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Abstract

In this paper we obtain new lower bounds for the upper box dimension of $\alpha\beta$ sets. As a corollary of our main result, we show that if $\alpha$ is not a Liouville number and $\beta$ is a Liouville number, then the upper box dimension of any $\alpha\beta$ set is 1. We also use our dimension bounds to obtain new results on affine embeddings of self-similar sets.
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1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ denote the unit circle. Given $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, a non-empty closed set $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ is called an $\alpha\beta$ set if for all $x \in E$ either $x + \alpha \mod 1 \in E$ or $x + \beta \mod 1 \in E$. A sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of points in $\mathbb{T}$ is called an $\alpha\beta$ orbit if for all $n \geq 0$, either $x_{n+1} - x_n = \alpha \mod 1$ or $x_{n+1} - x_n = \beta \mod 1$. Clearly any $\alpha\beta$ set contains an $\alpha\beta$ orbit. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are rationally dependent modulo one, i.e. there exists $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n_1\alpha + n_2\beta = 0 \mod 1$, then using the well known fact that orbits of irrational circle rotations are dense in $\mathbb{T}$ together with the Baire category theorem, it can be shown that every $\alpha\beta$ set has non-empty interior (see [9, Theorem 1.5(i)]). This observation naturally leads to the following question that was posed by Engelking in [6]: Suppose that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are rationally independent modulo one, do there exist nowhere dense $\alpha\beta$ sets? This question was answered by Katznelson in [11]. He proved that if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are rationally independent, then there do exist nowhere dense $\alpha\beta$ sets. Katznelson also proved that $\alpha\beta$ sets exist with arbitrarily small Hausdorff dimension. Interest in $\alpha\beta$ sets was renewed in a recent paper of Feng and Xiong [9]. In this paper they connected $\alpha\beta$ sets and their higher dimensional analogues\(^1\) to the existence of affine embeddings of self-similar sets. They proved that if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are rationally independent then any $\alpha\beta$ set $E$ satisfies $E - E = \mathbb{T}$ or $E$ has non-empty interior. This result implies that if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are rationally independent then any $\alpha\beta$ set $E$ satisfies $\dim_B E \geq 1/2$. Further results on the dimension of $\alpha\beta$ sets and their higher dimensional analogues were obtained by Yu in [14]. In this paper Yu conjectured that for rationally independent $\alpha$ and $\beta$, any $\alpha\beta$ set $E$ satisfies $\dim_B E = 1^2$. In this paper we obtain

---

\(^1\)Instead of just considering two elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, one can consider $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and then define appropriate analogues of $\alpha\beta$ sets and $\alpha\beta$ orbits.

\(^2\)This conjecture was formulated in [14] in terms of the lower box dimension. Our formulation is easily seen to be equivalent.
new lower bounds for the upper box dimension of $\alpha\beta$ sets. These bounds depend upon the Diophantine properties of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. As a corollary of our main result, we give the first examples of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ satisfying the conclusion of Yu's conjecture where box dimension is replaced with upper box dimension. We conclude this introductory section by mentioning a paper of Chen, Wang, and Wen [5] who considered random analogues of $\alpha\beta$ orbits. They proved that such sequences were almost surely uniformly distributed modulo one, and that the exponential sums along the orbit have square root cancellation.

### 1.1 Statement of results

A well known theorem due to Dirichlet states that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Q > 1$, there exists integers $p$ and $q$ such that $1 \leq q \leq Q$ and

$$\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{qQ}.$$  

This implies that if $x$ is an irrational number, then the inequality

$$\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2}$$

has infinitely many solutions in integers $p$ and $q$. Given $\tau \geq 2$ we say that $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is $\tau$-well approximable if there exists infinitely many $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^\tau}.$$  

We denote the set of $\tau$-well approximable numbers by $W(\tau)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ we define the exact order of $x$ to be

$$\tau(x) := \sup\{\tau : x \in W(\tau)\}.$$  

If $\tau(x) = \infty$ then we say that $x$ is a Liouville number. For $\tau \in [2, \infty) \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote the set of real numbers with exact order $\tau$ by $E(\tau)$. Equipped with these definitions we are now able to state the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \geq 2$ satisfy $2\tau_1 < \tau_2 + 2$ and suppose that $\alpha \in E(\tau_1)$ and $\beta \in W(\tau_2)$. Then any $\alpha\beta$ orbit $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies $\dim_B(\{x_n\}) \geq 1 - \frac{2(\tau_1-1)}{\tau_2}$.  

