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Premise of research. A third genus of anatomically preserved conifer seed cones has been recognized from a Late Jurassic deposit in northeastern Scotland. This cone is described as Bancroftiastrobus digitata Rothwell, Mapes, Stockey et Hilton.

Methodology. The cone was sectioned with the classic coal ball peel technique and studied and photographed with transmission light.

Pivotal results. Bancroftiastrobus digitata is a cylindrical cone with large, helically arranged ovuliferous scales subtended by short bracts, each bract/scale complex bearing two unwinged inverted seeds on the adaxial surface of the ovuliferous scale. Ovuliferous scales have abaxial plications in the midregion and divide distally, forming up to nine free distal lobes that are vascularized and covered by a dense ramentum of trichomes. The cone axis forms a continuous woody cylinder that surrounds a parenchymatous pith. There are numerous resin canals in the cortex of the cone axis that extend into each bract/scale complex, both abaxial and adaxial to the vascular traces. Vascular tissue to the bract diverges as a prominent terete bundle that separates from the stele immediately below a large inverted-U-shaped scale trace. More distally, the scale trace flattens and divides into a single row of C-shaped woody bundles.

Conclusions. This new genus provides additional evidence for the diversity of stem group conifers that lived during the interval when most crown group conifer families originated. The new species is reminiscent of both Sciadopityaceae and Pinaceae. However, like the three anatomically preserved species of Pararaucaria Wieland (Cheirolepidiaceae), this cone does not clearly conform to any family with living species. Rather, these taxa have novel combinations of characters that make fossils vital for resolving deep internal nodes of the seed plant tree and that help to resolve the overall pattern of phylogeny.
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Introduction

Over the past several years there has been significant progress toward the resolution of systematic relationships among living conifers (Quinn et al. 2002; Rai et al. 2008; Rothwell et al. 2009, 2011, 2012; Klymiuk et al. 2011; Leslie et al. 2012; Ryberg et al. 2012) as well as among the most ancient extinct species of conifer plants (Hernandez-Castillo 2005; Rothwell et al. 2005). Organisinal and systematic studies of fossil plants have documented the existence of primitive conifers in the Paleozoic and Triassic of both the Northern and Southern Hemispheres (Florin 1951; Archangelsky and Cuneo 1987; Lyons and Darrah 1989; Meyen 1997; Rothwell et al. 1997; Escapa et al. 2008, 2010; Taylor et al. 2009; Serbet et al. 2010; Bomfleur et al. 2013). Such conifer fossils from the Northern Hemisphere are typically assigned to the Voltziales (Rothwell et al. 2005; Bomfleur et al. 2013), whereas a largely disjunct assemblage is preserved in Permian sediments from the Southern Hemisphere (see Serbet et al. 2010 for a comprehensive discussion). A third, distinctly different and more diverse assemblage of stem and crown group conifers is preserved in Jurassic and more recent sediments worldwide (Taylor et al. 2009).

Up to the present, relationships among these geographically and stratigraphically disjunct major groups of conifers have remained elusive. Putative intermediates from Triassic and Jurassic sediments do not clearly fall within any of these groups (Florin 1951; Miller 1977, 1982, 1988; Escapa et al. 2010). However, characterizing such species only as transition conifers perpetuates confusion about organ homologies among crown group conifers and emphasizes that the patterns of both conifer evolution and conifer phylogeny through time are still incompletely understood. Miller (1988) was the first to attempt resolution of the origin of modern conifer families via numerical cladistic analysis of seed cones. At that time, knowledge of both extinct conifer species and systematically informative morphological characters was not yet well enough developed to reveal a clear pattern of relationships.

A paucity of anatomically preserved fossil evidence to de-
velop whole-plant concepts for Triassic and Jurassic conifer species that display both external morphology and internal anatomy has continued to hamper progress, because most conifer families with living species appear to have originated during those geological periods (Taylor et al. 2009). To help overcome phylogenetic uncertainties resulting from this lack of whole-plant data for conifer species that lived during that crucial time span, when modern families were first appearing in the fossil record, we have initiated a program to use anatomically preserved seed cones as a surrogate or proxy for whole plants in phylogenetic studies (Rothwell et al. 2009). This approach builds on earlier systematic studies of pinaceous conifers (Miller 1988) that continue to be fruitful at the family level (Gernandt et al. 2011; Ryberg et al. 2012), and it has begun to yield encouraging results for resolving deeper internal nodes of the conifer tree (Rothwell et al. 2009). Success of this approach is contingent on a substantial increase in the database for anatomically preserved conifer seed cones from Triassic, Jurassic, and Lower Cretaceous deposits worldwide. Toward that end, several new species have been described recently (Escapa et al. 2010, 2012, 2013; Rothwell et al. 2011, 2012; Ryberg et al. 2012; Stockey and Rothwell 2013), and additional new cones are currently under investigation.

