

## The cone of Z-transformations on the Lorentz cone

Nemeth, Sandor; Gowda, Muddappa Seetharama

DOI:

[10.13001/1081-3810.4083](https://doi.org/10.13001/1081-3810.4083)

License:

None: All rights reserved

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Nemeth, S & Gowda, MS 2019, 'The cone of Z-transformations on the Lorentz cone', *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, vol. 35, no. 1, 25, pp. 387-393. <https://doi.org/10.13001/1081-3810.4083>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

### **Publisher Rights Statement:**

Checked for eligibility: 13/09/2019

Nemeth, Sandor Zoltan and Gowda, Muddappa S.. (2019), "The cone of Z-transformations on Lorentz cone", *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, Volume 35, pp. 387-393.

### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

### **Take down policy**

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 **THE CONE OF  $\mathcal{Z}$ -TRANSFORMATIONS ON THE LORENTZ CONE\***

2 SÁNDOR Z. NÉMETH<sup>†</sup> AND M. SEETHARAMA GOWDA<sup>‡</sup>

3 **Abstract.** In this paper, we describe the structural properties of the cone of  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transformations  
 4 on the Lorentz cone in terms of the semidefinite cone and copositive/completely positive cones  
 5 induced by the Lorentz cone and its boundary. In particular, we describe its dual as a slice of the  
 6 semidefinite cone as well as a slice of the completely positive cone of the Lorentz cone. This provides  
 7 an example of an instance where a conic linear program on a completely positive cone is reduced to  
 8 a problem on the semidefinite cone.

9 **Key words.**  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transformation, Lorentz cone, semidefinite cone, copositive cone, completely  
 10 positive cone

11 **AMS subject classifications.** 90C33, 15A48

12 **1. Introduction.** Given a proper cone  $\mathcal{K}$  in a finite dimensional real Hilbert  
 13 space  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , a linear transformation  $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -*transformation*  
 14 *on  $\mathcal{K}$*  if

$$15 \quad \left[ x \in \mathcal{K}, y \in \mathcal{K}^*, \text{ and } \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \right] \Rightarrow \langle Ax, y \rangle \leq 0,$$

16 where  $\mathcal{K}^*$  denotes the dual of  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Such transformations appear in various areas  
 17 including economics, dynamical systems, optimization, see e.g., [3, 2, 12, 9] and the ref-  
 18 erences therein. When  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is the nonnegative orthant,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transformations  
 19 become  $\mathcal{Z}$ -matrices, which are square matrices with nonpositive off-diagonal entries.

20 The set  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$  of all  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transformations on  $\mathcal{K}$  is a closed convex cone in the space of  
 21 all (bounded) linear transformations on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Given their appearance and importance in  
 22 various areas, describing/characterizing elements of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$  and its interior, boundary,  
 23 dual, etc., is of interest. An early result of Schneider and Vidyasagar [16] asserts that  
 24  $A$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transformation on  $\mathcal{K}$  if and only if  $e^{-tA}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ; consequently,

$$25 \quad (1.1) \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K}) = \overline{\mathbb{R}I - \pi(\mathcal{K})},$$

26 where  $\pi(\mathcal{K})$  denotes the set of all linear transformations that leave  $\mathcal{K}$  invariant,  $I$   
 27 denotes the identity transformation, and overline denotes the closure. To see another  
 28 description of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ , let  $\text{LL}(\mathcal{K}) := \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K}) \cap -\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$  denote the lineality space of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ ,  
 29 the elements of which are called Lyapunov-like transformations. Then the inclusions

$$30 \quad \mathbb{R}I - \pi(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \text{LL}(\mathcal{K}) - \pi(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K}) = \overline{\mathbb{R}I - \pi(\mathcal{K})}$$

31 imply that

$$32 \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K}) = \overline{\text{LL}(\mathcal{K}) - \pi(\mathcal{K})}.$$

33 As the cones  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ ,  $\pi(\mathcal{K})$ , and  $\text{LL}(\mathcal{K})$  are generally difficult to describe for an arbitrary  
 34 proper cone  $\mathcal{K}$ , we consider special cases. When  $\mathcal{K}$  is the nonnegative orthant,  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$   
 35 consists of square matrices with nonpositive off-diagonal entries,  $\pi(\mathcal{K})$  consists of  
 36 nonnegative matrices, and  $\text{LL}(\mathcal{K})$  consists of diagonal matrices. Consequently, proper  
 37 polyhedral cones can be handled via isomorphism arguments. Moving away from

---

\*Submitted to the editors July 15, 2019.