Theorem 1.1 immediately implies the following result.

**Corollary 1.2.** Assume that $\alpha$ is not a Liouville number and $\beta$ is a Liouville number. Then any $\alpha\beta$ orbit $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies $\dim_B(\{x_n\}) = 1$.

Since every $\alpha\beta$ set contains an $\alpha\beta$ orbit, we immediately see that suitable analogues of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 also hold for $\alpha\beta$ sets. We emphasise that the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ appearing in the statements of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are rationally independent. This is because any rationally dependent $\alpha$ and $\beta$ must have the same exact order.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 the relevant definitions from Fractal Geometry are given and we gather some useful results from the theory of continued fractions. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we apply Theorem 1.1 to obtain a result on affine embeddings of self-similar sets.
\section{Preliminaries}

\subsection{Dimension theory}

Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s \geq 0$. Given $\delta > 0$ we define

$$H^s_\delta(F) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Diam}(U_i)^s : \{U_i\} \text{ is a } \delta\text{-cover of } F \right\}.$$ 

We define the $s$-dimensional Hausdorff measure of $F$ to be 

$$H^s(F) := \lim_{\delta \to 0} H^s_\delta(F).$$ 

The Hausdorff dimension of $F$ is given by 

$$\dim_H(F) := \inf \{ s \geq 0 : H^s(F) = 0 \} = \sup \{ s \geq 0 : H^s(F) = \infty \}.$$ 

Given a bounded set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we let $N(F,r)$ denote the minimum number of closed balls of radius $r$ required to cover $F$. The upper box dimension of a bounded set $F$ is defined to be 

$$\overline{\dim}_B(F) := \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\log N(F,r)}{-\log r}.$$ 

The lower box dimension is defined similarly using liminf instead of limsup. When the lower and upper box dimensions coincide we refer to the common value as the box dimension and denote it by $\dim_B(F)$. For more on dimension theory and fractal sets we refer the reader to [7].

\subsection{Continued fractions}

Proofs of the properties stated below can be found in the books [3] and [4].

For any $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, there exists a unique sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ such that 

$$x = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \cdots}}}.$$ 

We call the sequence $(a_n)$ the continued fraction expansion of $x$. Suppose $x$ has continued fraction expansion $(a_n)$, then for each $n \geq 1$ we let 

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} := \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \cdots a_n}}}.$$ 

The fraction $p_n/q_n$ is called the $n$-th partial quotient of $x$. For any $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, its sequence of partial quotients satisfies the following properties:

- If we set $p_{-1} = 1, q_{-1} = 0, p_0 = 0, q_0 = 1$, then for any $n \geq 1$ we have

$$p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}$$
$$q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}. \quad (2.1)$$
For any \( n \geq 1 \) we have
\[
\frac{1}{q_n(q_{n+1} + q_n)} < \left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| < \frac{1}{q_n q_{n+1}}. \tag{2.2}
\]

If \( q < q_{n+1} \) then
\[
|q x - p| \geq |q_n x - p_n| \tag{2.3}
\]
for any \( p \in \mathbb{Z} \).

For \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) we will on occasion use \( \|x\| \) to denote the distance from \( x \) to the nearest integer.

We will use the following lemma in our proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( x \in E(\tau) \) for some \( \tau \geq 2 \). Then for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), for all \( q \in \mathbb{R} \) sufficiently large the interval \([q, q^{\tau+\epsilon-1}]\) contains the denominator of some partial quotient of \( x \).

