

Cross-cultural adaptation and psychometric evaluation of the Herth Hope Index (HHI) within a sample of Iranian older people

Yaghoobzadeh, Ameneh; Sharif, Saeed; Ong, Fon; Soundy, Andrew; Nia, Hamid; Bagloee, Mohammad; Sarabi, Mahaz; Goudarzian, Amir; Morshedi, Hadi

DOI:

[10.1177/0091415018815239](https://doi.org/10.1177/0091415018815239)

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Yaghoobzadeh, A, Sharif, S, Ong, F, Soundy, A, Nia, H, Bagloee, M, Sarabi, M, Goudarzian, A & Morshedi, H 2018, 'Cross-cultural adaptation and psychometric evaluation of the Herth Hope Index (HHI) within a sample of Iranian older people', *International Journal of Aging and Human Development*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0091415018815239>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Yaghoobzadeh, A., Sharif, S. P., Ong, F. S., Soundy, A., Nia, H. S., Bagloee, M. M., ... Morshedi, H. (2018). Cross-Cultural Adaptation and Psychometric Evaluation of the Herth Hope Index Within a Sample of Iranian Older Peoples. *The International Journal of Aging and Human Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0091415018815239>

Published in *International Journal of Aging and Human Development* on 20/12/2018

© The Author(s) 2018

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

The International Journal of Aging and Human Development

Cross-Cultural Adaptation and Psychometric Evaluation of the Herth Hope Index (HHI) within a Sample of Iranian Older Peoples

Journal:	<i>The International Journal of Aging and Human Development</i>
Manuscript ID	IJAHD-18-0072.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Keywords:	Herth Hope Index, Psychometrics, Hope, Older People, Iran
Abstract:	<p>There is a need to understand better the measurement of hope within a variety of cross-cultural contexts and older population. The objective of this study was to examine the psychometric characteristics of the Persian translation of the Herth Hope Index (HHI-Persian). This study used a sample of 500 Iranian older people in Iran. Psychometric properties of the HHI-Persian were evaluated using face, content and construct validity. The construct validity of the instrument was tested using exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis. Reliability assessed is within the acceptable range. Construct validity of the scale showed two factors explaining 49.22 % of the variance. Internal consistency of 12 items were greater than .70. The HHI-Persian is a short, reliable, and valid assessment scale of hope in older people. The paper ends with suggestions for further research.</p>



Cross-Cultural Adaptation and Psychometric Evaluation of the Herth Hope Index (HHI) within a Sample of Iranian Older Peoples

Abstract

There is a need to understand better the measurement of hope within a variety of cross-cultural contexts and older population. The objective of this study was to examine the psychometric characteristics of the Persian translation of the Herth Hope Index (HHI-Persian). This study used a sample of 500 Iranian older people in Iran. Psychometric properties of the HHI-Persian were evaluated using face, content and construct validity. The construct validity of the instrument was tested using exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis. Reliability assessed is within the acceptable range. Construct validity of the scale showed two factors explaining 49.22 % of the variance. Internal consistency of 12 items were greater than .70. The HHI-Persian is a short, reliable, and valid assessment scale of hope in older people. The paper ends with suggestions for further research.

Keywords

Herth Hope Index, Psychometrics, Hope, Older People, Iran

Introduction

Hope is important to the mental well-being of individuals (Soundy, Liles, Stubbs, & Roskell, 2014) as a protective mechanism against stress and illness and as a prerequisite for enabling effective coping (Phillips-Salimi, Haase, Kintner, Monahan, & Azzouz, 2007). It has a positive influence on negative psychological characteristics such as burn out and stress (Meyers, van Woerkom, & Bakker, 2013) and has shown to have a longitudinal effect on well-being (Ciarrochi, Parker, Kashdan, Heaven, & Barkus, 2015). Individuals with higher levels of hope are less isolated and have more positive interpersonal relationships (Coduti & Schoen, 2014). Hope also is positively associated with other psychological constructs such as self-esteem and life satisfaction (Yarcheski, Mahon, Yarcheski, & Cannella, 2004). Hope has a significant role to play in coping with illness and loss, whilst the loss of hope can create a succumbing response (Soundy & Condon, 2015). The birth of hope is particularly relevant in situations where health is threatened, in particular with individuals who are elderly (de Oliveira, Silva, Lima, Gomes, & Olympio, 2018).

