UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Neural correlates of theory of mind in typicallydeveloping youth

Gao, Yidian; Rogers, Jack; Pauli, Ruth; Clanton, Roberta; Baker, Rosalind; Birch, Philippa; Ferreira, Lisandra; Brown, Abigail; Freitag, Christine M.; Fairchild, Graeme; Rotshtein, Pia; De Brito, Stephane

DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-52261-y

License: Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Gao, Y, Rogers, J, Pauli, R, Clanton, R, Baker, R, Birch, P, Ferreira, L, Brown, A, Freitag, CM, Fairchild, G, Rotshtein, P & De Brito, S 2019, 'Neural correlates of theory of mind in typically-developing youth: influence of sex, age and callous-unemotional traits', *Scientific Reports*, vol. 9, no. 1, 16216. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52261-y

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN

Neural correlates of theory of mind in typically-developing youth: Influence of sex, age and callousunemotional traits

Yidian Gao^{1,2,6}, Jack C. Rogers^{2,3,6}, Ruth Pauli^{2,3}, Roberta Clanton^{2,3}, Rosalind Baker^{2,3}, Philippa Birch^{2,3}, Lisandra Ferreira^{2,3}, Abigail Brown^{2,3}, Christine M. Freitag^{10,4}, Graeme Fairchild⁵, Pia Rotshtein^{2,3} & Stephane A. De Brito^{10,2,3*}

Theory of mind (ToM), or the ability to infer and predict the intentions, thoughts and beliefs of others, involves cognitive perspective taking (cognitive ToM/cToM) and understanding emotions (affective ToM/aToM). While behavioral evidence indicates that ToM is influenced by sex and age, no study has examined the influence of these variables on the neural correlates of cToM and aToM in late childhood/ adolescence. Using fMRI with 35 typically-developing youths (aged 9–18 years, 12 males), we investigated the influence of sex and age on the neural correlates of cToM and aToM. We also examined how callous-unemotional traits, indexing a lack of empathy, were related to brain responses during aToM. Across both conditions, we found convergent activity in ToM network regions, such as superior temporal sulcus/temporoparietal junction (TPJ) and precuneus across males and females, but males recruited the left TPJ significantly more than females during cToM. During aToM, age was negatively correlated with brain responses in frontal, temporal and posterior midline regions, while callous-unemotional traits were positively correlated with right anterior insula responses. These results provide the first evidence in youth that sex influences the neural correlates of cToM, while age and callous-unemotional traits are specifically related to brain responses during aToM.

^cTheory of mind' (ToM) is the ability to infer and predict others' mental states, including intentions, thoughts and beliefs¹. More recent conceptualizations of ToM are multidimensional² and include understanding of others' emotions as part of ToM^{3,4}. A prominent model divides ToM into cognitive (*i.e.*, inferring another's beliefs/motivations) and affective (*i.e.*, inferring what someone feels) components⁵. According to this model, affective ToM (aToM) requires the integration of cognitive ToM (cToM) and empathy, suggesting aToM might be a higher order and more complex component than cToM^{5,6}.

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have delineated a 'core brain network' for ToM, including the medial prefrontal cortex, temporal-parietal junction (TPJ), posterior superior temporal sulcus (pSTS), posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) and precuneus^{4,7,8}. To date, however, most studies have relied on adult samples despite evidence of significant development of the social brain between late childhood and late adoles-cence^{9,10}. Using a cartoon-based vignette paradigm which includes separate conditions for cToM, aToM, and a physical causality (PC) control condition (see Fig. 1), Sebastian and colleagues examined the neural correlates of cToM and aTOM in 15 adolescent and 15 adult typically-developing males⁶. The results demonstrated that relative to the PC control condition, ToM conditions recruited the 'core ToM network'; whereas the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dIPFC) was more involved during cToM, and ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) and PCC activations were only observed during aToM, which is consistent with previous studies on adults¹¹⁻¹⁴. Behaviorally, adolescent males, relative to adult males, made more errors on the aToM condition and reported

¹Medical Psychological Institute, Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China. ²Centre for Human Brain Health, School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK. ³Institute for Mental Health, School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK. ⁴Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Germany. ⁵Department of Psychology, University of Bath, Bath, UK. ⁶These authors contributed equally: Yidian Gao and Jack C. Rogers. *email: s.a.debrito@bham.ac.uk A. Cognitive Theory of Mind Condition



Figure 1. Examples of the cartoon vignette stimuli for (**A**) cognitive ToM, (**B**) affective ToM and (**C**) physical causality conditions. The frames of the story were sequentially displayed for 2 seconds each. The choice between two endings was displayed for 5 seconds. A blue frame highlighted the participant's choice from the onset of the key press response until the end of the 5 seconds display. For illustrative purposes the correct answer is shown highlighted on the left of the display, although during the task the location of the correct answer was randomized.

lower levels of affective empathy trait. Finally, adolescents showed stronger activation in the vmPFC during aToM relative to adults⁶. Given these differences between adults and youths during ToM processing and the lack of research on youths, particularly in females, the first aim of the present study was to investigate the neural sub-strates underpinning cToM/aToM in a sample of typically-developing female youth.

Neuroimaging studies have also indicated possible sex differences in the neural correlates of ToM (see for review Christov-Moore *et al.*¹⁵). For example, using a statement re-appraisal task assumed to reflect cToM processing¹⁶, Veroude *et al.* reported that males activate bilateral inferior parietal cortex (e.g. TPJ), precuneus and PCC more than females¹⁷. Derntl *et al.* found that when inferring emotional response from a pictorial scenario, males activated TPJ more than females, while females activated inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), amygdala and the STS more than males¹⁸ (see also Schulte-Ruther *et al.*¹⁹). Taken together, these neuroimaging findings suggest that males activate TPJ more than females during cToM processing, while females recruit amygdala, IFG and STS more than males when inferring others' emotional reactions. However, the current neuroimaging literature on sex differences in the correlates of ToM has several limitations. First, most previous studies examining the influence of sex have focused on cToM and aToM separately^{15,20}. Second, participants in most of the existing neuroimaging studies were adults, meaning that far less is known about the influence of sex on the neural correlates of ToM in youths²¹. In this context, the second aim of the present study was to investigate the influence of sex on the neural correlates of ToM in youths.

Our third aim was to examine whether age as a continuous variable is associated with brain responses during cToM and aToM. Experimental work has shown that the development of cToM precedes that of aToM^{22,23}. Consistent with these data, aToM performance has been found to be positively related to age and executive functions²⁴ and there is evidence that adolescents recruit the vmPFC more than adults during aToM, suggesting a particularly protracted developmental trajectory of aToM⁶. There is thus substantial evidence that the social brain continues to develop between adolescence to adulthood^{9,25}. However, to our knowledge, apart from one study⁶, no other fMRI study has investigated the relationship between age (as a continuous variable) and the neural correlates of cToM and aToM in the same study.

