Computational Drug Repositioning for Rare Diseases in the Era of Precision Medicine
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Abstract

There are tremendous unmet needs and unprecedented opportunities in drug development for rare diseases. Advances in emerging techniques such as next generation sequencing has changed the landscape of research in rare diseases, exemplified by our increasing knowledge of the genetic origins of disease. In silico drug repositioning is a promising approach and has been successfully applied to the development of treatments for diseases. The underlying genetic nature of rare diseases influences the treatment responses of different genetic mutation carriers, which is an important component of precision medicine. However, how to utilize this knowledge and effectively conduct and implement in silico drug repositioning approaches for rare disease therapies is still an open question. In this review, we will focus on the means of utilizing accumulated genomic data for accelerating and facilitating drug repositioning for the treatment of rare diseases. First, we summarize the current genome landscape of rare diseases. Second, we propose several promising bioinformatics approaches and pipelines for computational drug repositioning for rare diseases. Finally, we discuss recent regulatory incentives and other enablers in rare disease drug development and outline the remaining challenge.

Keywords: drug repositioning; rare diseases; precision medicine; genome; next generation sequencing
Introduction

Most rare diseases have a genetic etiology, affect a small proportion of the population (usually less than 200,000 in U.S. or 1/2000 in Europe), but are severe and life-threatening [1-3]. Although rare diseases are themselves infrequent by definition, collectively they are a common occurrence. There are more than 7,000 rare diseases based on the European Organization for Rare Diseases (EURORDIS) statics (http://www.eurordis.org/about-rare-diseases). However, there are only approximately 500 treatment options available after the Orphan Drug Act of 1983 was passed [4]. The average time to diagnosis of a rare disease is more than seven years. Over one-third of children with a rare disease will not live more than five years, and about 35% of these children will die within the first year of life [5].

The fundamental challenge of orphan drug development is a lack of knowledge about pathophysiology, etiology, and the natural history of rare diseases. Few patients are available and together with their geographical dispersal, clinical trials are often impractical [6]. Also, researchers also have great difficulty in gauging the genetic origin of rare diseases [1]. The causative genetic mutations are either hereditary (even when the disease has a late onset in the patient’s life), or they are caused by a new mutation (de novo) [7]. Like common disease, heterogeneity also exists in rare diseases, which makes it extremely challenging to distinguish patients with different morphological features or genetic variants and then look for the right treatment options. One example is cystic fibrosis (CF), which is accounted for by the genetic mutation of the transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) gene. There are approximately 2000 identified mutations within the CFTR gene from CF patients. Among the 2000 identified CFTR mutations, the F508del mutation and G551D are major mutations that are carried by more than 90% of CF patients. However, the associated phenotypic outcomes of the two mutations are quite distinct. The F508del mutation is mainly associated with CFTR folding impairment, and stability at the endoplasmic reticulum and plasma membrane, and chloride channel gating. The G551D is mainly related to channel gating alternation [8, 9]. The only FDA approved drug, ivacaftor, is only effective to patients with the G551D mutation. Meanwhile, there are still a substantial number of CF patients carrying the F508del mutation without a treatment option.
The advent of next-generation sequencing (NGS) has changed the landscape of rare disease research, presenting the opportunity for the causative genes of rare diseases to be identified at an unprecedented pace and resolution [1]. Next generation sequencing (NGS) is also considered as a key technology for advancing precision medicine [10]. Many genetic variants of rare diseases have been detected and the data are publicly accessible. However, there is still a large number of undetected genes associated with rare diseases [7, 11, 12]. On-going efforts are being made and will lead to substantial improvement in our understanding of the genetic origin of rare diseases. For example, the International Rare Diseases Research Consortium (IRDiRC) set a goal of developing the capacity to diagnose all of the rare diseases, and to establish 200 new or repurposed therapies for rare diseases by the year 2020 [13].