There exists a variety of scales to measure hope, a multi-dimensional concept based on different conceptualisation (Herth, 1991; Snyder & Forsyth, 1991; Soundy et al., 2014). Some scales are not able to assess the multi-dimensional nature of hope (Schrank, Woppmann, Sibitz, & Lauber, 2011). The Herth Hope Index (HHI) (Herth, 1992) was refined from the Herth Hope Scale (HHS) (Herth, 1991). HHI was designed to allow a quick assessment of hope in diverse adult populations using three factors of hope: temporality and the future, interconnectedness, and readiness and expectancy (Herth, 1992). In recent years there have been a number of researchers who have validated the HHI (e.g., (Benzein & Berg, 2003; Herth, 1992; Phillips-Salimi et al., 2007) and it has been adapted and translated into different languages e.g., Portuguese, Dutch,

1
2
3 German, and Japanese (Geiser et al., 2015; Hirano, Sakita, Yamazaki, Kawai, & Sato, 2007; Van
4 Gestel-Timmermans, Van Den Bogaard, Brouwers, Herth, & Van Nieuwenhuizen, 2010) as well
5
6 as the Farsi language (Abdi & Lari, 2011).
7
8
9

10 It should be noted that there is a need to better understand hope within a variety of cross-
11 cultural contexts and different population (Ripamonti et al., 2012). Translation of scales need to
12 be culturally sensitive and psychometrically sound in order to generate valid and generalizable
13 research findings (Sartorius & Kuyken, 1994; Waltz, Strickland, & Lenz, 2017). Researchers
14 cautioned when considering the utilisation of the scale across cultures (and languages) and
15 populations (Chan, Li, Chan, & Lopez, 2012). The main problems identified during validation of
16 the HHI has been two items (item 4 'I can see a light in a tunnel' and item 5 'I have faith that
17 gives me comfort in the scale') (Benzein & Berg, 2003; Chan et al., 2012; Van Gestel-
18 Timmermans et al., 2010). In addition, past studies have not been able to replicate the 3-factor
19 model (Geiser et al., 2015; Koizumi, Ito, Mori, & Miyamoto, 1999). Further to this the utilisation
20 of the total score rather than sub-totals should be considered (Geiser et al., 2015). Although past
21 research in Iran has attempted a translation of the HHI into Persian language (Abdi & Lari,
22 2011), the target population were the younger age groups between the ages of 17-43 years,
23 whereas most other studies utilise an older age group (Chan et al., 2012; Koizumi et al., 1999). In
24 addition, research by Abdi and Lari (2011) reported basic correlations without testing the validity
25 of the translated scale. Therefore, this study examined the psychometric properties of HHI-
26 Persian using a more rigorous validation process. In addition, since the population of Iran is
27 ageing (Danial, Motamedi, Mirhashemi, Kazemi, & Mirhashemi, 2014) and since hope has a
28 positive effect on coping and emotions (Folkman, 2013), this study tested the psychometric
29 properties of HHI-Persian among healthy older adults.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 It is significant to recognize the relation between the hope aspect and mental health recovery.
4
5 The HHI appeared to be a good instrument for this purpose as it is a brief instrument with good
6
7 psychometric properties developed for clinical usage. It is to enable the examination of hope at
8
9 numerous intervals and to identify and detect changes in levels of hope. Moreover, its validity
10
11 and reliability has been well-established in different languages (i.e. Portuguese, Dutch, German,
12
13 and Japanese) (Van Gestel-Timmermans et al., 2010). To the best of the authors' knowledge
14
15 criterion related validity of the HHI in people living in Iran has not been established using
16
17 exploratory factor analysis and confirmatory factor analysis among older people population.
18
19 Thus, the main purpose of the present study is to test the psychometric properties of HHI-Persian
20
21 Index, which addresses the shortcoming the previous study of HHI in Iran that failed to test the
22
23 validity of the translated scale. In addition, since the population of Iran is ageing (Danial et al.,
24
25 2014) and since hope has a positive effect on coping and emotions (Folkman, 2013), it is
26
27 imperative to examine hope orientation among the older people population in Iran. This will be
28
29 useful for health practitioners when addressing the emotional health of older people.
30
31
32
33
34

35 **Methods**

36 *Data source*

37
38
39 Data collection took place in 23 clinics by two researchers from December 2015 to April 2016 in
40
41 Qazvin, Iran on older adults who visited the clinics for controlling their blood pressure and
42
43 receiving some educational information. The inclusion criteria included: 1) willingness to
44
45 participate in research; 2) age 60 and over; 3) being aware of time and place; 4) having ability to
46
47 communicate; and 5) ability to respond to the questionnaire. The survey excluded people who
48
49 experienced extreme stress in the former month. A convenience sample of 504 older adults
50
51 participated in the study.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Measures

The questionnaire consisted of two parts: 1) Basic questions eliciting demographic information; and 2) Herth Hope Index (HHI).

