

The Hidden Role of the Subsurface for Cities

von der Tann, Loretta; Metje, Nicole; Admiraal, Han; Collins, Brian

DOI:

[10.1680/jcien.17.00028](https://doi.org/10.1680/jcien.17.00028)

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

von der Tann, L, Metje, N, Admiraal, H & Collins, B 2018, 'The Hidden Role of the Subsurface for Cities', *Institution of Civil Engineers. Proceedings. Civil Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1680/jcien.17.00028>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Copyright © ICE Publishing 2017, all rights reserved

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

The Hidden Role of the Subsurface for Cities

Author 1

- Loretta von der Tann, MSc ETH Civil Eng., SIA
- Department of Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, University College London, UK
- 0000-0002-0947-8444

Author 2

- Nicole Metje, PhD, Dipl.-Ing., MInstCES, ASCE, FHEA
- School of Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK
- 0000-0002-6741-8183

Author 3

- Han Admiraal, MBA, BSc, CEng
- Enprodes Management Consultancy BV, ITA Committee on Underground Space, Rotterdam, NLD

Author 4

- Brian Collins, CB, FREng, FICE
- Department of Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, University College London, UK

Loretta von der Tann

Department of Civil, Environmental & Geomatic Engineering

University College London

Chadwick Building, Gower Street

London WC1E 6BT

loretta.tann.13@ucl.ac.uk

+44 (0) 7591 961 299

Abstract

The evolution of cities is directly linked to their subsurface: the local geology and hydrogeology alongside the history of human interventions are the basis for the present structure and organisation of cities and affect the prospects for future developments within and above the ground. The underground serves multiple purposes in cities including; providing stability for buildings, providing drinking water and materials, serving as a heat source or retention basin, and accommodating infrastructure and developments. In the face of growth predictions and climate change, interdependencies between urban planning objectives and the subsurface, such as placing infrastructure underground to release surface congestion, remediation of brownfields for development, or prospecting for geothermal energy, become ever more important.

This paper reviews current initiatives in industry, policy and research in the UK which aim for changes in urban subsurface management and governance. It identifies the multitude of planning topics in which the subsurface implicitly features, many of which are commonly only addressed at project level. It highlights that the wider impact of these interventions on underground space and the development of the city are not considered. Consequently, the value of the subsurface for sustainable and resilient development of cities may not be realized.

Keywords

Town & City Planning; Tunnels & Tunneling; Infrastructure Planning

1 **Introduction**

2 Urban dwellings heavily rely on and affect their subsurface. The availability of resources in the
3 subsurface, in particular water, building materials and fertile land were key parameters for the
4 initial choice of location for human settlements. Specific functions such as agriculture for food
5 production are nowadays sourced outside of the cities themselves (Deelstra and Girardet,
6 2000), but the relationship between a city and its subsurface remains close. As illustrated in
7 Figure 1, the urban subsurface today serves as historical archive, as support for surface
8 structures, and as space for developments, transport and utility infrastructure. The local geology
9 determines the availability of water, materials and geothermal energy, influences the form and
10 method of construction of engineered structures (Bell, 2003) and provides ecosystem services
11 like temperature regulation or nutrient cycling (Rawlins et al., 2015). As such, several topics
12 addressed in the UN Habitat New Urban Agenda (UN Habitat III, n.d.), like infrastructure
13 provision or ecosystem and resource management, implicitly build on subsurface functions.
14 Admiraal and Cornaro, 2016, point out that use of the subsurface can contribute to seven of the
15 17 sustainable development goals proposed by the United Nations (UN, 2017).

16
17 In turn, humans have substantially influenced the local subsurface environment in and around
18 cities through mining activities, levelling of ground, building up of artificial ground, or reclaiming
19 land from the sea (Price et al., 2011). Developments (Curiel-Esparza et al., 2010),
20 contamination of soils and groundwater (Meuser, 2010), modification of the local groundwater
21 regimes (Foster and Hirata, 2011), or ground sealing (Scalenghe and Marsan, 2009) are just
22 some of the human interventions that continue to have a significant effect on the formation and
23 condition of the local geology and in turn on the feasibility of new projects. Land remediation
24 and waste management of excavated soil, for example, are prevailing challenges.