This study provides a new taxon for that database and focuses on the third genus of conifer seed cones that has been discovered in Late Jurassic carbonate marine concretions near Eathie in northeastern Scotland (Miller 1865; Seward and Bancroft 1913; Rothwell et al. 2011, 2012), described here as _Bancofoxiastrobus digitata_ gen. et sp. nov. Whereas the first two genera represent basal Cupressaceae (i.e., _Hugmillerites juddii_ [Seward and Bancroft] Rothwell, Stockey, Mapes et Hilton 2011) and the oldest fossil evidence for Pinaceae (i.e., _Eahtiestrobus mackenziei_ Rothwell, Mapes, Stockey et Hilton 2012), this new seed cone does not clearly conform to any known family of stem or crown group conifers. Rather, _B. digitata_ gen. et sp. nov. displays a novel combination of characters, some of which are reminiscent of Sciadopityaceae while others suggest affinities with Pinaceae.

### Material and Methods

The holotype of _Bancofoxiastrobus digitata_ is a nearly complete seed cone preserved by cellular permineralization within a carbonate marine concretion that had been split open, exposing the specimen in longitudinal view (fig. 1A). The nodule is derived from a cobble beach exposure at Eathie on the Black Isle, northeastern Scotland (Miller 1865; Seward and Bancroft 1913; Rothwell et al. 2011). Those sediments are part of the Kimmeridge Clay Formation, which has been biostratigraphically placed within Kimmeridgian Stage of the Upper Jurassic (Riding 2005; Rothwell et al. 2011).

This cone was originally figured as specimen _forma β_ of _Conites juddii_ by Seward and Bancroft (i.e., text fig. 2C of Seward and Bancroft 1913) but not prepared for anatomical investigation. The remaining specimens described as _C. juddii_ (Seward and Bancroft 1913) have more recently been renamed _Hugmillerites juddii_ (Seward and Bancroft) Rothwell, Mapes, Stockey & Hilton, but the cone described as _forma β_ of _C. juddii_ sensu Seward and Bancroft (1913) does not conform to that species. We photographed the specimen on both split surfaces of the concretion (fig. 1A), made casts of the split concretion, glued the two halves of the concretion back together, and cut the cone into three transverse segments (i.e., slabs A–C). Serial peels were made by the classic cellulose acetate peel technique (Joy et al. 1956) from all surfaces of the cone segments to document cone structure in cross section (fig. 1B). Segment B was subsequently reoriented and peeled for longitudinal sections (fig. 1C).

Peels for microscopic examination and image capture were mounted on microscope slides with Eukitt (O. Kindler, Freiburg, Germany). Images were captured with Microluminia (Leaf Systems, Bedford, MA) and Photophase (Phase One, Frederiksberg, Denmark) digital scanning cameras and processed with Adobe Photoshop (San Jose, CA). Specimens, peel, and microscope slides are housed at the National Museum of Scotland as specimen NMS 1859.33.4345.

### Systematics

**Order**—Coniferales

**Family**—indet.

**Genus**—_Bancofoxiastrobus_ Rothwell, Mapes, Stockey et Hilton gen. nov.

**Generic diagnosis**

Cylindrical conifer seed cone with large, helically arranged ovuliferous scales subtended by short bracts, bearing two inverted adaxial seeds per ovuliferous scale; bract free from scale at tip. Ovuliferous scales with abaxial plications in midregion, dividing distally into free lobes. Cone axis continuous woody cylinder surrounding parenchymatous pith; numerous resin canals in cortex, extending into bract/scale complexes both abaxial and adaxial to vascular traces. Vascular tissue to bract diverging as prominent terete bundle, separating from stele immediately below large inverted-U-shaped scale trace; more distally, scale trace flattening and dividing into single row of C-shaped, adaxially convex woody bundles. Seeds oval, unwinged, with apparent 180° rotational symmetry, separated by massive interseminal ridge.