Herth Hope Index (HHI): The HHI is a 12-item abbreviated version of the Herth Hope Scale (HHS) (a 30-items scale) measuring multidimensional aspects of hope based upon Dufault and Martocchio's (1985) conceptual framework of hope. It uses a 4-point Likert scale to assess a participant's level of hope. Total HHI score can range from 12 to 48 with higher scores corresponding to higher levels of hope (Herth, 1992). The HHI is widely used on individuals experiencing varied health conditions in both hospital and community settings. The validity and the reliability of HHI have been well established in different studies (Benzein & Berg, 2003; Chan et al., 2012; Herth, 1992).

Procedure

Written permission for the use of the HHI was obtained from the developer of the scale, Dr. Kaye Herth. The World Health Organization protocol of forward-backward translation technique was used for translating the scale from English into Persian (World Health Organization, 2016). Two English-Persian translators independently translated the HHI. An expert panel, consisting of three papers' authors as well as two professional translators, assessed and unified the two translations and constructed a single Persian translation of HHI. Thereafter, a Persian-English translator was asked to back-translate the Persian HHI into English. This English version of the HHI was sent to Dr. Herth, for confirmation of the correctness of translations and confirming the similarity of the achieved English HHI with its original.

Psychometric Properties of the HHI-Persian Version

1. Content validity

1
2
3 *Qualitative content validity:* Content validity of the HHI was assessed using feedback from
4 fifteen specialists currently working with older people. This group comprised seven psychiatrists,
5 three clinical psychologists, and five psychiatric nurses. The group of specialists assessed the
6 wording of the HHI and provide general feedback regarding item allocation and the scaling of
7 items (Colton & Covert, 2007).
8
9

14 2. *Construct validity*

15
16 Construct validity was evaluated through Maximum Likelihood Exploratory Factor Analysis
17 (MLEFA) with Promax rotation on the first set of 252 responses. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin
18 (KMO) test and the Bartlett's test of sphericity were used to check the appropriateness of the
19 study sample and the model. The number of factors was determined based on Horn's Parallel
20 Analysis (Çokluk & Koçak, 2016). Items with absolute loading values of .4 or greater were
21 considered appropriate (Saggino & Kline, 1996). The factor structure obtained from the EFA
22 was examined using confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) on the second set of 252 older peoples.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 Average variance extracted (AVE), maximum shared squared variance (MSV) and average
34 shared square variance (ASV) were estimated to assess the convergent and discriminant validity
35 of the extracted HHI factors. In order to establish convergent validity (i) AVE should be greater
36 than .5 and (ii) construct reliability (CR) should be greater than AVE. To meet the discriminant
37 validity criteria, both MSV and ASV of each construct should be less than its AVE (Ahadzadeh,
38 Pahlevan Sharif, Ong, & Khong, 2015; Hair Jr, Black, Babin, & Anderson, 2010).
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 ***Reliability assessment***

48
49 The reliability of the HHI-Persian was first assessed through evaluating its internal consistency
50 and Cronbach's alpha (α), Average inter-item correlation (AIC) and McDonald Omega (Ω) (H.
51 Sharif Nia et al., 2017). Next, Composite Reliability (CR) was calculated. Coefficients of
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 reliability of 0.7 or greater show satisfactory reliability (Jorritsma, de Vries, Dijkstra, Geertzen,
4 & Reneman, 2012).

5 6 7 ***Multivariate normality and outliers***

8
9
10 To evaluate normality it is helpful to assess both Univariate (for Outliers and Skewness and
11 Kurtosis) and Multivariate normality using Mardia's coefficient of Multivariate kurtosis whereby
12 a Mardia's coefficient > 8 indicates deviation of Multivariate normality (Raoprasert & Islam,
13 2010). Multivariate outliers can be evaluated using Mahalanobis distance ($P < .001$) (Harrington,
14 2008; Tabachnick & Fidell, 2013). All of statistical procedures were analyzed by SPSS-AMOS₂₄
15 and JASP_{0.9.0.1}.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 ***Ethical consideration***

25
26 Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences Ethics Committee (IR.MAZUMS.REC.96 -10486)
27 gave approval to this study. Participants were informed about study aims and procedures (e.g.,
28 that participation was voluntary and would not affect medical care) before signing an informed
29 consent document. Participant confidentiality was assured by completing all study procedures in
30 a quiet treatment area. All personal data were de-identified by assigning codes to the participants.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Results**

41 42 43 ***Sample characteristics***

44
45
46 **Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of participants in the study.**

47
48 [Insert Table 1 here]

49
50
51 Table 2 shows the results of conducting MLEFA with Promax rotation on the HHI-Persian
52 using the first dataset ($N = 252$). Items 11 and 12 were deleted as they were identical to items 1
53 and 2 respectively. The KMO was .814, and the Bartlett's test of sphericity was significant ($p <$
54
55
56
57

.001, 978.946, $df = 45$) indicating that the sampling was adequate. The Promax rotation indicated that (i) eight items loaded on the first factor which explained 37.892% of the post-rotation variance and (ii) two items loaded on the second factor with 11.329% of the post-rotation variance. Considering the items related to each factor, the two factors were named (i) Inner Connectedness and Positive Readiness and Expectancy and (ii) Loneliness and Fear for the Future. The total cumulative variance explained by these two factors was 49.220%.

