

# Immune- and stress-related transcriptomic responses of *Solea senegalensis* stimulated with lipopolysaccharide and copper sulphate using heterologous cDNA microarrays

Osuna-Jimenez, I; Williams, Timothy; Prieto-Alamo, MJ; Abril, N; Chipman, James; Pueyo, C

DOI:  
[10.1016/j.fsi.2009.02.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2009.02.020)

License:  
None: All rights reserved

*Document Version*  
Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*  
Osuna-Jimenez, I, Williams, T, Prieto-Alamo, MJ, Abril, N, Chipman, J & Pueyo, C 2009, 'Immune- and stress-related transcriptomic responses of *Solea senegalensis* stimulated with lipopolysaccharide and copper sulphate using heterologous cDNA microarrays', *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 699-706.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2009.02.020>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

## General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

## Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Provided for non-commercial research and education use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Fish &amp; Shellfish Immunology

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/fsi](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/fsi)

## Immune- and stress-related transcriptomic responses of *Solea senegalensis* stimulated with lipopolysaccharide and copper sulphate using heterologous cDNA microarrays

Inmaculada Osuna-Jiménez<sup>a,1</sup>, Timothy D. Williams<sup>b,1</sup>, María-José Prieto-Álamo<sup>a</sup>, Nieves Abril<sup>a</sup>, J. Kevin Chipman<sup>b,2</sup>, Carmen Pueyo<sup>a,\*,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Universidad de Córdoba, Departamento de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular, Campus de Rabanales, Edificio Severo Ochoa, Planta-2ª, 14071-Córdoba, Spain

<sup>b</sup> University of Birmingham, School of Biosciences, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 27 November 2008

Received in revised form

9 February 2009

Accepted 21 February 2009

Available online 3 March 2009

#### Keywords:

Senegalese sole

Hepatic mRNA expression profiling

Heterologous microarray

qRT-PCR

LPS

CuSO<sub>4</sub>

Aquaculture

### ABSTRACT

The sole, *Solea senegalensis*, is a common flatfish of Atlantic and Mediterranean waters with a high potential for aquaculture. However, its cultivation is hampered by high sensitivity to different stresses and several infectious diseases. Improving protection from pathogens and stressors is thus a key step in reaching a standardized production. Fish were exposed to lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a mimetic of bacterial infections, and copper sulphate (CuSO<sub>4</sub>), used in aquaculture to control algae and outbreaks of infectious diseases. We employed a European flounder cDNA microarray to determine the transcriptomic responses of Senegalese sole to these exposures. Microarray analyses showed that many genes were altered in expression following both LPS and copper treatments in comparison to vehicle controls. Gene ontology analysis highlighted copper-specific induction of genes related to cellular adhesion and cell signalling, LPS-specific induction of genes related to the immune response, and a common induction of genes related to unfolded protein binding, intracellular transport/secretion and proteasome. Additionally transcripts for glutathione-S-transferases were down-regulated by LPS, and those for digestive enzymes were down-regulated by both treatments. We selected nine changing genes for absolute quantification of transcript copy numbers by real-time RT-PCR to validate microarray differential expression and to assess inter-individual variability in individual fishes. The quantitative RT-PCR data correlated highly with the microarray results. Overall, data reported provide novel insights into the molecular pathways that could mediate the immune and heavy metal stress responses in Senegalese sole and thus might have biotechnological applications in the culture of this important fish species.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

The sole, *Solea senegalensis*, is a common high-value flatfish that has become a priority species for the diversification of aquaculture in Southern Europe. However, its cultivation is hampered by its high sensitivity to different stresses and to several infectious diseases that can cause large mortalities [1]. Consequently, there is a need to identify candidate genes as potential molecular

biomarkers responsive to stress, infections and pollutants, with a view to improving productivity, management and fish welfare in aquaculture.

The lipopolysaccharide (LPS) fraction of Gram-negative bacteria is commonly used as a mimetic of bacterial infections. LPS is a complex molecule composed of a polysaccharide chain and a toxic lipid moiety responsible for its immunostimulatory characteristics [2]. LPS loading of the blood can be raised not only through bacterial infection but also through dysfunction of the gut wall such as might occur from chemical exposure (e.g. ethanol) in various animal models [3]. Another stressor (metal exposure) of interest is copper sulphate (CuSO<sub>4</sub>) due to its use in aquaculture as bactericide and algacide [4].

This study aimed to characterize the transcriptomic responses of *S. senegalensis* to LPS and CuSO<sub>4</sub>. Analyses focussed on the liver since it is the main organ of xenobiotic detoxification and where

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 957 218695; fax: +34 957 218688.

E-mail addresses: [b12osji@uco.es](mailto:b12osji@uco.es) (I. Osuna-Jiménez), [t.d.williams@bham.ac.uk](mailto:t.d.williams@bham.ac.uk) (T.D. Williams), [bb2pralm@uco.es](mailto:bb2pralm@uco.es) (M.-J. Prieto-Álamo), [bb1abdimm@uco.es](mailto:bb1abdimm@uco.es) (N. Abril), [j.k.chipman@bham.ac.uk](mailto:j.k.chipman@bham.ac.uk) (J.K. Chipman), [bb1pucuc@uco.es](mailto:bb1pucuc@uco.es) (C. Pueyo).

<sup>1</sup> Both the authors contributed equally to this work and should be considered first authors.

<sup>2</sup> Both these authors share senior authorship.

the majority of plasma proteins involved in the innate immune response is produced, involving the action of Kupffer cells. Indeed the hepatotoxicity of LPS in mammalian species has been shown to be, at least in part, mediated by cytokine release from Kupffer cells [5]. DNA-based microarrays represent a powerful high-throughput analytic technology for examining multigene expression patterns. The use of microarrays manufactured from transcripts of one species to probe gene expression in another, related, species, eliminates the need to fabricate a new microarray platform for every new species of interest [6]. Very limited genomic information was available for *S. senegalensis* until the recent development of a microarray resource for this species [7]. However as this was not available at the time of the study we used a European flounder (*Platichthys flesus*) cDNA microarray [8]. This microarray has been found to be effective for hepatic gene expression analysis of many species of the order Pleuronectiformes [9]. Additionally we performed gene ontology analyses to determine functionally related groups of genes that were altered by the treatments. Subsequently we quantified the transcript copy numbers of nine selected genes by real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) to validate the microarray responses and to further quantify the transcriptional profiles of these genes in individual fish.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Treatments