**Etymology.** _Bancofoxiastrobus_ (Bancroft plus “strobus,” or cone) is proposed to honor important contributions to paleobotanical study by the late Nellie Bancroft BSc, FLS, Newnham College, Cambridge.

**Type.** _Bancofoxiastrobus digitata_ Rothwell, Mapes, Stockey et Hilton sp. nov.

**Species**—_Bancofoxiastrobus digitata_ sp. nov. Rothwell, Mapes, Stockey & Hilton (Figs. 1–4)

**Specific diagnosis.** Seed cone 8.4 cm long, 3.9 cm wide; cone axis 11 mm wide, pith diameter 2 mm. Angle of bract/scale divergence 80°–90°; bracts 8 mm long × 5–6 mm wide, free bract tip 2–3 mm long; bract separating first at margins. Ovuliferous scale ~2 cm long × 2 cm wide, 5 mm wide at base. Resin canals extending into bract and scale and branching distally, one large canal accompanying bract trace; forming one abaxial row and one adaxial row in scale, distributed around margin of free tips; adaxial canals terminate proximal to tips. Pith and cortex parenchymatous, bract and scale tissue
Fig. 1  *Bancroftiastrobus digitata* holotype (NMS 1859.33.4345). A, Internal view of cone on surface, showing relatively midlongitudinal section. Cone on split surface; × 1.8. Scale bar = 3 cm. B, Cross section of midregion, showing woody axis with resin canals in cortex and helically arranged bract/scale complexes. Arrowheads identify large resin canal accompanying bract trace. Slide B top #26 surface; × 3.0. Scale bar = 1 cm. C, Near midlongitudinal section of cone, showing divergence of bract/scale complexes and degree of fusion of bract (b) to scale (os). B; side #49; × 2.5. Scale bar = 1 cm. b = bract, os = ovuliferous scale.

**Description**

The nearly complete cone of *Bancroftiastrobus digitata* is roughly cylindrical, 8.4 cm long and 3.9 cm in maximum diameter, and is constructed of numerous robust, helically arranged bract/scale complexes (fig. 1). The cone axis has a parenchymatous pith surrounded by a robust cylinder of secondary xylem (figs. 1B, 2A–2C). Bract/scale complexes consist of a large ovuliferous scale that is subtended by a much shorter bract (fig. 1) with a free tip (figs. 1A, 1C, 2B, 2D). The robust ovuliferous scales extend from the axis at 80°–90° and bend upward gently (fig. 1A, 1C). Each ovuliferous scale bears two inverted seeds on the adaxial surface of the midregion (figs. 1B,
Fig. 2  Bancroftiastrobus digitata holotype (NMS 1859.33.4345).  A, Cross section of cone, showing features of bract-scale complexes at various stages of divergence. Arrowheads identify ovuliferous-scale lobes. Slide A bot #54; × 3.3. Scale bar = 10 mm.  B, Cross section of cone, showing positions of seeds on ovuliferous scale. Note seeds (s) separated by scale tissue that forms massive interseminal ridge. A bot #47; × 4. Scale bar = 5 mm.  C, Cross section of cone axis with parenchymatous pith, thick zone of incompletely preserved wood, bract trace divergence (at upper right), and woody ovuliferous-scale trace divergence (at left). Note dark contents of pith cells and pith rays accompanying trace divergences. Line
of each lobe, with more canals located toward the abaxial side and the other to the adaxial tissue of the ovuliferous scale (fig. 1), giving the cone a rather loose appearance. The bract is much shorter than the ovuliferous scale (fig. 1A, 1C) and separates first at the margins (fig. 2A). More distally, the separation produces a short free bract tip (figs. 1A, 1C, 2D). There is a large central resin canal in each bract that diverges from the axis and subdents the bract trace (figs. 1B, 3A, 3B), as well as two rows of smaller canals. The smaller canals also diverge from the axis, one row extending to the abaxial side and the other to the abaxial tissue of the ovuliferous scale (figs. 1B, 2A, 2B, 2D, 3A–3C). At the level where the free lobes separate, the resin canals are located primarily toward the adaxial side of the ovuliferous scale (fig. 2A). Nearer the apex, they extend all the way around the margin of each lobe, with more canals located toward the abaxial side (fig. 2A, at left).