<sup>†</sup>School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, Watson Building, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom (s.nemeth@bham.ac.uk)

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland 21250, USA (gowda@umbc.edu)

proper polyhedral cones, in this paper, we focus on the Lorentz cone (also called the ice-cream cone or the second-order cone as it is induced by the 2-norm) in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n > 1$ , defined by:

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{L} := \left\{ (t, u)^\top : t \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, t \geq \|u\| \right\}.$$

This, being an example of a symmetric cone, appears prominently in conic optimization [1]. For this cone, Stern and Wolkowicz [17] have shown that  $A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$  if and only if for some real number  $\gamma$ , the matrix  $\gamma J - (JA + A^\top J)$  is positive semidefinite, where  $J$  is the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(1, -1, -1, \dots, -1)$ . Another result of Stern and Wolkowicz ([18], Theorem 4.2) asserts that

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{LL}(\mathcal{L}) - \pi(\mathcal{L}).$$

(Going in the reverse direction, in a recent paper, Kuzma et al., [13] have shown that for an irreducible symmetric cone  $\mathcal{K}$ , the equality  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K}) = \text{LL}(\mathcal{K}) - \pi(\mathcal{K})$  holds only when  $\mathcal{K}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}$ .) Characterizations of  $\pi(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\text{LL}(\mathcal{L})$  appear, respectively, in [14] and [20].

In this paper, we describe  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$  and its interior, boundary, and dual in terms of the semidefinite cone and the so-called copositive and completely positive cones induced by  $\mathcal{L}$  (or its boundary  $\partial(\mathcal{L})$ ), see below for the definitions. In particular, we describe the dual of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$  as a slice of the semidefinite cone and also of the completely positive cone of  $\mathcal{L}$ . This provides an example of an instance where a conic linear optimization problem over a completely positive cone is reduced to a semidefinite problem. To elaborate, consider  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the Euclidean  $n$ -space of (column) vectors with the usual inner product,  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , the space of all real  $n \times n$  matrices with the inner product  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{tr}(X^\top Y)$ , and  $\mathcal{S}^n$ , the subspace of all real  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Corresponding to a closed cone  $\mathcal{C}$  (which is not necessarily convex) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}} := \text{copos}(\mathcal{C}) := \left\{ A \in \mathcal{S}^n : x^\top A x \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \text{ in } \mathcal{C} \right\}$$

denote the *copositive cone of  $\mathcal{C}$*  and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} := \text{compos}(\mathcal{C}) := \left\{ \sum_{u \in U} uu^\top : U \text{ is a finite subset of } \mathcal{C} \right\}$$

denote the *completely positive cone of  $\mathcal{C}$* . When  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$ , these two cones coincide with the *semidefinite cone*  $\mathcal{S}_+^n$  (consisting of all real  $n \times n$  symmetric positive semidefinite matrices); when  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , these reduce, respectively, to the (standard) copositive cone and completely positive cone. All these cones appear prominently in conic optimization. A result of Burer [5] (see also, [4, 7]) says that any nonconvex quadratic programming problem over a closed cone with additional linear and binary constraints can be reformulated as a linear program over a suitable completely positive cone. For this and other reasons, there is a strong interest in understanding copositive and completely positive cones. For the closed convex cones  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , various structural properties (such as the interior, boundary) as well as duality, irreducibility, and homogeneity properties, have been investigated in the literature, see for example, [19, 6, 8, 11]. Taking  $\mathcal{C}$  to be one of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$ , or  $\partial(\mathcal{L})$ , we show that

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^* = \left\{ B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \langle B, I \rangle = 0, -JB \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} \right\}$$

