

## Emission Sector Impacts on Air Quality and Public Health in China From 2010 to 2020

Conibear, Luke; Reddington, Carly L.; Silver, Ben J.; Chen, Ying; Arnold, Stephen R.; Spracklen, Dominick V.

DOI:  
[10.1029/2021GH000567](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GH000567)

License:  
Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*  
Conibear, L, Reddington, CL, Silver, BJ, Chen, Y, Arnold, SR & Spracklen, DV 2022, 'Emission Sector Impacts on Air Quality and Public Health in China From 2010 to 2020', *GeoHealth*, vol. 6, no. 6, e2021GH000567. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GH000567>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

### General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.







When citing, please reference the published version.

### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

## Emission Sector Impacts on Air Quality and Public Health in China From 2010 to 2020

Luke Conibear<sup>1</sup> , Carly L. Reddington<sup>1</sup> , Ben J. Silver<sup>1</sup> , Ying Chen<sup>2</sup> ,  
Stephen R. Arnold<sup>1</sup> , and Dominick V. Spracklen<sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Climate and Atmospheric Science, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK, <sup>2</sup>College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

### Special Section:

Atmospheric PM<sub>2.5</sub> in China: indoor, outdoor, and health effects

This article is a companion to Conibear et al. (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GH000391> and Conibear et al. (2022b), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GH000570>.

### Key Points:

- We used emulators of long-term air quality in China to estimate the emission sector impacts on air pollution and health over 2010–2020
- PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure peaked in 2012 at 52.8 μg m<sup>-3</sup>, primarily from industrial (31%) and residential (22%) emissions
- PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure reduced by 36% in 2020, reducing the disease burden by 9% mostly from lower industrial (58%) and residential (29%) emissions

### Supporting Information:

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

### Correspondence to:

L. Conibear,  
[laconibear@gmail.com](mailto:laconibear@gmail.com)

### Citation:

Conibear, L., Reddington, C. L., Silver, B. J., Chen, Y., Arnold, S. R., & Spracklen, D. V. (2022). Emission sector impacts on air quality and public health in China from 2010 to 2020. *GeoHealth*, 6, e2021GH000567. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GH000567>

Received 1 DEC 2021

Accepted 31 MAR 2022

Corrected 4 AUG 2022

This article was corrected on 4 AUG 2022. See the end of the full text for details.

© 2022 The Authors. *GeoHealth* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Geophysical Union. This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract** Anthropogenic emissions and ambient fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) concentrations have declined in recent years across China. However, PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure remains high, ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) exposure is increasing, and the public health impacts are substantial. We used emulators to explore how emission changes (averaged per sector over all species) have contributed to changes in air quality and public health in China over 2010–2020. We show that PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure peaked in 2012 at 52.8 μg m<sup>-3</sup>, with contributions of 31% from industry and 22% from residential emissions. In 2020, PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure declined by 36% to 33.5 μg m<sup>-3</sup>, where the contributions from industry and residential sources reduced to 15% and 17%, respectively. The PM<sub>2.5</sub> disease burden decreased by only 9% over 2012 where the contributions from industry and residential sources reduced to 15% and 17%, respectively 2020, partly due to an aging population with greater susceptibility to air pollution. Most of the reduction in PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure and associated public health benefits occurred due to reductions in industrial (58%) and residential (29%) emissions. Reducing national PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure below the World Health Organization Interim Target 2 (25 μg m<sup>-3</sup>) would require a further 80% reduction in residential and industrial emissions, highlighting the challenges that remain to improve air quality in China.

**Plain Language Summary** Atmospheric models are useful to simulate air quality, weather, and climate, and to explore processes and mechanisms in detail. However, they are computationally expensive due to their complexity. This limits the experiments that are feasible. One solution to this problem is to use emulators. Emulators are machine learning models that are trained with atmospheric model simulations. They are cheaper to run, enabling much more experimentation. Here, we used emulators to predict air quality and health in China from emission changes (averaged per sector over all species). The emulators accurately predicted the spatial variation and magnitude of fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) concentrations across China. We found that PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure peaked in 2012 at 52.8 μg m<sup>-3</sup>. For comparison, this is ten times larger than the WHO Air Quality Guideline. The main contributors to these air pollution levels in 2012 were industrial (31%) and residential (22%) emissions. Over 2012–2020, PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure reduced by 36%, attaining the National Air Quality Target of 35 μg m<sup>-3</sup>. This air quality improvement avoided 187,800 premature deaths each year by 2020. Most of these health benefits were due to lower industrial (58%) and residential (29%) emissions. This study highlights the value of emulators in air quality research.

## 1. Introduction

Long-term ambient fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) exposure is a leading public health problem in China, associated with 9% (95% uncertainty interval, 95UI: 7–11%) of the healthy life lost to disease in 2019 (GBD, 2019 Risk Factors Collaborators, 2020; Yin et al., 2020). Previous studies have found that long-term ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure (population-weighted concentrations) in China peaked over 2011–2013 at between 50 and 65 μg m<sup>-3</sup> (Geng et al., 2021; Health Effects Institute, 2020; Huang et al., 2021; Liang et al., 2020; Silver, Conibear, et al., 2020; Yue et al., 2020; Q. Zhang et al., 2019). Since 2013, PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure has decreased at a rate of 0.5–5 μg m<sup>-3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, reaching 35–48 μg m<sup>-3</sup> in 2019 (Geng et al., 2021; Health Effects Institute, 2020; Huang et al., 2021; Kong et al., 2021; Liang et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2019; McDuffie et al., 2021; Silver, Conibear, et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2019; Yue et al., 2020; Zhai et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). In contrast, O<sub>3</sub> exposure increased over 2014–2017 (Health Effects Institute, 2020; K. Li et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2020; Silver, He, et al., 2020).

**Author Contributions:**

**Conceptualization:** Luke Conibear, Stephen R. Arnold, Dominick V. Spracklen  
**Data curation:** Luke Conibear, Carly L. Reddington, Ben J. Silver  
**Formal analysis:** Luke Conibear  
**Funding acquisition:** Stephen R. Arnold, Dominick V. Spracklen  
**Investigation:** Luke Conibear  
**Methodology:** Luke Conibear, Ying Chen  
**Project Administration:** Stephen R. Arnold, Dominick V. Spracklen  
**Resources:** Stephen R. Arnold, Dominick V. Spracklen  
**Software:** Luke Conibear  
**Validation:** Luke Conibear  
**Visualization:** Luke Conibear  
**Writing – original draft:** Luke Conibear  
**Writing – review & editing:** Luke Conibear, Carly L. Reddington, Ben J. Silver, Ying Chen, Stephen R. Arnold, Dominick V. Spracklen

The reductions in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations over 2015–2017 have been attributed to reductions in anthropogenic emissions, as a result of the Air Pollution Prevention and Control Action Plan of 2013–2017 (Cheng et al., 2019; Ding et al., 2019; K. Li et al., 2019, 2020; Silver, Conibear, et al., 2020; Zhai et al., 2019; B. Zhao et al., 2018). The plan required PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations to decline by 25% in Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei, 20% in the Yangtze River Delta, and 15% in the Pearl River Delta. A range of emission controls were implemented including tighter emission standards for industry, power generation, and land transport, in addition to phasing out inefficient industrial plants (B. Zheng et al., 2018). Reduced use of residential solid fuels, primarily due to urbanization and increased incomes rather than specific policies, also improved particulate air quality (B. Zhao et al., 2018; H. Zheng et al., 2019). Bottom–up estimates of anthropogenic emissions changes over 2015–2017 suggest reductions in industrial (19%), residential (16%), and power generation (11%) emissions (B. Zheng et al., 2018). The previous studies of sector contributions to air quality in China mainly analyze individual years over 2010–2020 using different methods (Gao et al., 2019; GBD MAPS Working Group, 2016; Gu et al., 2018; Hu, Huang, et al., 2017; Lelieveld et al., 2015; J. Liu, Xing, et al., 2020; McDuffie et al., 2021; C. Reddington et al., 2019). An ensemble of these estimates finds these contributions to be 30% from industry, 26% from residential, 16% from agricultural, 14% from power generation, and 7% from land transport emissions (C. Reddington et al., 2019). Despite the recent reductions in emissions and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in China, PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure remains high, O<sub>3</sub> exposure is increasing, and the associated disease burden is substantial (Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Knot, et al., 2021; Silver, Conibear, et al., 2020; B. Zhao et al., 2018).