[Insert Table 2 here]

Next, maximum likelihood CFA was performed to confirm and validate the factor structure obtained with EFA using the second dataset ($N = 252$). Based on the modification indices, four pairs of measurement errors (between items 2 and 10, items 7 and 8, items 7 and 9, and between items 9 and 10) were allowed to freely covary (see Figure 1). The results show that after reviewing model modification indices for sources of model misfit, the model (consisting of two factors) has a good fit ($\chi^2(31, N = 252) = 75.794, p < .001; \chi^2/df = 2.445$, adjusted goodness-of-fit index (AGFI) = .900, goodness-of-fit index (GFI) = .943, comparative fit index (CFI) = .933, Tucker Lewis index (TLI) = .903, incremental fit index (IFI) = .934, standardized root mean square residual (SRMR) = .0545, and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) = .076 (90% confidence interval = .054–.098)). The convergent and discriminant validity of the two extracted subscales were assessed using CR, AVE, MSV, and ASV. CR of factor 1 was .877, which showed good construct reliability and convergent validity. CR of factor 2 was .692, which was close to the most widely used cutoff value of .7 and greater than .6 for psychological constructs. The lower CR of the second factor can be because this factor consisted of only two items. Cronbach's alpha and McDonald Omega for all of items were .821 (CI95: .797 to .843) and .859 respectively for both the factors.

1
2
3 The AVE of the first and second constructs was .473 and .539, respectively. Although the
4 AVE of the first factor was less than .5 but it was close to the suggested threshold. AVE is a
5 strict measure of convergent validity and CR alone can be used to assess convergent validity of
6 psychological constructs. Since the CR of the first factor was .877, it was sufficient to meet the
7 requirement of convergent validity. For discriminant validity, AVE should be greater than ASV
8 and MSV. The two constructs had a very low correlation and as a result a negligible average and
9 maximum shared variance, providing support for discriminant validity. Both the factors met the
10 requirements for convergent validity in which the composite reliability was .877 and .692,
11 respectively. The results of the present study achieved convergent and discriminant validity.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

[Insert Figure 1 here]

[Insert Table 3 here]

32 Discussion

33
34 This study tested the psychometric properties of the HHI-Persian Scale. Exploratory factor
35 analysis produced two-factor structure compared to the original three-factor structure by Herth
36 (Herth, 1992). Factor one has 8 items and Factor two has 2 items. While this two-factor structure
37 is similar to many of the studies conducted in the past (Benzein & Berg, 2003; Koizumi et al.,
38 1999; Wahl et al., 2004), the items loaded were different. Comparing the Factors obtained in this
39 study with the original Herth Hope Index (HHI) (1992) shows that Factor 1 in this study is
40 difficult to interpret as the items that are loaded are found in all the three factors in Herth's study
41 (Herth, 1992). Two of items loaded (goals and positive outlook toward life) measure inner sense
42 of temporality and future as found in Factor 1 of Herth's study (1992). Items "A sense of
43 direction" and "Recall happy/joyful times") loaded on Factor 1 of this study relate to Factor 2 of
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Herth's study (1992), and a further three items are similar to the items in interconnectedness with
4 self and other (Factor 3 of Herth, 1992: "faith that comforts", "deep inner strength", and "give
5 and receive caring/love"). In this study, Factor 2 has two items "feel all alone" and "scared
6 about the future" are loaded on Factor 3 and Factor 1, respectively in the original HHI. The
7 difference in factor structure found in the present study and Herth (1992) could be due to the
8 cultural environment in Iran and the sample population. In the present study, data used in the
9 analysis are data from older population in the community while the sample for Herth (1992)
10 consisted of ill adults.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 Compared to the study of Wahl et al. (2004) which drew sample from the general population in
22 Norway, the study reported a two-factor structure. Interestingly, the items that were loaded on
23 Factor 2 in Wahl et al. (2004) were the same as found in the present study: "scared about the
24 future" and "feel all alone". For Factor 1, the items loaded in both studies had seven common
25 items. While we found 8 items loaded on Factor 1 and two items on Factor 2, Wahl et al. (2004)
26 also had 8 items loaded on Factor 1, 2 items loaded on Factor 2 and another 2 items loaded on
27 both factors. Comparing to the study on urban population by (Koizumi et al., 1999), this study
28 elucidated two factors whereas Koizumi obtained a unidimensional factor structure. The different
29 factor loadings of these studies could be due to the different national and historical experiences.
30
31 In interpreting the results of the present study, it is important to bear in mind that Iran is a
32 homogeneous Islamic nation. It is for this reason that the same items loaded on Factor 2 in two
33 distinct countries: Norway in the West and Iran in the Middle East, is interesting, which warrants
34 further research.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 For Factor 1, the items that had high loadings are: able to give and receive caring/love (.755),
52 recall happy/joyful times (.716) and deep inner strength (.710). These items reveal
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 interconnectedness with self and others, and they are about relationships that are important to
4
5 older adults. Yaghoobzadeh et al (2018) in their study indicate that interaction has impacts on
6
7 hope in patients who have unimpaired cognitive functioning. Also, it has the ability to enhance
8
9 the self-respect of older adults, which is linked to the increased life satisfaction (Yaghoobzadeh
10
11 et al., 2018).
12
13