79 and deduce the equality of slices

$$80 \quad (1.5) \quad \left\{ X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \langle J, X \rangle = 0, X \in \mathcal{S}_+^n \right\} = \left\{ X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \langle J, X \rangle = 0, X \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} \right\}.$$

81 **2. Preliminaries.** In a (finite dimensional real) Hilbert space  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , a  
82 nonempty set  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be a *closed cone* if it closed and  $tx \in \mathcal{C}$  whenever  $x \in \mathcal{C}$  and  
83  $t \geq 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . *Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{C}$  denotes a closed cone.*

84 A nonempty set  $\mathcal{K}$  is said to be a *closed convex cone* if it is a closed cone which is also  
85 convex. Such a cone is said to be *proper* if  $\mathcal{K} \cap -\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$  and has nonempty interior.  
86 Corresponding to a closed convex cone  $\mathcal{K}$ , we define its dual in  $\mathcal{H}$  as the set

$$87 \quad \mathcal{K}^* = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{H} : \langle x, y \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in \mathcal{K} \right\}.$$

88 We say that a linear transformation  $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is *copositive* on  $\mathcal{K}$  if  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  
89  $x \in \mathcal{K}$ . We also let  $\pi(\mathcal{K}) = \{A : A(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}\}$ , where  $A$  denotes a linear transformation  
90 on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For a set  $S$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , we denote the closure, interior, and the boundary by  $\bar{S}$ ,  $S^\circ$ ,  
91 and  $\partial(S)$  respectively.

92 We will be considering closed convex cones in the space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^n$  which carries the  
93 usual inner product and in the space  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  which carries the inner product  $\langle X, Y \rangle :=$   
94  $\text{tr}(X^\top Y)$ , where the trace of a square matrix is the sum of its diagonal entries. In  
95  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}^n$  denotes the subspace of all symmetric matrices and  $\mathcal{A}^n$  denotes the subspace  
96 of all skew-symmetric matrices. We note that  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is the orthogonal direct sum of  
97  $\mathcal{S}^n$  and  $\mathcal{A}^n$ .

98 We recall some (easily verifiable) properties of the Lorentz cone  $\mathcal{L}$  given by (1.2).  
99  $\mathcal{L}$  is a self-dual cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , that is,  $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L}$ ; its interior and boundary are given,  
100 respectively, by

$$101 \quad \mathcal{L}^\circ = \left\{ (t, u)^\top : t > \|u\| \right\},$$

$$102 \quad \partial(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ (t, u)^\top : t = \|u\| \right\} = \left\{ \alpha (1, u)^\top : \alpha \geq 0, \|u\| = 1 \right\}.$$

104 We also have

$$105 \quad (2.1) \quad \left[ 0 \neq x, y \in \mathcal{L}, \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \right] \Rightarrow x = \alpha (1, u)^\top \text{ and } y = \beta (1, -u)^\top,$$

for some  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  and  $\|u\| = 1$ .

107 For a closed cone  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we consider the copositive cone  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and the completely  
108 positive cone  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$  (defined in the Introduction). Note that these are cones of symmetric  
109 matrices.

110 *In the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{S}^n$  (which carries the inner product from  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ), the following*  
111 *hold.*

- 113 (1)  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is the dual cone of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$  [19].
- 114 (2) When  $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$ , both  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$  are proper cones ([10], Proposition 2.2).  
115 *In particular, this holds when  $\mathcal{C}$  is one of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$ , or  $\partial(\mathcal{L})$ .*
- 116 (3) We have  $\mathcal{S}_+^n = \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$ , or equivalently,  $\mathcal{K}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})} \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{L}} \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \mathcal{S}_+^n$ .