Atmospheric models are useful for simulating air quality, estimating emission sector contributions, and exploring processes and mechanisms. However, their complexity comes at high computational costs, which limits the feasible number of experiments. One solution to this problem is to train emulators to learn statistical associations using data from these atmospheric models. The emulators are then much cheaper to run, substantially increasing the number of experiments that can be explored. Emulators are often designed using Gaussian processes (O’Hagan, 2006; Rasmussen & Williams, 2006). A key benefit of these is their accuracy with smaller data sets, which is useful here as the training data is simulated using the complex atmospheric models.

In Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Chen, et al. (2021), we developed the emulator approach for predicting short–term (1–month) PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure from emission changes in China (averaged per sector over all species). In Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Chen, Knot, et al. (2022), we extended the emulator approach for long–term (annual) exposure, multiple air pollutants (PM<sub>2.5</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>), chronic health impacts, and explored the sensitivity of exposure and health to different combinations of emission reductions from a 2015 baseline. Here, we use these long–term emulators to explore how air quality and public health has changed in China over 2010–2020, how different emission sectors have contributed to these changes, and how it may continue to change beyond 2020. We combine the emulators with surface observations of air pollutants to produce new estimates of emission changes that we compare with existing studies.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Emulators and Simulators of Air Quality

We trained emulators to predict air quality across China from emission changes using simulation data. Simulations of air quality in China used WRFChem (Weather Research and Forecasting model online–coupled with Chemistry) version 3.7.1 (Grell et al., 2005; Skamarock et al., 2008). WRFChem was described and evaluated in our previous work (Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Chen, et al., 2021; Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Knot, et al., 2021; C. Reddington et al., 2019; Silver, Conibear, et al., 2020). The simulations were for 2015 at 30 km horizontal resolution. There were 50 simulations for the training data and 5 additional simulations for the test data. Each simulation only varied the fraction of anthropogenic emissions for these five sectors. The fractions were applied for each sector individually, determined using maxi–min Latin hypercube space–filling designs separately for both the training data and the test data. The scaling factors for each anthropogenic emission sector of the training and test simulators are provided in the Supplementary Tables of Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Chen, Knot, et al. (2022). This design produces efficient and accurate emulators, as demonstrated in our previous work (Y. Chen et al., 2020; Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Chen, et al., 2021; Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Chen, Knot, et al., 2022).

Anthropogenic emissions were from the MEIC (Multi-resolution Emission Inventory for China) emission inventory (M. Li, Liu, et al., 2017; M. Li, Zhang, et al., 2017; MEIC Research Group & Tsinghua University, 2019; Zheng et al., 2018). Gas phase chemistry was from the extended MOZART (Model for Ozone and Related Chemical Tracers) scheme (Emmons et al., 2010; Hodzic & Jimenez, 2011; Knote et al., 2014). Aerosol physics and chemistry were from the updated MOSAIC (Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry) scheme with aqueous chemistry (Alma Hodzic & Knote, 2014; Zaveri et al., 2008). Secondary organic aerosol formation was from an updated volatility basis set mechanism (Knote et al., 2015).

The emulators were Gaussian process machine learning models, developed and evaluated in (Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Chen, et al., 2021; Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Chen, Knote, et al., 2022). The inputs to the emulators were fractional changes in anthropogenic emissions from the residential (RES), industrial (IND), land transport (TRA), agricultural (AGR), and power generation (ENE) sectors. The outputs of the emulators were the metrics used in the health impact assessment of annual-mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations and maximum 6-monthly-mean daily-maximum 8-hour (6mDM8h)  $O_3$  concentrations. The 6mDM8h metric was calculated by quantifying 24 separate 8-hour rolling mean  $O_3$  concentrations, finding the maximum of these each day, creating 12 separate 6-monthly means to account for seasonal variations, and finding the maximum of these over the year.

There was one emulator per output and grid cell in China, with 30,556 emulators in total. The emulators predicted air quality for all emission configurations within a 0–150% matrix of emission scaling factors at 20% increments, with 32,768 emission configurations in total. The emulators were specific to their training data, and predicted based on associational knowledge, rather than explanatory knowledge (Deutsch, 2012; Pearl, 2019).

For the simulator evaluation (Figure 1 in Supporting Information S1), we independently assessed a control simulation against measurements across China (Jin et al., 2020; Silver et al., 2018). The control simulation underestimated  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations (normalized mean bias factor, NMBF =  $-0.05$  and normalized mean absolute error factor, NMAEF = 0.18) and overestimated  $O_3$  concentrations (NMBF = 0.39 and NMAEF = 0.40). In order to provide the closest match with observations, we scaled  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$  concentrations to measurements. We applied the scaling to the control model and applied identical scalings to the emulators. Scalings were applied by prefecture where observations were available, otherwise scalings were applied by province (administrative division). After this scaling was applied, the control simulation had low bias and error for both  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations (NMBF = 0.02 and NMAEF = 0.10) and  $O_3$  concentrations (NMBF = 0.03 and NMAEF = 0.11). Our approach relies on the sensitivity of the WRFChem simulations to emissions change. Future work is needed to explore the sensitivity of concentrations simulated by WRFChem to uncertainty in the chemistry and physics of the model.

For the emulators evaluation (Figure 2 in Supporting Information S1), we independently assessed the (scaled) emulators on the unseen test simulations. The emulators accurately predicted the unseen test simulation data, with a coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) value for both  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$  concentrations of 0.999 and root mean squared errors (RMSE) of  $0.5094 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  for  $PM_{2.5}$  and 0.1667 ppb for  $O_3$  concentrations. These evaluations showed that the simulators accurately represented the spatial pattern and magnitude of measured  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$  concentrations across China, and that the emulators accurately predicted the simulator (Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Chen, et al., 2021; Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Chen, Knote, et al., 2022).

The emulators were designed to quickly predict air quality solely from fractional changes in the five key anthropogenic emission sectors. The emulators did not account for changes in other sectors and sources due to computational constraints (Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Chen, Knote, et al., 2022). The simulated training data for the emulators was based on meteorology from 2015 and did not account for the interannual variation in meteorology over 2010–2020. The recent impacts from interannual changes in meteorology on air quality at the annual scale in China have been found to be substantially smaller than the impacts from changes in emissions (Ding et al., 2019; Silver, Conibear, et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2019). We note that variability in meteorology can have a very important impact on air quality at shorter (days–weeks) timescales (Hammer et al., 2020).

## 2.2. Health Impact Assessment

The health impact assessment estimated the disease burden attributable to  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$  exposure using population attributable fractions (PAF) of relative risk (RR). Exposure variations were used to predict associated outcome variations.

The chronic PM<sub>2.5</sub> disease burden was estimated using the GEMM (Global Exposure Mortality Model, Burnett et al., 2018). The outcomes were non-accidental mortality (non-communicable disease, NCD, plus lower respiratory infections, LRI). The minimum exposure of no excess risk was 2.4 µg m<sup>-3</sup>. The chronic O<sub>3</sub> disease burden was estimated using the methods of the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study (GBD) for 2017 (GBD 2017 Risk Factor Collaborators, 2018). The outcome was chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The minimum exposure of no excess risk was 35.7 ppb (Turner et al., 2016).