Fish ( $65 \pm 13$  g) of mixed sex were from domesticated broodstocks at the “Centros IFAPA Agua del Pino and El Toruño” (Andalucía, Spain). Larvae were fed on rotifers and *Artemia nauplii* and weaned onto an artificial diet at 1–2 months post-hatch, which was then substituted by commercial *Solea* diet (Skretting, LE-ELITE). Prior to challenges, soles were transferred and maintained (2 weeks) for adaptation in 100 L flat-bottom tanks (20 animals per tank), in an open circulation system (300% water renewal/day,  $20 \pm 1$  °C temperature and  $35 \pm 1$ ‰ salinity) with 16L:8D photoperiod and a light intensity of 600–800 lux, and fasted 24 h prior to and during the experiments. Fish were treated by a single intraperitoneal (IP) injection with LPS serotype O111:B4 ( $25 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) or  $\text{CuSO}_4$  ( $2 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) in 100  $\mu\text{l}$  phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The expression of fish immune-relevant genes is routinely investigated following IP injection with pathogenic bacteria or LPS. Therefore, it has recently been shown that IP-injected LPS at similar dose ( $30 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) to that used in the current study produces a significant transcriptional response of the c-type lysozyme gene in Senegalese sole [10]. To simplify the exposure experiments and to reduce the number of animals to be studied, copper contamination was also performed by IP injection. The copper dose ( $2 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) was chosen as it has been seen to induce oxidative stress when IP injected into the sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) at the times used in this experiment [11]. Individuals injected with 100  $\mu\text{l}$  PBS served as vehicle controls of all LPS- and  $\text{CuSO}_4$ -treated fish. Neither mortality nor phenotypic effects were observed in any of the fish. Soles were sacrificed by immersion in 50 ppm tricaine methanesulphonate (MS222) and livers were immediately removed and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Two treatments were carried out, in which fish were sampled at 6 and 24 h or at 3, 12 and 24 h post-injection. In the first, the livers from at least 10 individuals/experimental condition were pooled for microarray analyses and qRT-PCR validation. In the second experiment, liver samples from eight treated and eight control fish were individually analysed by qRT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted as detailed in [12]. RNA sample quality was checked electrophoretically, and spectrophotometrically quantified.

### 2.2. Microarray experiments

The European flounder GENIPOL microarray has been described previously [8] and represents 3336 unique expressed sequence tag (EST) clusters. The majority of the cDNA clones arrayed were derived from a hepatic cDNA library of flounders treated with a variety of model toxicants. Briefly, total RNA derived from pooled livers was reverse-transcribed with Superscript II (Invitrogen) using oligo-dT primers (Alta Bioscience) before repurification (Qiagen). cDNA was labelled with Cy5-dCTP or Cy3-dCTP (Amersham) using Klenow polymerase (Invitrogen) with random primers. Labelled cDNA was purified (Qiagen), and fluorophore incorporation measured by spectrophotometry. Arrays were hybridized with the labelled cDNA samples derived from pooled samples from each of the experimental conditions (PBS-, LPS- or  $\text{CuSO}_4$ -injected soles for 6 or 24 h), and cDNA from a common reference consisting of a mixture of hepatic RNA from PBS-injected fish for 6 and 24 h. Three forward- and three reverse-labelled technical replicate hybridizations (dye-swap design) were carried out in a random order for each sample. Cy5 and Cy3 dye-labelled cDNA (60 pmol incorporated of each) were hybridized to one microarray slide. Hybridizations were carried out for 18 h, before stringent washing and scanning (Axon 4000B with Genepix software, Molecular Devices). The data used in analyses consisted of local background-subtracted measurements. The generated data set has been submitted to ArrayExpress at EMBL–EBI using maxd-Load2 software and has been assigned the accession number E-MAXD-47.

### 2.3. Microarray data analysis

The Genespring v7.2 software (Agilent) was used to analyse microarray data. Clones corresponding to the same gene (i.e. contigs) were considered as replicate spots. To normalize, data were Lowess transformed. Each microarray in a treatment group was normalized to the mean of the relevant control group. Low intensity and highly variable spots were removed by filtering. Spots with an intensity  $<76$  (calculated using the cross-gene error model component of Genespring) in the control channel were discarded as were genes showing a standard deviation of  $>1.4$  between replicate spots in four or more conditions. Of genes represented on the array, 86% passed these thresholds. Statistically significant differences were determined by parametric Welch *t*-test between test and control groups. The *P*-value cutoff was 0.05, and the Benjamini and Hochberg multiple-testing correction [13] was used, resulting in a 0.05 false discovery rate (FDR). Similarity between sample groups was assessed by Spearman clustering within Genespring. Blast2GO [14] was used to compare the representation of GO terms in the lists of statistically significantly differentially expressed genes compared to the whole gene set by employing Fisher's Exact Test with a multiple-testing correction under the Gossip package [15]. The cutoff threshold for statistical significance was set at a false discovery rate (FDR)  $<0.05$ .

### 2.4. Primers

The *S. senegalensis* sequence of GAPDH1 gene was from GenBank database (AB300322). Sole sequences of PSMD3, HMGB2, DDIT4L, AGT, and NARS were from the dbEST of GenBank (FF682487, FF682560, FF682562, FF682392 and FF682551, respectively). Degenerate primers (Supplementary file 1) to amplify HAMP, TNFAIP9 and GP96 sole genes were designed by alignment of conserved regions of available sequences from at least three fish species, using Oligo 6.1.1/98 software (Molecular Biology Insights).

The PCR products were sequenced and are available as GenBank accession numbers *FJ263548*, *FJ263550* and *FJ263549*, respectively. The identity of the sequences was confirmed using tBLASTx algorithm on the BLAST server at the NCBI databank. Primers for qRT-

PCR (Supplementary file 2) of the nine selected transcripts were designed as detailed in [12]. All primer pairs produced amplicons of the predicted size. All PCR products were further verified by nucleotide sequencing.