117 **3. Main results.** In this section, we provide a closure-free description of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$   
118 and, additionally, describe the dual, interior, and the boundary of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$ . We re-  
119 call that  $J = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, \dots, -1)$  and  $\mathcal{A}^n$  denotes the set of all skew-symmetric  
120 matrices in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

121 THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote one of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$ , or  $\partial(\mathcal{L})$ . Then,

$$122 \quad (3.1) \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{R}I - J(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} + \mathcal{A}^n).$$

123 *Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$ . From the result of Stern and Wolkowicz [17] mentioned in  
124 the Introduction, we have

$$125 \quad 2\gamma J - (JA + A^\top J) = 2P$$

126 for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $P \in \mathcal{S}_+^n$ . Hence,  $JA + (JA)^\top = 2(\gamma J - P)$ , which implies

$$127 \quad (3.2) \quad 2JA = JA + (JA)^\top - [(JA)^\top - JA] = 2(\gamma J - P) - 2Q,$$

128 where  $2Q = (JA)^\top - JA$  is skew-symmetric. Since  $J^2 = I$ , this leads to

$$129 \quad A = \gamma I - J(P + Q),$$

130 where  $P \in \mathcal{S}_+^n$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{A}^n$ . As  $\mathcal{S}_+^n \subset \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$ , this proves that

$$131 \quad (3.3) \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}I - J(\mathcal{S}_+^n + \mathcal{A}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}I - J(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} + \mathcal{A}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}I - J(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})} + \mathcal{A}^n).$$

132 Now, to see the reverse inclusions, suppose  $A = \gamma I - J(P + Q)$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  
133  $P \in \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$ , and  $Q$  skew-symmetric. Let  $0 \neq x, y \in \mathcal{L}$  with  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ . By (2.1),  $x$  and  
134  $y$  are in  $\partial(\mathcal{L})$ , and  $Jy$  is a positive multiple of  $x$ . Hence,  $\langle Px, Jy \rangle \geq 0$  as  $P \in \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$   
135 and  $\langle Qx, Jy \rangle = 0$  as  $Q$  is skew-symmetric. Thus,

$$136 \quad \langle Ax, y \rangle = \gamma \langle x, y \rangle - \langle JPx, y \rangle + \langle JQx, y \rangle = -\langle Px, Jy \rangle + \langle Qx, Jy \rangle \leq 0.$$

137 This shows that  $A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$  and so, inclusions in (3.3) turn into equalities. Thus we  
138 have (3.1).  $\square$

139 **Remarks.** From the above theorem, we have

$$140 \quad \mathbb{R}I - J(\mathcal{S}_+^n + \mathcal{A}^n) = \mathbb{R}I - J(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} + \mathcal{A}^n) = \mathbb{R}I - J(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})} + \mathcal{A}^n).$$

141 Multiplying throughout by  $J$  and noting  $-A^n = A^n$ , we get the equality of sets

$$142 \quad (\mathbb{R}J - \mathcal{S}_+^n) + \mathcal{A}^n = (\mathbb{R}J - \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}) + \mathcal{A}^n = (\mathbb{R}J - \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}) + \mathcal{A}^n,$$

143 where each set is a sum of  $\mathcal{A}^n$  and a subset of  $\mathcal{S}^n$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n} = \mathcal{S}^n + \mathcal{A}^n$  is an  
144 (orthogonal) direct sum decomposition, we see that

$$145 \quad (3.4) \quad \mathbb{R}J - \mathcal{S}_+^n = \mathbb{R}J - \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathbb{R}J - \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}.$$

146 These equalities can also be established via different arguments. A result of Loewy  
147 and Schneider [14] asserts that *A symmetric matrix  $X$  is copositive on  $\mathcal{L}$  if and only*  
148 *if there exists  $\mu \geq 0$  such that  $X - \mu J \in \mathcal{S}_+^n$ .* (This is essentially a consequence of the  
149 so-called S-Lemma [15]: If  $A$  and  $B$  are two symmetric matrices with  $\langle Ax_0, x_0 \rangle > 0$   
150 for some  $x_0$  and  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0 \Rightarrow \langle Bx, x \rangle \geq 0$ , then there exists  $\mu \geq 0$  such that  $B - \mu A$   
151 is positive semidefinite.) This result gives the equality

$$152 \quad \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{S}_+^n + \mathbb{R}_+ J$$

153 and consequently  $\mathbb{R}J - \mathcal{S}_+^n = \mathbb{R}J - \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}$ . The equality

$$154 \quad \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})} = \mathcal{S}_+^n + \mathbb{R}J$$