**Proof.** Let \((q_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) denote the sequence of denominators of partial quotients of \( x \) written in increasing order. Suppose \( q > q_1 \) is such that the interval \([q, q^{\tau+\epsilon-1}]\) does not contain the denominator of a partial quotient of \( x \). Then let \( n^* \geq 1 \) be the unique integer satisfying
\[
q_{n^*} < q \quad \text{and} \quad q_{n^*+1} > q^{\tau+\epsilon-1}. \tag{2.4}
\]
Equation (2.1) implies that
\[
q_{n+1} \leq 2 a_{n+1} q_n \tag{2.5}
\]
for all \( n \geq 1 \). Combining (2.4) and (2.5) we have
\[
2 a_{n^*+1} \geq \frac{q_{n^*+1}}{q_{n^*}} > q^{\tau+\epsilon-2} > q_{n^*}^{\tau+\epsilon-2}. \tag{2.6}
\]
Equations (2.1) and (2.2) imply that
\[
\left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{a_{n+1} q_n^2} \tag{2.7}
\]
for all \( n \geq 1 \). It now follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that
\[
\left| x - \frac{p_{n^*}}{q_{n^*}} \right| \leq \frac{2}{q_{n^*}^{\tau+\epsilon}}. \tag{2.8}
\]
Since \( x \in E(\tau) \) inequality (2.8) can have only finitely many solutions. It follows that for all \( q \in \mathbb{R} \) sufficiently large the interval \([q, q^{\tau+\epsilon-1}]\) must contain the denominator of a partial quotient of \( x \).

\[\square\]

**3 Proof of Theorem 1.1**

Let \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \). To any \( \alpha \beta \) orbit \((x_n)_{n \geq 0}\) we can associate a unique sequence \( \omega = (\omega_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \{\alpha, \beta\}^\mathbb{N} \) such that
\[
x_n - x_{n-1} = \omega_n \mod 1
\]
for all \( n \geq 1 \). Given \( \omega \in \{\alpha, \beta\}^\mathbb{N} \) and \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) we let
\[
|\omega|_{\alpha,N} := \# \{1 \leq n \leq N : \omega_n = \alpha\}
\]
and
\[
|\omega|_{\beta,N} := \# \{1 \leq n \leq N : \omega_n = \beta\}.
\]
The following proposition shows that if an \( \alpha \beta \) orbit \((x_n)_{n \geq 0}\) is such that the quantities \( |\omega|_{\alpha,N} \) and \( |\omega|_{\beta,N} \) are not uniformly comparable then \( \{x_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) is dense in \( T \).
Proposition 3.1. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be an $\alpha \beta$ orbit. Suppose that for any $C > 1$ there exists infinitely many $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that either

$$|\omega|_{\alpha,N} \geq C \cdot |\omega|_{\beta,N}$$

or

$$|\omega|_{\beta,N} \geq C \cdot |\omega|_{\alpha,N}.$$

Then $\{x_n\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{T}$.

Proof. It follows from our hypothesis that the sequence $\omega$ must either contain arbitrarily long strings of consecutive $\alpha$ terms or consecutive $\beta$ terms. Since both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are irrational, and any orbit of an irrational rotation is dense in $\mathbb{T}$, it follows that $\{x_n\}$ must also be dense in $\mathbb{T}$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \geq 2$ satisfy $2\tau_1 < \tau_2 + 2$ and suppose that $\alpha \in E(\tau_1)$ and $\beta \in W(\tau_2)$. Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be an $\alpha \beta$ orbit for which there exists $C > 1$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large we have

$$\frac{|\omega|_{\beta,N}}{C} \leq |\omega|_{\alpha,N} \leq C \cdot |\omega|_{\beta,N}.$$