How to translate the accumulated genetic knowledge to facilitate rare disease treatment development is still an open question [14]. First, to identify and validate therapeutic targets of rare diseases is a great challenge. Even if a causative genetic mutation in a patient with rare diseases is detected, there is no guarantee that a therapeutic option might arise from this knowledge. This is because the mutated protein may be unsuitable as a therapeutic target for a variety of reasons such as inaccessibility or lack of suitability as a small molecule target. [15]. In this context, the current drug design paradigm has proved generally successful in inhibiting therapeutic targets in rare diseases with gain-of-function mutations [16]. Rare diseases with gain-of-function mutations, like most common diseases, are defined as the activation of specific pathways or the ectopic activity in relation to the proteins, which aligns well with the current concept of target identification. However, there are many rare diseases that are due to loss-of-function where the impairment of a particular protein drives the etiology [16]. Therefore, a novel approach for translating knowledge of loss-of-function genetic variants into clinical utility is urgently needed.

Drug repositioning that aims to find new uses for existing drugs is considered as an effective and alternative paradigm of drug development [17]. Computational drug repositioning provides a systematic and rational solution for identifying treatment options as compared to conventional drug repositioning approaches arising from serendipity or close clinical observation[18-21]. Linking the genetic findings of rare disease and drug repositioning into the
same framework to accelerate drug development for rare diseases is imperative and is also a necessary practice for precision medicine. In this review, first, we summarize current progress in research on the genetic origins of rare diseases. Second, we propose several novel strategies to integrate these accumulated genetic findings into computational drug repositioning frameworks for the development of treatments for rare diseases (Figure 1). Finally, we will discuss the remaining challenges and future perspectives in this field.

The genetic landscape of rare diseases

In the past decade, much progress has been made in the detection the genetic origin of rare diseases even though patient recruitment is a challenge both for obtaining samples and for carrying out clinical studies for the development of treatment options. This has resulted from the advancement of new techniques, the assistance of social media, and the policy shifts of regulatory agencies [1, 22, 23]. Particularly, NGS techniques have greatly enabled the detection of the possible genetic basis of rare diseases [24]. Table 1 summarizes the public available resources and efforts of rare disease genetics.

Up to date, the molecular level etiology information of around one third of rare disease has been uncovered, although many causative genes of rare diseases remain to be identified [25, 26]. Based on the Orphanet data [27], there are a total of 6,289 rare diseases with a causative gene relationship, which corresponds to 3343 rare diseases and 3,398 genes. Among 3343 rare diseases, 2,442 (2442/3343 = 73.0%) have a single causative gene (Figure 2(A)). Among 6,289 rare disease and causing gene relationships, 5,032 (4,171 unclassified + 715 loss of function + 146 gain of function) belong to germline mutation in the causative genes, which account for more than 80% of mutation types (Figure 2(B)). It could be also seen that 4,171 unclassified mutation (4,171/5,032 = 82.9% of total germline mutations) remain to be annotated at the functional level.

Genetic structural variants have been implicated in mutation functions and phenotypic outcomes. However, genetic structural variants are still considered as one of most difficult to interpret with regards to their functional consequence [22]. Structural variants comprise different unbalanced forms of variants such as deletion, insertion, reduplication, and also
balanced forms such as translocation and inversion. ClinVar is a database for the clinical significance of mutations [11]. Based on ClinVar, there are a total 52,944 genetic mutations from 3,502 unique rare disease-associated genes, which distributes into different chromosome locations. The types of 52,944 rare disease structure variants includes single nucleotide variant (SNV), deletion, duplication, insertion, insertion, indel, undetermined variant, NT expansion, protein only, copy number loss, copy number gain, inversion, short repeat, structural variant. Among 13 mutation types, SNV, deletion, and duplication are the three most frequent mutation types (Figure 2(C)).