155 can be seen via an application of Finsler' theorem [15] that says that if  $A$  and  $B$   
 156 are two symmetric matrices with  $[x \neq 0, \langle Ax, x \rangle = 0] \Rightarrow \langle Bx, x \rangle > 0$ , then there ex-  
 157 ists  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $B + \mu A$  is positive semidefinite. (For  $M \in \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$  and vectors  
 158  $u, v \in \mathcal{L}^\circ$ , one has  $\langle Jx, x \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \langle M_k x, x \rangle > 0$ , where  $k$  is a natural number and  
 159  $M_k := M + \frac{1}{k}uv^\top$ . When  $M_k + \mu_k J$  is positive semidefinite for all  $k$ , it follows that the  
 160 sequence  $\mu_k$  is bounded. One can then use a limiting argument.) From this equality,  
 161 one gets  $\mathbb{R}J - \mathcal{S}_+^n = \mathbb{R}J - \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$ .

162

163 Our next result deals with the dual of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$ .

164 THEOREM 3.2. *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote one of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$ , or  $\partial(\mathcal{L})$ . Then,*

$$165 \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^* = \left\{ B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \langle B, I \rangle = 0, -JB \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} \right\}.$$

166 *In particular, (1.5) holds.*

167 *Proof.* We fix  $\mathcal{C}$ . From (3.1), we see that  $B \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^*$  if and only if

$$168 \quad 0 \leq \langle B, \gamma I - J(P + Q) \rangle$$

169 for all  $\gamma$  real,  $P$  in  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , and  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{A}^n$ . Clearly, this holds if and only if

$$170 \quad \langle B, I \rangle = 0, \langle -JB, P \rangle \geq 0, \text{ and } \langle -JB, Q \rangle = 0$$

171 for all  $\gamma, P$ , and  $Q$  specified above. Now, with the observation that a (real) matrix  
 172 is orthogonal to all skew-symmetric matrices in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  if and only if it is symmetric,  
 173 this further simplifies to

$$174 \quad \langle B, I \rangle = 0 \text{ and } -JB \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}^*,$$

175 where  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}^*$  is the dual of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$  computed in  $\mathcal{S}^n$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}^*$  in  $\mathcal{S}^n$ , we see that  
 176  $B \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^*$  if and only if  $\langle B, I \rangle = 0$  and  $-JB \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

177 We remark that (1.5) can be deduced directly from (3.4) by taking the duals in  $\mathcal{S}^n$ .

178

179 In our final result, we describe the interior and boundary of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$ . First, we recall  
 180 some definitions from [9]. Let

$$181 \quad \Omega := \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} : \|x\| = 1 = \|y\| \text{ and } \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \right\}.$$

182 It is easy to see that  $\Omega$  is compact and, from (2.1),

$$183 \quad (3.5) \quad \Omega = \left\{ (x, Jx) : x \in \partial(\mathcal{L}), \|x\| = 1 \right\}.$$

184 For any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , let

$$185 \quad \gamma(A) := \max \left\{ \langle Ax, y \rangle : (x, y) \in \Omega \right\}.$$

186 Note that  $A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$  if and only if  $\gamma(A) \leq 0$ . We say that  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a *strict- $\mathcal{Z}$ -*  
187 *transformation on  $\mathcal{L}$*  if

$$188 \quad [0 \neq x, y \in \mathcal{L}, \langle x, y \rangle = 0] \Rightarrow \langle Ax, y \rangle < 0.$$

189 The set of all such transformations is denoted by  $str(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}))$ . For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , the  
190 following statements are shown in [9], Theorem 3.1:

$$191 \quad \gamma(A) < 0 \iff A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^\circ \iff A \in str(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}))$$

192 and

$$193 \quad \gamma(A) = 0 \iff A \in \partial(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})).$$

194 Recall that  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}$  consists of all symmetric matrices that are copositive on  $\mathcal{L}$ . We  
195 say that a symmetric matrix  $P$  is *strictly copositive on  $\mathcal{L}$*  if  $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \langle Px, x \rangle > 0$ ;  
196 the set of all such matrices is denoted by  $str(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}})$ . Similarly, one defines  $str(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})})$ .