Then $\dim_B(\{x_n\}) \geq 1 - \frac{2(\tau_1 - 1)}{\tau_2}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$. For the rest of the proof we fix $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ an $\alpha \beta$ orbit satisfying our hypothesis and let $\omega$ be the associated unique element of $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\mathbb{N}$. Without loss of generality we may further assume that $x_0 = 0$. This means that for any $N \geq 1$ we have

$$x_N = \alpha \cdot |\omega|_{\alpha,N} + \beta \cdot |\omega|_{\beta,N} \mod 1.$$

Notice that $|\omega|_{\alpha,N} + |\omega|_{\beta,N} = N$ for all $N \geq 1$. It follows from this observation and our hypothesis that there exists $C > 1$, not necessarily the same $C$ as in the statement of our proposition, such that

$$\frac{N}{C} \leq |\omega|_{\alpha,N}$$

for all $N$ sufficiently large.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $\beta \in W(\tau_2)$ there exists a sequence of reduced fractions $(p_l/q_l)_{l \geq 1}$ such that

$$|\beta - p_l/q_l| \leq \frac{1}{q_l^2}$$

for all $l \geq 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the sequence $(q_l)_{l=1}^\infty$ is strictly increasing. By Lemma 2.1, for all $l$ sufficiently large, there exists $q'_l$ the denominator of some partial quotient of $\alpha$ which satisfies

$$q'_l \in \left[\frac{\tau_2 - 2\epsilon}{\tau_1 (\tau_1 + \epsilon - 1)}, \frac{\tau_2 - 2\epsilon}{q_l^2}\right].$$

For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $k_j$ denote the minimum of those $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\alpha j + \beta k \mod 1 \in \{x_n\}.$$

Equivalently $k_j$ is the smallest integer such that $|\omega|_{\alpha,j+k_j} = j$. Notice that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, if $1 \leq j \leq |\omega|_{\alpha,N}$ then we must have $k_j < N$. For all $l$ sufficiently large so that $q'_l$ is well defined, we let

$$W(l, p) := \{1 \leq j \leq |\omega|_{\alpha,q'_l} : k_j = p \mod q_l\}$$
for each $0 \leq p \leq q_l - 1$. By the pigeonhole principle and (3.1), for all $l$ sufficiently large there exists $0 \leq p' \leq q_l - 1$ such that
\[
\#W(l, p') \geq \frac{q_l}{Cq_l}.
\] (3.3)

We now set out to prove that the elements of $\{x_n\}$ corresponding to the elements of $W(l, p')$ are well separated. Observe now that for any distinct $j, j' \in W(l, p')$ we have
\[
\| (\alpha j + \beta k_j) - (\alpha j' + \beta k_{j'}) \| \geq \sqrt{\alpha (j - j')^2} - \sqrt{\beta (k_j - k_{j'})^2}. 
\] (3.4)

We now show how (1) can be bounded from below and (2) can be bounded from above. Notice that $j - j'$ is a non-zero integer satisfying $|j - j'| < q_l$. Combining (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that
\[
\| \alpha (j - j') \| \geq \frac{1}{2q_l}. 
\] (3.5)

Now focusing on (2), let $d_j, d_{j'} \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k_j = d_jq_l + p'$ and $k_{j'} = d_{j'}q_l + p'$. Then we have
\[
\| \beta (k_j - k_{j'}) \| \leq \left( \beta - \frac{p_n}{q_l} \right) \| (k_j - k_{j'}) \| + \left| \frac{p_n}{q_l} \right| \| (k_j - k_{j'}) \| 
\leq \frac{q_l}{q_l^2} + \left| \frac{p_n}{q_l} \right| \| (d_jq_l - d_{j'}q_l) \| 
= \frac{q_l}{q_l^2} + \| p_n (d_j - d_{j'}) \| 
= \frac{q_l}{q_l^2} 
\leq \frac{1}{q_l^{\tau_2/2}}. 
\] (3.6)