**Table 1**

Selected genes statistically significantly (FDR < 0.05) differentially expressed during copper and/or LPS treatments.

| Systematic                               | Putative Identity   | GenBank  | Copper 6 | Copper 24 | LPS 6 | LPS 24 |
|--|---|----------|----------|-----------|-------|--------|
| <i>Cell junction</i>                     |   |          |          |           |       |        |
| PfIL291D09                               | Claudin 26  | DV569360 | 1.341    | 0.899     | 0.805 | 1.033  |
| PfIL305H02                               | G-protein-coupled receptor kinase-interactor 2 isoform 1                | EC379374 | 1.540    | 0.772     | 0.877 | 0.926  |
| <i>Immune response</i>                   |   |          |          |           |       |        |
| Contig338                                | <b>Hepcidin precursor (HAMP)</b>  | DV566288 | 1.216    | 1.045     | 6.939 | 2.361  |
| Contig342                                | <b>TNF<math>\alpha</math>-induced adipose-related protein (TNFAIP9)</b> | DV568017 | 1.170    | 1.489     | 7.452 | 4.774  |
| Contig59                                 | Interleukin 8 precursor   | DV565292 | 1.198    | 1.498     | 1.758 | 1.941  |
| Contig564                                | Similar to interleukin 25   | DV566068 | 0.807    | 1.138     | 0.990 | 1.822  |
| Contig44                                 | Chemotaxin (LECT2)  | DV565320 | 0.831    | 1.181     | 1.316 | 2.341  |
| PfIL254F08                               | Nf-kappa B activator (TANK)   | DV567872 | 1.219    | 0.975     | 2.742 | 1.257  |
| PfIL284G03                               | B-cell leukemia/lymphoma 3 (BCL-3)                                      | EC379043 | 1.112    | 0.940     | 2.817 | 1.060  |
| PfIL228A07                               | Complement component C3   | EC378166 | 0.817    | 1.048     | 1.067 | 1.452  |
| PfIL250D12                               | Complement component C7   | DV567673 | 1.948    | 2.088     | 2.638 | 3.339  |
| <i>Glutathione-S-transferases</i>        |   |          |          |           |       |        |
| Contig362                                | Glutathione-S-transferase (GST-A)                                       | DV565329 | 0.866    | 1.078     | 0.941 | 0.429  |
| PfIL238F01                               | Glutathione-S-transferase   | EC378322 | 0.907    | 0.894     | 0.871 | 0.521  |
| PfIL260C08                               | Glutathione-S-transferase, theta 3                                      | DV568150 | 0.891    | 0.932     | 0.996 | 0.688  |
| PfIL304H03                               | Microsomal glutathione-S-transferase 1                                  | EC379360 | 0.855    | 1.393     | 0.979 | 0.610  |
| Contig981                                | Microsomal glutathione-S-transferase 3                                  | AJ605273 | 0.863    | 1.108     | 0.983 | 0.725  |
| <i>Digestive enzymes</i>                 |   |          |          |           |       |        |
| Contig914                                | Trypsinogen 2 precursor   | DV568965 | 0.420    | 0.652     | 0.626 | 0.191  |
| Contig633                                | Chymotrypsin B precursor  | DV567597 | 0.495    | 0.773     | 0.721 | 0.316  |
| PfIL215C03                               | Elastase 4 precursor  | DV566319 | 0.482    | 0.751     | 0.745 | 0.298  |
| PfIL007A06                               | Carboxypeptidase B  | DV565430 | 0.495    | 0.825     | 0.715 | 0.397  |
| Contig648                                | Carboxypeptidase A1   | DV565300 | 0.411    | 0.743     | 0.648 | 0.230  |
| <i>Unfolded protein binding</i>          |   |          |          |           |       |        |
| PfIL255E12                               | <b>Heat shock protein gp96 (GP96)</b>                                   | DV567919 | 0.947    | 1.999     | 1.258 | 3.913  |
| Contig960                                | Peptidyl-prolyl isomerase   | DV565676 | 0.999    | 1.704     | 1.093 | 2.641  |
| Contig159                                | 15 kDa selenoprotein precursor (SEP15)                                  | DV568180 | 0.892    | 1.565     | 1.097 | 2.269  |
| PfIL273G12                               | Prostaglandin E synthase 3, telomerase binding protein, p23             | DV568689 | 1.003    | 2.202     | 1.143 | 1.851  |
| PfIL294H06                               | Heat shock 70 kDa protein 4   | DV569489 | 1.086    | 2.042     | 1.022 | 1.906  |
| <i>Intracellular transport/secretion</i> |   |          |          |           |       |        |
| PfIL222F11                               | Coatmer protein complex, subunit beta 1                                 | DV566527 | 1.044    | 1.653     | 1.492 | 2.136  |
| PfIL314E01                               | Coatmer epsilon subunit   | DV570223 | 0.960    | 1.934     | 1.349 | 2.272  |
| PfIL294D10                               | ADP-ribosylation factor 5 (ARF5)  | DV569467 | 1.105    | 2.115     | 1.680 | 2.530  |
| Contig307                                | Transmembrane emp24 protein transport domain 7 (TMED7)                  | DV567466 | 1.040    | 1.507     | 1.230 | 1.893  |
| PfIL254H09                               | Transmembrane trafficking protein, TMP21                                | DV567887 | 0.961    | 1.642     | 1.458 | 2.421  |
| PfIL230G07                               | SEC22, vesicle trafficking protein-like 1B                              | DV566831 | 0.864    | 1.750     | 1.149 | 2.162  |
| Contig1095                               | Transport protein Sec61 beta-subunit                                    | AJ543354 | 0.988    | 1.532     | 1.260 | 2.171  |
| <i>Proteasome</i>                        |   |          |          |           |       |        |
| Contig714                                | Proteasome 26S ATPase subunit 5, MSUG1/RPT6/S8                          | DV568919 | 1.101    | 1.997     | 1.371 | 2.337  |
| PfIL266F02                               | <b>Proteasome 26S non-ATPase subunit 3/RPN3 (PSMD3)</b>                 | DV568418 | 0.916    | 1.507     | 1.028 | 1.695  |
| PfIL308H05                               | Proteasome 26S, non-ATPase regulatory subunit 6/RPN7                    | DV570012 | 0.993    | 1.412     | 1.014 | 1.502  |
| Contig443                                | Proteasome 26S subunit, non-ATPase, 12/RPN12                            | DV565642 | 1.044    | 1.405     | 1.155 | 1.348  |
| PfIL258H08                               | Proteasome alpha 1 subunit isoform 2                                    | DV568071 | 0.977    | 1.555     | 1.144 | 2.106  |
| Contig388                                | Proteasome subunit, alpha type, 6                                       | DV570235 | 1.019    | 1.311     | 1.117 | 1.551  |
| Contig168                                | Proteasome subunit, alpha type, 7                                       | DV566606 | 0.976    | 1.725     | 1.181 | 2.169  |
| PfIL273D04                               | Proteasome beta-subunit C5 (proteasome subunit, beta type, 1)           | DV568672 | 0.957    | 1.782     | 1.241 | 2.381  |
| Contig581                                | Proteasome subunit, beta type, 3  | DV565424 | 0.970    | 1.641     | 1.277 | 2.013  |
| PfIL226E10                               | Proteasome subunit, beta type, 5  | DV566673 | 0.955    | 1.373     | 0.987 | 1.772  |
| Contig341                                | Proteasome delta/subunit, beta type, 6                                  | DV567140 | 0.933    | 1.225     | 0.946 | 1.587  |
| Contig250                                | Proteasome subunit N3 (proteasome subunit, beta type, 7)                | DV566865 | 0.927    | 1.169     | 0.965 | 1.444  |
| Contig601                                | Proteasome subunit, beta type, 8  | DV566832 | 0.921    | 0.982     | 1.061 | 1.230  |
| PfIL255H08                               | Proteasome activator subunit 2/PA28 $\beta$                             | DV567935 | 1.035    | 1.015     | 1.255 | 1.416  |
| <i>Miscellanea</i>                       |   |          |          |           |       |        |
| Contig422                                | <b>Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH1)</b>                | AJ305222 | 0.870    | 0.814     | 0.772 | 0.643  |
| Contig330                                | <b>Asparaginyl-tRNA synthetase (NARS)</b>                               | DV567690 | 1.506    | 4.451     | 1.410 | 3.154  |
| Contig647                                | <b>Angiotensinogen precursor (AGT)</b>                                  | DV565437 | 1.631    | 2.516     | 2.002 | 2.243  |
| Contig310                                | <b>NHP2 non-histone chromosome protein 2-like 1 (HMGB2)</b>             | DV566614 | 1.007    | 2.223     | 1.097 | 1.665  |
| Contig500                                | <b>DNA-damage-inducible transcript 4-like (DDIT4L)</b>                  | AJ580016 | 1.093    | 1.988     | 1.060 | 1.082  |