**Paths toward to rare disease therapy**

The emerging techniques have accelerated the pace of the identification of rare diseases genetic variants [1]. However, the majority of the detected variants remain to be translated into treatment options. Here, we summarize and propose several computational drug repositioning approaches for facilitating this process (Figure 1).

**Phenome-wide association**

The candidate gene and genome-wide association studies (GWAS) studies have identified a large number of SNP-trait/disease relationships [28], which could be used to prioritize genetic findings and further identify therapeutic targets [29-31]. Sanseau et al. [32] assessed the utility of GWAS for identifying alternative uses of existing drugs. It was found that a list of 155 genes identified from GWAS studies had been targeted by at least one existing drug or candidate in clinical trials. For 92 of 155 genes, the suggested drug indication was different from the original disease trait identified by GWAS, which implies that these new drug-indication pairs should be further verified for identifying new disease treatment options [33]. Similarly, Nelson *et al.* [31] filtered SNP-trait/disease relationships from GWASdb with OMIM and obtained rare diseases related SNP-trait/disease relationships. Then, these were linked to drug–target-disease relationships to determine whether the known genetic associations could play a role in drug development. It was found that drug mechanisms with plausible genetic associations were twice as successful as those where this associated was missing.
However, results from GWASs contain a high false positive rate due to the limitations posed by both technique or sample size [34]. Integration of electronic health records (EHR) of various disease types from different ethnic groups to the dense genomic information presents a new vision of precision medicine [35]. Denny et al. [36] reported a novel paradigm named phenome-wide association study (PheWAS), which incorporated SNP-trait relationship identified from GAWS studies with the electronic medical records of genetic scanning from a large cohort of people with European ancestry. The PheWAS not only provided an extra verification of the results from GWA studies, but also revealed some potentially interesting associations. The PheWAS tremendously expanded the scale of SNP-trait relationship and provided more opportunities for looking for new uses of existing drugs. Rastegar-Mojarrad et al. [37] combined PheWAS and DrugBank [38] to identify repositioning candidates for rare and common diseases. A total of 52,966 drug-disease pairs were enriched by the approach. Approximate 30% of 52,966 drug pairs were verified for known drug-disease relationship, on-going clinical trial or literature reports. About 70% of drug pairs could be candidates for drug repositioning.

Pathway/network based approaches

Genes with genetic variants may not be suitable “druggable” targets. However, pathway or network approaches can be helpful in finding genes involved in general signaling networks or biological pathways, and could provide a list of proteins for therapeutic target identification [39]. For example, the Ras/MAPK syndromes (Noonan, LEPAROD, Costello and cardio-facio-cutaneous syndromes) are a class of rare developmental disorders caused by germline mutations of genes including PTPN11, PTPN11, SOS1, RASA1, NF1, KRAS, HRAS, NRA S, BRAF, RAF1, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, SPRED1, RIT1, SHOC2 and CBL. Ras/MAPK signaling pathways deregulated by cancerous somatic mutations exist in approximately one-third of all cancer types [40, 41]. Naturally, it is assumed oncology drugs that could inhibit the Ras/MAPK signaling pathways components could be used to treat RASopathy related rare development disorders. A mouse model was developed for verification of the oncology drug rapamycin for treating LEOPARD syndrome (LS) [42]. Specifically, mice carrying the ptpn11 mutation developed LS
symptoms, and experiments verified that the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin could reverse some of these, such as hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM).

Linking the common disease with the rare disease based on a shared gene is an idea originally proposed by Goh [43] which developed as a concept to identify the disease-disease relationship based on their shared pathways [44]. However, there is little knowledge about the underlying molecular mechanism of the influence of genetic variants on the pathways. This knowledge is crucial to understanding the pathogenesis of diseases. Kiel et al. developed a structure-energy-based prediction and network modeling framework to uncover the different degrees of perturbation of the Ras/MAPK pathway by germline mutations and somatic mutations. By measuring quantitative activity changes in the pathway based on mutated 3D protein structure, the difference between germline RASopathy mutation and cancer mutations could be explained by switching the genes on and off and assessing the degree of protein-protein interactions. Furthermore, the binding constants and affinities could be quite different for the same protein with different disease related mutations. In addition, the energy change noted in a pathway was higher with a somatic mutation compared to a germline mutation. Overall, these pathway/network-based methodologies and conclusions are of great value in uncovering the impact of genetic variants on pathways, further facilitating target identification and subsequent treatment development for rare diseases.

