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Abstract

Cast iron water distribution pipes are used widely in the UK and worldwide. Corrosion of these cast iron pipes often occurs due to an electrochemical process where the pipe is buried directly in a chemically aggressive ground (as is the case for some clays). The electrochemical process changes the pH environment and releases iron ions into the clay. This can cause chemical alteration of the clay minerals and ‘corrosion products’, such as iron oxide, hydroxide and aqueous salts, to form in the soil. These chemical interactions are complex and time dependent, and can potentially result in pipe failure, and thus the conditions under which they occur need to be understood.

Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) has been proposed for routinely detecting, assessing and monitoring buried cast iron pipes, and thus it is important to know how these chemical changes affect the electromagnetic properties of soil. A bespoke set of laboratory experiments was devised to simulate and accelerate cast iron corrosion (using electrokinetics) and ion migration processes in two types of clay, namely Kaolin Clay and Oxford Clay. Tests were conducted for periods of up to 3 months using both inert electrodes and a cast iron disc as the anode. The changes in the geotechnical properties (undrained shear strength, moisture content and Atterberg limits), the geophysical properties (permittivity) and the geochemical properties (iron content, pH and conductivity) were monitored. The results indicated that the Oxford Clay was much more aggressive in terms of the corrosion activity compared to the Kaolin Clay. The laboratory results were used in GPR simulations in relation to the detection of a buried cast iron pipe. The results showed that the chemically induced changes to the Kaolin Clay did not materially affect the performance of GPR to detect the cast iron pipe, whereas a pipe buried in Oxford Clay the (greatly accelerated) chemically-induced changes were sufficiently advanced after approximately 7-8 weeks to cause the GPR to be unable to detect the corroded pipe.
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Cast iron pipes have been widely used for transferring potable water, being routinely used from the early 1800s, particularly in the USA, (Merdinger, 1955), and extensively used to build water distribution systems in the UK, to the extent that it was called the ‘wonder material of the Victorian era’ (Gagg and Lewis, 2011). Its use continued until ductile iron pipes were introduced in the 1970s (Rajani et al., 1996). Nevertheless, cast iron is still the most common material found in existing pipes, and is also the material that produces the highest number of bursts historically (Marshall, 2001; Makar and McDonald, 2007; Folkman, 2018). The most common corrosion failure mechanism for buried cast iron pipes is localised corrosion, which can lead to leakage (WSAA, 2003). It has been found that cast iron pipes have a very high failure rate in some particular soils, i.e. in soils that are particularly aggressive such as London Clay (Schmidt, 2007). This suggests an involvement of chemical processes, in addition to mechanical deterioration mechanisms (London Clay is also active in terms of shrinkage and swelling behaviour), induced by the prevailing environmental conditions (Bradford, 2000). Cast iron pipe corrosion occurs readily in saturated or partially-saturated zones of clays, where electrochemical oxidation takes place in the presence of oxygenated water. This is called graphitic corrosion and is caused by the creation of a galvanic cell between the cast iron (the anode, where the pH is lowered) and the surrounding high-conductivity clay (the cathode) (Freeman, 1999). Buried pipes are in direct contact with the soil, with different physical and
geochemical properties, and therefore the prevalence for corrosion to occur can change over the length of a pipe.

Cast iron corrosion in soil occurs due to electrochemical processes and results in the formation of pitting, converting metal substrates to oxides, hydroxides and aqueous salts (in anode-cathode systems) (Romanoff, 1964). These corrosion products are released into the surrounding soil, changing the chemical, geotechnical and geophysical properties of the soil, although the interactions between these properties are not well understood (Figure 1). The release of ions from a corroding buried iron pipe is expected to increase the rate of pipe corrosion due to an increase in ion concentrations in the surrounding soil (Ekine and Emujakporue, 2010). These released ions contaminate the surrounding soil, elevating the iron/cation contact and creating a diffuse plume zone around the source, i.e. the cast iron pipe (Yong and Mulligan, 2003). The plume zone is where ion diffusion and migration occur, and this can ultimately lead to modification of the soil, with the concentration of these ion contaminants decreasing away from the cast iron pipe as they form precipitates or complexes with the surrounding soil (Yong and Mulligan, 2003).

Routine and yet effective condition assessment of these buried pipes can minimise the negative consequences of deterioration in the utility network. To further minimise the risk of damaging the utility network adopting non-destructive technologies (NDT) for assessment would be ideal as they have the potential for providing routine and effective assessment with minimum disruption (Roberge, 2007; Rainer et al., 2017). Geophysical techniques, such as Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), have been utilised to locate and map cast iron pipes (Mooney et al., 2010; Pennock et al., 2010; Ayala-Cabrera et al., 2011) and assess their condition (Hao et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012; Rogers et al., 2012; Liu and Kleiner, 2013) including determination of the corroded state of cast iron pipes. Having said that, as it is suggested in the literature, e.g. (Pennock et al., 2010), chemical alteration of fine-grained soil by cast iron corrosion products may inhibit GPR’s abilities to be used for utility mapping or assessment.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the effects of the corrosion of buried cast iron pipes on the geophysical, geochemical and electromagnetic properties of the surrounding clay soils, as these soils are known to be aggressive towards buried metals (Bonds et al., 2005; Veleva, 2005; Cole and Marney, 2012), and hence to explore the reported tendency of deteriorated cast iron pipes being harder to detect using GPR than pristine pipes. This has been done through controlled laboratory experiments that simulate the corrosion and ion migration process.
2 GPR Application for Iron Pipe Condition Assessment

Over the last two decades, a number of different NDTs have been utilised for inspecting water pipes, including seismic and acoustic methods (Demma et al., 2004; Choi et al., 2017) and infrared thermography (Bach and Kodikara, 2017). Some of these NDT technologies exploit specific pipe materials properties, and consequently they are not suitable for use with all pipe materials (Atef, 2010). However, the GPR technique has been widely used for shallow geophysical investigation (Costello et al., 2007; Demirci et al., 2012). Its main advantage is that it can survey large areas at a speed that makes real time interpretation possible. In this method an electromagnetic wave (generally between to 1 MHZ to 1 GHZ) is transmitted through the ground and the Time-of-Flight (ToF) from the reflected wave is measured (Metje et al., 2007). GPR has been used for investigating and monitoring underground water, locating wet patches, and hence pipe leaks, e.g. Tran and Lambot (2015); Algeo et al. (2016); Fedorova et al. (2016). Algeo et al. (2016) successfully used an analysis method termed ‘early-time’ for monitoring the water content in clay-rich soil, and compared the results with time-domain reflectometry (TDR) data. Cheung and Lai (2018) successfully demonstrated the GPR application for leakage detection during a large scale experiment.