Finally, we examined if variation in callous-unemotional (CU) traits relates to neural responses during ToM. CU traits are a clinical construct reflecting a lack of empathy and guilt combined with a shallow affect and the callous use of others for one's own gain²⁶. Among antisocial youths, CU traits are negatively associated with performance and neural responses on affective, but not cognitive, ToM tasks^{27–29}. Importantly, these traits are dimensionally distributed in the population and, as is the case in antisocial youths, are associated with behavioural and emotional problems and abnormal emotional responses in non-antisocial youths²⁶. Given the lack of research

	Female	Male	t	Р	Cohen's d			
Age in years (s.d.)	14.96(2.74)	15.00(2.04)	-0.05	0.96	0.02			
IQ (s.d.)	100.13(8.45)	98.75(6.94)	0.49	0.63	0.18			
ICU scores (s.d.)								
Total scores	14.52(8.89)	17.50(7.78)	-0.98	0.33	0.36			
Callous	3.65(3.80)	4.00(2.17)	-0.29	0.77	0.11			
Uncaring	7.09(5.09)	9.00(4.24)	-1.11	0.27	0.41			
Unemotional	3.78(2.41)	4.50(2.97)	-0.77	0.45	0.27			
GEM scores (s.d.)								
Total scores	17.12(24.39)	30.67(17.79)	-1.70	0.10	0.63			
Cognitive	3.87(10.88)	10.00(9.77)	-1.64	0.11	0.59			
Affective	6.39(9.55)	-3.42(15.14)	2.35	0.03	0.78			
Mean RT (s.d.)								
Affective ToM	2124(477)	2277(444)	-0.92	0.36	0.33			
Cognitive ToM	2202(478)	2286(390)	-0.52	0.61	0.19			
Physical Causality	2101(470)	2099(367)	0.02	0.99	< 0.01			
Percent errors (s.d.)								
Affective ToM	13.91(14.38)	7.50(7.54)	1.44	0.16	0.56			
Cognitive ToM	5.22(9.47)	10.00(6.03)	-1.58	0.12	0.60			
Physical Causality	5.65(6.62)	7.50(9.65)	-0.67	0.51	0.22			

Table 1. Means and standard deviations for the demographic, questionnaire, and behavioral data forthe cartoon Theory of Mind (ToM) task, presented by Condition and Group. s.d. = standard deviation,IQ = intelligence quotient, ICU = Inventory of Callous-Unemotional Traits, GEM = Griffith Empathy Measure,RT = reaction time.

on the neural correlates of CU traits in non-antisocial youths, we investigated whether neural responses during aToM were related to CU traits in typically-developing youths.

The current study aimed to replicate previous findings observed with males⁶ and tested whether these could be extended to female youth. The cartoon vignette paradigm combined with fMRI was used to investigate the neural correlates of cToM and aToM⁶. The impact of participants' sex on the neural correlates of ToM was examined as well as associations with age and CU traits. We expected to replicate the patterns of neural activation observed during cToM and aToM reported by Sebastian and colleagues in female youth as well as in a combined-sex group⁶. In line with previous fMRI studies reporting sex differences during emotion processing^{17–19}, we anticipated that males relative to females would show stronger involvement of the TPJ during cToM, while females would exhibit stronger involvement of the amygdala, STS and IFG specifically during aToM. Based on Sebastian and colleagues⁶, we hypothesized that age would be negatively correlated with vmPFC brain responses during aToM. Finally, given previous studies examining associations between CU traits in clinical samples and brain responses to empathy-eliciting stimuli and aToM^{28,30,31}, and a recent review indicating that effects observed in community samples typically mirror those observed in clinical samples³², we hypothesized that, across females and males, CU traits would be negatively correlated with vmPFC, amygdala and anterior insula brain responses during aToM^{28,33}.

Results

Questionnaire and behavioral results. As shown in Table 1, females scored higher on the affective empathy subscale of the GEM, but no sex differences were observed for cognitive and total empathy or CU traits. For the three conditions, mean reaction times (RTs) and percentage error rates were recorded for the two groups (Table 1). A 3 (condition: cToM, aToM, PC) by 2 (sex: female, male) mixed model ANOVA with mean RT as the dependent variable showed marginal main effects of condition $[F(2,66) = 3.13, P = 0.050, \eta^2 = 0.58]$, indicating that RTs tended to be shorter in the PC than the cToM condition (P = 0.07, Bonferroni corrected). No significant main effect of sex $(P = 0.60, \eta^2 = 0.08)$ or interactions $(P = 0.43, \eta^2 = 0.19)$ were found. A condition-by-sex mixed model ANOVA for percentage error rate revealed no significant main effect of condition $(P = 0.073, \eta^2 = 0.53)$, showing numerically that females made more errors in the aToM, while males made more errors in the cToM and PC tasks, but post-hoc analyses (Bonferroni corrected) showed no significant sex differences across aToM (P = 0.16), cToM (P = 0.12) and PC (P = 0.51) conditions.

fMRI results. *Main effects of condition.* Table 2 shows regions that reached a cluster-level significance of P < 0.05, familywise error correction (FWE), for the four contrasts of interest, in female youth. The results are largely consistent with the findings of Sebastian *et al.*^{6,28}. For the aTOM > PC contrast, we found significant activation in bilateral STS/TPJ and precuneus/PCC (Fig. 2). For the cTOM > PC contrast, significant activation was found in the bilateral precuneus/PCC, STS/TPJ and parahippocampal gyrus. There was a significant difference between aToM and cToM (affective > cognitive) in bilateral PCC activation. For the contrast cToM > aToM,

