY, Man TY, et al. Cholangiocytes act as facultative liver stem cells 141. 1093 during impaired hepatocyte regeneration. Nature. 2017;547(7663):350-354. 1094 Reig M, Marino Z, Perello C, et al. Unexpected high rate of early tumor recurrence in 142. 1095 patients with HCV-related HCC undergoing interferon-free therapy. J Hepatol. 1096 2016;65(4):719-726. 1097 Hernandez-Gea V, Toffanin S, Friedman SL, Llovet JM. Role of the microenvironment 143. 1098 in the pathogenesis and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterology. 1099 2013;144(3):512-527.