There are a number of factors which can limit or eliminate the use of GPR by attenuating its signal reflection, including: the presence of the clayey soil (Rogers et al., 2008), iron oxide produced by a corroded buried cast iron pipe (Van Dam and Schlager, 2000; Pennock et al., 2014), dissolved metallic ions (Deceuster and Kaufmann, 2005), depth of the water table (Bano, 2006). Pennock et al. (2010) examined the reduction in GPR reflection that could occur with corroded materials, based on altered soil permittivity and conductivity initiated by corrosion processes and/or products. The Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD) technique was used to model the scenario of surveying a deteriorated cast iron buried in soil contaminated by corrosion products using the GPR technique. The results showed a substantial reduction in GPR reflection, between 20dB to 30dB, which was identified as significant enough to make a deteriorated iron pipe buried in a 5cm to 10cm zone of contaminated soil undetectable by traditional GPR antennas.

The performance capability of GPR is strongly dependent on the soil electrical conductivity; where in a high soil conductivity attenuation of the radar signal can severely restrict the maximum penetration depth. Graphitic corrosion in cast iron pipes releases iron ions ($Fe^{2+}$) into the surrounding soil, increasing the total dissolved salt content of the soil, and
consequently changing soil conductivity and permittivity (DeBerry et al., 1982; Moghareh Abed et al., 2013; Moghareh Abed, 2016). As for the GPR these electromagnetic parameters are linked with the velocity (m/s) and the attenuation coefficient (Np/m) of the signal. For this reason, fundamental electromagnetic parameters for example dielectric permittivity, electrical conductivity (EC) and magnetic permeability need to be identified. The electrical conductivity of soils increases with increasing water, soluble salt and/or clay contents (McNeill, 1980). In soils, the most significant conduction-based energy losses are due to the ionic charge transport in the soil solution and electrochemical process associated with cations on clay minerals (Neal, 2004). These losses can seriously impact the performance of GPR (Campbell, 1990; Olhoeft, 2000). Iron oxide in its red rust form is relatively insulating, and it has a relative permittivity that is higher than that of most soils. As the iron pipe is the source of the iron oxide, a higher concentration of the oxide can be expected nearer the pipe. In addition, the corrosion process creates salts at the surface of the pipe, and this produces high conductivity (Pennock et al., 2010). For these reasons, the corroded cast iron pipe can become undetectable with GPR.

Even though much research has been conducted into GPR applications, there is still a lack of knowledge on the use of GPR for locating and assessing buried utilities in specifically fine-grained soils. Thus, this paper seeks to define GPR boundaries with respect to its ability to assess the condition of cast iron pipes in fine-grained soils, understanding the problem of poor detection of cast iron pipes in fine-grained soils.

3 Methods

This section describes the laboratory-based testing methodology devised to meet the aim of this research, i.e. to help understand how the released ions from corroding cast iron influence changes to the soil properties, particularly those affecting GPR, such as electromagnetic properties, and the extent and degree of influence these changes have with time or increasing corrosion. A series of experimental testing arrangements were designed to help understand the complex interactions occurring when buried cast iron pipes corrode within soils. Furthermore, the FDTD method was utilised to simulate GPR signals investigating impacts of a corroded buried cast iron pipe on the GPR signal by considering the changed conductivity.
3.1 Arrangement of the Laboratory Experiments

Since corrosion of a pipe buried in soil naturally occurs slowly, the reaction was accelerated in the laboratory experiments by inducing an electrical potential across the test samples, using the principles of electro-osmosis and electromigration (electrokinetics) (Clarke et al., 1990; Schmidt, 2007). This method was selected over alternative options so as to avoid introducing any additional ions apart from the ones generated as by-products of the cast iron corrosion (essentially iron ions) so the changes on clay soil properties could be investigated. Furthermore, it would have been difficult to relate the results to the real in situ conditions if other ions had been introduced.

This electrokinetic modification induces the migration of $H^+$ (formed at the anode) and $OH^-$ (formed at the cathode) towards the oppositely charged electrodes, which generates acid (at the anode) and alkaline (at the cathode) fronts across the test specimens, and hence a pH variability occurs (ranging from acidic pH 2 to alkaline pH 12). These fronts migrate towards each other under the electrical gradient, with the clay being neutral where these fronts meet (Tajudin, 2012). The acid front produced at the anode causes desorption, dissolution and ionisation of cations, migrating towards the cathode.

The experiment was designed to be both practical (i.e. in terms of size and ease of assembly) and accurate (less than 5% variation in results). It was found impractical to design small scale experimental processes within the laboratory that could support a GPR survey. Therefore, the primary focus of the experimental study was shifted to evaluating the conductivity and permittivity, i.e. the electromagnetic properties, of the clay soils via TDR, as well as the physico-chemical characterisation of the test specimens. The TDR results were used as a surrogate for the likely performance of GPR due to underlying principles being analogous (Curioni et al., 2017).