25
26 Construction of engineered structures in the ground and other projects affecting the subsurface
27 are commonly decided upon on a case by case basis and approved by planning authorities
28 following a specified process. Several authors have suggested a more explicit integration of the
29 subsurface into urban planning policies, for instance through master plans (Bobylev, 2009) or
30 mapping of use potentials (Doyle, 2016). The recent push to make cities more resilient to

31 extreme events increases the necessity for a shift towards whole system approaches that
32 integrate below ground, above ground and at-grade developments (Nelson, 2016).

33

34 To understand the baseline on which a holistic approach to subsurface planning would have to
35 build in England, this paper first outlines the persistent challenges for gathering subsurface
36 information and reviews recent approaches to map and survey services in the shallow
37 subsurface. A brief outline of how the subsurface is governed in the current English planning
38 regime shows a predominance of ecological and regulatory institutions. It is demonstrated that
39 accessibility and understanding of data about the subsurface and the embedded assets can
40 stimulate new ideas about how to holistically plan and prepare our cities for the future. The
41 challenges stemming from the local geology cannot be disregarded, but the perspective can be
42 changed from seeing the subsurface as a constraint to understanding it as an opportunity to
43 improve urban spaces.

44

45 **Gathering Subsurface Information**

46 A necessary basis for subsurface planning is a sound understanding of the local geological and
47 hydrogeological conditions as well as a record of the spatial and temporal distribution of existing
48 and future planned activities. Despite the constant advancement of mapping and visualisation
49 tools like GIS or BIM, the depth-related data to feed into these models remain disperse. Whilst
50 surface geological mapping is undertaken systematically at a national level, information about
51 the variation in geological and geotechnical properties with depth is often only retrieved via
52 individual exploration or construction schemes. The volume, distribution and quality of data
53 arising from these sites determines the extent to which this disparate data can be amalgamated
54 into a consistent geological model. Data acquisition and management is described as
55 particularly challenging in urban settings where these data are highly inhomogeneous and a
56 large number of data types describing the natural and anthropogenic subsurface persist
57 (Watson et al., 2017). The various formats for borehole logs from site investigations usually do
58 not include details about quality and uncertainty (Tegtmeier et al., 2013) and the data are not
59 commonly shared with a wider community.

60

61 To enable harmonization and re-use of geological and geotechnical data, in the UK the
62 Association for Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Specialists (AGS) already in 1992
63 launched a new data format (AGS Format) comprising the manifold industry requirements
64 (AGS, n.d.). In parallel, the British Geological Survey (BGS) collated a National Geotechnical
65 Properties Database which largely builds on voluntary data deposition by private and public
66 institutions (BGS, n.d.) and provides controlled access to the data, predominately for the
67 geotechnical and engineering community. As of 2012, the BGS in collaboration with Glasgow
68 City Council developed 'Accessing Subsurface Knowledge' (ASK), a network of private and
69 public institutions to improve ingestion and data reporting into the database (Bonsor, 2017). The
70 AGS format has been widely accepted in the industry (Bland, 2014) and some national
71 stakeholders now include AGS data donation to the BGS national data repository as a
72 requirement of framework contracts (Bonsor, 2017).

73

74 Establishing a similar process for data about buried infrastructure appears to be much more
75 difficult. The exact location and condition of utility lines and cables is often unknown (Thomas et
76 al., 2009) and the available data have to be obtained separately for each site from a multitude of
77 infrastructure owners and utility providers, making planning of new structures in the vicinity of
78 these services becomes more and more challenging. At the same time, many assets date back
79 to Victorian times, and maintenance requirements are increasing (Costello et al., 2007).
80 Because long trenches in busy urban areas are unfeasible, utility companies begin to develop
81 deep tunnels when large sections of their shallow assets need replacement. The London Power
82 Tunnels (see www.londonpowertunnels.co.uk) are one example of this development.