The measures used were the number of premature mortalities (MORT) per year. The population count for 2010, 2015, and 2020 was from the Gridded Population of the World, Version 4.11, at 15 arc-minute resolution (Center for International Earth Science Information Network & NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center, 2018). The population count was interpolated between these three years for the remaining years within 2010–2020. The population age groupings for 2010–2019 for adults of 25–80 years of age in 5-year intervals and for 80 years plus were from the GBD2019 (GBD 2019 Risk Factors Collaborators, 2020). The baseline health rates for 2010–2019 for each outcome (NCD: group category B, LRI: specific category A.2.2, and COPD: specific category B.3.1), measure, and age grouping were from the GBD2019 (Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, 2020). The population age groupings and baseline health rates were extrapolated for 2020.


Sector-specific changes in the air pollution disease burden can either be calculated using the subtraction or attribution methods (Conibear et al., 2018; Kodros et al., 2016). The subtraction method estimates the change in the air pollution disease burden over time. The attribution method estimates the sector-specific contributions to the air pollution disease burden. In high-exposure regions, the sector-specific public health benefits from the subtraction method are smaller than those from the attribution method due to the non-linear exposure–outcome association for PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations (Conibear et al., 2018; Kodros et al., 2016). Both of these approaches were in the results for their different purposes and were identified when used.

Shapefiles were used to aggregate results at the country, province, and prefecture level (Hijmans et al., 2020). Uncertainty intervals at the 95% confidence level were estimated using the uncertainty intervals from the exposure–outcome associations, baseline health rates, and population age groupings. Health impact assessments of the disease burden associated with air pollution exposure have many uncertainties (Nethery & Dominici, 2019). These include uncertainties in the simulator (i.e., in WRFCHEM from input data, parameterisations, grid aggregations, etc.), exposure–outcome associations (e.g., confounding, induction, study variability), and population generalisations (e.g., non-representative cohorts, extrapolations). The uncertainties and limitations of the population count data are detailed in Center for International Earth Science Information Network & NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center, (2018). Present day emissions in China are uncertain, especially for non-methane volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions (M. Li et al., 2018; M. Li, Liu, et al., 2017; Saikawa et al., 2017; Zhong et al., 2019).

### 2.3. Measurement–Informed and Bottom–Up Emission Changes

We combined the emulators with measurements over the last 6 years to derive a “measurement–informed” estimate of the change in emissions that occurred over this period. The measured changes in annual–mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> and 6mDM8h O<sub>3</sub> concentrations over 2015–2020 were calculated for each measurement station (1,633 in total). The year of 2015 was chosen as the start year, as this is when extensive measurements became available. Each measurement station was spatially paired to the nearest emulator. The emission configurations were taken at 20% increments, with the edges of the parameter space (0% and 140%) removed (7,776 emission configurations remaining). The predicted changes in air quality were calculated for the remaining emission configurations relative to the baseline. The measured and predicted changes in air quality were compared, and those that matched within 1% were retained. The emission configurations that corresponded to these retained predictions were then counted to find the most frequently occurring. The top 1,000 occurring emission configurations were analyzed for matching trends in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations only, due to the emulators ability to accurately capture the trend in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. These most common emission configurations represented measurement–informed estimates of the changes in anthropogenic emissions that matched the measured trend in air quality. We do not account for the impacts of interannual variability in meteorology, though we expect that this has relatively small impacts at the annual scale.





**Figure 1.** Comparison of our estimates of anthropogenic emission changes in China over 2015–2017 with previous and bottom–up estimates (B. Zheng et al., 2018). Our estimates are for the top 1,000 occurring emission configurations that matched the measured trend in fine particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$ , annual–mean) concentrations only. Emissions are for the (a) residential (RES), (b) industrial (IND), (c) land transport (TRA), (d) agricultural (AGR), and (e) power generation (ENE) sectors. Boxplot percentiles are fifth, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 95th. Mean emission changes are over carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, ammonia, black carbon, organic carbon,  $PM_{2.5}$ , coarse particulate matter, and non–methane volatile organic compounds.

The measurement–informed estimate was compared to the bottom–up estimate of the changes in anthropogenic emissions over 2010–2017 from Zheng et al. (2018) (Table 1 in Supporting Information S1). Mean emission changes were calculated over carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides ( $NO_x$ ), sulfur dioxide, ammonia ( $NH_3$ ), black carbon, organic carbon,  $PM_{2.5}$ , coarse particulate matter, and VOC emissions. The results were similar when averaging over a few key species (i.e.,  $NO_x$ , VOC,  $NH_3$ , and  $PM_{2.5}$ ) instead of averaging over all species. The results using the average over all species were presented here, as the emulator inputs were averaged over all species. The bottom–up emission change estimates from Zheng et al. (2018) used sector–specific MEIC emissions from 2010–2017. MEIC emissions cover 31 provinces in China and include approximately 700 anthropogenic sources.

### 3. Results and Discussion

In the results and discussion,  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations are ambient annual–means and  $O_3$  concentrations are ambient 6mDM8h. Exposures are population–weighted concentrations.

#### 3.1. Measurement–Informed and Bottom–Up Emission Estimates Over 2015–2017

The bottom–up emissions for 2015–2017 from Zheng et al. (2018) suggest large reductions in industrial (19%), residential (16%), and power generation (11%) emissions (Figure 1). Our measurement–informed estimate for 2015–2017 suggest larger reductions in power generation (29%) and residential (28%) emissions and smaller reductions in industrial (14%) emissions. The reductions in land transport (29%) and agricultural (26%) emissions from our estimate are larger than the bottom–up reductions (both 1%).

The measurement–informed estimate has large variability, where many possible emission configurations match the measured trends in air quality. We calculate different mean emission reductions if we match to  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations only,  $O_3$  concentrations only, both  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$  concentrations, or either  $PM_{2.5}$  or  $O_3$  concentrations (Figures 3–5 in Supporting Information S1). We note that our approach well matches the observed trend in  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations, but does not well match the observed trend in  $O_3$  concentrations (see Section 3.2), providing larger confidence in emission estimates based on  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations. If our estimate is matched to both  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$  concentrations, then there are larger reductions in industry of 21% (Figure 4 in Supporting Information S1). If our estimate is matched to  $O_3$  concentrations only, then the reductions are larger in power generation (57%), agriculture (36%), and industry (24%), and smaller in residential (18%) emissions (Figure 5 in Supporting Information S1). The reductions in land transport emissions are similar for all matching methods.

#### 3.2. Trends in Emissions, Exposure, and Public Health Over 2010–2020

Figure 2 shows 2010–2020 changes in emissions, air quality, and health impacts over China. Bottom–up emissions are from B. Zheng et al. (2018). These emissions are combined with our emulators to produce bottom–up concentrations, exposure, and disease burden. Our measurement–informed emission estimates are the mean emission configurations that when combined with the emulator match the trend in measured concentrations




Figure 2.

(matched to  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentrations only). The concentrations from these simulations are used to calculate measurement-informed exposure and disease burden. Our measurement-informed emission estimates were compared with bottom-up estimates for 2015–2017 when both estimates were available.

In the bottom–up estimate, power generation emissions steeply decline over 2011–2016, and slightly decrease further to 2020 in the measurement–informed estimate (Figure 2a). Industrial and residential emissions both decrease from 2013–2017 in the bottom–up estimate, and both further decrease in 2020 compared to 2017 in the measurement–informed estimate. Land transport and agricultural emissions remain relatively unchanged in the bottom–up estimate over 2010–2017, while decrease after 2015 in the measurement–informed estimate.