Two types of clay were investigated during the experiment, a relatively inactive Kaolin Clay and Oxford Clay, which is more active and has mixed mineralogy, as described in Table 1. These two clay types were chosen to provide a platform for comparison of behaviour, due to their different natures (predominantly single and mixed mineralogy) and properties. Following the lead of many researchers working in the field of electrokinetics (e.g. Barker et al., 2004; Liaki, 2006), it was decided to use a relatively pure form of kaolinite (termed herein Kaolin Clay). However, for translation of the results to practice, while retaining the ability to compare results with previous researchers and therefore aid in extrapolating the results (e.g. Barker et
al., 2004; Schmidt, 2007), a clay of mixed mineralogy (Oxford Clay, which is illite-rich) was chosen to act as a comparator.

Consolidation, rather than compaction, was chosen as the means of soil sample preparation in order to avoid the possible creation of air voids and non-uniformity across the sample, both of which could adversely affect the results (Terzaghi et al., 1996; Venkatramaiah, 2000).

A simple schematic of the experimental arrangement adopted for the testing is shown in Figure 2. A cast iron disc was used in the accelerated corrosion tests that had similar properties and composition to old cast iron pipelines, in order to help relate the test results to field conditions. Utilisation of the disc rather than a real cast iron pipe section was due to the difficulties of producing consolidated samples in contact with cast iron pipes, and also trying to keep the scale of the experiments to a workable size. The other difference with the field condition was the absence of phosphorous in the composition of the disc, due to health and safety considerations (i.e. potential kidney damage) (Sim et al., 2013). A cathode was created from a graphite-coated electrode (or Electrokinetic Geosynthetic, EKG; the coating prevented corrosion of the electrodes and hence any ions being released from the electrodes) (Figure 2). The experimental cell consisted of a Perspex cylinder for consolidating the clay samples, with dimensions of 210 mm height and 102 mm internal diameter. Part 1 in Figure 2 consists of PVC plates placed on top of the specimen for transferring the consolidation load, with holes were provided for water inlet and outlet. In addition, a filter paper (a glass microfiber Whatman 0.20 μm membrane filter) was placed between the PVC plates and the cast iron disc to facilitate two-way consolidation drainage. Part 2 consisted of a 10 mm thick cast iron disc (the source of corrosion – anode), and wires attached to its upper surface, placed below the filter paper. Part 3 was the experimental cell containing the consolidated soil specimens, which was attached to Part 4, the bottom PVC plate, which housed the cathode and had a single water outlet.

Control tests were conducted in which no cast iron disc were used. The control tests were constructed in the same way as described above, the only difference being that the cast iron disc was replaced by EKG to form the anode. The time periods for the experimental tests using the cast iron discs were 2, and 4 weeks, and 3 months, while tests without the cast iron disc lasted for 2 and 4 weeks for both Kaolin and Oxford clay soils.

The samples were kept hydrated over the period of current injection by maintaining a water feed with a nominal pressure head at the anode to compensate for cathodic draining due to the
electrokinetic processes. This helped to prevent the sample drying out and any potential thermal
flux forming at the anode.

3.2 Geochemical Properties Monitoring

The geochemical assessments were essential to determine component release, and assess how
the surrounding soils were chemically modified due to the cast iron corrosion. To evaluate the
solubility properties of the iron oxyhydroxides, and the amount of iron that could be absorbed
by the clay in addition to the precipitated salts, an ion leaching assessment and compositional
analysis [X-ray fluorescence (XRF) method] were carried out, and these were validated by a
pH modification assessment. pH Dependence Leaching Test, CEN/TS 14429 (CEN, 2008),
determines the pH-dependency of ion solubility, complexation or precipitation which was
conducted along with Iron Solubility Assessment, ASTM D4646–03 (ASTM, 2008), to
understand the behaviour of iron solubility during the release of iron ions from the buried cast
iron pipes.

From the corrosion of cast iron, the released iron ions readily form iron oxyhydroxides and are
theoretically expected to have low solubility, which therefore mandates an evaluation of the
solubility conditions within the experimental clays, as well as the maximum amount of iron
ions that can be absorbed or complexed by the clay, in addition to co-precipitation of ions
(Schwertmann, 1991). To evaluate the solubility properties of iron oxyhydroxides and the
amount of iron ions that could be removed from the soluble fraction by the clay soils in addition
to insoluble or precipitated salts, iron solubility tests were adapted and undertaken.

The electrokinetic method induces a variable pH modification within the soil, due to ion
migration between the anode and cathode. This necessitates an understanding of the solubility
and precipitation behaviour of the released ions (of interest) within the pH domain (pH 2-12
expected from the anode to the cathode). Therefore, a pH-dependent solubility evaluation was
essential to assess the maximum soluble availability of the ions of interest (iron), as well as the
solubility behaviour across the pH range.

The electrical conductivity (EC) of a solution is the measure of its ability to conduct electricity
and serves as an estimate of the total amount of dissolved salt or of dissolved ions. EC
measurements were conducted in a temperature-controlled room (21±1°C) as the solubility
level is sensitive to temperature. A Hanna Hi 9033 multi-range meter was employed for elute
measurements during testing and the test was carried out in accordance with BS 1377-2 (BSI, 1990). EC was also measured using the TDR method, as described in Section 3.4.

### 3.3 Physical/Geotechnical Properties Monitoring

To fully understand a chemically modified soil, it is important to evaluate the physical changes in the soil properties. The physical changes measured included moisture content, undrained shear strength (USS) and Atterberg limits. Atterberg limit tests are feasible for small sample volumes using methods based on the Casagrande method in BS 1377-2 (BSI, 1990). The USS was measured using the fall cone test (Hansbo, 1957; Moghareh Abed, 2016) and allowed differential changes in shear strength to be observed within the sample induced by the electrochemical treatment and the migration of ions. The USS of clay depends on factors such as cation exchange, salt precipitation and clay mineral dissolution and cementitious product formation and crystallisation, which cause changes in structure and mineralogy. The moisture content was measured before the consolidation process (to check the initial moisture content of the sample) and after the electrokinetic process.