In the second line in the above we have used (3.2) and the inequality $|k_j - k_{j'}| < q_l$. This inequality follows from the fact that $k_j$ and $k_{j'}$ are integers satisfying $0 \leq k_j, k_{j'} < q_l$. In the final line we used that $q_l \leq q_l^{\tau_2/2}$. Substituting (3.5) and (3.6) into (3.4) we have
\[
\| (\alpha j + \beta k_j) - (\alpha j' + \beta k_{j'}) \| \geq \frac{1}{2q_l} - \frac{1}{q_l^{\tau_2/2}}. 
\] (3.7)

Since $q_l \leq q_l^{\tau_2/2}$, for $l$ sufficiently large we have
\[
\frac{1}{2q_l} - \frac{1}{q_l^{\tau_2/2}} \geq \frac{1}{2q_l} \left( 1 - \frac{2q_l'}{q_l^{\tau_2/2}} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2q_l} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{q_l} \right) \geq \frac{1}{4q_l}. 
\]

Using this lower bound in (3.7), it follows that for $l$ sufficiently large, for any distinct $j, j' \in W(l, p')$ we have
\[
\| (\alpha j + \beta k_j) - (\alpha j' + \beta k_{j'}) \| \geq \frac{1}{4q_l}. 
\]

Therefore for any $l$ sufficiently large we require at least $\#W(l, p')$ closed balls of radius $(10q_l')^{-1}$ to cover $\{x_n\}$. Using the lower bound for $\#W(l, p')$ provided by (3.3) and the inequality $q_l' \geq q_l^{\tau_2/(\tau_1 \tau_2 + 1)}$, we have
\[
\dim_B(\{x_n\}) = \lim \sup_{r \to 0} \frac{\log N(\{x_n\}, r)}{-\log r} \geq \lim \sup_{l \to \infty} \frac{\log q_l'/Cq_l}{\log 10q_l'}
\]
These results come at the cost that \( \dim \Phi \) is true if we also assume that \( \Phi \) satisfies the strong separation condition, and \( \dim H \) is true if we also assume that \( \Phi \) satisfies the strong separation condition and \( \dim H \) is true if we also assume that \( \Phi \) satisfies the strong separation condition and \( \dim H \) is true if we also assume that \( \Phi \) satisfies the strong separation condition.

Particular, if \( \phi \) is an inverse contraction ratio of \( \varphi \) in \( \supseteq \) generated by IFSs \( \Phi = \{ \varphi_i \}_{i \in I} \) and \( \Psi = \{ \psi_j \}_{j \in J} \) respectively. Suppose that \( \Phi \) satisfies the open set condition. We say that \( \Phi \) satisfies the open set condition if there exists a non-empty bounded open \( O \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) such that \( \varphi_i(O) \subset O \) for all \( i \in I \) and \( \varphi_i(O) \cap \varphi_j(O) = \emptyset \) for all \( i \neq j \).

Let \( A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d \). We say that \( A \) can be affinely embedded into \( B \) if there exists a map \( f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \) of the form \( f(x) = Mx + a \) for some invertible matrix \( M \) and \( a \in \mathbb{R}^d \) which satisfies \( f(A) \subset B \). It is an interesting problem to determine when one self-similar set can be affinely embedded inside of another. This problem was first studied in [8]. It is reasonable to expect that if a self-similar set can be affinely embedded inside of another self-similar set which is totally disconnected, then the underlying contraction ratios should exhibit some arithmetic dependence. With this in mind the authors of [8] formulated the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 4.1.** Suppose that \( E, F \) are two totally disconnected non-trivial self-similar sets in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), generated by IFSs \( \Phi = \{ \varphi_i \}_{i \in I} \) and \( \Psi = \{ \psi_j \}_{j \in J} \) respectively. Let \( r_i, r_j' \) denote the contraction ratios of \( \varphi_i \) and \( \psi_j \) respectively. Suppose that \( F \) can be affinely embedded into \( E \). Then for each \( j \in J \) there exists non-negative rational numbers \( t_{i,j} \) such that \( r_j' = \prod_{i \in I} r_i^{t_{i,j}} \). In particular, if \( r_i = r \) for all \( i \in I \), then \( \log r_j' / \log r \in \mathbb{Q} \) for all \( j \in J \).

