

Socio-demographic predictors of uptake of a virtual group weight management program during the COVID-19 pandemic

Abbott, Sally; Parretti, Helen; Hazlehurst, Jonathan; Tahrani, Abd

DOI:
[10.1111/jhn.12850](https://doi.org/10.1111/jhn.12850)

License:
Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):
Abbott, S, Parretti, H, Hazlehurst, J & Tahrani, A 2020, 'Socio-demographic predictors of uptake of a virtual group weight management program during the COVID-19 pandemic', *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jhn.12850>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Abbott, S., Parretti, H.M., Hazlehurst, J. and Tahrani, A.A. (2020), Socio-demographic predictors of uptake of a virtual group weight management program during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jhn.12850>, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1111/jhn.12850>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 **Abstract**

2 Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant adverse impact on the
3 delivery of weight management programmes (WMPs), in order to ensure the safety of
4 patients and healthcare professionals. Videoconferencing could provide safe remote
5 access to group WMPs during the COVID-19 pandemic. The objectives of this study
6 were to determine the uptake of a virtual group WMP and its predictors.

7

8 Materials and methods: All patients enrolled on a face-to-face group WMP, which
9 constitutes part of a Tier 3 WMP delivered by the NHS, at the time of the COVID-19
10 pandemic lockdown were invited to transfer to a virtual format of the group WMP.
11 Baseline data included weight, BMI, age, gender, ethnicity and Index of Multiple
12 Deprivation (IMD) quintile score. The outcomes were accept/decline transfer to the
13 virtual group WMP. Logistic regression was performed to assess for predictors of
14 uptake.

15

16 Results: 315 participants were included, of which 72.1% (n= 227) accepted. After
17 adjusting for gender, deprivation and BMI; older patients (OR 0.966, [95% CI 0.944,
18 0.989]; p=0.003) and Black, Asian and Minority Ethnicity (BAME) patients (OR 0.460
19 [95% 0.248, 0.851]; p=0.023) were less likely to accept the virtual group WMP.

20

21 Conclusion: Strategies aimed at improving uptake of group WMP among BAME and
22 older adult groups are needed, particularly considering the increased risk of severe
23 COVID-19 in these two groups, and the links between obesity and poor COVID-19
24 outcomes.

25 **Introduction**

26 The outbreak of novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-
27 2) was declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organisation on 11 March
28 2020 ¹. On the 23 March 2020, the United Kingdom (UK) government released
29 guidelines on social distancing to reduce the spread of COVID-19 ².

30

31 A survey of UK adults ³ found that the COVID-19 lockdown has had a negative
32 impact on eating and physical activity behaviours, with this impact being more
33 pronounced among adults with a higher Body Mass Index (BMI). During the COVID-
34 19 lockdown, a higher BMI was associated with lower levels of physical activity and
35 dietary quality, and a greater reported frequency of overeating ³.

36

37 Therefore, the COVID-19 pandemic may have had a disproportionately large and
38 negative influence on weight-related behaviours among adults with a higher BMI.
39 This, combined with the evidence that patients with obesity remain at an increased
40 risk of severe COVID-19 leading to hospitalisation and mortality ⁴⁻⁷, means that
41 remote approaches to WMPs that were previously delivered face-to-face are urgently
42 needed.

43

44 Weight management programmes (WMPs) for obesity management across the UK
45 and internationally were reduced or suspended due to the mobilisation of outpatient
46 and community healthcare professionals to the front-line. A survey commissioned by
47 Public Health England revealed that 25% of adult weight management services in
48 England reduced to a 'skeleton service' during the COVID-19 pandemic ⁸.

49

50

51 One solution to enabling people with obesity to access group WMPs safely is via
52 virtual videoconferencing. While some weight management services in England have
53 adapted to providing some form of remote support, only 23% of adult weight
54 management services report using virtual group support during the COVID-19
55 pandemic ⁸.