			Peak voxel					
Brain region	BA	L/R	x	у	z	k	Z	P-value
Affective ToM > PC								
STS/TPJ ext. middle temporal cortex	22	R	57	-51	15	401	5.53	< 0.001
	39	R	57	-57	24		5.22	
	39	R	51	-63	12		5.18	
Precuneus/PCC	30	R	6	-48	18	572	5.33	< 0.001
	7	L	-3	-60	33		5.26	
	31	L	-6	-54	27		5.24	
	19	L	-42	-78	9	91	4.21	0.004
STS/TPJ ext. middle	39	L	-45	-63	18		3.89	
temporar corten	13	L	-42	-48	15		3.82	
Cognitive ToM > PC								
	40	R	54	-48	18	274	4.72	< 0.001
STS/TPJ ext. middle temporal cortex	39	R	45	-63	18		4.62	
temporar corten	22	R	60	-54	12		4.56	
Precuneus/PCC	31	L	-15	-60	18	107	4.59	0.003
	30	L	-18	-54	9		3.54	
	29	L	-9	-51	6		3.51	
	37	L	-30	-57	-6	75	4.42	0.013
Parahippocampal gyrus	19	L	-30	-48	-6		4.16	
	18	L	-27	-45	-15		3.87	
	23	R	9	-54	15	110	4.33	0.002
PCC	30	R	27	-57	12		3.90	
STS/TPJ ext. middle temporal cortex	37	L	-39	-63	9	205	4.33	< 0.001
	39	L	-45	-72	24		4.20	
	19	L	-42	-72	6		4.05	
Parahippocampal gyrus ext. fusiform gyrus	37	R	36	-42	-9	65	4.32	0.023
	37	R	24	-48	-9		3.96	
Precuneus	7	_	0	-48	54	115	3.60	0.002
	7	_	0	-60	36		3.41	
	7	_	0	-60	51		3.35	
Affective ToM > Cognitive ToM								
PCC	31	R	6	-54	27	58	4.23	0.019
	30	R	6	-48	21		4.21	
	31	L	-6	-54	24		3.46	
Cognitive ToM > Affective	ТоМ							
Middle occipital gyrus ext. culmen	-	L	-24	-45	-21	1020	5.70	< 0.001
	-	R	27	-45	-12		5.34	
	19	L	-30	-81	18		5.12	

Table 2. Regions showing a main effect for each contrast at P < 0.05 with cluster-level FWE correction in the female group. BA = Brodmann area, ToM = Theory of mind, PC = Physical causality, PCC = posterior cingulate cortex, STS = superior temporal sulcus, TPJ = temporal parietal junction, L/R = left/right, peak voxel = MNI *xyz* co-ordinates, k = cluster size. Where more than one BA is shown, the peak voxel falls in the first BA, but the cluster extends to include the others listed (as indicated by 'ext.').

significant activation was observed in bilateral middle occipital gyrus, extending into culmen (see Supplementary Tables S1, S2 and Fig. S1 for the results for the combined-sex group and in males alone).

Sex differences. For the cToM > PC contrast, a sex-by-condition interaction was observed in left TPJ (Fig. 3; peak voxel for this interaction = [-39, -60, 33], k = 38, z = 3.19; P = 0.033, FWE- small volume correction [SVC]). Post-hoc paired *t*-tests revealed that the interaction was driven by males showing greater TPJ brain responses during the cToM than the PC condition [t(11) = 2.23, P = 0.048], whereas no difference between conditions was observed in females [t(22) = -1.60, P = 0.12]. For the other contrasts of interest, no additional interactions with sex were observed at a whole-brain level and within the regions-of-interest (ROIs).

Correlations with age and CU traits across females and males. Age: For the aToM > PC contrast, there was a significant negative relationship between age and responses in right precuneus, extending into PCC (Table 3). For the aToM > cToM contrast, significant negative correlations between age and bilateral dlPFC, right PCC, middle frontal cortex and right TPJ responses were observed. No other correlations with age were observed for the other contrasts.



Figure 2. Brain regions that were significantly more active for affective ToM (in red) or cognitive ToM (in blue) than the physical causality condition in the female group. The sagittal view is shown at a threshold of P < 0.001, uncorrected for display purposes. For both contrasts, significant clusters were seen in the posterior temporal sulcus/temporoparietal junction, temporal poles and precuneus.







Figure 3. Sex difference in brain responses in the left temporoparietal junction for the contrast cognitive ToM > physical causality (PC): (**A**) Residual graph showing the nature of the interaction in the peak voxel [39, -60, 33] after regressing out age and IQ. Males showed a greater response in this region during cognitive ToM than during PC, while no differences between those conditions were observed in the females; (**B**) the cluster in left temporoparietal junction showing the main effect of group in cognitive ToM > PC contrast. The colored bar represents *t*-statistics. The statistical parametric map is displayed at a threshold of *P* < 0.001 uncorrected for display purposes.

CU traits: For the aToM > PC contrast, there was a positive correlation between CU traits scores and right anterior insula responses (Fig. 4; peak voxel = [33, 27, -6], k=4, z=3.82; P=0.010, FWE-SVC). After removing the outlier in the female group, the correlation remained significant in both SPM and SPSS analyses (Fig. 4C). No other regions showed an association with CU traits.

			Peak voxel					
Brain Region	BA	L/R	x	у	z	k	Ζ	P-value
Affective ToM > PC								
Precuneus/PCC	29, 31	R	9	-45	15	54	4.61	0.042
Affective ToM > Cognitive ToM								
dlPFC	8	R	24	27	36	131	4.67	0.001
	8	L	-24	15	48	52	4.47	0.043
PCC	31	R	6	-54	27	370	4.34	< 0.001
Middle frontal cortex ext. precentral gyrus	6	R	39	3	48	66	4.33	0.018
TPJ ext. supra marginal gyrus	40	R	54	-48	33	297	4.29	< 0.001

Table 3. Regions showing a correlation between the age and brain responses for the contrasts of interest across all participants at P < 0.05 with cluster-level FWE correction. BA = Brodmann area, ToM = Theory of mind, PC = physical causality, PCC = posterior cingulate cortex, TPJ = temporal parietal junction, dlPFC = dorsal lateral prefrontal cortex, L/R = left/right, peak voxel = MNI *xyz* co-ordinates, k = cluster size.



Figure 4. Correlation between brain responses in the right anterior insula for affective ToM > physical causality (PC) and CU traits (r = 0.64, P < 0.001): (**A**) Residual plot showing correlation between CU traits and right anterior insula responses in the peak voxel [33, 27, -6] after regressing out age, sex and IQ; (**B**) the cluster in right anterior insula showing the significant association with CU traits in affective ToM > PC contrast; (**C**) the residual plot without the outlier in female group. The colored bar represents *t*-statistics. The statistical parametric map is displayed at a threshold of P < 0.001 for display purposes.

.....