Vascular tissue to the bract/scale complex diverges from the stele of the axis as a prominent round to oval bract trace (fig. 3A, 3B) and a separate inverted-U-shaped scale trace (fig. 2E). In cross sections of the cone, dark cells of the ground tissue appear within the inverted U of the ovuliferous-scale trace (fig. 2C, 2E). Extending distally, the ovuliferous-scale trace flattens and divides into a single row of C-shaped bundles that are each adaxially convex (fig. 3C). The lateralmost bundles in each ovuliferous scale become V-shaped in the midregion of the scale (fig. 3C, 3D) and divide adaxially to produce a bundle that extends toward the base of the seed (fig. 3D, arrow).

Ground tissues at the base of the ovuliferous-scale complex are like those of the cortex. Such tissues are largely parenchymatous, consisting of dark, thin-walled cells that often have dark internal contents (figs. 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2D, 3). At more distal levels (fig. 3E), there are small, scattered nests and isolated sclereids located toward the periphery of the ovuliferous-scale tissue (fig. 3E, arrows). In some areas there is a thin zone of periderm-like cells between the bract epidermis and the randomly arranged parenchyma of the ground tissue (fig. 4C). Similar radially aligned cells that represent secondary ground tissue or periderm occur between the primary ground tissue and the epidermis on the adaxial side of the ovuliferous scale (fig. 3E). The epidermis of the bract consists of small, rectangular cells with a thick cuticle (fig. 4C). By contrast, on the adaxial and lateral surfaces of the ovuliferous scale and scale lobes, a dense ramentum of elongated, uniseriate trichomes clothes the epidermis (figs. 2D, 3E), becoming less dense abaxially.

The two seeds per bract/scale complex are typically represented by spaces on the adaxial surface of the ovuliferous scale from which they have been shed (figs. 1, 2A). However, a small number of seeds remain in the position of attachment (figs. 2B, 4A). Individual seeds are oval, 3.4 mm wide, and 2.0 mm thick in cross section, showing 180° symmetry. It is unclear whether the sarcotesta forms a narrow wing in the major plane of seed symmetry. The fleshy sarcotesta forms a thick zone of thin-walled cells (fig. 4A, 4B). There is a narrow sclerotesta of dark cells and a prominent nucellus surrounding gold-colored fragments of a thick megaspore membrane (fig. 4A, 4B). No micropyle or pollen chamber has been identified.

**Discussion**

Examination of *Bancoctiastrobus digitata* has revealed a novel combination of characters that does not clearly conform to any of the large families of stem or crown group conifers, but there are intriguing similarities to Sciadopityaceae, including *Sciadopitys verticillata* Thunberg, *Sciadopitys yezo-koshizakae* Ohswawa, M. Nishida et H. Nishida, and *Sciadopitystrobus kerae* Saiki, and also to the Pinaceae (table 1). These similarities include having a large cylindrical cone with prominent ovuliferous scales subtended by bracts, with each bract/scale complex bearing inverted seeds on the adaxial surface of the ovuliferous scale (table 1).

*Bancoctiastrobus* can be distinguished from *S. verticillata*, *S. yezo-koshizakae*, and *S. kerae* by its bracts, which are much shorter than the ovuliferous scale, and by having two seeds per ovuliferous scale rather than the larger numbers that characterize the other three species (table 1). Typically, seven to nine seeds characterize *S. verticillata* (Farjon 2005), 9–13 are present in *S. yezo-koshizakae*, and there are five per scale in *S. kerae* (Saiki 1992). Intriguingly, immature ovuliferous scales of *S. verticillata* have a scalled distal margin (Takaso and Tomlinson 1991; Farjon 2005), similar to the distinctly lobed distal margin of the mature cones of *B. digitata* and *S. kerae*, but in mature cones of *S. verticillata* that feature is much less evident (Takaso and Tomlinson 1991; Farjon 2005). A lobed distal margin has not been described for *S. yezo-koshizakae* (Ohswawa et al. 1991).