### 3.4 Geophysical Properties Monitoring

Changes in geophysical properties, including permittivity and conductivity, of the soil were monitored using the TDR method. The method involves an electrical wave being transmitted through metal rods of a waveguide into the soil and then being reflected back to the generator (Rhoades et al., 1976; Annan, 1977). From an analysis of the travel time or signal propagation velocity (Vp, a function of the cable dielectric constant), it is possible to determine the bulk electrical conductivity of the soil (Castiglione et al., 2006; Curioni, 2013). The ions in the soil provide a path for electrical conduction between the TDR and probe rods (Jones et al., 2002). TDR readings were taken using a TDR100 cable tester and CS645 (with 75mm long probes) supplied by Campbell Scientific Ltd (2019).

### 3.5 GPRMax 2D/3D Modelling

GPR modelling was used to demonstrate the practical effect of the experimental results in a simulated scenario. Using the permittivity and conductivity data obtained from the TDR measurements obtained from the test samples at different times, simulations were performed using the GPRMax software (Giannopoulos, 2005). The GPRMax software uses the Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD) method for modelling GPR, where all electromagnetic
phenomena are described by Maxwell’s equations. In addition, the compositional, geotechnical and geochemical characterisation results from the test soils were used to undertake the FDTD simulations. A model was created that simulated a cast iron pipe with a radius of 0.1m buried at a depth of 0.5m and surrounded by homogenous clay (Figure 3). The magnetic permeability was not measured directly for the test soils and assumed to equal 1 in the simulation (Machado et al., 2009). Even though the soil close to the corroding pipe is iron enriched, its magnetic permeability is relatively small compared to the conductivity, and therefore had minimal influence on the simulation outcome. The permittivity and conductivity of the soil in proximity to the cast iron pipe was altered in the simulations to represent changes observed in the experiments. The model simulated a GPR antenna, with a centre frequency of 700MHz (a typical value for this type of GPR survey), moving across the ground surface, with readings taken every 25mm along the transect.

When trying to apply the methodology presented in this paper for field conditions, care needs to be taken as there are many factors that could affect the corrosion process. These factors include the ground water conditions (e.g. the chemical quality of the water in the ground and also the acidity of the rain falling on the ground), the conductivity and pH of the soil, the temperature conditions, the pipe burial depths, the oxygen levels in the ground (aeration), the chemicals in the soil (e.g. contaminants, bacteria or organic content of the soil), the soil corrosivity and its mineralogy and soil porosity.

4 Results

This section describes the laboratory results, including the geochemical, geotechnical and geophysical results. The GPR modelling results are also presented in this section. As the electrokinetic treatment was used to speed up the corrosion process, the results are presented in two groups; the results for tests in which no cast iron disc was used (the control tests), which shows only the effect of the electrokinetics on the Kaolin Clay and Oxford Clay samples, and the results for tests where a cast iron disc was present.
It was necessary to determine the amount of iron (as an oxide element) at different locations within the samples, working from the anode to the cathode, in order to compare the different behaviour throughout the samples.

The concentration of iron in Kaolin Clay samples without a cast iron disc did not change to any significant extent as no Fe was released into the sample from the electrodes (Figure 4). In this case, the Fe behaviour depends on the pH, as the dissolution of the clay minerals is dependent on the pH environment and the only source of Fe is from the clay minerals, and the quantity of Fe in the Kaolin Clay is relatively small. Only small changes were seen around the anode area, i.e. 1.38% and 1.41% increase for the 2-week and 4-week samples respectively, compared to the ‘natural’ value of 1.10% – and these decreased marginally towards the cathode. When the cast iron disc was used in the tests with the electrokinetic treatment, due to the release of Fe from the anode and its migration within the clay samples, these values increased considerably to 8.54%, 8.98% and 9.58% for the 2-week, 4-week and 3-month samples at the anode (i.e. close to the cast iron disc). The iron concentration decreased rapidly away from the anode and more generally towards the cathode, due to the low solubility of the iron oxyhydroxides released from the cast iron disc, but the concentrations through the clay profile remained higher than those without any Fe introduced from the cast iron. There was also an increased iron concentration at the cathode, due to electro-osmotic migration from the iron ions introduced at the anode, with the concentration at the cathode increasing with time from 2 weeks to 3 months. Added to this pattern of iron oxyhydroxide migration, dissociation of the Kaolin Clay at the cathode will also induce the complexation of migrated iron to form stable precipitates towards the cathode.

The ‘natural’ value of iron concentration in the Oxford Clay was determined as 7.11%. For the samples that had no cast iron disc (only EKG), the electrokinetic treatment caused an increase to 7.33% and 7.36% after 2 weeks and 4 weeks respectively, and the amount decreased toward the cathode, reaching 7.09% and 7.07% (after 2 weeks and 4 weeks respectively) (Figure 8). When a cast iron disc was introduced in the tests with Oxford Clay, the amount of iron increased to 10.76%, 29.39% and 32.98% for 2 weeks, 4 weeks and 3 months respectively, i.e. after 3 months the value of the iron oxide concentration increased to 3 times that of the 2-week
sample and nearly 5 times that of the ‘natural’ measurement. In the 2-weeks sample, i.e. the initial phase of cast iron disc degradation, almost all the introduced Fe complexes and there is minimal movement through the sample as there is enough exchangeable ion in Oxford Clay sample. Thus, the amount of iron in the clay away from the anode (i.e. at 10mm from the anode at 2 weeks and 20mm from the anode at 4 weeks) did not increase until the treatment had been applied for 3 months, where evidence of iron (possibly as precipitation as oxyhydroxides, though the pH will govern whether or not precipitation has occurred) in the middle of the sample was found: it reached a maximum of 18.69% 50mm from the anode and, although reducing towards the cathode, the concentration remained elevated at all points until the cathode is reached.