Discussion

The present study investigated the neural correlates of cognitive and affective ToM in typically-developing youths and examined the influence of sex, age and CU traits. First, in line with our hypothesis, and consistent with Sebastian *et al.*⁶, in female children and adolescents we identified an overlapping 'core brain network' for both cToM and aToM conditions, which included bilateral STS/TPJ, PCC and precuneus^{4,7,8}. Partially supporting our hypothesis and replicating Sebastian and colleagues' findings, dlPFC responses for the cToM contrast (relative to aToM) were observed across sexes. However, no significant vmPFC activation was observed in females or across sexes for the aToM contrast (relative to PC). Second, partially supporting our predictions, males showed increased brain responses in the left TPJ for the cToM contrast (relative to PC), but no sex differences were observed in the amygdala, the anterior insula and the IFG for aToM. Third, partially supporting our hypothesis, age was negatively correlated with brain responses mostly in frontal (but not vmPFC as hypothesized), temporal and posterior midline regions only when contrasting aToM with cToM conditions. Fourth, against our

predictions, CU traits were positively correlated with right anterior insula responses during aToM only, but no association with amygdala responses was identified.

Overall, the pattern of brain responses to cToM and aToM conditions relative to PC was similar across conditions, with activation in the bilateral pSTS/TPJ and precuneus (extending into PCC). These areas have been suggested to make up the classical 'core brain network' for ToM^{34–36}. Our results in females only and across sexes are broadly consistent with those of Sebastian *et al*'s study and support their conclusion that cToM and aToM may recruit overlapping brain regions⁶. Consistent with our hypothesis, and replicating Sebastian *et al*'s results⁶, cToM relative to PC activated bilateral dIPFC across sexes [dIPFC activation was seen in females, but at a lower threshold (peak voxel = [27, 6, 57], k = 85, z = 4.19, P = 0.16)], which has also been identified to be related to cToM in adults^{12,13}. However, against our prediction, and in contrast to Sebastian *et al*.⁶, at our chosen statistical threshold we did not detect activation in the vmPFC for aToM relative to PC in females alone or across sexes. This might be due to a number of reasons, such as a less homogenous sample (*i.e.*, mixed sex) spanning a wider age range. Notwithstanding, a cluster in the vmPFC was identified when using the coordinates from Sebastian and colleagues (peak voxel = [6, 51, 18], k = 27, z = 4.06; FWE-SVC), thus corroborating the involvement of this region in aToM. Overall, the present study replicates and extends Sebastian *et al*.⁸ findings by identifying overlapping (as well as distinct) neural substrates underpinning cToM/aToM in a female-only sample and a mixed-sex sample spanning the period from late childhood to late adolescence.

Although males and females appeared to share a common 'core brain network' supporting ToM, one sex difference in brain responses emerged for cToM whereby males showed greater activity in the left TPJ than females. Evidence from previous studies has suggested an important role for the TPJ in tracking what others' think and for responding to mental state information from toddlerhood through to adulthood^{34,37,38}. For example, a recent near-infrared spectroscopy study reported that other than prefrontal regions, infants aged around 7-months recruited TPJ more when others' belief regarding the location of the object was false compared to when the belief was true³⁸, thus highlighting the fundamental role of the TPJ in ToM as early as infancy. Further evidence comes from lesion data showing that the left TPJ is a necessary brain area for reasoning about others' beliefs³⁹. Our results are also consistent with a previous study reporting that adult males exhibited increased bilateral TPJ activation compared to females when making appraisals of self and other, as well as reflected self-appraisal¹⁷. Given that we found no sex differences in accuracy and reaction time for cToM, we speculate that the observed sex difference in the neural correlates of cToM (greater TPJ recruitment in males) might reflect a 'compensatory' effect. This interpretation is consistent with previous behavioral studies indicating poorer cToM performance in males⁴⁰.

Consistent with the developmental differences (adolescents > adults) reported in the vmPFC by Sebastian *et al.* for aToM⁶, age exhibited negative correlations with brain responses, mostly in frontal (although not vmPFC), temporal and posterior midline regions. This was, however, only true for the aToM > cToM contrast. This result is in line with behavioral and fMRI evidence that development of aToM is more protracted than that of cToM^{6,22,23}. Given that aToM is thought to require the integration of cToM and empathy^{5,41}, the negative correlations we observed between age and brain responses to the contrast aToM > cToM are consistent with several previous fMRI studies (but see Greimel *et al.*⁴²), which have identified reduced responses with increasing age across the lifespan in tasks indexing empathy for negative and positive emotions^{43–46}. The exact mechanism underlying this association still remains poorly understood, but could reflect different processes that are not mutually exclusive. These include pruning¹⁰ (but see Chen *et al.*⁴⁴ and Riva *et al.*⁴⁶ who found no association with grey matter changes), different cognitive strategies, increased automaticity of processes with age⁶, or increased 'neural efficiency' consequent to increased practice⁴⁷. Interestingly, age was negatively associated with activation of the bilateral dIPFC during aToM, an area shown to be involved in cToM in adults^{12–14}. Taken together, these findings suggest that aToM recruits bilateral dIPFC less with increasing age, while cToM consistently relies on dIPFC throughout the lifespan.

Interestingly, our prediction in relation to CU traits and brain responses to a ToM was not supported; CU traits correlated with right anterior insula responses during a ToM relative to PC, but the correlation was positive, not negative as hypothesized. Against our prediction, no association with amygdala responses was observed. The anterior insula is a core brain region for processing aversive information⁴⁸, empathy for pain^{21,49}, and processing affective and physiological states^{48,50}. The right anterior insula, in particular, has been specifically implicated in the affective-perceptual form of empathy²¹, which could account for the observed association between brain responses in this region during aToM and CU traits indexing poor empathy. Indeed, because the aToM condition requires the integration of both cToM and empathy, and the fact that we did not observe any association between CU traits and responses during the cToM condition, we speculate that the observed correlation in right anterior insula is driven by the empathy component of the aToM condition. As such, our results are consistent with those of two previous studies focusing on antisocial youths, which have reported a correlation between CU traits and brain responses in the anterior insula using empathy-eliciting stimuli^{30,31}. However, in contrast to these studies, we observed a positive association between CU traits and insula responses. Based on our interpretation of the negative association between age and brain responses to the same condition, the positive correlation with CU traits could reflect 'increased effort' to perform the task. Interestingly, a recent study from our group on typically-developing youths has reported a positive association between CU traits and grey matter volume in the anterior insula in males, but not females⁵¹. Finally, in contrast to Sebastian et al. where CU traits were negatively correlated with amygdala responses to a ToM relative to cToM²⁸, we found no such correlation.

