

## Patient reported outcomes: central to the management of Covid-19

Aiyegbusi, Olalekan Lee; Calvert, Melanie

DOI:

[10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31724-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31724-4)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Aiyegbusi, OL & Calvert, M 2020, 'Patient reported outcomes: central to the management of Covid-19', *The Lancet*, vol. 396, no. 10250, pp. 531. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31724-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31724-4)

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

### General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

# Patient reported outcomes: central to the management of Covid-19

Olalekan Lee Aiyegbusi, PhD<sup>1, 2\*</sup> and Melanie J. Calvert, PhD<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Patient Reported Outcomes Research, Institute of Applied Health Research and Birmingham Health Partners Centre for Regulatory Science and Innovation, University of Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

<sup>2</sup>National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre, University of Birmingham B15 2TT UK

\*Corresponding author: Olalekan Lee Aiyegbusi, [O.L.Aiyegbusi@bham.ac.uk](mailto:O.L.Aiyegbusi@bham.ac.uk)

Centre for Patient Reported Outcomes Research, Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

Word count: 400

Patient-reported outcomes (PROs); self-assessments of patients' health status are central to Covid-19 response, recovery and resilience. Symptom reporting using PROs can facilitate diagnosis of the disease, identify those who require tests and initiate track and trace procedures. Remote monitoring of symptoms using electronic PROs (ePROs) can help identify those with severe Covid-19 in need of urgent care and those with mild-to-moderate symptoms which may be managed at home. The use of ePRO systems are especially important as rapid deterioration may occur in patients with mild symptoms. Remote monitoring could also facilitate the triage of patients with chronic conditions ensuring that in-person hospital appointments are reserved for those with potentially life-threatening issues. Individuals with lower risk could be supported virtually and monitored for signs of deterioration. This approach can relieve the strain on healthcare systems and prevent unnecessary exposures to SARS-CoV-2 virus.<sup>1</sup>

We are just beginning to understand the long-term effects of SARS-CoV-2 infection. The symptoms have returned in some patients a few months post-recovery while others have developed serious conditions such as Kawasaki-like disease. PROs may be used for long-term follow up to assess impact on patients' quality of life and alert physicians to the development of potentially life-threatening complications.

Work has begun in earnest to develop effective drugs and vaccines to stem the spread and prevent future outbreaks. Nevertheless, there are a number of unknowns such as potency, side effects and adverse events which may only come to light during human trials. The first in-human Covid-19 vaccine trial utilised 'diary cards' completed by trial participants to monitor adverse events.<sup>2</sup> While it was encouraging that participant views were sought, we recommend using validated PRO instruments such as the patient-reported outcomes version of the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (PRO-CTCAE™).<sup>3</sup> Its use in Covid-19 trials may complement the clinical CTCAE and facilitate cross-trial comparisons of results. Evidence suggests that PROs may detect adverse events in patients even before clinical parameters.<sup>4</sup> PRO data may alert clinical teams to the occurrence of adverse events during Covid-19 trials and provide valuable evidence of safety and tolerability from the patient perspective.

There are suggestions that vaccine hesitancy could derail vaccination initiatives.<sup>5</sup> Publication of PRO data from vaccine trials may combat hesitancy. Responding to the crisis and building a resilient healthcare system allowing efficient and effective response to future pandemics is crucial. PROs can provide a key tool in our defence system.

## References

1. Hollander JE, Carr BG. Virtually Perfect? Telemedicine for Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2020; **382**(18): 1679-81.
2. Zhu F-C, Li Y-H, Guan X-H, et al. Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of a recombinant adenovirus type-5 vectored COVID-19 vaccine: a dose-escalation, open-label, non-randomised, first-in-human trial. *The Lancet* 2020; **395**(10240): 1845-54.

3. Dueck AC, Mendoza TR, Mitchell SA, et al. Validity and Reliability of the US National Cancer Institute's Patient-Reported Outcomes Version of the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (PRO-CTCAE). *JAMA Oncol* 2015; **1**(8): 1051-9.
4. Basch E, Deal AM, Dueck AC, et al. Overall survival results of a trial assessing patient-reported outcomes for symptom monitoring during routine cancer treatment. *Jama* 2017; **318**(2): 197-8.
5. Schaffer DeRoo S, Pudalov NJ, Fu LY. Planning for a COVID-19 Vaccination Program. *Jama* 2020.

## Competing interests

OLA is supported by the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre (BRC), West Midlands, Birmingham. OLA also receives funding from the Health Foundation and has received personal fees from Gilead Sciences. MC is a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Senior Investigator and receives funding from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre, the NIHR Surgical Reconstruction and Microbiology Research Centre and NIHR ARC West Midlands, University of Birmingham and University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Health Data Research UK, Innovate UK (part of UK Research and Innovation), Macmillan Cancer Support, UCB Pharma. MC has received personal fees from Astellas, Takeda, Merck, Daiichi Sankyo, Glaukos, GSK and the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) outside the submitted work. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, the NIHR, or the Department of Health.

Both authors are involved in the development of ePRO systems.