Conjecture 4.1 was studied in [1, 2, 8, 9, 12, 13]. In [8] it was shown that Conjecture 4.1 is true if we also assume that \( \Phi \) satisfies the strong separation condition, \( r_i = r \) for all \( i \in I \), and \( \dim_H(E) < 1/2 \). Similar results were obtained in [9] without the assumption \( r_i = r \) for all \( i \in I \). These results come at the cost that \( \dim_H(E) \) is required to satisfy a stricter upper bound. In particular, the results of [9] imply that when \( \Phi \) consists of two similarities then Conjecture 4.1 is true if we also assume that \( \Phi \) satisfies the strong separation condition and \( \dim_H(E) < 1/4 \). Shmerkin and Wu obtained much stronger results when \( d = 1 \). Shmerkin in [12] showed that Conjecture 4.1 is true under the additional assumptions that \( d = 1 \), \( \Phi \) satisfies the open set condition, \( r_i = r \) for all \( i \in I \), and \( \dim_H(E) < 1 \). Wu in [13] obtained the same result as Shmerkin but required the stronger assumption that \( \Phi \) satisfies the strong separation condition.

Our main result in this direction is the following theorem.
Theorem 4.2. Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\Psi = \{\psi_j\}_{j \in J}$ be two IFSs satisfying the following properties:

1. $\Phi$ satisfies the strong separation condition.

2. There exists $r_1, r_2 \in (0, 1)$ and $I_1, I_2 \subset I$ such that $\Phi = \{\varphi_{i,1} = r_1 O_{i,1} + t_{i,1}\}_{i \in I_1} \cup \{\varphi_{i,2} = r_2 O_{i,2} + t_{i,2}\}_{i \in I_2}$.

3. There exists $j^* \in J$ such that:
   
   (a) $\psi_{j^*} = r_{j^*} I_d + t_{j^*}$.

   (b) There exists $\tau_1, \tau_2 \geq 2$ satisfying $2\tau_1 \rho \tau_2 + 2$ and 
       
       \[- \frac{\log r_1}{\log r_{j^*}} \in E(\tau_1) \quad \text{and} \quad - \frac{\log r_2}{\log r_{j^*}} \in W(\tau_2).\]

Then if $\dim_H(E) < \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2(\tau_1 - 1)}{\tau_2} \right)$ then $F$ cannot be affinely embedded into $E$.

Theorem 4.2 has the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\Psi = \{\psi_j\}_{j \in J}$ be two IFSs satisfying the following properties:

1. $\Phi$ satisfies the strong separation condition.

2. There exists $r_1, r_2 \in (0, 1)$ and $I_1, I_2 \subset I$ such that $\Phi = \{\varphi_{i,1} = r_1 O_{i,1} + t_{i,1}\}_{i \in I_1} \cup \{\varphi_{i,2} = r_2 O_{i,2} + t_{i,2}\}_{i \in I_2}$.

3. There exists $j^* \in J$ such that:
   
   (a) $\psi_{j^*} = r_{j^*} I_d + t_{j^*}$.

   (b) $- \frac{\log r_1}{\log r_{j^*}}$ is not a Liouville number and $- \frac{\log r_2}{\log r_{j^*}}$ is a Liouville number.

Then if $\dim_H(E) < \frac{1}{2}$ then $F$ cannot be affinely embedded into $E$.

We emphasise that property 2. in the statement of Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 means that the IFS $\Phi$ consists of similarities whose contraction ratios are either $r_1$ or $r_2$. Property 3a. means that the similarity $\psi_{j^*}$ has the identity matrix as its rotation component. One of the strengths of Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 is that they provide information when the elements of $\Phi$ have different contraction ratios. Most results in this area have the additional assumption that the elements of $\Phi$ have the same contraction ratio (see [1, 2, 8, 12, 13]). Moreover, at the cost of an additional Diophantine condition and rotation assumption, these statements allows us to weaken the dimension assumption $\dim_H(E) < 1/4$ that was needed in the work of Feng and Xiong [9].