**Genomic data integration**

Deciphering the effect of genetic variants on cellular processes such as gene expression at the cellular or organism level is crucial in dissecting genetic contributions to phenotypic endpoints [45, 46]. This also paves the way for linking genetic variants to treatment development since vast amounts of drug transcriptome data in different cell types and organisms are publicly available [47-49]. The correlation between genetic variants and gene expression has been discussed and applied in the cancer genome field (Table 2). Although the proposed approaches are tailored to driver gene enrichment and patient survival, it also could be applied for treatment development. For example, Masica et al. [50] proposed a statistical strategy with network analysis for correlating
somatic mutation and gene expression and applied it to 149 human glioblastoma (GBM) samples. They found that somatic mutations of 41 genes were highly related to GBM progression and patient survival. Bertrand et. al. [51] developed a network approach by integrating SNP, CNV and gene expression for driver gene enrichment. The proposed methodology was also applied to GBM and a novel driver gene TRIM24 was found and experimentally verified. In addition, the methodology was used for more than 1000 tumor samples from 5 different cancer types for identifying modes of synergistic action, which could be potentially used for combination drug design for cancer treatment. Peng et. al. [52] developed a hybrid integrative approach named CMDD by combining partial least squares regression and network methods covering multiple-omics profiles such as CNV, DNA methylation, miRNA and gene expression. CMDD was also applied to GBM and six other cancer types and the genes involved in the enriched modules were correlated with overall patient survival. Ding et. al. [53] presented a novel hierarchical Bayes graphic modeling approach for symmetrically qualifying the effect of somatic mutation on gene expression across 12 pan cancers. Some very interesting conclusion were drawn: (1) the patients carried the same somatic mutations, which influenced different downstream gene expression; (2) some somatic mutations are conserved across cancer types. Gerstung et. al. [54] developed a computational approach for detecting the phenotypic heterogeneity caused by distinct genotype and applied it to 124 patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (a rare cancer) and with TCGA acute myeloid leukemia (AML). It was found that the one or more genetic variants were correlated with around 20% of all genes, which dictated 20~65% of gene expression variability. These proposed methodologies have been successfully used to uncover genetic mutation and gene expression relationships for common or rare cancers. It is worth investigating the utility of these approaches in the rare diseases field to decipher germline mutations and their influencing on gene expression profiles.

Furthermore, dysfunctional non-coding RNA such as miRNAs and IncRNA in different biological process often leads to disease [55]. The genetic mutation may change the binding affinity to miRNA impairing gene expression, and contributing to the phenotypic expression of the diseases [56]. Liu et. al. [57] introduced a feed-forward loop concept into the drug repositioning
field and applied it for the development of treatment for cystic fibrosis (CF) by integrating information including germline mutation, miRNA, transcription factors (TF) and gene expression. Then, 15 CF specific miRNA-TF feed-forward loops were enriched by using a cumulative hypergeometric test. Finally, by investigating the perturbation of obtained CF specific FFLs with small molecules, a list of 48 CF repurposed candidates were proposed. Among the 48 repurposed candidates for CF, 26 candidates were verified by literature survey and existing clinical trials.

Once the correlation between genetic variants and gene expression, drug transcriptome data could be applied to look for repositioning opportunities (Table 3). The Connectivity Map (CMap) [49] as the key source has been successfully applied to drug repositioning fields [58, 59]. For example, Dudley et. al. [60] proposed a novel approach that aims to look for inverse drug diseases relationship by comparing the disease signature generated from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) databases [61] and drug signatures obtained from CMap. They found several repurposing candidates for treating inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and these were verified by in vitro assays.