14
15 Comparing the item loadings with the study of Wahl et al. (2004), the same items had
16
17 loadings of .584, .442, and .620, respectively for “able to give” and “receive caring/love”, “recall
18
19 happy/joyful times” and “deep inner strength”, which are all lower than the present study. The
20
21 high loadings could be due to the collectivist nature of Iranian society and perhaps the Islamic
22
23 faith compared to the more individualistic Western societies. In the second factor, "feeling alone"
24
25 has the higher factor loading at .865. It is obvious that feeling loneliness is a miserable feeling
26
27 for all groups including older adults.
28
29

30
31 The reliability of the present index was in the acceptable range although lower compared to
32
33 past studies. The Cronbach's alpha for Factor 1 and Factor 2 are .876 and .571, respectively, with
34
35 an overall internal consistency of greater than .8, which shows good internal consistency. The
36
37 overall Cronbach's alpha for Herth (1992) was 0.97, and it was 0.81 for the Norwegian study by
38
39 Wahl et al. (2004). HHI-Dutch reported Cronbach's alpha of 0.84 (Van Gestel-Timmermans et
40
41 al., 2010), while HHI-German was at 0.82 (Geiser et al., 2015), 0.89 for the Japanese study
42
43 among urban population (Hirano et al., 2007). AIC of the factors were greater than .4. The AIC
44
45 of the items should be ranged between .2-.4, while ideals in the range .1-.5 are acceptable (Cox
46
47 & Ferguson, 1994). The AIC for the two sub-scales were .452 and .400, respectively, thus
48
49 demonstrating reasonable reliabilities.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 According to the final model of HHI-Persian, there is a link between measurement errors of
4 items 9th and 7th (e9, e7), 9th and 10th (e9, e10), 7th and 8th (e7, e8), and 2th and 10th (e2, e10).
5
6 Correlated measurement error arises from the situation when variables have not been recognized
7 clearly or not measured openly (Munro 2005). Self-reported measurement method may cause
8 measurement errors. Conversely, measurement errors can be the consequence of using similar
9 words and expressions in both positive and negative statements (Hamid Sharif Nia et al., 2016).
10
11 **Correlated measurement error can be used as a scale reduction technique to finalize and then**
12 **confirm final structural scale (Floyd & Widaman, 1995).**
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 ***Limitations***

23
24 One of the limitations of this study is the convenience sampling method that limits the
25 generalizability of the findings. Future studies should consider using a more representative
26 sample. In addition, further studies should include other measures to test the nomological
27 validity of the scale and to examine hope among different segments of the population.
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Conclusions**