Representative GenBank accession numbers are shown for contigs.

Expression changes greater than 1.5-fold in comparison with controls are highlighted in grey.

Selected genes for qRT-PCR quantification are highlighted in bold.

## 2.5. qRT-PCR

Absolute quantification of mRNA levels by qRT-PCR was carried out as detailed in [12]. Briefly, cDNA was generated from 2 µg of RNA. Real-time PCR reactions were performed in quadruplicate by using 50 ng of cDNA. No primer dimers were detected. All primers designed for absolute quantification of selected targets showed optimal (~100%) PCR efficiencies in the range of 20–2 × 10<sup>5</sup> pg of total RNA input with high linearity ( $r > 0.99$ ). An absolute calibration curve was constructed with an external standard in the range of 10<sup>2</sup>–10<sup>9</sup> RNA molecules. The number of transcript molecules was calculated from the linear regression of the standard curve.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Responses to treatments analysed by microarrays

Statistical analyses (FDR < 0.05) showed that 405 genes were differentially expressed with copper treatment at 6 h, 468 with copper at 24 h, 271 with LPS at 6 h and 664 with LPS at 24 h, in comparison between pools of test and PBS control samples, considering the technical variation from six microarrays per group (Supplementary file 3). To facilitate the discussion, the microarray data for a selected group of genes are given in Table 1. The GO terms that were over-represented amongst lists of differentially expressed genes are shown in Supplementary file 4.

Spearman cluster analysis was used to show the responses of those genes that were identifiable with known proteins and were statistically significantly differentially expressed. All sample groups segregated well, except for the PBS controls for which 6 and 24 h samples could not be distinguished, implying no overall alteration in gene expression in the controls over this time period (Fig. 1).

### 3.2. Absolute transcriptional profiling by qRT-PCR

To verify that candidate genes identified in the heterologous microarrays were indeed differentially expressed, we quantified the transcript copy numbers of nine selected genes. These genes were chosen because their reported functions made them interesting candidates, they represent a variety of functional classes and appeared either up- or down-regulated in the microarray experiments (Table 1).

Validation by qRT-PCR was performed first with the same pooled samples employed in the microarray experiments. The results are shown in Supplementary file 5, and they are presented as conventional fold variations in Table 2 in order to compare both methodologies. While microarray and qRT-PCR data corresponded qualitatively, the absolute quantification by qRT-PCR was more sensitive as, in all cases, the magnitude of the changes detected with this technique was greater. This was not unexpected as cDNA arrays may be less specific than qRT-PCR, with non-specific binding of transcripts, especially those from different members of the same gene families. This effect may be exacerbated in cross-species cDNA arrays where the probes are unlikely to have 100% nucleotide identity with their targets. Nevertheless microarray and qRT-PCR data were highly correlated ( $R^2 = 0.931$ ) where the RT-PCR fold change was less than 14 (Supplementary file 6).

To analyse in depth the transcriptional profile of selected genes and determine the effects of inter-individual variability, a second exposure to the same concentrations of LPS and CuSO<sub>4</sub> was carried out for 3, 12 and 24 h with eight individuals per experimental condition. Data at the individual level (Fig. 2) confirmed the results obtained in samples from mixtures of individuals (Table 2). Different expression patterns were distinguished, regarding both the stressor specificity and the time-course response. Hence,

TNFAIP9 and HAMP responded specifically to LPS, their maximal inductions occurred at the shortest exposure time (3 h in this second experiment). GP96 and NARS, with greater response to LPS than to copper, showed their maximal induction by LPS at 12 h and a relatively early response to copper, this response was not seen in pooled samples. PSMD3, AGT, HMGB2 and DDIT4L were transcripts that responded non-specifically to both treatments. The greatest discrepancy between pooled and individual samples was observed with DDIT4L. This may be due to the presence in the pooled samples (CuSO<sub>4</sub>, 24 h) of one or more animals with abnormally high levels of this transcript, which had the lowest overall basal level (Supplementary file 5). GAPDH, frequently used as a house-keeping marker in transcript quantifications, was repressed in response to LPS, which correlated well with the microarray data. Additionally, we noted that a high number (~50%) of genes isolated by suppressive subtractive hybridization experiments [16] were also identified as differentially expressed by microarray analysis, providing further confidence in the data.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Response to copper treatment