Our study had some limitations that should be noted. Notwithstanding the power analyses, our sample size was relatively small in particular when considering the number of males for our examination of sex effects, so our results in relation to sex differences should be considered preliminary and interpreted with care until replicated in larger samples. Despite this, we were able to replicate the main effects of condition reported by Sebastian *et al.*⁶, thus providing additional support for, and extending our understanding of, the neural correlates of aToM and cToM in late childhood and adolescence. In addition, the complexity of the processes examined in the different

conditions and of the task and its contrasts means that it is unclear which exact subcomponent(s) of aToM/ cToM and empathy might be driving the effects that we and others have reported^{6,28,29}. Methodologically, several strategies were adopted to reduce nuisance artefacts, including visual inspection of the data, realignment, co-registration using an anatomical scan, removing participants with excessive head motion (one participant removed), adding six estimated realignment parameters as nuisance regressors as well as adding extra regressors to account for a small number of corrupted images resulting from excessive motion. However, we acknowledge that more advanced methods exist to deal with motion-based noise⁵² and the task-related fMRI data might also be partly influenced by a few non-neural sources of variability due to the intrinsic features of blood oxygen-level-dependent fMRI⁵².

In conclusion, we were able to replicate the classical neural substrates underlying cToM and aToM and extend previous findings to a female-only sample and a mixed-sex sample of typically-developing youth spanning late childhood to late adolescence. To our knowledge, this is the first fMRI study to investigate the influence of sex on the neural correlates of cToM and aToM in youth. Our results suggest that male youth recruit the left TPJ more during cToM than do female youth. Finally, the association between age and brain responses during aToM suggests increased neural efficiency with advancing age, whereas the association between CU traits and brain responses during aToM might reflect increased effort in those with higher levels of CU traits.

Methods

Participants. Twenty-three typically-developing girls and thirteen typically-developing males (age range = 9-18 years) were recruited. However, due to excessive head motion (over 10% scans displaying >3 mm displacement), one male was subsequently excluded, leaving 12 males in the final sample. Our sample size is consistent with previous fMRI studies on ToM in young adults as reported in two recent meta-analyses^{8,53}. A power analysis for replicating the main effects of condition in female group used the weakest Z-stats of the peak from reported results by Sebastian *et al.* (Z = 3.91, observed for the comparison between of cToM > aToM in the left dIPFC, Table 2)⁶. The Z-value was converted to t-value using the reported sample size [t(29) = 4.54]. We then computed the Cohen's $d_z = t/(n^{0.5})^{54}$, which resulted in a minimum expected Cohen's $d_z = 0.83$. In G*power, using paired sample t-test with an alpha of 0.05, to account for the multiple ROIs, a sample of 18 will be associated with 95% power. This means that the sample of 23 female provides a well-powered study to be able to replicate the previously reported results. A power analysis for exploring sex differences used the Z value of the group comparison from reported results by Sebastian *et al.* (Z = 3.54, observed for the comparison between adolescents and adults in the left vmPFC)⁶. The Z value was converted to t value using the reported sample size [t(29) = 4.00]. We then computed the Cohen's $d = t \times (1/n_1 + 1/n_2)^{0.5}$, which resulted in a Cohen's $d = 1.46^{54}$. In G*power, using two groups t-test with an alpha of 0.05, to account for the multiple ROIs, a sample of 11 participants in each group will be associated with 95% power. This means that the sample of 23 females and 12 males provides a well-powered study to be able to investigate group differences. Finally, our total sample size of 35 participants is also comparable to previous fMRI studies that have examined brain response-personality correlations^{55,56} and consistent with previous simulation work indicating that an average sample size of 18.25 is needed for this type of analyses⁵⁷.

The sample was recruited from mainstream primary and secondary schools, youth groups and community centers in Birmingham (UK), as part of the FemNAT-CD project⁵⁸. Only a small number of participants (mostly typically-developing youths) completed the cartoon fMRI task, which was only used at Birmingham and the last (bonus) task in our MRI protocol, hence the small number of participants compared to the wider FemNAT-CD study. According to the FemNAT-CD recruitment protocol, all participants and their parents/ caregivers completed the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children-Present and Lifetime version interview (K-SADS-PL)⁵⁹. Based on this interview, we ascertained that participants were typically-developing. The exclusion criteria included an estimated IQ below 70; inability to speak or understand English; any monogenetic disorder; any genetic syndrome; any chronic or acute neurological disorder; autism spectrum disorder, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder or any current mental health disorder or learning disorders besides dyslexia. Participants with past mental health disorders, excluding disruptive behaviour disorders and psychosis, were included if they were in remission (no symptoms for 12 months; no participants included in this report were in remission). Ethical approval was granted by the National Health Service (NHS) Research Ethics Committee (13/WM/0483). All individuals under the age of 16 included in the study were required to provide consent and parental consent for participation. As per UK ethical guidelines, adolescents aged 16 and above could consent for themselves without the need for parental consent. However, where parents/legal guardians of those youths were available, we also obtained consent from them. Finally, parents always provided informed consent for their own participation.

CU traits were assessed with the 24-item parent-report version of the Inventory of Callous-Unemotional Traits $(ICU)^{60}$, which contains three subscales: callousness (e.g., 'I do not care who I hurt to get what I want'), uncaring (e.g., 'I always try my best', reverse-scored), and unemotional (e.g., 'I do not show my emotions to others'). Participants' cognitive and affective empathy abilities were assessed using the 23-item parent version of the Griffith Empathy Measure (GEM)⁶¹; items scored from -4 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). As shown in Table 1, there was no sex difference in age [t(33) = -0.05, P = 0.96] nor in full-scale IQ [t(33) = 0.49, P = 0.63] as measured by the two-subtest version of the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence⁶².

Experimental task. We employed a well-validated block-design fMRI task previously used in typically-developing adolescents and adults and in youths with conduct problems and autism spectrum disorders (Fig. 1)^{6,28,29}. The task included 30 static cartoon vignette stimuli: 10 each for the cToM, aToM, and PC conditions. Four sequential frames were involved in each cartoon. The first screen (1 second) displayed an instruction 'What happens next?'. This was followed by three sequentially presented story frames (2 seconds each), depicting two people in everyday scenarios. The final screen (5 seconds) showed a choice of two possible endings for the cartoon. During this time participants

were asked to decide the appropriate ending and make their choice using an MR-compatible button-box. There was an inter-stimulus interval of 1 second between trials. Each trial lasted 13 seconds in total.