From 2015–2020, average observed  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations at measurement locations reduced by  $12.7 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  (Figure 2b), well reproduced by our estimates ( $-14.2 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ). Over 2015–2017, the observed change in  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations ( $-5.1 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ), is slightly underestimated by the bottom–up estimate ( $-4.3 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) and slightly overestimated by the measurement–informed estimate ( $-6.0 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ). From 2017–2020, observed  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations reduce by  $7.7 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  (Figure 2b), similar to the reduction in the measurement–informed estimate ( $8.2 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ). The regional trends for  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure are similar for all regions (Figure 6 in Supporting Information S1).

Average  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure across China declined by 36% from a peak of  $52.8 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  (bottom–up estimate) in 2012 to  $33.5 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  (measurement–informed estimate) in 2020 (Figure 2e). However, we note this compares estimates from bottom–up and measurement–informed estimates. The reasonable agreement between these two approaches over 2015–2017 when both are available suggests this comparison is appropriate. In the bottom–up estimate, national  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure increases from 2010 ( $51.9 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) to 2012 ( $52.8 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ), and then decreases by  $5.6 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  over 2012–2015 (a reduction of 11%, Figure 2e). A similar reduction in national  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure over 2013–2019 was seen in previous work (Geng et al., 2021; Health Effects Institute, 2020; Huang et al., 2021; Liang et al., 2020; Maji & Sarkar, 2020; Silver, Conibear, et al., 2020; Yue et al., 2020; Q. Zhang et al., 2019; H. Zhao et al., 2021).


From 2015–2017, observed  $O_3$  concentrations increased by 6.9 ppb (Figure 2c) compared to small changes in both bottom–up ( $-0.3$  ppb) and measurement–informed ( $-0.0$  ppb) estimates. The inability of the model to simulate the observed trend means that simulated national  $O_3$  exposure, which remains relatively constant over 2010–2015 at 44 ppb (Figure 2f), is unlikely to be realistic. From 2017–2020, observed  $O_3$  concentrations increase by a further 1.6 ppb (Figure 2c), while the measurement–informed estimate slightly decreases by 1.7 ppb. The decrease in measurement–informed  $O_3$  exposure over 2017–2020 is driven by reductions over South Central, South West, and North West China, while measurement–informed  $O_3$  exposure increases in North China (Figure 6 in Supporting Information S1).

As the measurement–informed estimates of  $O_3$  concentrations do not match the observed positive trend in  $O_3$  concentrations (Figure 2c), we are likely to underestimate the increase in disease burden associated with  $O_3$  exposure over 2010–2020. The bottom–up and measurement–informed estimates may not match the observed trend in  $O_3$  concentrations in China because of emission uncertainties over China (M. Li, Liu, et al., 2017; Saikawa et al., 2017) or due to missing chemistry in the simulators (K. Li et al., 2020, 2021). Previous work found that meteorology variability had a substantially smaller impact on the  $O_3$  concentration trend than either emissions or chemistry, and so is unlikely to explain the mismatch (K. Li et al., 2019, 2021). Some previous studies found a different trend in national  $O_3$  exposure, where it increased from 2014 to 2017 (Health Effects Institute, 2020; Maji & Sarkar, 2020; H. Zhao et al., 2021).

In our analysis, the  $PM_{2.5}$  disease burden declines by 9% from a peak of 2,091,100 (95UI: 1,925,000–2,252,000) premature deaths per year in 2012 (bottom–up estimate) to 1,903,300 (95UI: 1,745,100–2,057,800) premature deaths per year in 2020 (measurement–informed estimate, Figure 2h). This is less than the concurrent 36% decline in  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure (Figure 2e), due to the non–linear exposure–outcome association and population aging.

**Figure 2.** Changes in emissions, air quality, and health impacts in China over 2010–2020. The bottom–up estimates use emissions from Zheng et al. (2018) for 2010–2017. The measurement–informed estimates are from the emulators for 2015–2020 using the mean of the top 1,000 occurring emission configurations that match the measured trend in fine particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$ , annual–mean) concentrations only. Results are for (a) mean emission changes relative to 2015 across all species, (b) mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations at observation locations, (c) mean ozone ( $O_3$ , maximum 6–monthly–mean daily–maximum 8–hour, 6mDM8h) concentrations at observation locations, (d) sectoral contributions to  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure, (e) national  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure, (f) national  $O_3$  exposure, (g) sector–specific premature mortalities (MORT) from  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure using the attribution method, (h) annual MORT from  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure, and (i) annual MORT from  $O_3$  exposure. Sectors are residential (RES), industrial (IND), land transport (TRA), agricultural (AGR), and power generation (ENE) emissions. Results are added from various previous studies (Dang & Liao, 2019; Ding et al., 2019; GBD 2019 Risk Factors Collaborators, 2020; Geng et al., 2021; Health Effects Institute, 2020; Huang et al., 2021; Liang et al., 2020; J. Liu, Xing, et al., 2020; Maji & Sarkar, 2020; Silver, Conibear, et al., 2020; Yue et al., 2020; Q. Zhang et al., 2019; H. Zhao et al., 2021).





**Figure 3.** Sectoral contributions to ambient fine particulate matter ( $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ , annual–mean) concentrations and exposure in China for 2012, 2020, and 2020 minus 2012. The results for 2012 are the bottom–up estimate using emissions from Zheng et al. (2018). The results for 2020 are the measurement–informed estimate from the emulators using the mean of the top 1,000 occurring emission configurations that match the measured trend in  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentrations only. The rows are for the years of 2012, 2020, and 2020 minus 2012. The row annotations (far left) show the  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure for these years, with the percentage change for 2020 minus 2012. The columns are for the  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentrations per sector of residential (RES), industrial (IND), land transport (TRA), agricultural (AGR), and power generation (ENE) emissions. The column annotations (above each map) show the absolute and percentage attribution of  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure to that sector for 2012 and 2020, and the percentage change between these for 2020 minus 2012.

Most previous studies find a reduction in  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  disease burden due to reduced  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure (Ding et al., 2019; Geng et al., 2021), with a larger trend in studies that did not account for population aging (Dang & Liao, 2019; Liang et al., 2020; Silver, Conibear, et al., 2020; Q. Zhang et al., 2019). We estimate that if population age, count, and baseline health were kept constant at 2012 rates, then the  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  disease burden in 2020 would have reduced by 22% relative to 2012. The population count in China grew by 5% over 2010–2020. If the population count did not increase beyond 2010 levels, then we estimate that the disease burden in 2020 would have been 7% smaller.

In contrast, some previous studies found that the impact on the disease burden from population aging outweighed that from exposure reductions. For example, the GBD 2019 Risk Factors Collaborators (2020) found that the  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  disease burden increased by 13% over 2012–2019 and Yue et al. (2020) found that the  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  disease burden increased by 10% over 2012–2017. The increasing disease burden in these studies, in comparison to the reducing disease burden in this study, is primarily due to their smaller exposure reductions of 19% for GBD 2019 Risk Factors Collaborators, (2020) and 10% for Yue et al. (2020).

Based on the bottom–up emissions, the national disease burden associated with  $\text{O}_3$  exposure in 2010 is 53,200 (95UI: 38,400–67,700) premature deaths per year (Figure 2i). This disease burden remains approximately the same in the bottom–up (until 2017) and measurement–informed (until 2020) estimates. Previous studies found the national disease burden from  $\text{O}_3$  exposure increased over 2015–2017, primarily from increased exposure (Dang & Liao, 2019; GBD 2019 Risk Factors Collaborators, 2020; Silver, Conibear, et al., 2020). The health impact assessments in previous studies use a wide range of exposure estimation methods, exposure–outcome associations, and input data.