83

84 The need to reduce traffic disruption and the associated costs due to streetworks (Goodwin,
85 2005) and at the same time facilitate access to services has led to a range of industrial, political
86 and academic initiatives in recent years; London and Kent introduced lane rental schemes to
87 incentivise more efficient and collaborative execution of streetworks (DfT, 2015). Extensive
88 research was carried out on the development of a multi-sensor approach to detect the position
89 and assess the condition of underground assets without excavating (MtU, 2012). In April 2017,
90 the British Standard Institution launched a Publicly Available Specification (PAS) setting out

91 processes of gathering, recording and sharing of asset data (BSI, 2017). PAS256 followed
92 PAS128 for underground utility detection, verification and location (BSI, 2014) and defines a
93 standardized data protocol to enable data sharing similar to the AGS Format for geotechnical
94 data. The application of PAS256 is not mandatory but it is anticipated that the prospect of easier
95 data exchange between infrastructure owners will encourage its adoption with large projects
96 leading the way (Phull, 2017).

97

98 Even if a standardized data format would be accepted, there remains a lack of a central data
99 repository and, although it is encouraging owners to move to a digital format, PAS256 (BSI,
100 2017) does not cover integration of the old, paper based records. One initiative to mention in
101 this context is the 'London Infrastructure Map' initialised by the Greater London Authority in
102 2015 (Figure 2). The map visualises data from utilities, boroughs and developers and aims to
103 improve infrastructure planning and delivery (London Assembly, 2016). Despite the general
104 concept being supported by the infrastructure companies, concerns about data confidentiality
105 and security delayed the process (McMunnigall 2017, personal communication).

106

107 An international example addressing the challenge of subsurface data collection and
108 management is the baseline underground register established in 2015 in the Netherlands. The
109 'Basisregistratie Ondergrond' (BRO) consolidates geological and exploration data as well as
110 data about mining activities and the associated structural assets (BRO, 2017a). However, to
111 create new legislation focussing on the subsurface as an entity in its own right proved too
112 complex and structural assets in the shallow subsurface like underground car parks,
113 basements, tunnels or cables and pipelines, will not be covered in the BRO. These structures
114 will instead be integrated in another of a total of 12 baseline registers that are being created by
115 the Netherlands' Government and will provide open source data for future decision making
116 (BRO, 2017b). With these registers the Netherlands implement regulation stemming from the
117 EU- INPSIRE programme that aim to create a spatial data infrastructure for EU environmental
118 policies and policies or activities potentially having an impact on the environment (European
119 Commission, n.d.).

120

121 The described initiatives focus on mapping and evaluation of existing assets and ground
122 conditions that build on bottom up involvement of the affected industries. A step change in
123 regard to data sharing will be necessary to oversee the current situation, allow analysis of
124 interdependencies between present and future interventions and evaluate the practicability of
125 tapping into the potential for future developments or activities in the subsurface. Also potential
126 data gaps should be assessed, as which data is recorded in the first place remains driven by
127 geological research requirements and project specific site investigations and does not react to
128 specific needs of other domains like, for instance, urban planning.

129

130 **The Subsurface in Current UK Planning Regulations**

131 If baseline data is one cornerstone for subsurface planning, understanding of the current
132 governance regime is another. Many of the services and functions that are occupying
133 subsurface space are in some way covered in current UK environmental and planning policy
134 and legislation. However, the detail to which they are considered and the level on which they
135 are regulated differ widely. For example, much of the environmental regulation stems from EU
136 directives, but policies around basement development, if any, only exist at local level. Whilst a
137 presumption exists that land ownership extends into the subsurface (HM Land Registry, 2015),
138 a range of statutory rights and legal agreements facilitate the presence of infrastructure in the
139 subsurface (see Darroch et al., 2016). In addition, ownership and safeguarding of minerals can
140 significantly influence planning decisions for developments above and below ground.