The cone axis of all four species forms a stele that surrounds a parenchymatous pith, and the stele forms a continuous woody cylinder (table 1). Vascular tissue to the bract diverges

identifies plane of section shown in E. B top #24; × 10. Scale bar = 2 mm. D, Cross section of cone, showing bract/scale complex at level distal to attachment of seeds. Note that bract tip has separated from scale at this level and that scale is separating into free distal lobes with prominent resin canals and dense trichomes. Arrowhead identifies large resin canal accompanying bract trace. A bot #35; × 16. Scale bar = 1 mm. E, Tangential section of cone axis, showing separate divergence of terete bract trace and woody U-shaped ovuliferous-scale trace. B, side #43; × 14. Scale bar = 1 mm. b = bract, br = bract trace, os = ovuliferous scale, ost = ovuliferous-scale trace, s = seed, t = trichome.
from the stele immediately below the scale vasculature as a prominent terete bundle in *B. digitata*, *S. verticillata*, and *S. yezo-koshizakae*, but bract and scale vasculature separates from the cone stele as a single bundle in *S. kerae* (table 1). More distally, in *B. digitata* and *S. kerae*, the bract trace separates vertically and the scale vasculature divides horizontally to form a single row of bundles. In *S. verticillata*, some of the ovuliferous-scale bundles divide vertically at about the level of seed attachment. By contrast, with the possible exception of strands that vascularize the seeds, the scale bundles of *B. digitata* do not divide vertically.

There are numerous resin canals in the cortex of the cone.
Fig. 4  *Bancroftiastrobus digitata* holotype (NMS 1859.33.4345). A, Seed with thick sarcotesta and nucellus in position of attachment to ovuliferous scale. Dark line at arrowheads represents probable thick cuticle of seed epidermis. Ovuliferous scale shows ground tissue (at upper right) and periderm separated from adaxial surface by additional layers of dark primary ground tissue. Slide A bot #49; × 22. Scale bar = 1 mm. B, Seed showing sarcotesta, thin sclerotesta, and nucellus surrounding gold-colored fragments of megaspore membrane. B top #8; × 28. Scale bar = 1 mm. C, Abaxial surface of bract, showing epidermis with cuticle and one subepidermal cell layer adjacent to periderm-like secondary cortex. A bot #23; × 60. Scale bar = 500 μm. D, Cross section of wood, showing multiseriate rows of dense tracheids and uniseriate rays. Note dark contents of ray cells. B top #72; × 100. Scale bar = 100 μm. E, Radial section of wood, showing tracheids with uniseriate pits and rays one and two (top left) cells high. B2 side #67; × 175. Scale bar = 100 μm. F, Radial section of wood near divergence of bract and scale traces, showing swirls of tracheids resulting from polar auxin patterning at left and more normal wood at right. B2 side #53; × 52. Scale bar = 500 μm. G, Tangential section of wood, showing tracheids and both uniseriate rays, which are typically one and three cells high, and taller biseriate rays, which occur only adjacent to pith. B2 side #50; × 77. Scale bar = 500 μm. n = nucellus, p = periderm, sa = sarcotesta, sc = sclerotesta.

Axis in all of these cones, but their patterns of distribution vary (table 1). Resin canals diverge to each bract/scale complex both abaxial and adaxial to the vascular traces in *B. digitata* and *S. verticillata*. In *S. yezo-koshibazakae* and *S. kerae*, the resin canals enter the base of the scale only on the adaxial side of the scale traces (Ohsawa et al. 1991; Saiki 1992). More distally in all of these species, the resin canals are scattered throughout the ground tissue of the scale.

*Bancroftiastrobus digitata*, *S. verticillata*, and *S. yezo-koshibazakae* are all characterized by prominent trichomes on the bract/scale complex. However, the distribution of trichomes differs in the three species. Those of *B. digitata* occur on the adaxial and lateral surfaces of the ovuliferous scale of mature cones but are absent from the bracts. Trichomes are present on the abaxial surface at the base of immature bracts in *S. verticillata* (Takaso and Tomlinson 1991), whereas in mature cones of *S. yezo-koshibazakae* they occur on both the adaxial surface of the bract and the abaxial surface of the ovuliferous scale (Ohsawa et al. 1991). Trichomes have not been described for the bract or scale of *S. kerae* (Saiki 1992).

