UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Once-weekly GLP-1R agonists

Tahrani, Abd A.; Bellary, Srikanth; Barnett, Anthony H.

DOI:

10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30049-4

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Tahrani, AA, Bellary, S & Barnett, AH 2018, 'Once-weekly GLP-1R agonists: Moving the goal posts', *The Lancet Diabetes and Endocrinology*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 260-261. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30049-4

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility: 26/03/2018
Copyright © 2018 Elsevier Limited except certain content provided by third parties http://www.thelancet.com/journals/landia/article/PIIS2213-8587(18)30049-4/fulltext DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30049-4

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 25. Sep. 2022

Once-Weekly GLP-1 Receptor Agonists: Moving The Goal Posts

Abd A Tahrani^{1,2,3}, Srikanth Bellary^{3,4}, Anthony H. Barnett^{1,3}

¹Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research(IMSR), University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

²Centre of Endocrinology Diabetes And Metabolism (CEDAM), Birmingham Health Partners, Birmingham, UK

³Department of Diabetes and Endocrinology, Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham,

⁴School of Life & Health Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham, UK

Corresponding author:

Abd A Tahrani

Institute of Translational Medicine,

University Hospital of Birmingham

Birmingham B15 2TH

UK

a.a.tahrani@bham.ac.uk

Glucagon like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs) for the treatment of Type 2 Diabetes (T2DM) were first introduced to Europe and the USA in 2005(1). Since then, they have become well-established treatment options in the management of T2DM(2). GLP-1 RAs have several attractive features including: potent and sustained glucose lowering, low risk of hypoglycaemia due to their glucose-dependent effects on insulin and glucagon secretion, sustained weight-loss, and a favourable impact on cardiovascular risk factors together with reduction in cardiovascular event rates (liraglutide and semaglutide)(1;3-5). Several injectable GLP-1 RAs are now licensed for the treatment of T2DM, and other approaches including oral and continuous delivery via an osmotic mini-pump are in development(1;6;7), offering patients a range of dosing and delivery regimens. Together, the clinical attributes and delivery options may be very attractive to patients and health care professionals (HCPs) with the potential to reduce clinical inertia, improve therapy adherence and achieve sustained glycaemic control(8). On the other hand, the intra-class differences in the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of these agents raises challenges in terms of individualising treatment. Hence, there is a need for head-to-head trials comparing the efficacy, safety and acceptability of GLP-1 RAs(9).

In this issue of the Journal, Pratley et al present their findings from SUSTAIN 7, a multi-centre, randomised, open-label, phase 3b trial of two once weekly GLP-1 RAs, semaglutide vs. dulaglutide, in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled with metformin (**REF TO BE INSERTED**). Over 40 weeks, whilst both agents showed good glycaemic efficacy, semaglutide was superior to dulaglutide in terms of the HbA1c -lowering and weight loss despite the higher use of rescue treatment in the latter group. In addition, more patients randomised to semaglutide achieved the composite secondary outcome of HbA1c <7.0% (53 mmol/mol) without severe or confirmed symptomatic hypoglycaemia and no weight gain compared with dulaglutide.

Superior clinical efficacy, however, should always be considered in the context of safety. The adverse events profiles were largely comparable between the two agents and consistent with expectations from the class. Premature treatment discontinuation, however, occurred more frequently with semaglutide, mostly due to gastrointestinal side effects. Nonetheless, treatment discontinuation was uncommon affecting < 10% of patients. Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is another important safety concern in the light of results from SUSTAIN 6(5). The current study shows no evidence for increased risk of progression of DR with semaglutide, but the SUSTAIN 6 population were at higher cardiovascular risk and patients with proliferative DR or maculopathy were excluded from SUSTAIN 7. There is still a need, therefore, for further data regarding the effects of semaglutide on DR.