4.1.2 pH

The pH of both soils was changed by electrokinetic treatment such that it ranged from approximately 3 to 12 (see Figure 5). Both soils had the same behaviour in relation to the test without a cast iron disc. However, when a cast iron disc was involved in the test, there was a ‘jump’ in the pH trend for Kaolin Clay samples at 2-weeks, 4-weeks and 3-months (e.g. this ‘jump’ occurred midway along the sample (50-60mm away from the anode) from a baseline of approximately 3.5-4.5 at 3-months in Figure 5); this trend was attributed to the meeting of acid and alkaline fronts where migrated $H^+$ and other cations from the anode interact with $OH$ ions migrating away from the cathode.

The 3-month sample of Oxford Clay was more acidic at the anode, due both to the high Fe release from the cast iron disc and also due to the increased potency of the hydrolysis reactions releasing H+ ions with time of treatment (see Figure 5). The pH curve for the Oxford Clay sample, in contrast to the Kaolin Clay, showed a clear uniformly-rising trend with increasing distance from the cast iron disc. At 50mm away from the cast iron disc, the pH of the Kaolin Clay drops to 3.53 (i.e. becomes more acidic) at an iron concentration of 4.06% (which increased from 1.80% to 4.06% between 4 weeks and 3 months). However, at 70mm away from the disc, the amount of Fe concentration increased to 4.59% which is associated with a significant incremental change in pH to 8.67. Developing highly acid and alkaline environments at the anode and cathode, respectively, equated with a significant increase in USS (see Figure 6).

Figure 6 presents the relationship between the USS and water content for the treated Kaolin Clay samples with and without a cast iron disc. The data above the control line (in red) shows
soil strengthening, i.e. due to chemical reactions, in accordance with ideas presented by Rogers 
et al. (2003) and Liaki et al. (2008). This is mainly due to mineral dissolution, and subsequent 
crystallisation. Therefore, it can be proposed that the arrows in the figure (left corner side of 
the figure) show soil weakening (i.e. chemical deterioration). In Figure 6, the circled points 
denote the data points adjacent to the anode, while the squared points represent data points 
close to the cathode. The general observation is that all the points close to the cathode are 
markedly weaker than the anode points when a cast iron disc was used. A lower pH at the anode 
and a higher pH at the cathode at 4 weeks shows some strengthening from the low points at 2 
weeks, with the data at the cathode being significantly closer to the control line. The cast iron 
disc (the source of iron ions) and the more extreme range of pH evidently cause the undrained 
shear strength to increase. As for the 2-weeks and 4-weeks samples the stronger acid (pH<3) 
and base (pH>11.6) environments have developed and the shear strength has increased 
considerably. The increased iron content near the anode caused the greatest increase in 
undrained shear strength, for the reasons stated above, while the described reactions near the 
cathode account for the more modest strength increases in this region. These chemical effects 
are shown to be evidently greater for the 3-month sample, i.e. the data points exist farther above 
the control line.

4.1.3 Conductivity

Figure 7 presents the results of the conductivity measurements for the Kaolin Clay and Oxford 
Clay for the 3-month sample with a cast iron disc. The first major difference between these two 
types of clay is that the Oxford Clay has higher conductivity due to its more mixed mineralogy 
(hence soluble ion content). Both graphs show a similar trend, i.e. a high conductivity at the 
anode, which was attributed to high H+ and Fe concentrations, and also due to the solubility 
of ions at low pH in the case of Oxford Clay. Figure 7 also shows high conductivity at the 
cathode for the Kaolin Clay, which was attributed to ion solubility at high pH in a clay that is 
relatively stable at low pH. The conductivity of Oxford Clay follows a similar trend to the iron 
concentration. It is therefore evident that the amount of iron released through the clay soil has 
a direct relationship with soil conductivity. This trend is also evident in Figure 7 for the Kaolin 
Clay sample with the exception of the measurements at the cathode, where the Fe concentration 
remains relatively low (hence the attribution of the raised conductivity to clay mineral 
dissolution, stated above). However, the conductivity for Oxford Clay did not follow the same 
trend as that for Kaolin Clay, which can be attributed to three reasons: the extent of Fe
migration, the precipitation of ions complexing with cations (a factor related to inherent solubility), and cementation and crystallisation at the cathode.

4.2 Geotechnical Results

4.2.1 Undrained Shear Strength – Cone Penetration Test

Figure 8 shows that at 3-months, the Oxford Clay produced a higher USS than the Kaolin Clay, due to both higher concentrations of \( Fe \) (causing a greater, although similar, pattern of thinning of the diffuse double layer and salt precipitation than in the Kaolin Clay), and also higher availability of other cations to engage in these processes. Figure 8 also shows a marked rise in USS in the lower half of the Oxford Clay sample, this being attributed to the formation of Calcium Silicate Hydrate (CSH), Calcium Aluminate Hydrate (CAH) and/or Hydrated Calcium Aluminosilicate (CASH) gel and crystallisation reactions noting that calcium is available in Oxford Clay but not Kaolin Clay.

In general, the results for the Oxford Clay compared with the Kaolin Clay showed that the Oxford Clay had been more affected by the cast iron corrosion, and in turn accelerated \( Fe \) release (hence corrosion). Therefore, failure of cast iron pipes is more likely in Oxford Clay than in Kaolin Clay, in spite of the fact that the Kaolin Clay is more acidic. The higher conductivity of the Oxford Clay relative to Kaolin Clay supports this argument, and would suggest that the clay also has higher corrosivity as a result of these features.

4.2.2 Moisture Content

Moisture content was measured before the consolidation process and after the electrokinetic process. The moisture content values were found to lie between approximately 41% and 58% for Kaolin Clay and approximately between 50% and 58% for Oxford Clay both with a cast iron disc. The moisture content of some samples, such as at 3 months, is lower at the bottom of the sample where the cathode was located. This was expected since when iron ions are released through the system, the changes in the diffuse double layer (i.e. thinning of this layer) cause the solid particles to move closer together, and hence the moisture content decreases. In addition, the sample was kept moist at its top end (anode) during the experiment.
4.2.3 **Atterberg Limits**

The Liquid Limit of the Kaolin Clay and Oxford Clay samples increased generally over time between 2 weeks to 3 months (Figure 9). The increase was attributed to the higher valency (iron) ions coming into the system from corrosion of the cast iron disc (the anode). Another reason was the thinning of the diffuse double layer via cation exchange as the treatment period became longer (although the iron ion concentrations increased only marginally, along with a marked increase in conductivity at the anode that was attributed to a fall in pH at the anode to values significantly below 4.0). Conversely in the alkali environment at the cathode (pH>11.5), the opposite phenomenon occurs where the diffuse double layer thickness increases and, combined with conditions in which salt precipitation is encouraged, therefore a reduction in Liquid Limit was observed.