There also are intriguing similarities between *B. digitata* and the seed cones of Pinaceae (e.g., *Cedrus atlantica* [Endl.] Marnetti ex Carrière and *Pinus* L spp.; table 1). All have large cones with numerous bract/scale complexes that consist of a distinct ovuliferous scale and subtending bract. Both have two inverted seeds on the adaxial surface of the scale, but seeds of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Relative size of bract and o.s.</th>
<th>Degree of bract/o.s. fusion</th>
<th>Apical lobes of o.s.</th>
<th>Stele of cone axis</th>
<th>Bract/o.s. trace(s) at divergence of o.s. complexes</th>
<th>Vasculature of o.s. at divergence</th>
<th>Divergence of resin canals to o.s. complexes</th>
<th>Position of seed attachment</th>
<th>Number of seeds per o.s.</th>
<th>Seed wing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bancroftiastrobus digitata</td>
<td>Scale larger</td>
<td>To midregion</td>
<td>Present at maturity</td>
<td>Continuous cylinder</td>
<td>Separate</td>
<td>Inverted-U-shape strand</td>
<td>Abaxial and adaxial to traces</td>
<td>Adaxial, surfical</td>
<td>Consistently 2</td>
<td>Small or absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciadopitys verticillata</td>
<td>Relatively equal</td>
<td>To near apex</td>
<td>Absent at maturity (immature only)</td>
<td>Continuous cylinder</td>
<td>Separate</td>
<td>2 strands</td>
<td>Abaxial and adaxial to traces</td>
<td>Adaxial, surfical</td>
<td>Usually 7–9 (1–12)</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciadopitys yezokoshizakae</td>
<td>Relatively equal</td>
<td>To near apex</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Continuous cylinder</td>
<td>Separate</td>
<td>Inverted-U-shape strand</td>
<td>Adaxial to trace</td>
<td>Adaxial, surfical</td>
<td>9–13?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciadopitystrophus kerae</td>
<td>Relatively equal?</td>
<td>To near apex</td>
<td>Present at maturity</td>
<td>Continuous cylinder</td>
<td>Single bundle</td>
<td>Oval</td>
<td>Abaxial to trace</td>
<td>Adaxial, surfical</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Small or absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedrus atlantica</td>
<td>Scale larger</td>
<td>At base</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Continuous cylinder</td>
<td>Separate bundles</td>
<td>2 strands</td>
<td>Abaxial and adaxial to traces</td>
<td>Adaxial, surfical</td>
<td>Consistently 2</td>
<td>Single, lateral, of scale tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus spp.</td>
<td>Scale larger</td>
<td>At base only</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Continuous cylinder</td>
<td>Single bundle, usually oval or circular</td>
<td>Usually oval or circular</td>
<td>Abaxial to traces</td>
<td>Adaxial, surfical</td>
<td>Consistently 2</td>
<td>Single, lateral, of scale tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cunninghamia lanceolata</td>
<td>Bract larger</td>
<td>To apex</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Continuous cylinder</td>
<td>Single bundle</td>
<td>Oval</td>
<td>Adaxial to trace</td>
<td>Adaxial, surfical</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptomeria japonica</td>
<td>Bract larger</td>
<td>To midregion</td>
<td>Present at maturity</td>
<td>Continuous cylinder</td>
<td>Single bundle</td>
<td>2 strands</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Adaxial, surfical</td>
<td>Variable, mostly 3–4</td>
<td>Small or absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pararaucaria patagonica</td>
<td>Relatively equal</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Continuous cylinder</td>
<td>Separate</td>
<td>Crescent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Adaxial, in pocket</td>
<td>1–2 (usually 2)</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araucaria bidwilli</td>
<td>Bract larger</td>
<td>To near apex</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Separate bundles</td>
<td>Separate</td>
<td>Terete</td>
<td>Abaxial to trace</td>
<td>Adaxial and embedded</td>
<td>1 (rarely 2)</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Underlined characters are those shared with B. digitata. o.s. = ovuliferous scale.
B. digitata have little or no lateral wing, whereas those of the Pinaceae typically have a chalazal wing constructed of ovuliferous-scale tissue (table 1). Like that in most genera of the Pinaceae, the bract of B. digitata is much shorter than the ovuliferous scale (but see Pseudotsuga and some species of Larix, Keteleeria, and Tsuga; Farjon 1990), and it separates distally. Likewise, there is a single vascular trace that enters the ovuliferous scale in both B. digitata and most species of the Pinaceae. However, in others (e.g., C. atlantica; table 1) there are two separate bundles at the level of trace divergence. Also like B. digitata, most seed cones of the Pinaceae have a continuous cylinder of wood in the axis, and the scale trace usually consists of an inverted-U-shaped bundle (Gernandt et al. 2011; Ryberg et al. 2012). Unlike the lobed ovuliferous scales of B. digitata, the ovuliferous scales of all pinaceous genera have an entire distal margin.