### 3.3. Emission Sector Contributions to Exposure Over 2010–2020

At the  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure peak in 2012 (bottom–up) of  $52.8 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ , the sector contributions are 31% from industrial, 22% from residential, 4% from land transport, 3% from agriculture, and 2% from power generation emissions (Figure 3). Over 2012 (bottom–up) to 2020 (measurement–informed), when  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure decreased by 36% to  $33.5 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ , the contributions reduce in industry to 15% (–15% points) and in residential to 17% (–4% points), while remaining approximately the same in land transport, agriculture, and power generation emissions (Figure 3). The  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure reductions are largest in East and North China. The substantial reduction in  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$

concentrations from industrial sources over 2012–2020 ( $-11.3 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) means that residential emissions make the largest contribution to  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure in 2020, despite the  $5.8 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  reduction in this sector.

Industrial, residential, energy generation, land transport, and agriculture emissions together contributed 62% of  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure in 2012 (bottom–up), declining to 40% in 2020 (measurement–informed). This means the contribution to  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure from other sources increased from 38% to 60% over 2012–2020 (Figure 2d). These other sources include other anthropogenic sources inside China such as shipping, aviation, and agricultural fires, anthropogenic emissions outside China, and natural emission sources. Previous studies have estimated that dust contributes up to 10% of  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentrations in China (McDuffie et al., 2021; Shi et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2011), waste combustion up to 9% (McDuffie et al., 2021), fires up to 8% (C. Reddington et al., 2019; C. L. Reddington et al., 2021; Shi et al., 2017), biogenic secondary organic aerosol (SOA) up to 8% (Hu, Wang, et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2017), anthropogenic emissions outside China up to 3% (S. Liu, Xing, et al., 2020), shipping up to 3% (C. Chen et al., 2019; Dasadhikari et al., 2019; McDuffie et al., 2021; C. Reddington et al., 2019), aviation up to 1% (Dasadhikari et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2017), and sea salt up to 1% (Shi et al., 2017). This would suggest the importance of other anthropogenic emission sources inside China (21% including fire as an anthropogenic source) and natural emissions (19%), with a smaller contribution from anthropogenic sources outside China (3%).

We estimate the reduction in  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure over 2012–2020 results in 187,800 (95UI: 179,900–194,200) fewer premature deaths per year in 2020 (subtraction method). Most of these public health benefits are from reductions in industrial emissions (58%), then residential emissions (29%), with smaller contributions from reductions in land transport (4%), agriculture (3%), and power generation (3%) emissions.

J. Liu, Xing, et al. (2020) found that the sector attributions to  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentrations over 2010–2015 reduced in industry from 38% to 35% and in power generation from 8% to 6%, increased in agriculture from 22% to 23%, and remained the same in residential emissions at 25% (Figure 2d). We find a similar decrease in the attribution to industry (from 31% to 28%), while the attribution to other sectors remained approximately the same (residential at 21%, land transport at 4%, agriculture at 3%, and power generation at 2%). The  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  disease burden from J. Liu, Xing, et al. (2020) was the total of the contributions from the power, industry, residential, transportation, and agriculture sectors, and did not include other sources. J. Liu, Xing, et al. (2020) found that most of the reduction in the  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  disease burden were attributed to reductions in industrial emissions with 63,700 (95UI: 55,800–70,400) fewer premature deaths (attribution method), similar to our estimate of 110,100 (95UI: 105,500–113,900) fewer premature deaths (Figure 2g).

We estimate that national  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure could meet the World Health Organization (WHO) Interim Target 2 ( $25 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) (World Health Organization, 2021) by reducing residential and industrial emissions by 80% below 2020 emissions (equivalent to a 88–92% reduction in 2015 emissions). Regional  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure varies under this scenario, where it is lower in the Greater Bay Area ( $16.2 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) and South West China ( $17.1 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ), and higher in North China ( $30.2 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ). These emissions reductions would reduce the 2020 national disease burden associated with  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure by 23%, avoiding a further 440,800 (95UI: 424,200–444,500) premature deaths each year. The WHO Interim Target 2 ( $25 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) is the lowest attainable target from changes solely in these five emission sectors. Removing emissions from the five sectors in China does not enable the attainment of the WHO Annual Guideline ( $5 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) due to the remaining emissions from shipping, aviation, waste combustion, and agricultural fires, emissions from outside China, and emissions from natural sources including forest fires, mineral dust, and vegetation (biogenic SOA).

#### 4. Conclusion

We used emulators to explore how different emission sectors have contributed to air quality and public health changes in China over 2010–2020. We show that national  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure peaked in 2012 at  $52.8 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ , then declined by 36% to  $33.5 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  in 2020. The associated  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  disease burden declined from 2,091,100 (95UI: 1,925,000–2,252,000) premature deaths in 2012 to 1,903,300 (95UI: 1,745,100–2,057,800) premature deaths in 2020. This 9% reduction (187,800, 95UI: 179,900–194,200, fewer premature deaths per year in 2020) would have been larger if it were not for an aging population. Most of these public health benefits are from reduced industrial (58%) and residential (29%) emissions. The contribution from other sources to  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure increases from 38% to 60% over 2012–2020.

Our work highlights the challenges faced by China to further improve air quality and public health. Despite the National Air Quality Target ( $35 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) being met at the national level in 2020, the disease burden from  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure remains substantial. Reducing national mean  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure below the WHO Interim Target 2 ( $25 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) would require 80% reductions in both residential and industrial emissions, which would avoid 440,800 (95UI: 424,200–444,500) premature deaths each year. China has implemented strategies to reduce emissions in the power generation, industrial, and land transportation sectors, achieving large reductions in  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure. However, the recent strategy for tackling residential emissions has focused on North China (Meng et al., 2020). The expansion of these strategies to South China could provide substantial health benefits (Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Knote, et al., 2021). For example, removing residential emissions could reduce  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure by 22% in South West China, 19% in South Central China, and 8% in the Greater Bay Area (GBA). Our work emphasizes the importance of further reductions in industrial and residential emissions and the need for policy to address a broader range of pollution sources.

### Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest relevant to this study.

### Data Availability Statement

Code to setup and run WRFChem (using WRFotron version 2.0) is available through Conibear and Knote (2020). Emulator code and data is available through Conibear (2021). The trained emulators per grid cell in China that support the findings of this study are available in Conibear, Reddington, Silver, Chen, Arnold, et al. (2022).