56

57 However, access to virtual group WMPs may be influenced by digital exclusion,
58 defined as the lack of digital skills, lack of internet connectivity and/or lack of
59 accessibility to assistive technology ⁹. Digital exclusion has been a reality long before
60 the COVID-19 pandemic whereby 22% of the UK population lack basic digital skills
61 for everyday life ¹⁰. Digital exclusion is most prominent among some
62 sociodemographic groups; such as among adults aged ≥65 years old, minority ethnic
63 groups and those not in employment ¹¹.

64

65 Most research to date has investigated the use of videoconferencing software for
66 one-to-one weight management interventions and research published on the
67 application of videoconferencing for remote participation in weight management in
68 the group setting has been limited to a few studies ¹²⁻¹⁸. The available evidence
69 suggests that virtual group WMPs may be an effective means of allowing face-to-face
70 group interaction, while overcoming barriers to access. However, none of these
71 studies investigated the uptake of a videoconferencing group WMP intervention and
72 were all published prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

73

74 Hence, we conducted a study which aimed to assess the uptake of transfer from a
75 face-to-face to a virtual group WMP during the COVID-19 pandemic. Our secondary
76 aim was to investigate predictors of uptake of a virtual group WMP, including
77 sociodemographic predictors.

78

79 **Materials and Methods**

80 Our centre is a Tier 3 medical WMP, for adults with obesity with a BMI ≥ 40 kg/m², or
81 ≥ 35 kg/m² with comorbidities ¹⁹ situated in a tertiary centre in the UK. Our Tier 3
82 WMP is multi-disciplinary including physicians, dietitians, specialist nurses and a
83 clinical psychologist ¹⁹. Our centre is located within the ethnically diverse city of
84 Birmingham (UK), where 42.1% of residents identify as Black, Asian or Minority
85 Ethnicity (BAME) ²⁰.

86

87 Within our Tier 3 WMP, we run a course of structured patient education and self-
88 management group sessions, comprising of six one-hour sessions which run monthly
89 over a 6-month period and are led by a Specialist Weight Management Dietitian and
90 a Dietetic Assistant Practitioner. We have previously published the outcomes of our
91 face-to-face group WMP ²¹. Our face-to-face group WMP had a 56% completion rate
92 with the majority (78.6%) achieving weight loss and nearly a third (32.3%) achieved a
93 $\geq 5\%$ weight loss.

94

95 On the 13th March 2020, all face-to-face weight management clinics at our centre,
96 including our group WMP, were suspended due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Hence,

97 we designed a virtual format of our group WMP using the real-time
98 videoconferencing software 'VidyoConnect'²², which allows patients with obesity to
99 attend the group WMP remotely.

100

101 We conducted a prospective cross-sectional study to determine the uptake of the
102 virtual group WMP during the COVID-19 pandemic. All patients who were enrolled on
103 the face-to-face format of the group WMP on 13th March 2020 were contacted via
104 telephone and invited to transfer to the virtual group WMP.

105

106 Baseline data were collected from electronic patients records and included
107 anthropometrics (weight and BMI), demographics (age, gender, ethnicity) and
108 patients' home address postcodes, which were used to obtain Index of Multiple
109 Deprivation (IMD) quintile scores using the English Indices of Deprivation (2019)
110 dataset²³. Outcome data included a binary outcome of acceptance or declination of
111 transfer to the virtual group WMP and were asked an open-ended question about
112 their reason for declination. Reasons for declination were then categorised as either
113 barriers to access (i.e. lack of internet access or poor digital skills) or due to a
114 personal preference to wait for face-to-face services to resume.