Thirty cartoons were presented in sets of six, with a 13-second fixation period between sets. Each cartoon was presented once only. The six cartoons in each set included two yoked cartoons from each condition. The order of the cToM, aToM and PC cartoon pairs in each set was randomized for each participant. cToM trials required understanding behaviour based on intentions to select the correct ending (e.g., using a ladder to help reach apples on a tree). aToM cartoons required understanding behaviour based on empathy and emotion (e.g., comforting an injured child). PC cartoons involved an understanding of physical cause and effect reasoning (e.g., sunshine melting a snowman).

fMRI data acquisition. A 3 T Philips Achieva MRI scanner at the Birmingham University Imaging Centre was used to acquire a T2*-weighted echo planar imaging (EPI) volumes using a 32-channel head coil. EPI data were acquired in a single run of 8 minutes, with 184 task volumes and 5 dummy volumes. Acquisition parameters were: 41 slices; TE = 30 ms; TR = 2500 ms; matrix size = 64×64 ; voxel size = $3 \times 3 \times 3$ mm³; flip angle = 83° ; field of view = 192 mm; slice thickness = 2 mm. In addition, a high resolution, sagittal, 3D T1-weighted scan with an in-plane resolution of $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm³ and lasting 5.5 minutes, was acquired for normalization of the EPI data. Acquisition parameters were: 192 slices; TE = 3.7 ms; TI = 900 ms; TR = 8 ms; flip angle = 9° and matrix size = 256×256 .

fMRI data pre-processing and analysis. Imaging data were pre-processed using SPM12 (www.fil. ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) in Matlab R2017a. The first five volumes were removed to allow for T1 equilibrium effects, leaving 184 volumes per participant. The EPI data were first realigned and co-registered to the high-resolution T1-weighted scan. Next, the Template-O-Matic toolbox was used to create standardized a priori tissue probabil-ity maps (TPMs) based on the age and the sex of the 35 participants⁶³. The high-resolution T1-weighted scans were segmented with reference to the TPMs into grey matter and white matter images, based on a multi-channel approach implemented with the Computational Anatomy Toolbox 12 (CAT12)⁶⁴. The segmented grey and white matter images were then used to generate a template using the Diffeomorphic Anatomical Registration Through Exponentiated Lie Algebra toolbox (DARTEL)⁶⁵. This template was used to normalize the grey and white matter segmented images by iteratively warping the images into a common space using non-linear registration. Finally, the DARTEL template and EPI images were normalized to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) standard space⁶⁶ with a voxel size of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ mm³. Data were smoothed using a Gaussian kernel of full width at half maximum resolution of $6 \times 6 \times 6$ mm³ to account for residual inter-subject differences and to comply with the continuity assumption of random field theory⁶⁷.

The data were analyzed using a participant-specific general linear model (GLM) with a blocked-design analysis procedure to compare the neural activity associated with cToM, aToM and PC. The time series of 184 volumes was deconstructed into seven blocks: presentation of each of the three cartoon conditions (11 seconds duration), the periods of fixation (13 seconds) and instructions for each condition ('What happens next?'; 1 second). The regressors were modelled as boxcar functions and convolved with a canonical hemodynamic response function. The six realignment parameters were modelled as effects of no interest in order to account for any variance due to head movement. For seven participants extra regressors were added at the first-level to model a small number of corrupted images resulting from excessive motion. Consistent with Sebastian *et al.* (2012), these images (ranging from 0.5–2.7% of total acquired volumes across the seven participants; M = 1.8%) were removed and the adjacent images interpolated to avoid distortion of the between-subjects mask. A high-pass filter (cutoff = 128 sec) and AR(1) correction for serial autocorrelation were applied during the least mean square estimation of this GLM.

At the first-level, four contrasts of interest were created for each participant: 1) cToM > PC, 2) aToM > PC, 3) cToM > aToM and 4) aToM > cToM. Contrast images were then carried forward to second-level analyses. Except when examining age effects, age and IQ were included as covariates of no interest in all second-level analyses. To test whether the results replicate previously reported results for males and can be extended to females, we first analyzed each sex group separately. To assess sex differences, we used sex (female, male) as the between-subjects factor. The effects of age (controlling for sex and IQ) and CU traits (controlling for age, sex and IQ) were assessed using continuous variables that were added to second level models (*i.e.*, 2 multiple regressions). For all analyses, the grey matter TPM was used as an explicit grey matter mask, thresholded at 0.3 to create a binary mask.

At a whole-brain level, results are reported at P < 0.05, FWE-cluster level corrected unless specified, in line with Sebastian *et al.*⁶. For region-of-interest analyses, consistent with Sebastian *et al.*⁶, reported results are those that survived SVC at P < 0.05, FWE corrected with a 10 mm sphere centered on peak co-ordinates taken from the main effects analysis (*i.e.*, one-sample *t*-tests) across all participants (an orthogonal contrast). Second, for the analyses involving sex, age and CU traits, we also report effects within the amygdala, the vmPFC, the anterior insula and the IFG to be consistent with fMRI studies on empathy examining the influence of those variables within the above regions of interest^{18,21,28,30,31,33}. Based on Sebastian *et al.*'s work using this task^{6,28}, the amygdala mask was defined bilaterally using 10 mm spheres centered on the peak coordinates (left = [-24, -5, -13]; right = [24, -5, -13]), the vmPFC mask was taken from peak coordinates (left = [-8, 54, 0]; right = [10, 50, 22]) while the anterior insula mask consisted of bilateral spheres centered on the peak coordinates (left = [-36, 26, 1]; right = [36, 26, 1]). The IFG mask was defined by peak coordinates (left = [-54, 30, 2]; right = [54, 30, 2]) from Schulte-Rüther *et al.* reporting sex differences in evaluating others' emotion¹⁹. Where significant group effects, associations with CU traits or age were observed, contrast estimates from the peak voxel (owing to smoothing, contrast estimates in the peak voxel are a weighted average of the surrounding voxels) were extracted to assess direction of effects, plot the results and run correlational analyses in SPSS 19.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

Data availability

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 23 January 2019; Accepted: 3 October 2019; Published online: 07 November 2019