Our proof of Theorem 4.2 is essentially the same argument as one that is used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 from [9], apart from a few minor changes. We include the details of this proof for completion.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ be two IFSs satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $F$ can be affinely embedded into $E$. Let $M$ be an invertible matrix and $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be such that

\[ M(F) + a \in E. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

We will now set out to prove that

\[ \dim_H(E) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2(\tau_1 - 1)}{\tau_2} \right). \]
and thus conclude our theorem.

Let \( x_{j^*} \in F \) denote the unique point satisfying \( \psi_{j^*}(x_{j^*}) = x_{j^*} \). Clearly \( x_{j^*} \in \psi_{j^*}^n(F) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( y_{j^*}' \) be given by

\[
y_{j^*} := Mx_{j^*} + a.
\]

By (4.1) we know that \( y_{j^*}' \in E \). Therefore there exists a sequence \( (i_m) \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( y_{j^*}' = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi_{i_1 \ldots i_m}(0) \). Here and throughout we use \( \varphi_{i_1 \ldots i_m} \) to denote the concatenation \( \varphi_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ \varphi_{i_m} \) and \( r_{i_1 \ldots i_m} \) to denote the product \( \prod_{i=1}^{m} r_i \). Our point \( y_{j^*}' \) satisfies \( y_{j^*}' \in \varphi_{i_1 \ldots i_m}(E) \) for all \( m \in \mathbb{N} \).

It therefore follows from the above that

\[
(M(\psi_{j^*}^n(F)) + a) \cap \varphi_{i_1 \ldots i_m}(E) \neq \emptyset
\]

for all \( n, m \geq 0 \). Because \( \Phi \) satisfies the strong separation condition we have

\[
c := \inf_{i \neq i'} d(\varphi_i(E), \varphi_{i'}(E)) > 0.
\]

It is also the case that for each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) we have

\[
d(\varphi_{i_1 \ldots i_m}(E), E \setminus \varphi_{i_1 \ldots i_m}(E)) \geq cr_{i_1 \ldots i_{m-1}}.
\]

It therefore follows from (4.2) and (4.3) that

\[
M(\psi_{j^*}^n(F)) + a \subset \varphi_{i_1 \ldots i_m}(E) \quad \text{whenever} \quad Diam(M(\psi_{j^*}^n(F))) < cr_{i_1 \ldots i_{m-1}}.
\]

For \( m \geq 1 \) define

\[
s_m := \min \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : M(\psi_{j^*}^n(F)) + a \subset \varphi_{i_1 \ldots i_m}(E) \}.
\]

It follows from (4.4) that \( s_m < \infty \).

We introduce the notation:

\[
\|M\| := \max \{ |Mv| : |v| = 1 \}
\]

\[
\|M\| := \min \{ |Mv| : |v| = 1 \}.
\]

By (4.5) we have

\[
\|M\| \cdot (r_{j^*})^{s_m} Diam(F) \leq Diam(M(\psi_{j^*}^{s_m}(F))) \leq Diam(\varphi_{i_1 \ldots i_m}(E)) \leq Diam(E) \cdot r_{i_1 \ldots i_m}.
\]

Therefore

\[
\frac{(r_{j^*})^{s_m}}{r_{i_1 \ldots i_m}} \leq \frac{Diam(E)}{\|M\| \cdot Diam(F)}
\]

for all \( m \geq 1 \). Similarly we have

\[
\frac{(r_{j^*})^{s_m}}{r_{i_1 \ldots i_m}} \geq \frac{c \cdot r_{j^*}}{\|M\| \cdot Diam(F) \cdot \max\{r_1, r_2\}}
\]

when \( s_m \geq 1 \). Equation (4.7) follows because if it were to fail then we would have

\[
Diam(M(\psi_{j^*}^{s_m-1}(F))) \leq \|M\| \cdot (r_{j^*})^{s_m-1} Diam(F) < \max\{r_1, r_2\}^{-1} c \cdot r_{i_1 \ldots i_m} \leq c \cdot r_{i_1 \ldots i_{m-1}}.
\]

Which by (4.4) would imply \( M(\psi_{j^*}^{s_m-1}(F)) + a \subset \varphi_{i_1 \ldots i_m}(E) \). This would contradict the definition of \( s_m \).