115

116 All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0. Data were presented
117 as frequencies and mean (\pm SD). Differences between groups were assessed using
118 the Independent Student's t-test and the chi-squared test for continuous and
119 categorical variables, respectively. To assess for predictors of uptake of a virtual
120 group WMP, binary logistic regression analysis (using the "Enter" method) was

121 performed. Logistic regression assumptions of multicollinearity were assessed and
122 not violated. The dependent variable was accepting invitation to transfer to the virtual
123 format. Independent variables included in the model were age, gender, ethnicity, BMI
124 and IMD quintile. Variables were chosen for the model based upon epidemiological
125 plausibility. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

126

127 **Results**

128 A total of 330 patients were enrolled on the face-to-face group WMP at the time of
129 the COVID-19 lockdown. After excluding participants who could not be contacted (n=
130 15, 4.5%), 315 patients were invited to attend the virtual format and were included in
131 the analyses. Overall, 27.9% (n= 88) declined and 72.1% (n= 227) accepted the
132 invitation to transfer to the virtual group WMP. The most frequent reason for declining
133 was lack of internet access and/or lack of digital skills (89.8%, n= 79), while 10.2%
134 (n=9) patients declined as they only wished to partake in face-to-face sessions.

135

136 The baseline characteristics of patients who accepted transfer to the virtual group
137 WMP versus those who declined are summarised in Table 1. Data on age, gender,
138 weight, BMI and IMD quintile score were available for all patients, while ethnicity data
139 were available for 86.3% (n= 272) of patients. Most patients were from postcodes
140 associated with quintile 1 of IMD.

141

142 Patients who accepted the virtual group WMP were younger (mean -4.0 years, 95%
143 CI -7.6 to -0.4; p=0.032) than those who declined. A greater proportion of those aged
144 ≥60 year olds declined the virtual group WMP compared to other age groups: 48%

145 (n=23) of ≥60 year olds declined the virtual group WMP, compared to 22.8% (n=34)
146 of 40-59 year olds and 26.3% (n=31) of <40 year olds (p=0.003). There were also
147 significant differences in the uptake of the virtual group WMP by ethnicity, whereby
148 35% (n=27) of all BAME patients compared to 22.8% (n=45) of all Caucasian
149 patients declined uptake of the virtual group WMP (p=0.002). There was no statistical
150 difference between genders, weight, BMI or level of deprivation of those who
151 accepted compared with those who declined the virtual group WMP.

152
153 A logistic regression was performed to ascertain the associations of age, gender,
154 ethnicity, deprivation and BMI with acceptance of transfer to the virtual group WMP.
155 The logistic regression model was statistically significant, $\chi^2(4) = 18.427$, p=0.018.
156 Older age (OR 0.966, [95% CI 0.944, 0.989]; p=0.003) and identifying as BAME
157 compared to Caucasian (OR 0.460 [95% CI 0.248, 0.851]; p=0.023) were associated
158 with a decreased likelihood of uptake of the virtual group WMP (Table 2).

159

160 **Discussion**

161 To our knowledge this is the first study to examine the uptake of a virtual group WMP
162 during the COVID-19 pandemic and found that the uptake was high, with nearly three
163 quarters of patients with obesity transferring to the virtual group WMP.

164

165 However, the invitation to transfer to a virtual group WMP was declined by over a
166 quarter of patients in our study. Our findings suggest that older patients and patients
167 identifying as BAME were less likely to accept transfer to the virtual group WMP. This
168 may provide evidence of inequity of access to virtual obesity treatments among

169 vulnerable patient groups, which is particularly worrisome considering that older age
170 ²⁴⁻²⁶, BAME ^{27,28} and obesity ⁴⁻⁷ are shown to increase risk of severe illness from
171 COVID-19. ~~and its related mortality~~. Therefore, it would be important to explore this
172 further in future research.

173
174 It is plausible that poorer uptake of a virtual group WMP may be attributed to digital
175 exclusion. In the UK, digital exclusion is most prominent among adults aged ≥65
176 years old, minority ethnic groups and those not in employment ¹¹. Most of our
177 patients were living in quintile 1 IMD which is consistent with the data showing the
178 association between obesity and social deprivation ^{29,30}. Despite that, the uptake of
179 the virtual group WMP was high overall in our study. However, digital exclusion may
180 explain our findings that patients who are older or identify as BAME are less likely to
181 access a virtual group WMP. However, we did not have the data granularity to
182 differentiate between patients who did not have physical access to the internet
183 compared to those that do not have the digital skills to utilise the internet.