References

- Premack, D. & Woodruff, G. Does the Chimpanzee Have a Theory of Mind. Behavioral and Brain Sciences 1, 515–526, https://doi. org/10.1017/S0140525x00076512 (1978).
- Schaafsma, S. M., Pfaff, D. W., Spunt, R. P. & Adolphs, R. Deconstructing and reconstructing theory of mind. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 19, 65–72, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2014.11.007 (2015).
- Shamay-Tsoory, S. G., Tomer, R., Berger, B. D., Goldsher, D. & Aharon-Peretz, J. Impaired "affective theory of mind" is associated with right ventromedial prefrontal damage. *Cognitive and Behavioral Neurology* 18, 55–67, https://doi.org/10.1097/01. wnn.0000152228.90129.99 (2005).
- 4. Frith, C. D. & Frith, U. The neural basis of mentalizing. Neuron 50, 531-534, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.05.001 (2006).
- Shamay-Tsoory, S. G., Harari, H., Aharon-Peretz, J. & Levkovitz, Y. The role of the orbitofrontal cortex in affective theory of mind deficits in criminal offenders with psychopathic tendencies. *Cortex* 46, 668–677, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2009.04.008 (2010).
- Sebastian, C. L. et al. Neural processing associated with cognitive and affective Theory of Mind in adolescents and adults. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience 7, 53–63, https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsr023 (2012).
- Carrington, S. J. & Bailey, A. J. Are there theory of mind regions in the brain? A review of the neuroimaging literature. Human Brain Mapping 30, 2313–2335 (2009).
- Schurz, M., Radua, J., Aichhorn, M., Richlan, F. & Perner, J. Fractionating theory of mind: A meta-analysis of functional brain imaging studies. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 42, 9–34, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.01.009 (2014).
- Blakemore, S. J., den Ouden, H., Choudhury, S. & Frith, C. Adolescent development of the neural circuitry for thinking about intentions. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience 2, 130–139, https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsm009 (2007).
- Blakemore, S. J. The social brain in adolescence. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 9, 267–277, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2353 (2008).
 Kipps, C. M. & Hodges, J. R. Theory of mind in frontotemporal dementia. *Social Neuroscience* 1, 235–244, https://doi.org/10.1080/17470910600989847 (2006).
- Sommer, M. et al. Neural correlates of true and false belief reasoning. NeuroImage 35, 1378–1384, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2007.01.042 (2007).
- Kalbe, E. et al. Dissociating cognitive from affective theory of mind: A TMS study. Cortex 46, 769–780, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cortex.2009.07.010 (2010).
- Abu-Akel, A. & Shamay-Tsoory, S. Neuroanatomical and neurochemical bases of theory of mind. *Neuropsychologia* 49, 2971–2984, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.07.012 (2011).
- Christov-Moore, L. et al. Empathy: Gender effects in brain and behavior. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews 46, 604–627, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.09.001 (2014).
- Lieberman, M. D. Social cognitive neuroscience: A review of core processes. Annual Review of Psychology 58, 259–289, https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev.psych.58.110405.085654 (2007).
- Veroude, K., Jolles, J., Croiset, G. & Krabbendam, L. Sex differences in the neural bases of social appraisals. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience 9, 513–519, https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nst015 (2014).
- Derntl, B. et al. Multidimensional assessment of empathic abilities: Neural correlates and gender differences. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 35, 67-82, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.10.006 (2010).
 Schulte-Ruther, M., Markowitsch, H. J., Shah, N. J., Fink, G. R. & Piefke, M. Gender differences in brain networks supporting
- Schulte-Ruther, M., Markowitsch, H. J., Shah, N. J., Fink, G. R. & Piefke, M. Gender differences in brain networks supporting empathy. *NeuroImage* 42, 393–403, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.04.180 (2008).
- Kret, M. E. & De Gelder, B. A review on sex differences in processing emotional signals. *Neuropsychologia* 50, 1211–1221, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.12.022 (2012).
- Fan, Y., Duncan, N. W., de Greck, M. & Northoff, G. Is there a core neural network in empathy? An fMRI based quantitative metaanalysis. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 35, 903–911, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.10.009 (2011).
- Ruffman, T. & Keenan, T. R. The belief-based emotion of surprise: The case for a lag in understanding relative to false belief. Developmental Psychology 32, 40–49 (1996).
- Baron-Cohen, S. Empathizing, systemizing, and the extreme male brain theory of autism. Sex Differences in the Human Brain, Their Underpinnings and Implications 186, 167–175, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53630-3.00011-7 (2010).
- Vetter, N. C., Altgassen, M., Phillips, L., Mahy, C. E. & Kliegel, M. Development of affective theory of mind across adolescence: disentangling the role of executive functions. *Developmental Neuropsychology* 38, 114–125 (2013).
- Burnett, S., Bird, G., Moll, J., Frith, C. & Blakemore, S. J. Development during Adolescence of the Neural Processing of Social Emotion. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 21, 1736–1750, https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2009.21121 (2009).
- Frick, P. J., Ray, J. V., Thornton, L. C. & Kahn, R. E. Can Callous-Unemotional Traits Enhance the Understanding, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Serious Conduct Problems in Children and Adolescents? A Comprehensive Review. *Psychological Bulletin* 140, 1–57, https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033076 (2014).
- Jones, A. P., Laurens, K. R., Herba, C. M., Barker, G. J. & Viding, E. Amygdala hypoactivity to fearful faces in boys with conduct problems and callous-unemotional traits. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 166, 95–102 (2009).
- Sebastian, C. L. *et al.* Neural responses to affective and cognitive theory of mind in children with conduct problems and varying levels of callous-unemotional traits. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 69, 814–822, https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.2070 (2012).
- O'Nions, E. et al. Neural bases of Theory of Mind in children with autism spectrum disorders and children with conduct problems and callous-unemotional traits. Dev Sci 17, 786–796, https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12167 (2014).
- Lockwood, P. L. et al. Association of callous traits with reduced neural response to others' pain in children with conduct problems. Current Biology 23, 901–905 (2013).
- Marsh, A. A. et al. Empathic responsiveness in amygdala and anterior cingulate cortex in youths with psychopathic traits. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 54, 900–910, https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12063 (2013).
- Seara-Cardoso, A. & Viding, E. Functional Neuroscience of Psychopathic Personality in Adults. *Journal of Personality* 83, 723–737, https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12113 (2015).
- Blair, R. J. The neurobiology of psychopathic traits in youths. Nature Reviews: Neuroscience 14, 786–799, https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrn3577 (2013).
- Saxe, R. & Kanwisher, N. People thinking about thinking people The role of the temporo-parietal junction in "theory of mind". NeuroImage 19, 1835–1842, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00230-1 (2003).
- Frith, C. D. & Frith, U. Social cognition in humans. Current Biology 17, R724–R732, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2007.05.068 (2007).