Figure 9 illustrates that after an initial rise from the ‘natural’ value of 23.6%, the Plastic Limits generally decreased over time for Kaolin Clay between 2 weeks and 3 months, although the data for the 2-week and 4-week tests were approximately similar throughout the sample and it was only after 3 months that a significant fall occurred. Bohn *et al.* (2002) stated that a low pH (pH < 4.7) in general caused multivalent cations of Al, Fe and Mg to be released from degradation of the clay minerals into the pore fluid. These multivalent cations are strongly attracted by the negatively charged clay surface and contribute to thinning of the diffuse double layer, hence raising of the Plastic Limit.

The main contributory factors to the increasing shear strength values (the accumulation of precipitates and modification of the mineralogy via cation exchange) as a result of the electrochemical reactions, are thus reflected in the results of the Liquid Limit tests, and are linked to the pH gradient and $Fe$ concentration.

Based on the results presented it was found that the Kaolin Clay soil was less aggressive than the Oxford Clay soil, i.e. the corrosion activity was stronger in the Oxford Clay, and this led to cementation, precipitation and complexation. At the end of the tests the cast iron discs were examined, and this difference in corrosion activity could clearly be seen. An example of the cast iron discs for the 3-months samples are shown in Figure 10, with the disc in the Oxford Clay showing a much more uneven and pitted surface compared to the disc in the Kaolin Clay. Essentially the Oxford Clay presented a much more aggressive environment due to its mixed mineralogy (including the more active smectite clay mineral) and the different ions released and migrating through the soil.
4.3 GPRMax 2D/3D Modelling Results

FDTD simulations were used to simulate GPR signals to investigate buried cast iron pipes in clay soil in relation to the measured soil conductivity, permittivity and other factors influencing clay modification induced by cast iron pipe corrosion (the values used as input to the simulation which were measured during the experiment are listed in Table 2). The simulation was based on the model shown in Figure 3.

The results from the simulation of a cast iron pipe buried in Kaolin Clay showed that the pipe could be clearly observed by the GPR for all the values input from the laboratory tests at the different time intervals (Figure 11). This meant that the properties of the soil had not been modified in a way that prevented the pipe from being detected by a GPR unit with a 700MHz antenna at 0.5m depth.

The simulations for Oxford Clay showed different results. Although the results using the input values from the 4-weeks sample showed that the characteristics of the pipe were clearly detected by the GPR (Figure 12a), the results for the 3-months sample (Figure 12b) showed that the GPR was unable to detect the pipe. This was attributed to the Oxford Clay modification due to the corrosion process. Since the major difference between the Kaolin Clay and the Oxford Clay reactions to accelerated cast iron corrosion concerned the stabilisation reactions involving CAH, CSH and/or CASH, as noted previously, then this aspect of ground modification in relation to GPR applications is worthy of further investigation, although other features (such as the markedly raised Fe concentrations away from the cast iron pipe) might also have an influence.

Additional simulations were conducted using interpolated and extrapolated input values. For example, to see at what approximate time (in relation to the laboratory test results) the pipe became undetectable in the Oxford Clay, which was determined as about 9-weeks (Figure 13). For the simulation involving the pipe buried in Kaolin Clay, the laboratory values were extrapolated to 60-weeks and input into the simulation, and the pipe still remained visible by the GPR.

These are obviously relatively simple simulations using values obtained from small scale laboratory tests. However, these do illustrate the potential effect of changing soil parameters locally to a cast iron pipe could limit GPR surveys from locating corroded cast iron pipes. Conversely, if GPR surveys are done ‘regularly’ at a particular location, the results could
provide an indication of when there might be considerable corrosion occurring in a cast iron pipe and hence a condition assessment might be warranted.

5 Discussion

Kaolin Clay and Oxford Clay have different mineralogies, therefore their physical and chemical properties change differently during the corrosion process. These changes could be expected to be correlated with the amount of iron concentration, which depends on the amount of iron released from the corrosion and transported through the surrounding clay. There is a high content of iron in Oxford Clay as a relatively active soil compared to Kaolin Clay. The 3-month sample (the longest test) produced the largest values for the migration of Fe and formation (hence concentration) of iron oxyhydroxides in the soil. Also, due to the precipitation of iron hydroxide close to cathode side and extending into the body of the sample of Kaolin Clay the amount of iron was increased for both types of soils, yet in different patterns. These reactions were affected by the soil’s pH, as the dissolution of clay minerals is dependent on the pH environment.

The pH of both soils was changed such that it ranged from approximately 3 to 12 by electrokinetic treatment. Developing highly acid and alkaline environments at the anode and cathode, respectively, equated with a significant increase in USS and was accompanied by the ions presence in the soil system. This corresponds to the idea that the corrosion was due to an electrochemical process, which converted metal substrates to oxides, hydroxides and aqueous salts within the cathode-anode system (Pritchard et al., 2013). Also, the rate of corrosion increased with increases in the electrical conductivity of soil, as reported by Ekine and Emujakporue (2010).

The results for conductivity measurements of Kaolin Clay and Oxford Clay for the 3-month sample with a cast iron disc, show a major difference between these two types of clay as the Oxford Clay has relatively high conductivity due to its more mixed mineralogy (hence soluble ion content). The conductivity of Oxford Clay follows a similar trend to its iron concentration. It is therefore evident that the amount of iron released through the clay soil has direct relationship with soil conductivity. However, the conductivity for Oxford Clay did not follow the same trend as that for Kaolin Clay, which can be attributed to three reasons: extent of Fe
migration, precipitation of ions complexing with cations (a factor related to inherent solubility), and cementation and crystallisation at the cathode.