141

142 Despite urban policy not being an EU responsibility, the European Commission over the last
143 decade emphasized the urban dimension (European Commission, 2011, 2017). However, the
144 responsibility for spatial planning remains with the Member States. In the UK, the land use
145 planning system in all four countries (devolved regions) is 'plan-led', meaning that formal
146 development plans on local and regional levels set out policies which serve as a framework for
147 decision-making about planning applications. Each local authority prepares its own local
148 planning policies following the guidelines set out in national and potentially regional legislation
149 (House of Commons, 2015). European regulation and regulation stemming from European
150 directives serve as material consideration for local planning decisions.

151

152 A selection of directives that cover subsurface related topics and – without being exhaustive -
153 the most recent and relevant transposition documents in England are given in Table 1.

154 Many of these mainly environmental topics in England are included in the National Planning
155 Policy Guidance (NPPG), and therewith acknowledged as primary concern for planning, see
156 Table 2. Alongside the National Planning Policy Framework the NPPG sets out the major
157 guidelines for local planning authorities in England to prepare their Local Plans. Similar
158 legislation and guidance has been issued in the other three UK countries.

159

160 Further to the regulation originating from EU directives, as well as the national and regional
161 policies, local authorities can emphasise specific planning topics or include additional aspects in
162 their Local Plans. Some of these are directly concerned with subsurface space use. For
163 instance, mainly as reaction to citizen's complaints about mega basement extensions (Reynolds
164 and Reynolds, 2015), five London Boroughs developed supplementary planning documents or
165 specific policies on basement developments. Another example is the City of London, where the
166 use of 'pipe subways', accessible tunnels in which several utilities can be fitted and which
167 eliminate the need for repeated excavation (Hunt et al., 2014), is mandatory wherever feasible
168 (City of London, 2013).

169

170 There are other topics covered in the Local Plans that imply intensified use of underground
171 space without stating it explicitly. The promotion of high rise buildings, for example, often entails
172 deep foundations, and protection of open space or efforts to recover open space might
173 incentivise construction of underground developments. Also the general intention to densify as a
174 reaction to housing needs could incentivise the development of underground space for facilities
175 that do not rely on daylight as well as increase the demands for underground infrastructure.

176 Beyond the process of gaining planning approval by a local authority, the use of underground
177 space for major infrastructure projects can be approved on a national level through specific acts
178 of parliament (e.g. Crossrail Act 2008; Channel Tunnel Act 1987) or more recently through the
179 National Significant Infrastructure scheme that was introduced with the Planning Act 2008.

180

181 Note that the objective towards the respective activities in the subsurface is not uniform.
182 Whereas for groundwater management the main objective is protection and a balanced use of
183 the water resource, flood management can require the provision of subsurface space for
184 retention purposes. Policies on renewable energy, high rise buildings, open spaces as well as
185 decisions about major infrastructure schemes explicitly encourage or determine the utilization of
186 subsurface space. The latter are of particular interest as they might bring about an increase in
187 subsurface structures and thus irreversible modifications to the subsurface resource.

188

189 It becomes apparent that the current governance of subsurface space in England is largely
190 sectoral and project centred rather than based on the premise to control all activities in a given
191 volume. The planning system in the UK provides a framework for mediation of different interests
192 when deciding about planning applications in which the listed guidance documents serve as
193 material considerations. However, each aspect is addressed separately and the
194 interdependencies dealt with in a particular application are restricted to already existing or
195 planned activities in the project vicinity. The effect of the individual regulations on plan making
196 from the outset seems to be limited.