Copper is a trace element essential for cellular metabolism but may become extremely toxic for aquatic animals at high concentration. The impact of copper on the aquatic environment is complex and depends on the physicochemical characteristics of water [17]. Interestingly, only the GO term cell junction was statistically significantly over-represented amongst induced genes after copper treatment for 6 h. This term grouped genes related to cellular adhesion, such as claudins which are integral transmembrane tight junction proteins involved in regulating paracellular permeability [18], and those related to cell signalling as G-protein-coupled receptor kinase-interactor 2 involved in membrane trafficking between the plasma membrane and the recycling endosome [19]. This finding is in agreement with the ability of copper to alter tight junction permeability in human intestinal mucosa [20]. Of note also




Fig. 1. Spearman clustering of genes statistically significantly changed ( $\geq 1.5$ -fold) in expression between treated and control fish. Genes are shown vertically, samples horizontally, red indicates induction, and blue indicates repression. The maximum colour intensity is set to 2-fold change, grey indicates no data.

is the observation that many of the statistically significantly up-regulated genes at 6 h after copper challenge were not identifiable by homology with known proteins, providing opportunities for discovery of novel short-term responses to this metal.

#### 4.2. Response to LPS treatment

As shown by GO analyses (Supplementary file 4) genes related to the immune response were induced specifically by LPS, in accordance with its immunostimulatory properties [2]. These included hepcidin (HAMP), an antimicrobial peptide and a component of the innate immune system that plays an important role in defence against invading pathogens. Numerous teleost HAMP sequences have been published, and several reports demonstrate an up-regulation of gene expression after treatment with LPS, bacterins or live bacteria ([21] and references herein). Our results support this function for sole HAMP, given its high and early up-regulation by LPS (not by copper treatment), and the corroboration of these results by qRT-PCR at the individual level. TNF $\alpha$ -induced adipose-related protein (TNFAIP9) was also found by qRT-PCR to be quickly, highly and specifically up-regulated by LPS, therefore confirming the microarray results. Mammalian TNFAIP9 (also named TIARP and STEAP4) is induced by TNF-alpha as a protective anti-inflammatory factor [22]. Murine TNFAIP9 has recently been characterized as displaying metalloredutase activity *in vitro*, capable of facilitating cellular uptake of iron and copper [23]. Whereas the biological functions of fish TNFAIP9 are presently unknown, its pattern of expression following LPS and copper treatments suggests that sole TNFAIP9 is regulated more by the inflammatory response than through copper homeostasis.

Other immune-related genes up-regulated by LPS include cytokines, chemotaxins, NF- $\kappa$ B activator (TANK) and complement proteins. Cytokines are major signalling molecules involved in immunity and include tumour necrosis factors (TNFs), interleukins, chemokines and interferons. Chemokines are involved in chemotaxis of immune cells towards the site of wound or infections. Interleukin 8 is a CXC chemokine [24]. Interleukin 25, recently identified as a member of the interleukin 17 family of cytokines, has potent pro-inflammatory effects and induces the production of

chemokines such as interleukin 8 [25]. Leucocyte cell-derived chemotaxin 2 (LECT2), a multifunctional protein involved in cell growth, differentiation, damage/repair and autoimmune response, was initially isolated as a chemotactic factor for human neutrophils. Its expression was induced in different fish species upon bacterial infection [26]. TANK is a signal mediator of NF- $\kappa$ B activation. Mammalian TANK seems to be an important component in innate immune responses by playing a critical role in LPS-mediated type I interferon induction [27]. The deleterious effects of prolonged and elevated levels of TNF $\alpha$  require a stringent control over its expression. BCL-3 negatively regulates the transcription of the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF $\alpha$ , by blocking the NF- $\kappa$ B p50 ubiquitination. Hence, BCL-3-deficient mice are unable to control the LPS-induced inflammatory responses [28]. Overall the expression of inflammation-related genes in liver tissue is likely to involve a complex interaction between Kupffer and parenchymal cells, given that it is well-recognized in mammals that cytokine release from Kupffer cells can alter signalling and metabolic functions in neighbouring hepatocytes [29]. Thus various changes in gene expression may occur not only in hepatocytes but also in the Kupffer cells and additional changes in hepatocytes may be secondary to the Kupffer cell modifications.

Glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs) were more prevalent in the list of transcripts down-regulated at 24 h after LPS treatment. GSTs are phase II enzymes involved in detoxification and bioactivation of xenobiotics and endogenous electrophilic compounds. Many studies have revealed multiple interactions between biotransformation and immune systems in vertebrates. The capacity of bacterial infection and LPS to down-regulate biotransformation activities such as GSTs has been shown in a number of fish species [30]. In mammals, this down-regulation has been linked to a mutual inhibitory interaction between the aryl hydrocarbon receptor and the NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathways [31]. Understanding of possible interactions between fish immune and biotransformation systems should improve fish health monitoring which is crucial in aquaculture. GAPDH expression was also specifically down-regulated by LPS. This result was verified by qRT-PCR and it is in agreement with previous data on rainbow trout [32]. In mammals, it is now widely accepted that GAPDH is not simply a glycolytic enzyme, but a multifunctional protein with defined functions in numerous cellular processes, including a number of immune and disease-relevant pathways [33].

**Table 2**

Comparison of relative gene expression levels determined by microarray and qRT-PCR.