The USS of Oxford Clay was higher than that for Kaolin Clay, due to both higher concentrations of Fe (causing a greater, though similar, pattern of thinning of the diffuse double layer and salt precipitation than in the Kaolin Clay), but also higher availability of other cations to engage in these processes.

The findings of this study are in contrast with part of the conclusion drawn by Pennock et al. (2010), who suggested that for clayey soil in general only “old” corroded iron pipes that contaminated the surrounding soil can become undetectable. While, the current study show that it depends on the type of clay, as in Oxford Clay the process of becoming undetectable can be quite fast, if the required condition was provided. However, the findings of this study confirms the observations made by von Wolzogen Kühr and Van der Vlugt (1964), where accelerated corrosions of cast iron pipes in some specific clay was reported in the field, and the laboratory experiments on London Clay by Schmidt et al. (2006). It has been noted that buried corroded cast iron pipes tend to be less visible to Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) locating methods than non-corroded pipes, which has implications for detecting these utilities and safe working. Some of the results from this research, in terms of the likely electrical properties of the soil surrounding a corroded cast iron pipe, contributed to research aimed at helping understand the frequencies required for GPR to maximise the chances of detecting such pipes, and this work was reported in Pennock et al. (2014). The study by Pennock et al. (2014) revealed that the frequency of the GPR antenna is an influential factor in detecting corroded iron pipes when using the GPR method. The results of a series of numerical analyses showed that a corroded iron pipe buried in clay soil would be still detectable at frequencies less than or equal to 100 MHz, but at higher frequencies the pipe visibility decreases markedly.

As a result, the experimental results presented in the current paper can enhance the decision making process when locating or assessing iron pipes using the GPR method by providing information on the likely electrical properties of the soil surrounding the pipe and hence the choice of GPR to be used. This information could decrease the number of hazardous and costly utility strikes as the use of unsuitable geophysical methods has been identified as one of the main causes of utility strikes (Makana et al., 2018).
6 Conclusions

The corrosion of cast iron pipes buried directly in clay soils is one of the major challenges to the water industry in managing an ageing water distribution network in countries where cast iron features predominantly in the buried infrastructure. The corrosion occurs in the saturated zone of clay soil by electrochemical oxidation of the cast iron in contact with oxygenated water. The development of the corrosion process results in a galvanic cell being set up between the pipe (the anode) and the clay (a distributed cathode) and the pH change in this system causes iron ions to be released and corrosion products, such as iron oxide, iron hydroxide and aqueous salts, to form in the surrounding clay soil. Excavation to locate buried cast iron pipes, assess their condition and monitor their degradation is both disruptive and expensive and hence remote surveying using geophysical techniques, notably GPR, has been proposed to address this. It is important, therefore, to understand the influences of cast iron degradation on clay soils and their implications for GPR surveying.

The aim of this research was to gain a better understanding of how the ions released from cast iron corrosion in clay soils, i.e. Oxford Clay and Kaolin Clay, change the soil properties, and how the extent and degree of this influence changes with time, and additionally to investigate the effect of these changes on the efficacy of GPR surveying.

The results for the Oxford Clay, compared with the Kaolin Clay showed, that it had been more affected by cast iron corrosion, and in turn causes enhanced Fe release (hence corrosion). Therefore, failure of cast iron pipes would be expected to be more likely in Oxford Clay than in Kaolin Clay, in spite of the fact that the Kaolin Clay is more acidic, and this lead to cementation as well as the cation exchange, complexation and precipitation reactions. The higher conductivity of the Oxford Clay relative to Kaolin Clay supports this argument, and would suggest that the clay also has higher corrosivity as a result of these features.

The FDTD simulation result showed that the properties of the Kaolin Clay, and for different time periods, had not been modified in a way that prevented the pipe from being detected by the GPR with a 700MHz antenna. The simulations for Oxford Clay showed different behaviours, as GPR was unable to detect the pipe after approximately 7-8 weeks, and this was attributed to Oxford Clay modification due to the corrosion process. Further simulation indicated that for a saturated clay soil such as Kaolin Clay, GPR signals are not significantly attenuated and therefore the pipe can be detected while corrosion of the cast iron pipe advances.
Some of the key observations from the tests are as follows:

- Iron ions (Fe) is released as a product of cast iron corrosion, causing the clay soil close to the cast iron (disc) to create a low pH (acidic) condition, lower than when no cast iron disc was used, and this lowered pH developed progressively with time.

- In Oxford Clay, due to its mixed mineralogy, the low pH conditions, makes Oxford Clay relatively unstable, where evidence suggests dissolution of Oxford Clay minerals, as the Fe and other cations migrated towards the cathode and substituted on the cation exchange sites of the clay.

- USS measurements for Kaolin Clay, showed raised strength for all three periods when simulating cast iron corrosion, which was attributed to chemical modification in the clay. However, in Oxford Clay the increased strength was evident in the lower half of the samples, and especially at the cathode, due to the stabilisation reactions.

Based on the findings of this study Oxford Clay should be avoided around a cast iron pipe as it has the potential to cause aggressive corrosion and failure in a relatively short period. The parameters which can be considered in assessing the condition are:

- Geochemical properties; to evaluate the solubility properties of the iron oxyhydroxides, utilising pH Dependence Leaching Test, and Iron Solubility Assessment.

- Physical/Geotechnical properties; to understand the physical changes in the soil properties including moisture content, undrained shear strength (USS) and Atterberg limits and cone penetrometer test.

- Geophysical properties; to monitor changes in permittivity and conductivity, using the TDR method.