184
185 There is concern that the increasing use of digital health tools during the COVID-19
186 pandemic might exacerbate health inequalities if patient are unable to use or access
187 digital interventions ³¹. Our data demonstrate a need to engage with people with
188 obesity of older age and BAME communities in order to understand how we can
189 improve the uptake of virtual group WMPs, including how we can enhance digital
190 literacy skills to allow participation. Weight management services may benefit from
191 being able to refer patients with poor digital literacy to 'Digital Health Champions' ³²,

192 who could engage with patients to learn basic digital skills; thereby enabling access
193 to virtual group WMPs.

194

195 The main limitation of our study is that it is a single centre analysis. Our findings
196 suggest that wider examinations of variations of virtual group WMP uptake by age
197 and ethnicity need to be explored in larger multi-centre studies to confirm where
198 there is a national inequity of access to virtual group WMPs among older and BAME
199 populations. Our study collated quantitative categorical data on reasons for declining
200 uptake of the virtual group WMP. However, future research should explore patient's
201 reasons for declining transfer to a virtual group WMP through qualitative data, in
202 order to gain a deeper understanding of barriers to participation.

203

204 In conclusion, most patients opted to transfer to a virtual format of our group WMP in
205 our Tier 3 weight management service. However, older age and identifying as BAME
206 were associated with reduced likelihood of uptake of a virtual group WMP. There is a
207 need address factors such as improving digital literacy to ensure safe and equitable
208 access to virtual group WMPs during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond,
209 particularly considering the links between obesity and COVID-19, and the increased
210 risk of severe COVID-19 among older patients and BAME groups.

211

212 **Transparency:** The lead author affirms that this manuscript is an honest, accurate,
213 and transparent account of the study being reported. The reporting of this work is
214 compliant with STROBE guidelines. The lead author affirms that no important

215 aspects of the study have been omitted and that there are no discrepancies from the
216 study as planned.

217

218 **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

219

220 **References**

- 221 1. World Health Organisation. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the
222 media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020. Published 2020. Accessed June
223 16, 2020. [https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-](https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020)
224 [opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020](https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020)
- 225 2. Public Health England. *Coronavirus (COVID-19) Keeping Away from Other*
226 *People: New Rules to Follow from 23 March 2020* .; 2020. Accessed June 16,
227 2020.
228 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/at](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/876699/COVID-19_Keeping_away_from_other_people_20200328.pdf)
229 [tachment_data/file/876699/COVID-](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/876699/COVID-19_Keeping_away_from_other_people_20200328.pdf)
230 [19_Keeping_away_from_other_people_20200328.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/876699/COVID-19_Keeping_away_from_other_people_20200328.pdf)
- 231 3. Robinson E, Boyland E, Chisholm A, et al. Obesity, eating behavior and
232 physical activity during COVID-19 lockdown: A study of UK adults. *Appetite*.
233 Published online October 7, 2020:104853. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2020.104853
- 234 4. Kass DA, Duggal P, Cingolani O. Obesity could shift severe COVID-19 disease
235 to younger ages. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10236):1544-1545. doi:10.1016/S0140-
236 6736(20)31024-2
- 237 5. Caussy C, Pattou F, Wallet F, et al. Prevalence of obesity among adult
238 inpatients with COVID-19 in France. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*.