197

198 **New Approaches for Integrated Subsurface Planning**

199 The brief summary of relevant English regulation related to subsurface planning highlights that
200 there is considerable scope for improvement. In recent years the topic of conflicting space
201 claims in the subsurface and as a consequence of the question if and how the use of
202 subsurface space should be regulated appeared on the policy agenda in a range of countries
203 including Norway, Sweden, Finland, China and Japan (Sterling et al., 2012). The 2015 revision
204 of the spatial planning law in Switzerland calls for sustainable use of the subsurface (RPG,
205 2015) and research commissioned by the Federal Environment Agency in Germany concluded
206 that the application of existing planning instruments to underground space would be possible
207 and should be established to manage current and prevent future use conflicts (Bartel and
208 Jansen, 2016).

209

210 The importance of the subsurface for urban development has also been addressed in major
211 research projects; At least two volumes of the UN Atlas of Urban Geology focused on the
212 interface to urban planning (United Nations 2001, 2003) and 2013-2017 the BGS chaired a
213 research action supported by the European Cooperation in the field of Scientific and Technical
214 Research (COST) that addressed the question of how information and knowledge about the
215 subsurface can benefit urban decision makers. 'COST sub-urban' involved a variety of
216 municipalities and geological surveys and was not focused on academic institutions (<http://sub-urban.squarespace.com>).

218

219 In the UK, the City of Glasgow was actively involved in the COST action and itself takes a
220 progressive stance: The new City Development Plan, adopted on 29 March 2017, explicitly
221 touches on the subsurface in that it includes geodiversity in its policy on the natural environment
222 and committed Glasgow City Council (GCC) to address subsurface infrastructure as well as
223 ground source heat in a supplementary guidance document on resource management (GCC,
224 2017a, 2017b).

225

226 The development of the City of Glasgow is closely linked to its subsurface environment, not
227 least through the legacy of mining and heavy industry that throughout the last centuries have
228 caused substantial modifications to the ground surface. Many parts of the city are underlain by
229 shallow abandoned mine workings which still cause settlements due to local collapses
230 (Whitbread et al., 2016). To improve the knowledge of the distribution and depth of these mine
231 workings and enable the regeneration of the associated areas in the city, the British Geological
232 Survey (BGS) in 2002-2003 initiated the development of a three-dimensional geological model
233 of the area (Whitbread et al., 2016, Campbell et al., 2010). The model also improved the
234 understanding of groundwater flow and the location of flooding in Glasgow (Bonsor et al., 2013).
235 Continuing collaboration between GCC and the BGS as well as knowledge exchange with other
236 European Cities through the COST network mentioned above, enabled Glasgow City Council to
237 facilitate a growing awareness of policy makers of the value of the subsurface environment and
238 the information about it (Whitbread et al., 2016, Bonsor, 2017). GCC now explicitly uses
239 subsurface information in their planning processes to better understand correlations and

240 synergies between subsurface properties and other planning aspects like connectivity or access
241 to open space. In this context, they also explore possibilities to tap into potentials of utilising
242 subsurface resources to regenerate above ground areas or make council assets cost neutral
243 (Dick, 2017). GCC and the BGS are now working with other councils in order to widely publicise
244 this new approach and share their experience (BGS, 2017).

245

246 As the regulation and governance of subsurface space, the functions it embeds, and services it
247 provides is fragmented, initiatives like the one taken by Glasgow City Council largely rely on the
248 initiative of individuals in the respective institutions. There is currently a focus on acquisition and
249 sharing of data. These data provide a useful tool for current projects as well as a necessary
250 basis for a contingent governance framework. Whilst the growing demand for space drives the
251 development of such frameworks in particular places like Singapore and Hong Kong, integration
252 of the subsurface into urban development strategies is likely to become more pivotal with cities
253 developing resilience strategies. As a response to climate change effects, uses like flood
254 retention capacities, storage capacities, local energy sourcing, and potentially underground
255 housing can be expected to become more important. These considerations also affect smaller
256 cities in which aspects of underground developments as result of growing densities and land
257 prices are less relevant. For example, Rotterdam and Arnhem in the Netherlands have started
258 the process of integrating the subsurface into urban planning motivated through the need of
259 sustainable development and urban resilience.