197 **COROLLARY 3.3.** *The following statements hold:*

$$198 \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^\circ = str(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathbb{R}I - J \left( str(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}) + \mathcal{A}^n \right)$$

199 and

$$200 \quad \partial(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathbb{R}I - J \left( \partial_*(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}) + \mathcal{A}^n \right),$$

201 where  $\partial_*(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})})$  denotes the boundary of  $\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$  in  $\mathcal{S}^n$ .

202 *Proof.* We first deal with the interior of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$ . The equality

$$203 \quad \left\{ A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \gamma(A) < 0 \right\} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^\circ = str(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}))$$

204 has already been observed in [9], Theorem 3.1. To see the first assertion, we show  
205 that  $\gamma(A) < 0$  if and only if  $A = \theta I - J(P + Q)$  for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P$  (symmetric)  
206 strictly copositive on  $\partial(\mathcal{L})$ , and  $Q$  skew-symmetric. Suppose  $\gamma(A) < 0$ . Then, for any  
207  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$208 \quad \max \left\{ \langle (A - \theta I)x, y \rangle : (x, y) \in \Omega \right\} < 0,$$

209 which, from (3.5) becomes

$$210 \quad \min \left\{ \langle J(\theta I - A)x, x \rangle : x \in \partial(\mathcal{L}), \|x\| = 1 \right\} > 0.$$

211 Now, fix  $\theta$  and let  $J(\theta I - A) = P + Q$ , where  $P \in \mathcal{S}^n$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{A}^n$ . As  $\langle Qx, x \rangle = 0$   
212 for any  $x$ , the above inequality implies that  $\min \left\{ \langle Px, x \rangle : x \in \partial(\mathcal{L}), \|x\| = 1 \right\} > 0$ .

213 This proves that  $P$  is strictly copositive on  $\partial(\mathcal{L})$ . Rewriting  $J(\theta I - A) = P + Q$ , we  
214 see that  $A = \theta I - J(P + Q)$  which is of the required form.

215 To see the converse, suppose  $A = \theta I - J(P + Q)$ , where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P$  (symmetric) strictly  
216 copositive on  $\partial(\mathcal{L})$ , and  $Q$  skew-symmetric. Using (3.5), we can easily verify that  
217  $\gamma(A) < 0$ . Thus,  $A \in str(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}))$ .

218 An argument similar to the above will show that  $\gamma(A) = 0$  if and only if  $A = \theta I -$   
219  $J(P + Q)$  for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P \in \partial_*(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})})$ , and  $Q$  skew-symmetric. This gives the  
220 statement regarding the boundary of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\square$

221 We end the paper with a remark dealing with conic linear programs. Motivated  
 222 by the result of Burer (mentioned in the Introduction), we consider a conic linear  
 223 program on a completely positive cone  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$  (where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a closed cone):

$$224 \quad \min \left\{ \langle c, x \rangle : Ax = b, x \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} \right\}.$$

225 While such a problem is generally hard to solve, we ask: (When) can we replace  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$   
 226 by  $\mathcal{S}_+^n$  and thus reduce the above problem to the semidefinite programming problem  
 227  $\min \left\{ \langle c, x \rangle : Ax = b, x \in \mathcal{S}_+^n \right\}$ ? Just replacing  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$  by  $\mathcal{S}_+^n$  without handling the con-  
 228 straint  $Ax = b$  is not viable as  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{S}_+^n$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C} \cup -\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$  (which fails to hold  
 229 when  $n > 1$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is pointed), see [11]. While we do not answer this broad question,  
 230 we point out, as a consequence of (1.5) that for any  $C \in \mathcal{S}^n$ ,

$$231 \quad \min \left\{ \langle C, X \rangle : \langle X, J \rangle = 0, X \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} \right\} = \min \left\{ \langle C, X \rangle : \langle X, J \rangle = 0, X \in \mathcal{S}_+^n \right\}.$$