### References

- Abernathy, R., Paul, K., Hamman, J., Rocklin, M., Lepore, C., Tippet, M., et al. (2017). *Pangeo NSF earthcube proposal*. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.5361094.v1>
- Burnett, R., Chen, H., Szyszkwicz, M., Fann, N., Hubbell, B., Pope, C. A., et al. (2018). Global estimates of mortality associated with long-term exposure to outdoor fine particulate matter. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(38), 9592–9597. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1803222115>
- Center for International Earth Science Information Network/NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center. (2018). *Gridded population of the World, version 4 (GPWv4): Population count, revision 11*. Columbia University. <https://doi.org/10.7927/H4PN93PB>
- Chen, C., Saikawa, E., Comer, B., Mao, X., & Rutherford, D. (2019). Ship emission impacts on air quality and human health in the Pearl River Delta (PRD) region, China, in 2015, with projections to 2030. *GeoHealth*, 3(9), 284–306. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GH000183>
- Chen, Y., Wild, O., Ryan, E., Sahu, S. K., Lowe, D., Archer-Nicholls, S., et al. (2020). Mitigation of  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  and ozone pollution in Delhi: A sensitivity study during the pre-monsoon period. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 20(1), 499–514. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-499-2020>
- Cheng, J., Su, J., Cui, T., Li, X., Dong, X., Sun, F., et al. (2019). Dominant role of emission reduction in  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  air quality improvement in Beijing during 2013–2017: A model-based decomposition analysis. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19(9), 6125–6146. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-6125-2019>
- Conibear, L. (2021). *lukeconibear/emulator\_annual: Long-term emulator of WRFChem (Version v1.0.0)*. [Software]. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5549368>
- Conibear, L., Butt, E. W., Knote, C., Arnold, S. R. S. R., & Spracklen, D. V. D. V. (2018). Residential energy use emissions dominate health impacts from exposure to ambient particulate matter in India. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-02986-7>
- Conibear, L., & Knote, C. (2020). *wrfchem-leeds/WRFotron: WRFotron 2.0*. [Software]. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3624087>
- Conibear, L., Reddington, C. L., Silver, B. J., Chen, Y., Arnold, S. R., & Spracklen, D. V. (2022). *Supplementary data: Sensitivity of air pollution exposure and disease burden to emission changes in China using machine learning emulation* [Dataset]. University of Leeds. <https://doi.org/10.5518/1055>
- Conibear, L., Reddington, C. L., Silver, B. J., Chen, Y., Knote, C., Arnold, S. R., & Spracklen, D. V. (2021). Statistical emulation of winter ambient fine particulate matter concentrations from emission changes in China. *GeoHealth*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GH000391>
- Conibear, L., Reddington, C. L., Silver, B. J., Chen, Y., Knote, C., Arnold, S. R., & Spracklen, D. V. (2022). Sensitivity of air pollution exposure and disease burden to emission changes in China using machine learning emulation. *GeoHealth*. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GH000570>
- Conibear, L., Reddington, C. L., Silver, B. J., Knote, C., Arnold, S. R., & Spracklen, D. V. (2021). Regional policies targeting residential solid fuel and agricultural emissions can improve air quality and public health in the greater Bay Area and across China. *GeoHealth*, 5(4). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GH000341>
- Dang, R., & Liao, H. (2019). Radiative forcing and health impact of aerosols and ozone in China as the consequence of clean air actions over 2012–2017. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(21), 12511–12519. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL084605>
- Dasadhikari, K., Eastham, S. D., Allroggen, F., Speth, R. L., & Barrett, S. R. H. (2019). Evolution of sectoral emissions and contributions to mortality from particulate matter exposure in the Asia-Pacific region between 2010 and 2015. *Atmospheric Environment*, 216(August), 116916. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.116916>
- Deutsch, D. (2012). *The beginning of infinity: Explanations that transform the World*. Penguin.
- Ding, D., Xing, J., Wang, S., Liu, K., & Hao, J. (2019). Estimated contributions of emissions controls, meteorological factors, population growth, and changes in baseline mortality to reductions in ambient  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  and  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ -related mortality in China, 2013–2017. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 127(6), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP4157>

### Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge support from the AIA Group Limited, a European Research Council Consolidator Grant (771492), and the Natural Environment Research Council (NE/S006680/1, 2021GRIP02COP-AQ). This work was undertaken on ARC4, part of the High-Performance Computing facilities at the University of Leeds, UK. This work used WRFotron version 2.0, a tool to automatise WRFChem runs with re-initialised meteorology (Conibear & Knote, 2020). We acknowledge the use of WRFChem preprocessor tools *mozbc*, *fire\_emiss*, *anthro\_emiss*, and *bio\_emiss* provided by Atmospheric Chemistry Observations and Modeling (ACOM) of the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). We acknowledge the use of Model for Ozone and Related Chemical Tracers (MOZART) global model output, available at [acom.ucar.edu/wrf-chem/mozart.shtml](http://acom.ucar.edu/wrf-chem/mozart.shtml). We acknowledge the use of the emission pre-processor, available at [github.com/douglowe/WRF\\_UoM\\_EMIT](https://github.com/douglowe/WRF_UoM_EMIT). We thank Qiang Zhang and Meng Li for providing MEIC data. We acknowledge the Python Software Foundation, Python Language Reference, available at [python.org](https://python.org). We are particularly grateful to the Python libraries NumPy (Harris et al., 2020), Pandas (McKinney, 2010), Matplotlib (Hunter, 2007), SciPy (Virtanen et al., 2020), xarray (Hoyer & Hamman, 2017), Cartopy (Met Office, 2015), GeoPandas (Jordahl et al., 2020), Salem (Mausson et al., 2019), Jupyter (Kluyver et al., 2016), Scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al., 2011), TPOT (Olson et al., 2016), SALib (Herman & Usher, 2017), pyDOE, Seaborn (Waskom et al., 2020), Rasterio (Gillies, 2013), Affine, xESMF (Zhuang et al., 2020), Dask (Rocklin, 2015), and the Pangeo project (Abernathy et al., 2017). The boundaries shown on any maps in this work do not imply any judgement concerning the legal status of any territory or the endorsement or acceptance of such boundaries.