The large seed cones of other conifer families that consist of numerous bract/scale complexes are more easily distinguished from B. digitata. In the taxodioid Cupressaceae (e.g., Cunninghamia lanceolata R. Brown and Cryptomeria japonica D. Don), the seed cones have a large bract that is typically fused to a much less conspicuous ovuliferous scale (table 1; Farjon 2005; Schultz and Stützel 2007; Rothwell et al. 2011), and there is typically a larger and variable number of seeds per ovuliferous scale (Farjon 2005). However, C. japonica is the only living conifer to share with Bancroftiastrobus lobed ovuliferous scales in mature cones (Farjon 2005). In the Cheirolepidiaceae (i.e., Pararanaucaria spp.), the distal margin of the ovuliferous scale is lobed, but unlike those of B. digitata the lobes are not all arranged in a single plane (Escapa et al. 2012). Cheirolepidiaceous cones can have either one or two seeds per ovuliferous scale, but unlike those of B. digitata, those seeds are more or less enclosed within a diagnostic pocket of ovuliferous-scale tissue (table 1; Escapa et al. 2012). In the Araucariaceae (e.g., Araucaria bidwillii Hooker), the bract and scale are fused except at the tip, there typically is only one seed per ovuliferous-scale complex, and the seed of Araucaria is largely embedded in ovuliferous-scale tissue (table 1; Wilde and Eames 1948, 1952; Stockey 1982, 1994).

As is characteristic of ancient clades that have experienced high levels of extinction through time (Soltis et al. 2002; Murdoch 2008), there is no clear phylogenetic consensus at the base of the conifer tree. Traditional practice has been to assign extinct species of conifer seed cones to crown group families where appropriate and to consider other fossils to be either representatives of the heterogeneous stem group Voltziales or intermediates between the Voltziales and crown group conifers (Miller 1999). This practice has been necessitated by several factors. Important among these are (1) our lack of a clear understanding of which seed cone characters represent synapomorphies and which characters are homoplasious, (2) uncertainties about the relative ages of crown group clades, and (3) the potential relationships of crown group conifers to the various clades of stem group conifers (Miller 1999; Rothwell et al. 2005). We anticipate that the future development of more whole-plant concepts for stem group conifers similar to that of Telemachus (Bomfleur et al. 2013) will aid substantially in efforts to resolve the overall pattern of conifer phylogeny.

In the compact nature of its cone and the highly derived structure of its ovuliferous-scale complexes, Bancroftiastrobus is more like the seed cones of crown group conifers than like those of volzialean conifers, but the unique combination of characters displayed by B. digitata does not clearly conform to any of the crown group families (table 1). In B. digitata, the dissected apical margin of the ovuliferous scale and the absence of a seed wing constructed of scale tissue are more reminiscent of Sciadopityaceae, but the number of seeds per ovuliferous scale and the configuration of the diverging bract and scale traces conform to those of Pinaceae (fig. 1). We do not know which, if any, of those characters are synapomorphies for the clade that includes Bancroftiastrobus. Therefore, we have chosen not to assign the genus to a currently recognized crown group family at this time. While this conclusion is, perhaps, emotionally less satisfying than being able to place Bancroftiastrobus into a family, the decision emphasizes the important role of fossils with novel combinations of characters for the accurate resolution of deep nodes in the conifer tree (Rothwell et al. 2011; Losos et al. 2012).

Acknowledgments

We thank Andrew R. Rees (University of Birmingham) for collecting assistance and Lyall Anderson (formerly of the National Museums of Scotland, Edinburgh) for providing access to the specimen. This study was supported in part by the National Science Foundation of the United States (grant EF-0629819 to G. W. Rothwell, G. Mapes, and R. A. Stockey), the National Science and Engineering Council of Canada (grant A-6908 to A. Stockey), and the Natural Environment Research Council of the United Kingdom (grant NE/E004369 to J. Hilton).

Literature Cited


Farjon A 1990 Pinaceae, drawings and descriptions of the genera Abies, Cedrus, Pseudolarix, Keteleeria, Nothotsuga, Tsuga, Cathaya, Pseudotsuga, Larix and Picea. Koeltz, Champaign, IL.

This content downloaded from 147.188.171.162 on Thu, 20 Jun 2013 04:26:58 AM
All use subject to JSTOR Terms and Conditions


Rothwell GW, G Mapes, GR Hernandez-Castillo 2005 Hanskerpod gen. nov. and phylogenetic relations among the most ancient conifers (Voltziales). Taxon 54:73–750.