It follows from the definition of \( s_m \) that

\[
\varphi_{i_1 \ldots i_m}^{-1}(M(\psi_{j^*}^{s_m}(F)) + a) \subset E.
\]
Letting $Q_m = (O_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ O_{i_m})^{-1} \circ M$ we have

$$r_{i_1 \cdots i_m}^{-1} \cdot (r_{j^*})^{s_m} \cdot Q_m(F) + a_m \subset E$$

for some $a_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Here we used the fact that the rotation component for $\psi_{j^*}$ is the identity matrix. Therefore

$$r_{i_1 \cdots i_m}^{-1} \cdot (r_{j^*})^{s_m} \cdot Q_m(F - F) \subset E - E \quad (4.8)$$

for $m \geq 1$. Let $v \in F - F$ be a non-zero vector. Such a vector must exists because $F$ is non-trivial. Then by (4.8) we have

$$r_{i_1 \cdots i_m}^{-1} \cdot (r_{j^*})^{s_m} \cdot Q_m v \subset E - E \quad (4.9)$$

for all $m \geq 1$. Let $v$ be a non-zero vector. Such a vector must exists because $F$ is non-trivial. Then by (4.8) we have

$$r_{i_1 \cdots i_m}^{-1} \cdot (r_{j^*})^{s_m} \cdot |Mv| \in \{|x - y| : x, y \in E\} \quad (4.10)$$

for all $m \geq 1$. Let

$$U := \{|x - y| : x, y \in E\}$$

and

$$V := \{r_{i_1 \cdots i_m}^{-1} (r_{j^*})^{s_m} |Mv| : m \geq 1\}.$$

Consider the map

$$f : \left[ \frac{c \cdot r_{j^*} \cdot |Mv|}{\|M\| \cdot \text{Diam}(F)} \cdot \frac{\text{Diam}(E) \cdot |Mv|}{\|M\|' \cdot \text{Diam}(F)} \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \quad \text{given by} \quad f(x) = \frac{\log x}{\log r_{j^*}} \mod 1.$$

The map $f$ is Lipschitz. It now follows from (4.6), (4.7), and the well known fact that Lipschitz maps cannot increase the upper box dimension (see [7]) that

$$\overline{\dim}_B f(V) \leq \overline{\dim}_B(V) \leq \overline{\dim}_B(U) \leq \overline{\dim}_B(E - E) \leq \overline{\dim}_B(E \times E) = 2 \dim_H(E).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\overline{\dim}_B f(V)}{2} \leq \dim_H(E). \quad (4.11)$$

Notice that for any $m \geq 1$

$$f \left( r_{i_1 \cdots i_{m+1}}^{-1} (r_{j^*})^{s_{m+1}} |Mv| \right) - f \left( r_{i_1 \cdots i_m}^{-1} (r_{j^*})^{s_m} |Mv| \right) = -\frac{\log r_{m+1}}{\log r_{j^*}} \mod 1.$$

By property 2. the IFS $\Phi$ consists of similarities with contraction ratios equal to $r_1$ or $r_2$. Therefore $f(V)$ is an $\alpha\beta$ orbit for $\alpha = \frac{\log r_1}{\log r_{j^*}}$ and $\beta = \frac{\log r_2}{\log r_{j^*}}$. Applying Theorem 1.1 and (4.11) we have

$$\dim_H(E) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2(\tau_1 - 1)}{\tau_2} \right).$$

This completes our proof.
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