- Emmons, L. K., Walters, S., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, J.-F., Pfister, G. G., Fillmore, D., et al. (2010). Description and evaluation of the model for ozone and related chemical Tracers, version 4 (MOZART-4). *Geoscientific Model Development*, 3(1), 43–67. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-3-43-2010>
- Gao, M., Smith, K. R., Lelieveld, J., Dentener, F., West, J., Hu, J., et al. (2019). Where does ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> come from in China: A comparison and synthesis of recent estimates. *Risk Assessment, Economic Evaluation, and Decisions*.
- GBD 2017 Risk Factor Collaborators. (2018). Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet*, 392(10159), 1923–1994. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)32225-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32225-6)
- GBD 2019 Risk Factors Collaborators. (2020). Global burden of 87 risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. *The Lancet*, 396(10258), 1135–1159. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30752-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30752-2)
- GBD MAPS Working Group. (2016). Burden of disease attributable to coal-burning and other air pollution sources in China. In *Special report 20*. Health Effects Institute.
- Geng, G., Zheng, Y., Zhang, Q., Xue, T., Zhao, H., Tong, D., et al. (2021). Drivers of PM<sub>2.5</sub> air pollution deaths in China 2002–2017. *Nature Geoscience*, 14(9), 645–650. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00792-3>
- Gillies, S. (2013). Rasterio: Geospatial raster I/O for Python programmers. Retrieved from <https://github.com/mapbox/rasterio>
- Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G., Skamarock, W. C., & Eder, B. (2005). Fully coupled “online” chemistry within the WRF model. *Atmospheric Environment*, 39(37), 6957–6975. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.04.027>
- Gu, Y., Wong, T. W., Law, C. K., Dong, G. H., Ho, K. F., Yang, Y., & Yim, S. H. L. (2018). Impacts of sectoral emissions in China and the implications: Air quality, public health, crop production, and economic costs. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(8), 084008. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aad138>
- Hammer, M. S., Van Donkelaar, A., Li, C., Lyapustin, A., Sayer, A. M., Hsu, N. C., et al. (2020). Global estimates and long-term trends of fine particulate matter concentrations (1998–2018). *Environmental Science and Technology*, 54(13), 7879–7890. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c01764>
- Harris, C. R., Millman, K. J., van der Walt, S. J., Gommers, R., Virtanen, P., Cournapeau, D., et al. (2020). Array programming with NumPy. *Nature*, 585(September), 357–362. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2>
- Health Effects Institute (2020). State of global air 2020. Data source: Global burden of disease study 2019. *IHME, 2020. Health Effects Institute, Special Report*.
- Herman, J., & Usher, W. (2017). SALib: An open-source Python library for sensitivity analysis. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 2(9), 97. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00097>
- Hijmans, R., Kapoor, J., Wiecek, J., Maunahan, A., Rala, A., & Davis, A. M. (2020). Global administrative areas (GADM). In *University of California, Berkeley museum of vertebrate zoology*. International Rice Research Institute. *Boundaries without limits* Retrieved from <http://gadm.org/>. Version 3.6.
- Hodzic, A., & Jimenez, J. L. (2011). Modeling anthropogenically controlled secondary organic aerosols in a megacity: A simplified framework for global and climate models. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 4(4), 901–917. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-901-2011>
- Hodzic, A., & Knote, C. (2014). WRF-chem 3.6.1: MOZART gas-phase chemistry with MOSAIC aerosols. (Vol. 7). National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). *Atmospheric Chemistry Division (ACD)*.
- Hoyer, S., & Hamman, J. J. (2017). xarray: N-D labeled Arrays and Datasets in Python. *Journal of Open Research Software*, 5, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.148>
- Hu, J., Huang, L., Chen, M., Liao, H., Zhang, H., Wang, S., et al. (2017). *Premature mortality attributable to particulate matter in China: Source contributions and responses to reductions*. Environmental Science & Technology. [acs.est.7b03193](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b03193). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b03193>
- Hu, J., Wang, P., Ying, Q., Zhang, H., Chen, J., Ge, X., et al. (2017). Modeling biogenic and anthropogenic secondary organic aerosol in China. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17(1), 77–92. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-77-2017>
- Huang, C., Hu, J., Xue, T., Xu, H., & Wang, M. (2021). High-resolution spatiotemporal modeling for ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure assessment in China from 2013 to 2019. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 5(3), 2152–2162. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c05815>
- Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 9(3), 90–95. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55>
- Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. (2020). *GBD compare data visualization*. IHME, University of Washington. [vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-compare](https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-compare).
- Jin, L., Wang, B., Shi, G., Seyler, B. C., Qiao, X., Deng, X., et al. (2020). Impact of China’s recent amendments to air quality monitoring protocol on reported trends. *Atmosphere*, 11(1199), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11111199>
- Jordahl, K., Bossche, J. V., Van der Wasserman, J., McBride, J., Fleischmann, M., & Gerard, J. (2020). *geopandas/geopandas*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3669853>. v0.7.0 (Version v0.7.0).
- Kluyver, T., Ragan-Kelley, B., Pérez, F., Granger, B., Bussonnier, M., Frederic, J., et al. (2016). *Jupyter notebooks – A publishing format for reproducible computational workflows*. <https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-649-1-87>
- Knote, C., Hodzic, A., & Jimenez, J. L. (2015). The effect of dry and wet deposition of condensable vapors on secondary organic aerosols concentrations over the continental US. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-1-2015>
- Knote, C., Hodzic, A., Jimenez, J. L., Volkamer, R., Orlando, J. J., Baidar, S., et al. (2014). Simulation of semi-explicit mechanisms of SOA formation from glyoxal in aerosol in a 3-D model. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14(12), 6213–6239. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-6213-2014>
- Kodros, J. K., Wiedinmyer, C., Ford, B., Cucinotta, R., Gan, R., Magzamen, S., & Pierce, J. R. (2016). Global burden of mortalities due to chronic exposure to ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> from open combustion of domestic waste. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(124022), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/12/124022>
- Kong, L., Tang, X., Zhu, J., Wang, Z., Li, J., Wu, H., et al. (2021). A 6-year-long (2013–2018) high-resolution air quality reanalysis dataset in China based on the assimilation of surface observations from CNEMC. *Earth System Science Data*, 13(2), 529–570. <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-529-2021>
- Lelieveld, J., Evans, J. S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., & Pozzer, A. (2015). The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale. *Nature*, 525(7569), 367–371. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15371>
- Li, K., Jacob, D. J., Liao, H., Qiu, Y., Shen, L., Zhai, S., et al. (2021). Ozone pollution in the North China Plain spreading into the late-winter haze season. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 118(10), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2015797118>
- Li, K., Jacob, D. J., Liao, H., Shen, L., Zhang, Q., & Bates, K. H. (2019). Anthropogenic drivers of 2013–2017 trends in summer surface ozone in China. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(2), 422–427. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812168116>