34
35
36 The Herth Hope Index that was first developed in the US was translated into the Persian
37 language and tested for reliability and validity using a convenience sample of healthy older
38 adults in Iran. Although the Index was first administered on ill or chronically ill people to assess
39 their condition, the 12-item scale has been tested among healthy older individuals in the general
40 population. To our knowledge, this is the first study that attempted to assess the validity and
41 reliability of the scale among older people in an Asian context. The HHI-Persian Scale as a
42 whole has good internal consistency and it has achieved the requirements for psychometric
43 properties. The practical implication of this study shows that in clinical settings as in the general
44 population, the Scale is reliable in assessing older adults to aid them in the coping process.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Abdi, N., & Lari, M.A. (2011). Standardization of three hope scales, as possible measures at the end of life, in iranian population. *Iranian Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 4(2), 71-77.
- Ahadzadeh, A.S., Pahlevan Sharif, S., Ong, F.S., & Khong, K.W. (2015). Integrating health belief model and technology acceptance model: An investigation of health-related internet use. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 17(2), e45. doi: 10.2196/jmir.3564.
- Benzein, E., & Berg, A. (2003). The swedish version of herth hope index--an instrument for palliative care. *Scand J Caring Sci*, 17(4), 409-415. doi: 410.1046/j.0283-9318.2003.00247.x.
- Chan, K.S., Li, H.C., Chan, S.W., & Lopez, V. (2012). Herth hope index: Psychometric testing of the chinese version. *J Adv Nurs*, 68(9), 2079-2085. doi: 2010.1111/j.1365-2648.2011.05887.x. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2011.05887.x
- Ciarrochi, J., Parker, P., Kashdan, T.B., Heaven, P.C., & Barkus, E. (2015). Hope and emotional well-being: A six-year study to distinguish antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 10(6), 520-532. doi: 510.1080/17439760.17432015.11015154.
- Coduti, W.A., & Schoen, B. (2014). Hope model: A method of goal attainment with rehabilitation services clients. *Journal of Rehabilitation*, 80(2), 30.
- Çokluk, Ö., & Koçak, D. (2016). Using horn's parallel analysis method in exploratory factor analysis for determining the number of factors. *Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice*, 16(2), 537-551.
- Colton, D., & Covert, R.W. (2007). *Designing and constructing instruments for social research and evaluation*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Cox, T., & Ferguson, E. (1994). Measurement of the subjective work environment. *Work & Stress*, 8(2), 98-109.
- Danial, Z., Motamedi, M.H., Mirhashemi, S., Kazemi, A., & Mirhashemi, A.H. (2014). Ageing in iran. *Lancet*, 384(9958), 1927. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(14)62278-9
- de Oliveira, L.M., Silva, S.M., Lima, E.d.F.A., Gomes, M.d.G.C., & Olympio, P.C.d.A.P. (2018). The life hope of elderly: Profile assessment and herth scale. *Revista de Pesquisa: Cuidado é fundamental online*, 10(1), 167-172.
- Floyd, F.J., & Widaman, K.F. (1995). Factor analysis in the development and refinement of clinical assessment instruments. *Psychological assessment*, 7(3), 286.

- 1
2
3 Folkman, S. (2013). Stress, coping, and hope *Psychological aspects of cancer* (pp. 119-127).
4 United States: Springer.
- 5 Geiser, F., Zajackowski, K., Conrad, R., Imbierowicz, K., Wegener, I., Herth, K.A., & Urbach,
6 A.S. (2015). The german version of the herth hope index (hhi-d): Development and
7 psychometric properties. *Oncol Res Treat*, 38(7-8), 356-360. doi: 10.1159/000435900
- 8 Hair Jr, J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., & Anderson, R.E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (7th
9 ed.): Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- 10 Harrington, D. (2008). *Confirmatory factor analysis*: Oxford University Press, USA.
- 11 Herth, K. (1991). Development and refinement of an instrument to measure hope. *Sch Inq Nurs*
12 *Pract*, 5(1), 39-51; discussion 53-36.
- 13 Herth, K. (1992). Abbreviated instrument to measure hope: Development and psychometric
14 evaluation. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 17(10), 1251-1259. doi: 1210.1111/j.1365-
15 2648.1992.tb01843.x.
- 16 Hirano, Y., Sakita, M., Yamazaki, Y., Kawai, K., & Sato, M. (2007). The herth hope index (hhi)
17 and related factors in the japanese general urban population. *Japanese Journal of Health*
18 *and Human Ecology*, 73(1), 31-43. doi: 10.3861/jshhe.3873.3831.
- 19 Jorritsma, W., de Vries, G.E., Dijkstra, P.U., Geertzen, J.H., & Reneman, M.F. (2012). Neck
20 pain and disability scale and neck disability index: Validity of dutch language versions.
21 *Eur Spine J* 21(1), 93-100. doi: 110.1007/s00586-00011-01920-00585.
- 22 Koizumi, M., Ito, M., Mori, Y., & Miyamoto, M. (1999). Development of a japanese version of
23 the herth hope index *The Kitakanto Medical Journal*, 49(4), 277-282. doi:
24 210.2974/kmj.2949.2277.
- 25 Meyers, M.C., van Woerkom, M., & Bakker, A.B. (2013). The added value of the positive: A
26 literature review of positive psychology interventions in organizations. *European Journal*
27 *of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 22(5), 618-632. doi:
28 610.1080/1359432X.1352012.1694689.
- 29 Phillips-Salimi, C.R., Haase, J.E., Kintner, E.K., Monahan, P.O., & Azzouz, F. (2007).
30 Psychometric properties of the herth hope index in adolescents and young adults with
31 cancer. *J Nurs Meas*, 15(1), 3-23. doi: 10.1891/106137407780851769.
- 32 Raoprasert, T., & Islam, S.M.N. (2010). *Designing an efficient management system: Modeling of*
33 *convergence factors exemplified by the case of japanese businesses in thailand*: Physica-
34 Verlag HD.
- 35 Ripamonti, C.I., Buonaccorso, L., Maruelli, A., Bandieri, E., Boldini, S., Pessi, M.A., . . .
36 Miccinesi, G. (2012). Hope herth index (hhi): A validation study in italian patients with
37 solid and hematological malignancies on active cancer treatment. *Tumori*, 98(3), 385-
38 392. doi: 10.1700/1125.12409
- 39 Saggino, A., & Kline, P. (1996). Item factor analysis of the italian version of the death anxiety
40 scale. *Journal of clinical psychology*, 52(3), 329-333. doi: 310.1002/(SICI)1097-
41 4679(199605)199652:199603<199329::AID-JCLP199611>199603.199600.CO;199602-
42 K.
- 43 Sartorius, N., & Kuyken, W. (1994). Translation of health status instruments *Quality of life*
44 *assessment: International perspectives* (pp. 3-18): Springer-Verlag, Berlin: Heidelberg.
- 45 Schrank, B., Woppmann, A., Sibitz, I., & Lauber, C. (2011). Development and validation of an
46 integrative scale to assess hope. *Health expectations*, 14(4), 417-428. doi:
47 410.1111/j.1369-7625.2010.00645.x.
- 48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