Besides CMap, several large toxicogenomics efforts such as TG-GATEs [48] and DrugMatrix [47] have accumulated hundreds of drugs transcriptome data profiles at multiple time/dose/assay type points. Iskar et. al. [62] identified a large set of drug induced transcriptional modules with CMap and DrugMatrix data that are from human cancer cell lines and from rat liver in vivo. They found that 70% of drug induced transcriptional modules were conserved in both assay types, which suggests that toxicogenomics data could be also used for drug repositioning, although further comprehensive assessment is needed. Furthermore, miRNAs have been considered as novel and promising therapeutic targets against various diseases [63, 64], several miRNA and small molecule relationship databases such as SM2miR [65] and Pharmaco-miR [66] were constructed by curation from literature or in silico prediction.

**Discussion**

Computational drug repositioning provides a rapid turnaround list of repositioning candidate drugs. The challenge is to experimentally verify the efficacy and safety of these and to move the
drugs forward into clinical trials. Currently, most in silico drug repositioning approaches are verified by either animal-based in vitro or in vivo models [59, 60, 67-69]. Moving these in silico findings towards clinical application is challenging due to difficulties in patient recruitment, which are especially hard with patients with rare diseases. About 30% of clinical Phase 3 studies fail due to patient enrollments [70]. Therefore, a lot of proposed repositioning candidates remain at the report or literature level. Patient registries, which have been created by patient advocacy groups, none-profit organization, government agencies and companies, facilitate progress in the enrollment and retention of patients with rare diseases. For example, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) established the Rare Diseases Clinical Research Network I (RDCRN I, http://www.rarediseasesnetwork.org/) to address the unique challenges of research on rare diseases. RDCRN studies more than 90 rare diseases at about 100 academic institutions. Patient advocacy groups actively participate in the research.

The NGS technologies have driven a dramatic shift in our understanding of rare diseases at a genome-wide scale [71]. Bioinformatics play a central role and has become an important component in NGS data analysis, generating many algorithms and workflows. However, building a standard bioinformatics solution for NGS analysis and application to clinical practice remains to be carried out. Accurate and reliable NGS analysis ensures patients with rare diseases receive the correct diagnosis. Accurate and reliable NGS further facilitates the practice of precision medicine. However, inaccurate NGS testing can lead to poor or misleading results. Therefore, the drug makers, scientific researches, and reviewers need to collaboratively to standardize NGS techniques and performance evaluation approaches. The FDA (https://precision.fda.gov/) and the NIH Precision Medicine Initiative Cohort Program (https://www.nih.gov/precision-medicine-initiative-cohort-program) have been created to provide insightful vision on precision medicine taking advantage of emerging techniques.

Government-sponsored initiatives and accompanying policy shifts have also had a great impact on the development of treatments for rare diseases. For example, FDA awarded 18 new research grants for the development of rare disease products or biomarkers or to efray the cost of clinical trials (http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm463539.htm). So far
40 orphan disease products were partially funded by grants from the “orphan products grant program”. Furthermore, the FDA has developed four distinct and promising routes, which enacts faster drug review and approval, shortening rare disease therapy development. In addition, there are other government-sponsored initiatives such as the Medical Research Council in the United Kingdom and the NIH National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS). The NIH has established partnerships among public funders, the pharmaceutical industry and academic investigators, which will also be beneficial for the development of therapies for rare diseases [72].