- Mahy, C. E. V., Moses, L. J. & Pfeifer, J. H. How and where: Theory-of-mind in the brain. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience 9, 68–81, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2014.01.002 (2014).
- Young, L., Dodell-Feder, D. & Saxe, R. What gets the attention of the temporo-parietal junction? An fMRI investigation of attention and theory of mind. *Neuropsychologia* 48, 2658–2664 (2010).
- Hyde, D. C., Simon, C. E., Ting, F. & Nikolaeva, J. Functional organization of the temporal-parietal junction for theory of mind in preverbal infants: A near-infrared spectroscopy study. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.0264-17.2018 (2018).
- Samson, D., Apperly, I. A., Chiavarino, C. & Humphreys, G. W. Left temporoparietal junction is necessary for representing someone else's belief. *Nature Neuroscience* 7, 499–500, https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1223 (2004).
- Ibanez, A. et al. Empathy, sex and fluid intelligence as predictors of theory of mind. Personality and Individual Differences 54, 616–621, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2012.11.022 (2013).
- Singer, T., Critchley, H. D. & Preuschoff, K. A common role of insula in feelings, empathy and uncertainty. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 13, 334–340, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2009.05.001 (2009).
- 42. Greimel, E. *et al.* Development of neural correlates of empathy from childhood to early adulthood: an fMRI study in boys and adult men. *Journal of Neural Transmission* **117**, 781–791, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-010-0404-9 (2010).
- Decety, J. & Michalska, K. J. Neurodevelopmental changes in the circuits underlying empathy and sympathy from childhood to adulthood. *Developmental Science* 13, 886–899, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2009.00940.x (2010).
- Chen, Y. C., Chen, C. C., Decety, J. & Cheng, Y. W. Aging is associated with changes in the neural circuits underlying empathy. *Neurobiology of Aging* 35, 827–836, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.10.080 (2014).
- Schulte-Ruther, M. et al. Age-dependent changes in the neural substrates of empathy in autism spectrum disorder. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience 9, 1118–1126, https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nst088 (2014).
- Riva, F. et al. Age-related differences in the neural correlates of empathy for pleasant and unpleasant touch in a female sample. Neurobiology of Aging 65, 7–17, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2017.12.028 (2018).
- Kelly, A. M. C. & Garavan, H. Human functional neuroimaging of brain changes associated with practice. *Cerebral Cortex* 15, 1089–1102, https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhi005 (2005).
- 48. Craig, A. D. & Craig, A. How do you feel-now? The anterior insula and human awareness. Nature reviews neuroscience 10 (2009).
- Gu, X. S. et al. Anterior insular cortex is necessary for empathetic pain perception. Brain 135, 2726–2735, https://doi.org/10.1093/ brain/aws199 (2012).
- 50. Singer, T. et al. Empathy for pain involves the affective but not sensory components of pain. Science 303, 1157-1162 (2004).
- Raschle, N. M. et al. Callous-unemotional traits and brain structure: Sex-specific effects in anterior insula of typically-developing youths. Neuroimage-Clinical 17, 856–864, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2017.12.015 (2018).
- 52. Caballero-Gaudes, C. & Reynolds, R. C. Methods for cleaning the BOLD fMRI signal. Neuroimage 154, 128-149 (2017).
- 53. van Veluw, S. J. & Chance, S. A. Differentiating between self and others: an ALE meta-analysis of fMRI studies of self-recognition and theory of mind. *Brain imaging and behavior* **8**, 24–38, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-013-9266-8 (2014).
- Lakens, D. Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: a practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. Front Psychol 4, 863, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00863 (2013).
- 55. Kehoe, E. G., Toomey, J. M., Balsters, J. H. & Bokde, A. L. Personality modulates the effects of emotional arousal and valence on brain activation. *Social cognitive and affective neuroscience* 7, 858–870 (2011).
- Servaas, M. N. et al. Neuroticism and the brain: a quantitative meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies investigating emotion processing. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews 37, 1518-1529 (2013).
- Lieberman, M. D., Berkman, E. T. & Wager, T. D. Correlations in social neuroscience aren't voodoo: Commentary on Vul *et al.* (2009). *Perspectives on Psychological Science* 4, 299–307 (2009).
- Freitag, C. M. *et al.* Conduct disorder in adolescent females: current state of research and study design of the FemNAT-CD consortium. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 27, 1077–1093, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-018-1172-6 (2018).
- Kaufman, J., Birmaher, B., Brent, D., Rao, U. & Ryan, N. Kiddie-Sads-present and Lifetime version (K-SADS-PL). Pittsburgh, University of Pittsburgh, School of Medicine (1996).
- 60. Frick, P. J. The inventory of callous-unemotional traits. Unpublished rating scale (2004).
- 61. Dadds, M. R. *et al.* A measure of cognitive and affective empathy in children using parent ratings. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development* **39**, 111–122 (2008).
- 62. Wechsler, D. Wechsler abbreviated intelligence scale. San Antonio: The Psychological Corporation (1999).
- Wilke, M., Holland, S. K., Altaye, M. & Gaser, C. Template-O-Matic: A toolbox for creating customized pediatric templates. *NeuroImage* 41, 903–913, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.02.056 (2008).
- 64. Gaser, C. & Dahnke, R. CAT-a computational anatomy toolbox for the analysis of structural MRI. data. HBM 2016, 336-348 (2016).
- Ashburner, J. A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. NeuroImage 38, 95–113, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2007.07.007 (2007).
- Evans, A. C. et al. 3d Statistical Neuroanatomical Models from 305 Mri Volumes. Nuclear Science Symposium & Medical Imaging Conference, Vols 1–3, 1813–1817, https://doi.org/10.1109/Nssmic.1993.373602 (1993).
- 67. Brett, M., Penny, W. & Kiebel, S. Introduction to random field theory. Human brain function 2 (2003).

Acknowledgements

The present study is part of the FemNAT-CD consortium (Neurobiology and Treatment of Adolescent Female Conduct Disorder, coordinator Christine M. Freitag). This collaborative project is funded by the European Commission under the 7th Framework Health Program with Grant Agreement No. 602407. Yidian Gao is a Ph.D. student funded by the China Scholarship Council and the the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of Central South University (No. 2016zzts140). Ruth Pauli is a Ph.D. student funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC)'s Midlands Integrative Biosciences Training Partnership (MIBTP). We would like to thank our participants, their families, and the numerous teachers, clinicians, social workers, foster carers and other professionals who gave their time generously to assist with recruitment. We also wish to thank Prof. Essi Viding and Dr. Catherine L. Sebastian for sharing the task scripts and stimuli used in this study.

Author contributions

S.D.B., C.M.F. and G.F. designed the study; S.D.B., J.R., R.P., R.C., R.B., P.B., L.F. and A.B. contributed to acquisition of the data; Y.G., J.R., P.R. and S.D.B. contributed to analysis and interpretation of the data; Y.G. and S.D.B. participated in drafting of the article; J.R., R.P., C.M.F., G.F., P.R. and S.D.B revised the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript and gave final approval of the version to be published.

Competing interests

Christine M. Freitag receives royalties for books on autism, ADHD and MDD. She served as a consultant for Desitin and Roche in 2017 regarding autism. The other co-authors declare no conflict of interest.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52261-y.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.A.D.B.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019