- Li, K., Jacob, D. J., Liao, H., Zhu, J., Shah, V., Shen, L., et al. (2020). A two-pollutant strategy for improving ozone and particulate air quality in China. *Nature Geoscience*, *12*(11), 906–910. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0464-x>
- Li, M., Klimont, Z., Zhang, Q., Martin, R. V., Zheng, B., Heyes, C., et al. (2018). Comparison and evaluation of anthropogenic emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> over China. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *18*(5), 3433–3456. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-3433-2018>
- Li, M., Liu, H., Geng, G., Hong, C., Liu, F., Song, Y., et al. (2017). Anthropogenic emission inventories in China: A review. *National Science Review*, *4*(6), 834–866. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwx150>
- Li, M., Zhang, Q., Kurokawa, J., Woo, J.-H., He, K., Lu, Z., et al. (2017). Mix: A mosaic Asian anthropogenic emission inventory under the international collaboration framework of the MICS-Asia and HTAP. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *17*(2), 935–963. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-935-2017>
- Liang, F., Xiao, Q., Huang, K., Yang, X., Liu, F., Li, J., et al. (2020). The 17-y spatiotemporal trend of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and its mortality burden in China. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *117*(41), 25601–25608. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1919641117>
- Liu, J., Zheng, Y., Geng, G., Hong, C., Li, M., Li, X., et al. (2020). Decadal changes in anthropogenic source contribution of PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution and related health impacts in China, 1990–2015. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *20*(13), 7783–7799. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-7783-2020>
- Liu, S., Xing, J., Wang, S., Ding, D., Chen, L., & Hao, J. (2020). Revealing the impacts of transboundary pollution on PM<sub>2.5</sub>-related deaths in China. *Environment International*, *134*(November 2019), 105323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105323>
- Lu, X., Zhang, L., Wang, X., Gao, M., Li, K., Zhang, Y., et al. (2020). Rapid increases in warm-season surface ozone and resulting health impact in China since 2013. *Environmental Science and Technology Letters*, *7*(4), 240–247. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00171>
- Ma, Z., Liu, R., Liu, Y., & Bi, J. (2019). Effects of air pollution control policies on PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution improvement in China from 2005 to 2017: A satellite-based perspective. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *19*(10), 6861–6877. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-6861-2019>
- Maji, K. J., & Sarkar, C. (2020). Spatio-temporal variations and trends of major air pollutants in China during 2015–2018. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *27*(27), 33792–33808. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-09646-8>
- Maussion, F., TimoRoth, T., Dusch, M., & Landmann, J. (2019). fmaussion/Salem: V0.2.4. *Zenodo*, 2. Version v0.2.4. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2605265>
- McDuffie, E. E., Martin, R. V., Spadaro, J. V., Burnett, R., Smith, S. J., O'Rourke, P., et al. (2021). Source sector and fuel contributions to ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> and attributable mortality across multiple spatial scales. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23853-y>
- McKinney, W. (2010). Data structures for statistical computing in Python. *Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference*, 445, 51–56.
- MEIC Research Group, & Tsinghua University (2019). *Multi-resolution emission inventory for China (MEIC)*. Retrieved from <http://www.meicmodel.org/>. version 1.3.
- Meng, W., Shen, H., Yun, X., Chen, Y., Zhong, Q., Zhang, W., et al. (2020). Differentiated-rate clean heating strategy with superior environmental and health benefits in northern China. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *54*(21), 13458–13466. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04019>
- Met Office. (2015). Cartopy: A cartographic python library with a matplotlib interface. Retrieved from <http://scitools.org.uk/cartopy>
- Nethery, R. C., & Dominici, F. (2019). Estimating pollution-attributable mortality at the regional and global scales: Challenges in uncertainty estimation and causal inference. *European Heart Journal*, *0*(20), 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehz200>
- O'Hagan, A. (2006). Bayesian analysis of computer code outputs: A tutorial. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, *91*(10–11), 1290–1300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.res.2005.11.025>
- Olson, R. S., Bartley, N., Urbanowicz, R. J., & Moore, J. H. (2016). Evaluation of a tree-based pipeline optimization tool for automating data science. *GECCO 2016 - Proceedings of the 2016 Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference* (pp. 485–492). <https://doi.org/10.1145/2908812.2908918>
- Pearl, J. (2019). The seven tools of causal inference, with reflections on machine learning. *Communications of the ACM*, *62*(3), 54–60. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3241036>
- Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., et al. (2011). Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python fabian. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, *12*, 2825–2830.
- Rasmussen, C. E., & Williams, C. K. I. (2006). Gaussian processes for machine learning. In *The MIT press*. Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Reddington, C., Conibear, L., Silver, B., Silver, B. J., Knote, C., Li, Y. J., et al. (2019). Exploring the impacts of anthropogenic emission sectors on PM<sub>2.5</sub> and human health in South and East Asia. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *19*(18), 11887–11910. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-11887-2019>
- Reddington, C. L., Conibear, L., Robinson, S., Knote, C., Arnold, S. R., & Spracklen, D. V. (2021). Air pollution from forest and vegetation fires in southeast Asia disproportionately impacts the poor. *GeoHealth*, *5*(9). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021gh000418>
- Rocklin, M. (2015). Dask: Parallel computation with blocked algorithms and task scheduling. *Proceedings of the 14th Python in Science Conference, SCIPY*, 126–132. <https://doi.org/10.25080/majora-7b98e3ed-013>
- Saikawa, E., Kim, H., Zhong, M., Avramov, A., Zhao, Y., Janssens-maenhout, G., et al. (2017). Comparison of emissions inventories of anthropogenic air pollutants and greenhouse gases in China. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *17*(10), 6393–6421. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-6393-2017>
- Shi, Z., Li, J., Huang, L., Wang, P., Wu, L., Ying, Q., et al. (2017). Source apportionment of fine particulate matter in China in 2013 using a source-oriented chemical transport model. *The Science of the Total Environment*, *601*–*602*, 1476–1487. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.019>
- Silver, B., Conibear, L., Reddington, C. L., Knote, C., Arnold, S. R., & Spracklen, D. V. (2020). Pollutant emission reductions deliver decreased PM<sub>2.5</sub>-caused mortality across China during 2015–2017. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *20*, 11683–11695. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-11683-2020>
- Silver, B., He, X., Arnold, S. R., & Spracklen, D. V. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 control measures on air quality in China. *Environmental Research Letters*, *15*(8), 084021. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aba3a2>
- Silver, B., Reddington, C. L., Arnold, S. R., & Spracklen, D. V. (2018). Substantial changes in air pollution across China during 2015 to 2017. *Environmental Research Letters*, *13*(11), 114012. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aae718>
- Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhi, J., Gill, D. O., Barker, D. M., Duda, M. G., et al. (2008). A description of the advanced research WRF version 3. *NCAR Tech*, *468+STR*, 113.
- Turner, M. C., Jerrett, M., Pope, C. A., III, Krewski, D., Gapstur, S. M., Diver, R. W., et al. (2016). Long-term ozone exposure and mortality in a large prospective study. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, *193*(10), 1134–1142. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201508-1633OC>
- Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T. E., Haberland, M., Reddy, T., Cournapeau, D., et al. (2020). SciPy 1.0: Fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nature Methods*, *17*(3), 261–272. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2>



- Waskom, M., Botvinnik, O., Ostblom, J., Gelbart, M., Lukauskas, S., & Hobson, P. (2020). mwaskom/seaborn: V0.10.1. *Zenodo*. April 2020. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3767070>. (Version v0.10.1).
- World Health Organization (2021). *WHO global air quality guidelines - particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>), ozone, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide*. Retrieved from <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/345329>
- Xue, T., Zheng, Y., Tong, D., Zheng, B., Li, X., Zhu, T., & Zhang, Q. (2019). Spatiotemporal continuous estimates of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in China, 2000–2016: A machine learning method with inputs from satellites, chemical transport model, and ground observations. *Environment International*, 123(July 2018), 345–357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.11.075>
- Yang, F., Tan, J., Zhao, Q., Du, Z., He, K., Ma, Y., et al. (2011). Characteristics of PM<sub>2.5</sub> speciation in representative megacities and across China. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11(11), 5207–5219. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-5207-2011>
- Yin, P., Brauer, M., Cohen, A. J., Wang, H., Li, J., Burnett, R. T., et al. (2020). The effect of air pollution on deaths, disease burden, and life expectancy across China and its provinces, 1990–2017: An analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 5196(20), 1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(20\)30161-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30161-3)
- Yue, H., He, C., Huang, Q., Yin, D., & Bryan, B. A. (2020). Stronger policy required to substantially reduce deaths from PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution in China. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15319-4>
- Zaveri, R. A., Easter, R. C., Fast, J. D., & Peters, L. K. (2008). Model for simulating aerosol Interactions and chemistry (MOSAIC). *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113(D13204), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008782>
- Zhai, S., Jacob, D. J., Wang, X., Shen, L., Li, K., Zhang, Y., et al. (2019). Fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) trends in China, 2013–2018: Contributions from meteorology. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-279>
- Zhang, Q., Jiang, X., Tong, D., Davis, S. J., Zhao, H., Geng, G., et al. (2017). Transboundary health impacts of transported global air pollution and international trade. *Nature*, 543(7647), 705–709. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21712>
- Zhang, Q., Zheng, Y., Tong, D., Shao, M., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., et al. (2019). Drivers of improved PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality in China from 2013 to 2017. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1907956116>
- Zhao, B., Zheng, H., Wang, S., Smith, K. R., Lu, X., Aunan, K., et al. (2018). Change in household fuels dominates the decrease in PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure and premature mortality in China in 2005–2015. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(49), 12401–12406. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812955115>
- Zhao, H., Chen, K., Liu, Z., Zhang, Y., Shao, T., & Zhang, H. (2021). Coordinated control of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> is urgently needed in China after implementation of the “Air pollution prevention and control action plan. *Chemosphere*, 270, 129441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.129441>
- Zheng, B., Tong, D., Li, M., Liu, F., Hong, C., Geng, G., et al. (2018). Trends in China’s anthropogenic emissions since 2010 as the consequence of clean air actions. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 18(19), 14095–14111. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14095-2018>
- Zheng, H., Zhao, B., Wang, S., Wang, T., Ding, D., Chang, X., et al. (2019). Transition in source contributions of PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure and associated premature mortality in China during 2005–2015. *Environment International*, 132(May), 105111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105111>
- Zhong, M., Chen, F., & Saikawa, E. (2019). Sensitivity of projected PM<sub>2.5</sub>- and O<sub>3</sub>-related health impacts to model inputs: A case study in mainland China. *Environment International*, 123(December 2018), 256–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.12.002>
- Zhuang, J., Dussin, R., Jüling, A., & Rasp, S. (2020). JiaweiZhuang/xESMF: V0.3.0 adding ESMF.LocStream capabilities (version v0.3.0). *Zenodo*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3700105>

## Erratum

In the originally published version of this article, the author neglected to include a second source of funding. The Natural Environment Research Council had been added to the acknowledgments. No other changes were made and this may be considered the version of record.