Differences in efficacy between GLP-1 RAs have been observed in several head-to-head trials(9) without clear explanations. Efficacy differences in the current trial could be due, at least in part, to differences in the structure and size of semaglutide (a GLP-1 analogue with a fatty diacid chain) and dulaglutide (two copies of a GLP-1 analogue with amino acid substitutions Ala8Gly, Gly22Glu and Arg36Gly, covalently linked to an Fc fragment of human IgG4) molecules(1). This might result in a differential ability of the GLP-1 RA to reach the appetite control centres in the brain and hence provoke differences in weight loss, leading to differences in the glucose lowering efficacy of these two molecules. However, this hypothesis needs to be tested and the current study does not explore whether the greater weight loss with semaglutide is responsible for the greater HbA1c reductions in the semaglutide arm.

Mode and ease of drug delivery (particularly in the context of injectables) are important factors in the context of treatment acceptability and adherence. The present trial delivered both study drugs using their respective licensed devices. Comparing patient views on usability and acceptability of the injection devices, however, was not part of the study design, although measures of treatment

satisfaction and quality of life showed no differences between semaglutide and dulaglutide. Future studies should examine patient preferences in terms of the devices used as this might have implications in real life.

When choosing the "next" glucose lowering agent in patients with T2DM, HCPs need to consider multiple factors in addition to glycaemic efficacy in order to personalise treatment approaches based on individualised treatment targets. Such factors may include the impact on weight, hypoglycaemia, cardiovascular risk, diabetes-related complications, tolerability, ease of use, durability of effects, interactions with other agents, patients age and renal and/or hepatic impairment. Whilst 2 head to head trials of semaglutide versus other weekly GLP-1 RAs (the current trial and SUSTAIN 3)(10) demonstrate glycaemia and weight advantages of semaglutide, treatment decisions should allow for differences in trial designs and the generalisability of the findings in a real life setting. For example, the SUSTAIN 7 findings apply to patients who were only on metformin and who were at relatively low cardiovascular risk since patients with renal impairment, established cardiovascular disease and advanced DR were excluded. In addition, much more information as to the relative utility within class of these agents from Real World settings is required to establish their true place in the management of T2DM.

Reference List

- (1) Tahrani AA, Barnett AH, Bailey CJ. Pharmacology and therapeutic implications of current drugs for type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2016 October;12(10):566-92.
- (2) American Diabetes Association. 8. Pharmacologic Approaches to Glycemic Treatment. Diabetes Care 2017 January 1;40(Supplement 1):S64.
- (3) Bailey CJ, Tahrani AA, Barnett AH. Future glucose-lowering drugs for type 2 diabetes. The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology 2016;4(4):350-9.
- (4) Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, Kristensen P, Mann JFE, Nauck MA et al. Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2016 June 13;375(4):311-22.
- (5) Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, Eliaschewitz FG, Jódar E, Leiter LA et al. Semaglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2016 September 15;375(19):1834-44.
- (6) Rosenstock J, Buse JB, Azeem R, Prabhakar P, Kjems L, Huang H et al. Efficacy and Safety of ITCA 650, a Novel Drug-Device GLP-1 Receptor Agonist, in Type 2 Diabetes Uncontrolled With Oral Antidiabetes Drugs: The FREEDOM-1 Trial. Diabetes Care 2017 December 13.
- (7) Davies M, Pieber TR, Hartoft-Nielsen M, Hansen OH, Jabbour S, Rosenstock J. Effect of oral semaglutide compared with placebo and subcutaneous semaglutide on glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2017 October 17;318(15):1460-70.
- (8) Khunti K, Nikolajsen A, Thorsted BL, Andersen M, Davies MJ, Paul SK. Clinical inertia with regard to intensifying therapy in people with type 2 diabetes treated with basal insulin. Diabetes Obes Metab 2016 April 1;18(4):401-9.

- (9) Trujillo JM, Nuffer W, Ellis SL. GLP-1 receptor agonists: a review of head-to-head clinical studies. Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab 2015 February 1;6(1):19-28.
- (10) Ahmann AJ, Capehorn M, Charpentier G, Dotta F, Henkel E, Lingvay I et al. Efficacy and Safety of Once-Weekly Semaglutide Versus Exenatide ER in Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes (SUSTAIN 3): A 56-Week, Open-Label, Randomized Clinical Trial*. Diabetes Care 2017 December 15.