232

## REFERENCES

- 233 [1] F. ALIZADEH AND D. GOLDFARB, *Second-order cone programming*, Math. Program., 95 (2003),  
 234 pp. 3–51.
- 235 [2] A. BERMAN, M. NEUMANN, AND R. J. STERN, *Nonnegative matrices in dynamic systems*, Pure  
 236 and Applied Mathematics (New York), John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.
- 237 [3] A. BERMAN AND R. J. PLEMMONS, *Nonnegative matrices in the mathematical sciences*, vol. 9,  
 238 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1994.
- 239 [4] S. BURER, *On the copositive representation of binary and continuous nonconvex quadratic  
 240 programs*, Math. Program., 120 (2009), pp. 479–495.
- 241 [5] S. BURER, *Copositive programming*, in Handbook on semidefinite, conic and polynomial opti-  
 242 mization, vol. 166 of Internat. Ser. Oper. Res. Management Sci., Springer, New York, 2012,  
 243 pp. 201–218.
- 244 [6] P. J. C. DICKINSON, *Geometry of the copositive and completely positive cones*, J. Math. Anal.  
 245 Appl., 380 (2011), pp. 377–395.
- 246 [7] P. J. C. DICKINSON, G. EICHFELDER, AND J. POVH, *Erratum to: On the set-semidefinite  
 247 representation of nonconvex quadratic programs over arbitrary feasible sets*, Optim. Lett.,  
 248 7 (2013), pp. 1387–1397.
- 249 [8] M. DÜR AND G. STILL, *Interior points of the completely positive cone*, Electron. J. Linear  
 250 Algebra, 17 (2008), pp. 48–53.
- 251 [9] J. FAN, J. TAO, AND G. RAVINDRAN, *On the structure of the set of  $\mathbf{Z}$ -transformations on proper  
 252 cones*, Pac. J. Optim., 13 (2017), pp. 219–226.
- 253 [10] M. S. GOWDA, *On copositive and completely positive cones, and  $\mathbf{Z}$ -transformations*, Electron.  
 254 J. Linear Algebra, 23 (2012), pp. 198–211.
- 255 [11] M. S. GOWDA AND R. SZNAJDER, *On the irreducibility, self-duality, and non-homogeneity of  
 256 completely positive cones*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra, 26 (2013), pp. 177–191.
- 257 [12] M. S. GOWDA AND J. TAO,  *$\mathbf{Z}$ -transformations on proper and symmetric cones:  $\mathbf{Z}$ -  
 258 transformations*, Math. Program., 117 (2009), pp. 195–221.
- 259 [13] B. KUZMA, M. OMLADIĆ, K. ŠIVIC, AND J. TEICHMANN, *Exotic one-parameter semigroups of  
 260 endomorphisms of a symmetric cone*, Linear Algebra Appl., 477 (2015), pp. 42–75.
- 261 [14] R. LOEWY AND H. SCHNEIDER, *Positive operators on the  $n$ -dimensional ice cream cone*, J.  
 262 Math. Anal. Appl., 49 (1975), pp. 375–392.
- 263 [15] I. PÓLIK AND T. TERLAKY, *A survey of the  $S$ -lemma*, SIAM Rev., 49 (2007), pp. 371–418.
- 264 [16] H. SCHNEIDER AND M. VIDYASAGAR, *Cross-positive matrices*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 7 (1970),  
 265 pp. 508–519.
- 266 [17] R. J. STERN AND H. WOLKOWICZ, *Exponential nonnegativity on the ice cream cone*, SIAM J.  
 267 Matrix Anal. Appl., 12 (1991), pp. 160–165.
- 268 [18] R. J. STERN AND H. WOLKOWICZ, *Trust region problems and nonsymmetric eigenvalue pertur-  
 269 bations*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 15 (1994), pp. 755–778.
- 270 [19] J. F. STURM AND S. ZHANG, *On cones of nonnegative quadratic functions*, Math. Oper. Res.,  
 271 28 (2003), pp. 246–267.
- 272 [20] J. TAO AND M. S. GOWDA, *A representation theorem for Lyapunov-like transformations on  
 273 Euclidean Jordan algebras*, Int. Game Theory Rev., 15 (2013), pp. 1340034, (11 pages).