| Gene    | Time (h) | Cu                           |   | LPS                          |                              |
|---------|----------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|         |          | MICROARRAY<br>Fold variation | qRT-PCR<br>Fold<br>variation <sup>a</sup> | MICROARRAY<br>Fold variation | qRT-PCR<br>Fold<br>variation |
| TNFAIP9 | 6        | 1.17                         | 1.38                                      | 7.45                         | 25.41                        |
|         | 24       | 1.49                         | 2.00                                      | 4.77                         | 10.16                        |
| HAMP    | 6        | 1.22                         | 1.69                                      | 6.94                         | 61.15                        |
|         | 24       | 1.05                         | 1.00                                      | 2.36                         | 14.17                        |
| GP96    | 6        | 0.95                         | 0.73                                      | 1.26                         | 1.76                         |
|         | 24       | 2.00                         | 2.54                                      | 3.91                         | 6.58                         |
| NARS    | 6        | 1.51                         | 2.33                                      | 1.41                         | 2.33                         |
|         | 24       | 4.45                         | 8.00                                      | 3.15                         | 5.00                         |
| PSMD3   | 6        | 0.92                         | 1.00                                      | 1.03                         | 1.09                         |
|         | 24       | 1.51                         | 2.40                                      | 1.70                         | 2.30                         |
| AGT     | 6        | 1.63                         | 2.64                                      | 2.00                         | 3.79                         |
|         | 24       | 2.52                         | 3.48                                      | 2.24                         | 3.08                         |
| HMGB2   | 6        | 1.01                         | 1.00                                      | 1.10                         | 1.32                         |
|         | 24       | 2.22                         | 1.60                                      | 1.67                         | 2.18                         |
| DDIT4L  | 6        | 1.09                         | 2.00                                      | 1.06                         | 2.00                         |
|         | 24       | 1.99                         | 42.00                                     | 1.08                         | 3.00                         |
| GAPDH   | 6        | 0.87                         | 0.77                                      | 0.77                         | 0.93                         |
|         | 24       | 0.81                         | 0.88                                      | 0.64                         | 0.79                         |

<sup>a</sup> Absolute transcript levels determined by qRT-PCR are shown in Supplementary file 2.

#### 4.3. Common responses to the two treatments

Analyses of transcriptional patterns and GO terms highlighted that copper and LPS treatments resulted in a common response for genes corresponding to four groups of GO categories, i.e. down-regulation of digestive enzymes, and up-regulation of unfolded protein binding, intracellular transport and secretion, and proteasomal proteins. Digestive enzymes such as trypsin, chymotrypsin, elastase, and carboxypeptidase A and B were down-regulated in response to both treatments, although fish were fasted during the experiment. A similar down-regulation has been recently reported in striped sea bream on exposure to cadmium [34] and we echo the hypothesis that this might be a consequence of a general stress caused by the treatments.

Extensive studies in mammalian cells have shown that disruption of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) homeostasis leads to the accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER and activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR). To increase the folding capacity of the ER, the synthesis of ER molecular chaperones (including GP96) and foldases (including peptidyl-prolyl isomerase and selenocysteine-containing oxidoreductase Sep15) is increased (reviewed in [35]). This adaptive response allows cells to survive usually



**Fig. 2.** Differences in transcript levels in response to CuSO<sub>4</sub> or LPS. Data are the means ± SEM of transcript molecules/pg of total RNA from eight fish in each group. Comparisons were made by Student's *t*-test. Statistical significance is expressed as: \*\*\**P* < 0.001, \*\**P* < 0.01, and \**P* < 0.05. The significant increases or decreases in mRNA copy numbers and the conventional fold variations (in parentheses) are given for comparison.

reversible environmental stresses. However, if stress conditions are not relieved, apoptotic pathways are activated. The small chaperone p23 plays a role in modulating ER stress-induced apoptosis [36]. Our microarray data indicate that sole transcripts coding for

this group of proteins are up-regulated in response to both copper and LPS, implying that protein folding in the ER has been perturbed. Most studies on fish chaperones have focused on the small heat shock proteins (major cytosolic chaperone classes), like Hsp70s. As



in other organisms, elevated levels of HSPs are induced in fish tissues in response to different kinds of stress conditions, such as bacterial infections or exposure to heavy metals [37], to prevent misfolded proteins from forming aggregates that can become cytotoxic. In accord with this, sole Hsp70 mRNA was induced in response to the two treatments. HSPs are also well-known to be involved in the immune response in mammals. GP96 (a Hsp90 homolog), localised in the ER, is endowed with crucial immunological functions for priming innate and adaptive immunity [38]. Quantification by qRT-PCR indicated that sole GP96 mRNA was more highly induced following LPS challenge than following copper challenge.

The ER is essentially a folding factory, from which correctly folded proteins are transported towards their final destination. Both treatments increased the levels of transcripts coding for proteins involved in the biogenesis, budding and traffic of COPI- and COPII-coated vesicles that mediate ER/Golgi protein transport within the secretory pathways [39,40]. These transcripts included those encoding coatomer beta and epsilon subunits, ADP-ribosylation factor 5 (ARF5), several members of the p24 protein family (TMED7, TMP21) and the SNARE protein Sec22. Interestingly, both treatments increased the mRNA levels of one major subunit of the ER translocation channel (Sec61), through which polypeptides traverse the ER membrane [41]. Our results are in agreement with previously reported changes in murine SNARE protein levels in response to LPS, accommodating the rapid onset of cytokine secretion and membrane traffic associated with the phenotypic changes of immune activation [42].

The proteasome is a large cytosolic protease complex that degrades unneeded or damaged proteins. Additionally, proteins that never fold into a transport-competent state are retrotranslocated to the cytosol (in a process called ER-associated degradation) to be degraded by the proteasome. A recent microarray study has shown that key signalling pathways induced by LPS are regulated by the proteasome [43]. To this end, LPS binds directly to selected proteasome subunits (like N3), and consequently activates proteasomal activity [44]. It has been established that oxidative stress up-regulates the ubiquitin proteasome pathway [45]. In agreement with these previous results in mammalian cells, here we show that 14 transcripts coding for different components of both the 20S-protease and 19S-regulatory proteasome complexes were induced following LPS and copper treatments. qRT-PCR, complementing the microarray analysis, confirmed the up-regulation, by both LPS and copper treatments, of PSMD3 mRNA, which codes for an essential non-ATPase regulatory subunit at the proteasome lid.