- 1
2
3 Sharif Nia, H., Pahlevan Sharif, S., Goudarzian, A.H., Haghdoost, A.A., Ebadi, A., & Soleimani,
4 M.A. (2016). An evaluation of psychometric properties of the templer's death anxiety
5 scale-extended among a sample of iranian chemical warfare veterans. *Hayat*, 22(3), 229-
6 244.
7
8 Sharif Nia, H., Pahlevan Sharif, S., Lehto, R.H., Boyle, C., Yaghoobzadeh, A., Kaveh, O., &
9 Goudarzian, A.H. (2017). Development and psychometric evaluation of a persian version
10 of the death depression scale-revised: A cross-cultural adaptation for patients with
11 advanced cancer. *Jpn J Clin Oncol*, 47(8), 713-719. doi: 10.1093/jjco/hyx065
12 Snyder, C., & Forsyth, D.R. (1991). *Handbook of social and clinical psychology: The health*
13 *perspective*. Elmsford, NY, USA: Pergamon Press.
14 Soundy, A., & Condon, N. (2015). Patients experiences of maintaining mental well-being and
15 hope within motor neuron disease: A thematic synthesis. *Front Psychol*, 6, 606. doi:
16 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00606
17 Soundy, A., Liles, C., Stubbs, B., & Roskell, C. (2014). Identifying a framework for hope in
18 order to establish the importance of generalised hopes for individuals who have suffered
19 a stroke. *Adv Med*, 2014, 471874. doi: 10.1155/2014/471874
20 Tabachnick, B.G., & Fidell, L.S. (2013). *Using multivariate statistics*: Pearson Education.
21 Van Gestel-Timmermans, H., Van Den Bogaard, J., Brouwers, E., Herth, K., & Van
22 Nieuwenhuizen, C. (2010). Hope as a determinant of mental health recovery: A
23 psychometric evaluation of the herth hope index-dutch version. *Scand J Caring Sci*, 24
24 *Suppl 1*, 67-74. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6712.2009.00758.x
25 Wahl, A.K., Rustoen, T., Lerdal, A., Hanestad, B.R., Knudsen, O., Jr., & Moum, T. (2004). The
26 norwegian version of the herth hope index (hhi-n): A psychometric study. *Palliat Support*
27 *Care*, 2(3), 255-263. doi: 210.1017/S1478951504040349.
28 Waltz, C.F., Strickland, O.L., & Lenz, E.R. (2017). *Measurement in nursing and health research*
29 *(5th edition)* New York: Springer Publishing Company.
30 World Health Organization. (2016). Process of translation and adaptation of instruments. from
31 http://www.who.int/substance_abuse/research_tools/translation/en/
32 Yaghoobzadeh, A., Gorgulu, O., Yee, B.-L., Wibisono, A.H., Pahlevan Sharif, S., Sharif Nia, H.,
33 & Allen, K.A. (2018). A model of aging perception in iranian elders with effects of hope,
34 life satisfaction, and socioeconomic status: A path analysis. *Journal of the American*
35 *Psychiatric Nurses Association*, 1078390317753676.
36 Yarcheski, A., Mahon, N.E., Yarcheski, T.J., & Cannella, B.L. (2004). A meta-analysis of
37 predictors of positive health practices. *J Nurs Scholarsh*, 36(2), 102-108. doi:
38 110.1111/j.1547-5069.2004.04021.x.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1. The final structural model of HHI among elders.