Closing Remarks
In summary, under the precision medicine umbrella, the landscape of rare diseases has been redrawn by applying NGS techniques. The accumulated genomic data provides great opportunities for the development of treatments for rare diseases by providing insight into the possibility of drug repositioning. Enabling the translation of these novel findings to clinical practice of rare disease treatment development is the real practice of precision medicine. Several promising bioinformatics approaches as summarized, have shown great potential in tailoring genomic findings to developing therapies for rare diseases. Combined with other established drug repositioning approaches and efforts form scientific communities, government agencies, and pharmaceutical companies, the timing is excellent for furthering the development of innovative approaches and clinical practice towards precision medicine for rare diseases.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Databases/Consortiums</th>
<th>Web link</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orphanet</td>
<td><a href="http://www.orphadata.org/cgi-bin/index.php">http://www.orphadata.org/cgi-bin/index.php</a></td>
<td>Orphanet is a comprehensive resource on rare diseases, which provides rare disease information including rare disease associated genes, clinical signs, epidemiological data and rare disease classification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.omim.org/">http://www.omim.org/</a></td>
<td>OMIM is a comprehensive, authoritative compendium of human genes and genetic phenotypes, which contains the information about all the mendelian diseases and over 15,000 genes and their variants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSMIC</td>
<td><a href="http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic">http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic</a></td>
<td>COSMIC is designed to store and display somatic mutation information and related details and contains information relating to human cancers. The somatic mutation on rare cancers could be retrieved from COSMIC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database of genomic variation and phenotype in humans using ensemble Resources (DECIPHER)</td>
<td><a href="https://decipher.sanger.ac.uk/">https://decipher.sanger.ac.uk/</a></td>
<td>DECIPHER is an interactive web-based database which incorporates a suite of tools designed to aid the interpretation of genomic variants of rare disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The NHGRI GWAS Catalog</td>
<td><a href="http://www.genome.gov/gwastudies">www.genome.gov/gwastudies</a></td>
<td>A Catalog of Published Genome-Wide Association Studies that provides SNP-traits association.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DisGeNET</td>
<td><a href="http://www.disgenet.org/web/DisGeNET/menu">http://www.disgenet.org/web/DisGeNET/menu</a></td>
<td>DisGeNET is a curated efforts and aim to integrate the disease and gene relationship from public database and literature mining.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consortiums efforts</th>
<th>Web link</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Care for Rare</td>
<td><a href="http://care4rare.ca/">http://care4rare.ca/</a></td>
<td>CARE for RARE is a Canadian nation-wide research program focusing on the improvement of both the diagnosis and treatment of rare diseases. Currently, their researches embrace 637 different rare disease studies with more than 1000 rare disease patients with 81 novel rare diseases causing genes identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding of Rare Disease Genes in Canada</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cpgdsconsortium.com/default.aspx">http://www.cpgdsconsortium.com/default.aspx</a></td>
<td>FORGE Canada (Finding of Rare Disease Genes) is a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A national consortium of clinicians and scientists using next-generation sequencing technology to identify genes responsible for a wide spectrum of rare pediatric-onset disorders present in the Canadian population.

The CMG aim to discover genetic basis of Mendelian disorders in two main ways including applying novel sequencing technique for rare diseases researches and collaboration with other rare disease research consortiums.

The Global Alliance for Genomics and Health (Global Alliance) was formed to help accelerate the potential of genomic medicine to advance human health by using emerging sequencing technique. The project will sequence 100,000 genomes from around 70,000 people. Participants are NHS patients with a rare disease, plus their families, and patients with cancer. The aim of the DDD study is to advance clinical genetic practice for children with developmental disorders by the systematic application of the latest microarray and sequencing methods while addressing the new ethical challenges raised.

IRDiRC teams up researchers and organizations investing in rare diseases research in order to achieve two main objectives by the year 2020, namely to deliver 200 new therapies for rare diseases and means to diagnose most rare diseases.