Four other transcripts, selected for qRT-PCR quantification, were also found to be up-regulated by the two treatments. Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases, such as NARS, play a fundamental role in protein synthesis and display additional functions. Human NARS is thus a potent pro-inflammatory chemokine [46]. Up-regulation of sole NARS mRNA, with larger response to LPS than to copper, represents an interesting starting point to unravel novel secondary activities for piscine aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. Angiotensinogen (AGT) is thought to be an acute phase protein. Up-regulation of sole AGT mRNA levels by LPS challenge is in agreement with previous data in mammals and in ayu fish (*Plecoglossus altivelis*) [47,48]. Copper up-regulation of AGT mRNA levels is in accord with the well-recognized role of angiotensinogen in the regulation of blood pressure and fluid homeostasis and with the well-known effect of copper on the osmoregulatory and ionoregulatory physiology of fish [49]. HMGs are well-conserved eukaryotic non-histone proteins. HMGB2 is member of the HMGB family, which plays fundamental roles in DNA replication, nucleosome assembly and transcription. HMGB2 is also a component of an ER-associated multiprotein complex

(termed SET), which participates in the DNA-repair response to oxidative stress [50]. The up-regulation of HMGB2 transcript levels by LPS and copper provides the first evidence for the involvement of this DNA-bending protein in the Senegalese sole response to immunostimulants and oxidative agents. Finally, DDIT4L inhibits mammalian TOR-dependent functions, such as regulation of translation, mRNA turnover and transcription. Mammalian DDIT4L gene is known to be up-regulated at the transcriptional level in response to a variety of stresses, including pro-inflammatory stimuli and DNA damages [51]. In agreement with these studies, sole DDIT4L mRNA was up-regulated by both treatments. Our *in vivo* LPS induction was similar in extent and kinetic profile to that previously observed in isolated trout macrophages [52].

Overall these findings have demonstrated the utility of the microarray and qRT-PCR techniques to distinguish between the contrasting stress responses relating to exposure to the two agents. We provide profiles of gene expression changes that are in accord with the anticipated differential mechanisms of action and show promise as biomarkers in the monitoring of exposure and impact of both infection and its treatment, in relation to improved management and welfare of fish in aquaculture.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by CICYE (00516), and co-financed by FEDER funds. I.O.-J. held a predoctoral fellowship (Junta de Andalucía). We thank B. Espejo-Reyes for technical support. Microarray development was funded by the UK NERC post-genomic and proteomic programme grant NE/C507661/1.

### Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2009.02.020.

### References

- [1] Imsland AK, Foss A, Conceição LEC, Dinis MT, Delbare D, Schram E, et al. A review of the culture potential of *Solea solea* and *S. senegalensis*. *Rev Fish Biol Fish* 2003;13:379–407.
- [2] Swain P, Nayak SK, Nanda PK, Dash S. Biological effects of bacterial lipopolysaccharide (endotoxin) in fish: a review. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 2008;25:191–201.
- [3] Bode C, Bode JC. Effect of alcohol consumption on the gut. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2003;17:575–92.
- [4] Han FX, Hargreaves JA, Kingery WL, Huggett DB, Schlenk DK. Accumulation, distribution, and toxicity of copper in sediments of catfish ponds receiving periodic copper sulfate applications. *J Environ Qual* 2001;30:912–9.
- [5] Su GL. Lipopolysaccharides in liver injury: molecular mechanisms of Kupffer cell activation. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2002;283:G256–65.
- [6] Buckley BA. Comparative environmental genomics in non-model species: using heterologous hybridization to DNA-based microarrays. *J Exp Biol* 2007;210:1602–6.
- [7] Cerdà J, Mercadé J, Lozano JJ, Manchado M, Tingaud-Sequeira A, Astola A, et al. Genomic resources for a commercial flatfish, the Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*): EST sequencing, oligo microarray design, and development of the bioinformatic platform Soleamold. *BMC Genomics* 2008;9:508.
- [8] Williams TD, Diab AM, George SG, Godfrey RE, Sabine V, Conesa A, et al. Development of the GENIPOL European flounder (*Platichthys flesus*) microarray and determination of temporal transcriptional responses to cadmium at low dose. *Environ Sci Technol* 2006;40:6479–88.
- [9] Cohen R, Chalifa-Caspi V, Williams TD, Auslander M, George SG, Chipman JK, et al. Estimating the efficiency of fish cross-species cDNA microarray hybridization. *Mar Biotechnol (NY)* 2007;9:491–9.
- [10] Fernández-Trujillo MA, Porta J, Manchado M, Borrego JJ, Alvarez MC, Béjar J. c-Lysozyme from Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*): cDNA cloning and expression pattern. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 2008;25:697–700.
- [11] Roméo M, Bennani N, Gnassia-Barelli M, Lafaurie M, Girard JP. Cadmium and copper display different responses towards oxidative stress in the kidney of the sea bass *Dicentrarchus labrax*. *Aquat Toxicol* 2000;48:185–94.
- [12] Jurado J, Fuentes-Almagro CA, Prieto-Álamo MJ, Pueyo C. Alternative splicing of *c-fos* pre-mRNA: contribution of the rates of synthesis and degradation to