Table 1. Demographic profiles of respondents

Variables	N (%) or Mean (SD)	Variables	N (%) or Mean (SD)
<i>Sex</i>		<i>Present Socio-economic Status</i>	
Male	214 (42.5%)	Poor	85 (16.9%)
Female	290 (57.5%)	Average	334 (66.3%)
<i>Age</i>	66.20 (5.76)	Good	85 (16.9%)
<i>Marital Status</i>		<i>Main Income Resources</i>	
Single	27 (5.4%)	Personal	170 (33.7%)
Married	386 (76.6%)	Family	77 (15.3%)
Widow/divorced	91 (18.1 %)	Pension	257 (51%)
<i>Educational Status</i>		<i>Relative Visiting</i>	
Illiterate	119 (23.6%)	Sometimes	253 (50.2%)
Guidance	300 (59.5%)	Often	157 (31.2%)
Diploma	26 (8.7%)	Very Much	94 (18.7%)
Collegiate	29 (5.8%)	<i>Emotional Support</i>	
<i>Present Living Place</i>		Family	475 (94.2%)
Personal	463 (91.9%)	Friends & colleagues	29 (5.8%)
Children	41 (8.1%)		

Table 2. Maximum likelihood factor analysis in the HHI with two factors

Factors Name	Items	h^2	Factor Loading	λ	% Variance	Internal consistency
Inner Connectedness and Positive Readiness and Expectancy	<i>9. I am able to give and receive caring/love</i>	.569	.755	3.788	37.892	α = .876 Ω = .735 AIC=.452
	<i>7. I can recall happy/joyful times</i>	.510	.716			
	<i>8. I have deep inner strength</i>	.501	.710			
	<i>1. I have a positive outlook toward life</i>	.484	.697			
	<i>10. I have a sense of direction</i>	.462	.670			
	<i>4. I can see possibilities in the midst of difficulties</i>	.454	.663			
	<i>5. I have a faith that gives me comfort</i>	.447	.662			
Loneliness and Fear for the Future	<i>2. I have short and/or long range goals</i>	.419	.617	1.172	11.329	α = .665 Ω = .778 AIC=.400
	<i>3. I feel all alone</i>	.748	.865			
	<i>6. I feel scared about my future</i>	.330	.574			

Abbreviations; h^2 : Communalities, λ : Eigenvalue, AIC: Average inter-item correlation, α : Cronbach's alpha, Ω : McDonald's omega

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 3. The results of convergent validity and composite reliability

Factors	CR	AVE
Inner Connectedness and Positive Readiness and Expectancy	.877	.473
Loneliness and Fear for the Future	.692	.539

Abbreviations: CR: Composite reliability; AVE: Average Variance Extracted

For Peer Review

1
2
3 **Implication for practice:** The Persian version of HHI is considered to be valid and reliable
4 scale. Therefore, it can be suggested to be used in practice to measure hope of older peoples.
5 Determining this will help health care providers to consider plans in order to enhance older
6 peoples` hope and their mental well-being.
7
8
9

10 What does this research add to existing knowledge in gerontology?

- 11 • Hope is an important aspect of mental health recovery and a major concern in older
12 peoples.
- 13 • The HHI-Persian is appropriate for research in the recovery process of older peoples.
- 14 • The HHI-Persian has shown to be an instrument with adequate psychometrical properties.

15
16
17
18
19 What are the implications of this new knowledge for nursing care with older people?

- 20 • Determining hope will help health care providers to consider plans in order to enhance
21 older peoples` hope and their mental well-being.

22
23
24
25 How could the findings be used to influence policy or practice or research or education?

- 26 • The HHI briefness and suitability for clinical use make the instrument also an appropriate
27 tool for research and clinical interventions regarding hope in people with severe mental
28 illness.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The objective of this study was to examine the psychometric characteristics of the Persian
4 version of the Herth Hope Index (HHI-Persian) within a sample of 500 Iranian elders during
5 December 2015 and April 2016 in Qazvin, Iran. The HHI was forward translated from English
6 into Persian and back translated to English. Psychometric properties of the HHI-Persian
7 evaluated using face, content, and construct validity. The construct validity of the instrument
8 tested using exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis. Reliability also assessed. Construct
9 validity of the scale showed two factors with eigenvalues greater than one accounted for 49.22 %
10 of the variance. The Cronbach's alpha, Theta, McDonald, and construct reliability were greater
11 than .70 showed good reliability of the index. The HHI-Persian is a short, reliable, and valid
12 scale of hope in elders' population. Further research suggested to give more insights to
13 understand possible factorial solution and subscales in different cultures and contexts.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60