- 239 2020;8(7):562-564. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(20)30160-1
- 240 6. Williamson E, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran KJ, et al. OpenSAFELY: factors
241 associated with COVID-19-related hospital death in the linked electronic health
242 records of 17 million adult NHS patients. *medRxiv*. Published online May 7,
243 2020:.06.20092999. doi:10.1101/2020.05.06.20092999
- 244 7. Ho FK, Celis-Morales CA, Gray SR, et al. Modifiable and non-modifiable risk
245 factors for COVID-19: results from UK Biobank. *medRxiv*. Published online
246 May 2, 2020:28.20083295. doi:10.1101/2020.04.28.20083295
- 247 8. Public Health England. Weight management services during COVID-19: phase
248 1 insights. Published 2020. Accessed September 9, 2020.
249 [https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/weight-management-services-](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/weight-management-services-during-covid-19-phase-1-insights)
250 [during-covid-19-phase-1-insights](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/weight-management-services-during-covid-19-phase-1-insights)
- 251 9. NHS Digital. What we mean by digital inclusion. Published 2020. Accessed
252 September 9, 2020. [https://digital.nhs.uk/about-nhs-digital/our-work/digital-](https://digital.nhs.uk/about-nhs-digital/our-work/digital-inclusion/what-digital-inclusion-is)
253 [inclusion/what-digital-inclusion-is](https://digital.nhs.uk/about-nhs-digital/our-work/digital-inclusion/what-digital-inclusion-is)
- 254 10. Lloyds Bank. UK Consumer Digital Index 2020. Published 2020. Accessed
255 September 9, 2020. [https://www.lloydsbank.com/banking-with-us/whats-](https://www.lloydsbank.com/banking-with-us/whats-happening/consumer-digital-index.html)
256 [happening/consumer-digital-index.html](https://www.lloydsbank.com/banking-with-us/whats-happening/consumer-digital-index.html)
- 257 11. Office for National Statistics. Internet users, UK: 2019. Office for National
258 Statistics. Published May 24, 2019. Accessed June 19, 2020.
259 [https://www.ons.gov.uk/businessindustryandtrade/itandinternetindustry/bulletin](https://www.ons.gov.uk/businessindustryandtrade/itandinternetindustry/bulletins/internetusers/2019)
260 [s/internetusers/2019](https://www.ons.gov.uk/businessindustryandtrade/itandinternetindustry/bulletins/internetusers/2019)
- 261 12. Azar KMJ, Aurora M, Wang EJ, Muzaffar A, Pressman A, Palaniappan LP.
262 Virtual small groups for weight management: an innovative delivery mechanism

- 263 for evidence-based lifestyle interventions among obese men. *Transl Behav*
264 *Med.* 2015;5(1):37-44. doi:10.1007/s13142-014-0296-6
- 265 13. Ahrendt AD, Kattelman KK, Rector TS, Maddox DA. The Effectiveness of
266 Telemedicine for Weight Management in the *MOVE!* Program. *J Rural Heal.*
267 2014;30(1):113-119. doi:10.1111/jrh.12049
- 268 14. Das SK, Brown C, Urban LE, et al. Weight loss in videoconference and in-
269 person iDiet weight loss programs in worksites and community groups.
270 *Obesity.* 2017;25(6):1033-1041. doi:10.1002/oby.21854
- 271 15. Clark DO, Keith N, Weiner M, Xu H. Outcomes of an RCT of videoconference
272 vs. in-person or in-clinic nutrition and exercise in midlife adults with obesity.
273 *Obes Sci Pract.* 2019;5(2):111-119. doi:10.1002/osp4.318
- 274 16. van Beurden SB, Simmons SI, Tang JCH, Mewse AJ, Abraham C, Greaves
275 CJ. Informing the development of online weight management interventions: A
276 qualitative investigation of primary care patient perceptions. *BMC Obes.*
277 2018;5(1). doi:10.1186/s40608-018-0184-6
- 278 17. Little P, Stuart B, Richard Hobbs FD, et al. Randomised controlled trial and
279 economic analysis of an internet-based weight management programme:
280 POWeR+ (Positive Online Weight Reduction). *Health Technol Assess (Rockv).*
281 2017;21(4):1-61. doi:10.3310/hta21040
- 282 18. Vakil RM, Doshi RS, Mehta AK, et al. Direct comparisons of commercial
283 weight-loss programs on weight, waist circumference, and blood pressure: a
284 systematic review. *BMC Public Health.* 2016;16:460. doi:10.1186/s12889-016-
285 3112-z
- 286 19. Anderson B, Attwood B, Baird G, et al. *Obesity: Clinical Assessment and*