Recommendations for further study are provided below:

- Repeating tests for different types of soil, to gain a more extensive dataset.
- Conducting additional chemical analysis, to understand the behaviour of iron solubility conditions of the iron oxyhydroxides and the maximum amount of iron that could be absorbed.
- Validating the laboratory findings with field experiments; utilising cone penetrometer test as a comparative test for USS in very small samples is also be considered.
- Determining the effect of cast iron corrosion using other methods rather than the electrokinetic system.
7 Data Availability
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Table 1: Description and characteristics of Kaolin Clay and Oxford Clay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Chemical composition (Content %)</th>
<th>Mineralogical composition (Content %)</th>
<th>Physical and Chemical Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SiO$_2$ (42.2)</td>
<td>Kaolin (66)</td>
<td>Liquid Limit 55.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (30.8)</td>
<td>Feldspar (6)</td>
<td>Plastic Limit 23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K$_2$O (2.85)</td>
<td>Quartz (1)</td>
<td>pH 5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$ (1.11)</td>
<td>Mica (23)</td>
<td>Specific Gravity 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MgO (0.28)</td>
<td>Montmorillonite (2)</td>
<td>Conductivity 37.6 μs/cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clay mineral consists of an isometrical 1:1 aluminosilicate layer</td>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$ (0.13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>formed by an alumina octahedral sheet fused to a silica</td>
<td>Rb$_2$O (0.119)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tetrahedral sheet connected to the other layers by hydrogen bonding.</td>
<td>TiO$_2$ (0.082)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It has no exchangeable cations as it has near zero isomorphic substitution</td>
<td>SrO (0.045)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and cationic vacant. It has a chemical inertia property caused by its</td>
<td>MnO (0.034)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>structure. It has no exchangeable cations as it has near zero isomorphic</td>
<td>ZrO$_2$ (0.024)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>substitution and cationic vacant. It has a chemical inertia property caused</td>
<td>CuO (0.017)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>by its structure. It has no exchangeable cations as it has near zero</td>
<td>AS$_2$O$_3$ (0.01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>isomorphic substitution and cationic vacant. It has a chemical inertia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>property caused by its structure. It has no exchangeable cations as it</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>has near zero isomorphic substitution and cationic vacant. It has a chemical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>inertia property caused by its structure. It has no exchangeable cations as</td>
<td>SiO$_2$ (34.9)</td>
<td>Near-illite (21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>it has near zero isomorphic substitution and cationic vacant. It has a</td>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (12.6)</td>
<td>Illite-smectite (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>physical inertia property caused by its structure. It has no exchangeable</td>
<td>CaO (9.68)</td>
<td>Quartz (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cations as it has near zero isomorphic substitution and cationic vacant.</td>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$ (7.11)</td>
<td>Calcite (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clay mineral consists of an isometrical 1:1 aluminosilicate layer</td>
<td>SO$_3$ (5.05)</td>
<td>Kaolinite (7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>formed by an alumina octahedral sheet fused to a silica</td>
<td>K$_2$O (3.09)</td>
<td>Feldspar (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tetrahedral sheet connected to the other layers by hydrogen bonding.</td>
<td>TiO$_2$ (1.23)</td>
<td>Gypsum (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It forms through weathering of potassium feldspar and muscovite mica</td>
<td>MgO (1)</td>
<td>Pyrite (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>existing in rocks such as granite.</td>
<td>Na$_2$O (0.27)</td>
<td>Chlorite (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaolinite dissolves and precipitates reversibly with a thermodynamic</td>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$ (0.27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>equilibrium at 25°C. It forms through weathering of potassium feldspar</td>
<td>BaO (0.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and muscovite mica existing in rocks such as granite.</td>
<td>ZrO$_2$ (0.071)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It forms through weathering of potassium feldspar and muscovite mica</td>
<td>V$_2$O$_5$ (0.061)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>existing in rocks such as granite.</td>
<td>SrO (0.06)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaolinite dissolves and precipitates reversibly with a thermodynamic</td>
<td>ZnO (0.029)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>equilibrium at 25°C. It forms through weathering of potassium feldspar</td>
<td>Rb$_2$O (0.021)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Belver et al. (2002), 2Moghareh Abed (2016) 3Russell and Parker (1979)
Table 2: List of input values into the FDTD simulations in relation to the soil, measured by TDR during the lab experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (Unit)</th>
<th>Type of Soil</th>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Values&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; (Average)</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permittivity (ε)</strong></td>
<td>Kaolin Clay</td>
<td>2-week</td>
<td>31.57-53.69 (29.95)</td>
<td>With cast iron disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-week</td>
<td>31.53- 34.56 (32.95)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-month</td>
<td>34.01-36.50 (35.33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-week</td>
<td>33.92-33.29 (33.41)</td>
<td>Without the cast iron disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-week</td>
<td>32.85- 31.09 (32.23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford Clay</td>
<td>2-week</td>
<td>26.11-31.44 (28.84)</td>
<td>With cast iron disc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-week</td>
<td>28.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-month</td>
<td>21.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-week</td>
<td>31.44-32.94 (32.38)</td>
<td>Without the cast iron disc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-week</td>
<td>34.65-34.01 (34.07)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conductivity (mS/m)</strong></td>
<td>Kaolin Clay</td>
<td>2-week</td>
<td>15-127.4 (61.3)</td>
<td>With cast iron disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-week</td>
<td>13.64-154.15 (62.56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-month</td>
<td>22.93- 409.50 (165.75)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-week</td>
<td>7.53-85.33 (47.06)</td>
<td>Without the cast iron disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-week</td>
<td>8.40-87.27 (48.62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford Clay</td>
<td>2-week</td>
<td>124.16-179.99 (160.0)</td>
<td>With cast iron disc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-week</td>
<td>126.6- 276.79 (191.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-month</td>
<td>215.34-441.96 (350.29)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-week</td>
<td>52-54.07 (53.16)</td>
<td>Without the cast iron disc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-week</td>
<td>58.98-66.75 (62.28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>Range of values are related to the distance away from disc
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