The Genetic Disorders Of Mucociliary Clearance Consortium is a clinical research network created to improve the diagnostic testing and treatment of rare airway diseases, including primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD), variant forms of cystic fibrosis (CF), pseudohypoaldosteronism (PHA), and now idiopathic bronchiectasis and NTM pulmonary disease.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data profiles</th>
<th>Diseases</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>PubMed ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Somatic mutation and gene expression</td>
<td>glioblastoma (GBM)</td>
<td>Fisher’s exact test with network analysis</td>
<td>The somatic mutation and gene expression are needed for each patient</td>
<td>21555372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP, CNV and gene expression</td>
<td>glioblastoma (GBM) and other five cancer types</td>
<td>Network analysis for integrative data including SNP, CNV and gene expression for driver genes enrichment</td>
<td>OncoIMPACT is developed and source code is available from <a href="http://sourceforge.net/projects/oncoimpact">http://sourceforge.net/projects/oncoimpact</a></td>
<td>25572314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNV, methylation, miRNA and gene expression</td>
<td>glioblastoma (GBM) and other six cancer types</td>
<td>Partial least squares regression and network analysis</td>
<td>The results were verified by survival analysis and a core gene module of 17 genes was enriched for candidate GBM driver genes.</td>
<td>25653168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic mutation and gene expression</td>
<td>12 pan cancers</td>
<td>hierarchical Bayes statistical model <a href="http://compbio.bccrc.ca/software/xseq/">http://compbio.bccrc.ca/software/xseq/</a></td>
<td>Patient genetic heterogeneity was observed and the same mutation types was conserved across cancer types</td>
<td>26436532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germline mutation, miRNA, transcription factor, gene expression</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>miRNA transcription factor feed-forward loop construction by cumulative hypergeometric test</td>
<td>The 48 repurposing candidate were enriched for cystic fibrosis treatment, 26 of 48 candidates were verified by literature survey or existing clinical trails</td>
<td>25484921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>myelodysplastic syndromes and acute myeloid leukaemia (AML)</td>
<td>principal component analysis (PCA) with schematic linear decomposition</td>
<td>One or more genetic lesions correlate with expression levels of ~20% of all genes, explaining 20–65% of observed expression variability. Differential expression patterns vary between mutations and reflect the underlying biology</td>
<td>25574665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Web link</td>
<td>Remarks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Connectivity Map (CMap)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.broadinstitute.org/cmap/">https://www.broadinstitute.org/cmap/</a></td>
<td>Provide an comprehensive drug transcriptional responses of 1309 drugs or lead compounds in the clinical trials to six or seven different cancer cell lines.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open TG-GATEs</td>
<td><a href="http://toxico.nibio.go.jp/english/index.html">http://toxico.nibio.go.jp/english/index.html</a></td>
<td>TG-GATEs consists of the comprehensive toxicogenomic profiles of 170 compounds with four different assay types (human/rat in vitro/vivo) and multiple time and dose points in rat liver and kidney. The histopathological profiles for compounds are also available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DrugMatrix</td>
<td><a href="https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/drugmatrix/index.html">https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/drugmatrix/index.html</a></td>
<td>DrugMatrix contains toxicogenomic profiles for 638 different compounds from both Codelink and Affymetrix platforms, which covers multiple organism including liver, kidney, heart, bone marrow, spleen and skeletal muscle.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM2miR</td>
<td><a href="http://210.46.85.180:8080/sm2mir/index.jsp">http://210.46.85.180:8080/sm2mir/index.jsp</a></td>
<td>SM2miR is a manual curated database which collects and incorporates the experimentally validated small molecules and miRNA relationship from around twenty species by literature survey. Pharmaco-miR identifies associations of miRNAs, genes and drugs by integrating PharmaGKB database and in silico prediction.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure Captions:**

Figure 1  **The proposed computational drug repositioning approaches for rare disease therapy**

Figure 2  **The statistics of rare diseases genetic information:**  
(A) The relationship between rare disease and its causative genes based on Orphadata;  
(B) the known mutation origin and functions of rare diseases based on Orphadata;  
(C) the structure variants distribution of rare diseases based on ClinVar.
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