- 287 *Management Quality Standard.*; 2016. Accessed June 15, 2020.
288 www.nice.org.uk/guidance/qs127
- 289 20. Office for National Statistics. *2011 Census.*; 2011. Accessed March 31, 2019.
290 <https://www.ons.gov.uk/census/2011census>
- 291 21. Brown A, Gouldstone A, Fox E, et al. Description and preliminary results from a
292 structured specialist behavioural weight management group intervention:
293 Specialist Lifestyle Management (SLiM) programme. *BMJ Open.*
294 2015;5(4):e007217. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-007217
- 295 22. Enghouse Systems Limited. VidyoConnect. Published online 2020.
- 296 23. Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government. *English Indices of*
297 *Deprivation 2019.*; 2019. Accessed May 4, 2020.
298 <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-indices-of-deprivation-2019>
- 299 24. Dowd JB, Andriano L, Brazel DM, et al. Demographic science aids in
300 understanding the spread and fatality rates of COVID-19. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*
301 *S A.* 2020;117(18):9696-9698. doi:10.1073/pnas.2004911117
- 302 25. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult
303 inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study.
304 *Lancet.* 2020;395(10229):1054-1062. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3
- 305 26. Davies NG, Klepac P, Liu Y, Prem K, Jit M, Eggo RM. Age-dependent effects
306 in the transmission and control of COVID-19 epidemics. *Nat Med.* Published
307 online June 16, 2020:1-7. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0962-9
- 308 27. Public Health England. *Beyond the Data: Understanding the Impact of COVID-*
309 *19 on BAME Communities.*; 2020. Accessed June 17, 2020.
310 www.facebook.com/PublicHealthEngland

- 311 28. Harrison EM, Docherty AB, Barr B, et al. Ethnicity and Outcomes from COVID-
312 19: The ISARIC CCP-UK Prospective Observational Cohort Study of
313 Hospitalised Patients. *SSRN Electron J* . Published online May 31, 2020.
314 doi:10.2139/ssrn.3618215
- 315 29. NHS Digital. *Statistics on Obesity, Physical Activity and Diet, England, 2019 -*
316 *NHS Digital*.; 2019. [https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-](https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet-england-2019)
317 [information/publications/statistical/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-](https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet-england-2019)
318 [diet/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet-england-2019](https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet-england-2019)
- 319 30. El-Sayed AM, Scarborough P, Galea S. Unevenly distributed: A systematic
320 review of the health literature about socioeconomic inequalities in adult obesity
321 in the United Kingdom. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12(1):1-12. doi:10.1186/1471-
322 2458-12-18
- 323 31. Watts G. COVID-19 and the digital divide in the UK. *Lancet Digit Heal*.
324 2020;2(8):e395-e396. doi:10.1016/s2589-7500(20)30169-2
- 325 32. NHS Digital. *Digital Champions for Health: A Blueprint for Success*.; 2020.
326 Accessed November 1, 2020. [https://digital.nhs.uk/about-nhs-digital/our-](https://digital.nhs.uk/about-nhs-digital/our-work/transforming-health-and-care-through-technology/empower-the-person-formerly-domain-a/widening-digital-participation/digital-champions-for-health)
327 [work/transforming-health-and-care-through-technology/empower-the-person-](https://digital.nhs.uk/about-nhs-digital/our-work/transforming-health-and-care-through-technology/empower-the-person-formerly-domain-a/widening-digital-participation/digital-champions-for-health)
328 [formerly-domain-a/widening-digital-participation/digital-champions-for-health](https://digital.nhs.uk/about-nhs-digital/our-work/transforming-health-and-care-through-technology/empower-the-person-formerly-domain-a/widening-digital-participation/digital-champions-for-health)
- 329

330 **Table legends**

331 Table 1: Baseline Characteristics. Data presented as mean (SD) or n= (%)

332 Table 2: Binary logistic regression analysis of uptake of virtual group weight

333 management programme