- the copy number of each transcript isoform and detection of a truncated c-Fos immunoreactive species. *BMC Mol Biol* 2007;8:83.
- [13] Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc Ser B* 1995;57:289–300.
- [14] Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M, Robles M. Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics* 2005;21:3674–6.
- [15] Blüthgen N, Brand K, Cajavec B, Swat M, Herzel H, Beule D. Biological profiling of gene groups utilizing Gene Ontology. *Genome Inform* 2005;16:106–15.
- [16] Prieto-Álamo MJ, Abril N, Osuna-Jiménez I, Pueyo C. *Solea senegalensis* genes responding to lipopolysaccharide and copper sulphate challenges: large-scale identification by suppression subtractive hybridization and absolute quantification of transcriptional profiles by real-time RT-PCR. *Aquat Toxicol* 2009;91:312–9.
- [17] Carvalho CS, Fernandes MN. Effect of temperature on copper toxicity and hematological responses in the neotropical fish *Prochilodus scrofa* at low and high pH. *Aquaculture* 2006;251:109–17.
- [18] Loh YH, Christoffels A, Brenner S, Hunziker W, Venkatesh B. Extensive expansion of the claudin gene family in the teleost fish, *Fugu rubripes*. *Genome Res* 2004;14:1248–57.
- [19] Hoefen RJ, Berk BC. The multifunctional GIT family of proteins. *J Cell Sci* 2006;119:1469–75.
- [20] Ferruzza S, Scacchi M, Scarino ML, Sambuy Y. Iron and copper alter tight junction permeability in human intestinal Caco-2 cells by distinct mechanisms. *Toxicol In Vitro* 2002;16:399–404.
- [21] Solstad T, Larsen AN, Seppola M, Jørgensen TØ. Identification, cloning and expression analysis of a hepcidin cDNA of the Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.). *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 2008;25:298–310.
- [22] Moldes M, Lasnier F, Gauthereau X, Klein C, Pairault J, Fève B, et al. Tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ -induced adipose-related protein (TIARP), a cell-surface protein that is highly induced by tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  and adipose conversion. *J Biol Chem* 2001;276:33938–46.
- [23] Ohgami RS, Campagna DR, McDonald A, Fleming MD. The Steap proteins are metalloredutases. *Blood* 2006;108:1388–94.
- [24] Savan R, Masahiro S. Genomics of fish cytokines. *Comp Biochem Physiol Part D* 2006;1:89–101.
- [25] Aggarwal S, Gurney AL. IL-17: prototype member of an emerging cytokine family. *J Leukoc Biol* 2002;71:1–8.
- [26] Lin B, Chen S, Cao Z, Lin Y, Mo D, Zhang H, et al. Acute phase response in zebrafish upon *Aeromonas salmonicida* and *Staphylococcus aureus* infection: striking similarities and obvious differences with mammals. *Mol Immunol* 2007;44:295–301.
- [27] Gatot JS, Gioia R, Chau TL, Patrascu F, Warnier M, Close P, et al. Lipopolysaccharide-mediated interferon regulatory factor activation involves TBK1–IKK $\epsilon$ -dependent Lys(63)-linked polyubiquitination and phosphorylation of TANK/I-TRAF. *J Biol Chem* 2007;282:31131–46.
- [28] Carmody RJ, Ruan Q, Palmer S, Hilliard B, Chen YH. Negative regulation of toll-like receptor signaling by NF- $\kappa$ B p50 ubiquitination blockade. *Science* 2007;317:675–8.
- [29] Milosevic N, Schawaldner H, Maier P. Kupffer cell-mediated differential down-regulation of cytochrome P450 metabolism in rat hepatocytes. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1999;368:75–87.
- [30] Reynaud S, Raveton M, Ravel P. Interactions between immune and biotransformation systems in fish: a review. *Aquat Toxicol* 2008;87:139–45.
- [31] Gharavi N, El-Kadi AO. Down-regulation of aryl hydrocarbon receptor-regulated genes by tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  and lipopolysaccharide in murine hepatoma Hepa 1c1c7 cells. *J Pharm Sci* 2005;94:493–506.
- [32] Gerwick L, Corley-Smith G, Bayne CJ. Gene transcript changes in individual rainbow trout livers following an inflammatory stimulus. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 2007;22:157–71.
- [33] Sirover MA. New nuclear functions of the glycolytic protein, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, in mammalian cells. *J Cell Biochem* 2005;95:45–52.
- [34] Auslander M, Yudkovski Y, Chalifa-Caspi V, Herut B, Ophir R, Reinhardt R, et al. Pollution-affected fish hepatic transcriptome and its expression patterns on exposure to cadmium. *Mar Biotechnol (NY)* 2008;10:250–61.
- [35] Christis C, Lubsen NH, Braakman I. Protein folding includes oligomerization – examples from the endoplasmic reticulum and cytosol. *FEBS J* 2008;275:4700–27.
- [36] Rao RV, Niazi K, Mollahan P, Mao X, Crippen D, Poksay KS, et al. Coupling endoplasmic reticulum stress to the cell-death program: a novel HSP90-independent role for the small chaperone protein p23. *Cell Death Differ* 2006;13:415–25.
- [37] Basu N, Todgham AE, Ackerman PA, Bibeau MR, Nakano K, Schulte PM, et al. Heat shock protein genes and their functional significance in fish. *Gene* 2002;295:173–83.
- [38] Strbo N, Podack ER. Secreted heat shock protein gp96-Ig: an innovative vaccine approach. *Am J Reprod Immunol* 2008;59:407–16.
- [39] Béthune J, Wieland F, Moelleken J. COPII-mediated transport. *J Membr Biol* 2006;211:65–79.
- [40] Hughes H, Stephens DJ. Assembly, organization, and function of the COPII coat. *Histochem Cell Biol* 2008;129:129–51.
- [41] Rapoport TA. Protein transport across the endoplasmic reticulum membrane. *FEBS J* 2008;275:4471–8.
- [42] Pagan JK, Wylie FG, Joseph S, Widberg C, Bryant NJ, James DE, et al. The t-SNARE syntaxin 4 is regulated during macrophage activation to function in membrane traffic and cytokine secretion. *Curr Biol* 2003;13:156–60.
- [43] Shen J, Reis J, Morrison DC, Papsian C, Raghavakaimal S, Kolbert C, et al. Key inflammatory signaling pathways are regulated by the proteasome. *Shock* 2006;25:472–84.
- [44] Qureshi N, Perera PY, Shen J, Zhang G, Lensch A, Splitter G, et al. The proteasome as a lipopolysaccharide-binding protein in macrophages: differential effects of proteasome inhibition on lipopolysaccharide-induced signaling events. *J Immunol* 2003;171:1515–25.
- [45] Fernandes R, Ramalho J, Pereira P. Oxidative stress upregulates ubiquitin proteasome pathway in retinal endothelial cells. *Mol Vis* 2006;12:1526–35.
- [46] Howard OM, Dong HF, Yang D, Raben N, Nagaraju K, Rosen A, et al. Histidyl-tRNA synthetase and asparaginyl-tRNA synthetase, autoantigens in myositis, activate chemokine receptors on T lymphocytes and immature dendritic cells. *J Exp Med* 2002;196:781–91.
- [47] Chen J, Shi YH, Li MY, Ding WC, Niu H. Molecular cloning of liver angiotensinogen gene in ayu (*Plecoglossus altivelis*) and mRNA expression changes upon *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 2008;24:659–62.
- [48] Klett C, Hellmann W, Ganten D, Hackenthal E. Tissue distribution of angiotensinogen mRNA during experimental inflammation. *Inflammation* 1993;17:183–97.
- [49] Evans DH, Piermarini PM, Choe KP. The multifunctional fish gill: dominant site of gas exchange, osmoregulation, acid–base regulation, and excretion of nitrogenous waste. *Physiol Rev* 2005;85:97–177.
- [50] Lieberman J, Fan Z. Nuclear war: the granzyme A–bomb. *Curr Opin Immunol* 2003;15:553–9.
- [51] Corradetti MN, Inoki K, Guan KL. The stress-induced proteins RTP801 and RTP801L are negative regulators of the mammalian target of rapamycin pathway. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280:9769–72.
- [52] Iliiev DB, Goetz GW, MacKenzie S, Planas JV, Goetz FW. Pathogen-associated gene expression profiles in rainbow trout macrophages. *Comp Biochem Physiol Part D* 2006;1:416–22.