260

261 The City of Glasgow and other European cities herald the start of a mind shift by encouraging
262 the use of information about the subsurface to guide new planning policies. They show that
263 understanding the subsurface and well communicated engineering knowledge can change
264 perception of place and generate new ideas about the cities development prospects.

265 The role of engineers in this context is to learn from existing projects and to develop metrics that
266 capture and convey the meaning and complexity of the urban subsurface as well as the
267 embedded infrastructure systems (Nelson, 2016). Ultimately, a holistic approach to subsurface
268 planning and governance will integration of data acquisition and management, legislation and
269 governance, as well as expert knowledge.

270

271

272 **Conclusions**

273 This paper reviewed a number of initiatives, both in the UK and overseas, that aim to
274 acknowledge the role of the subsurface for cities and improve availability and utilisation of
275 subsurface data. Pressures from climate change and urban growth will lead to intensified use of
276 the urban subsurface and reinforce the need for a more organised response to potentially
277 conflicting space claims. It was indicated that contemporary legislation and planning might be
278 applicable but only if a sufficient data base is available and existing interactions and potential
279 conflicts are understood. The paper showed that acquiring the data itself is already an
280 enormous task. While the subsurface and according governance is changing, see Glasgow as
281 an example, there is still a long way to go before a holistic, multi-level approach towards the
282 subsurface, covering environmental, structural, and geological aspects of underground space is
283 realized.

284

285 The subsurface starts to be included in the search for discrete spatial or energy solutions but it
286 became apparent that engineers need to consider the effects of human interventions not only on
287 the geology or hydrology but also on existing structures as well as the ecosystem services the
288 subsurface provides. Communication of the associated risks and opportunities to the various
289 actors involved in decision making about what can or cannot be done below the surface is key
290 in order to ensure that the value of the subsurface is not diminished.

291

292 **Acknowledgements**

293 This work was supported by the EPSRC funded Centre for Urban Sustainability and Resilience
294 at University College London. The authors would like to thank Gillian Dick, GCC, and Helen
295 Bonsor, BGS, for their comments on the paper.

296

297 **References**

298 Admiraal H and Cornaro A (2016) Why underground space should be included in urban
 299 planning policy—And how this will enhance an urban underground future. *Tunnelling and*
 300 *Underground Space Technology* **55**: 214-220.

301 AGS (Association of Geotechnical & Geoenvironmental Specialists) (n.d.) Data management.
 302 See <http://ags.org.uk/group/data-management/> (accessed 06/15/2017)

303 Bartel S and Janssen G (2016) Underground spatial planning—Perspectives and current
 304 research in Germany. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology* **55**: 112-117.

305 Bell FG (2003) Geology and Construction. In: *UNESCO Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems*
 306 *(EoLSS)*. EoLSS Publishers Co Ltd.

307 BGS (British Geological Survey) (2017) National workshop for LDP policy teams -Increasing
 308 evidence base to LDP policy. BGS – Improvement Service workshop, Scotland, 3 May 2017.
 309 Workshop flyer.

310 BGS (British Geological Survey) (n.d.) Legal framework. National Geological Records Centre
 311 (NGRC). See <http://www.bgs.ac.uk/services/ngdc/records/policy.html> (accessed
 312 06/15/2017).

313 Bland J, Walthall S and Toll D (2014) The Development and Governance of the AGS Format for
 314 Geotechnical Data. In: *Information Technology in Geo-Engineering: Proceedings of the 2nd*
 315 *International Conference (ICITG)* **3**: 67. IOS Press Durham, UK.

316 Bobylev N (2009) Mainstreaming sustainable development into a city's Master plan: A case of
 317 Urban Underground Space use. *Land Use Policy* **26(4)**: 1128-1137.

318 Bonsor HC, Entwisle DC, Watson S, Lawrie K, Bricker S, Campbell S, Lawrence D, Barron H,
 319 Hall I and Ó Dochartaigh BÉ (2013) Maximising past investment in subsurface data in urban
 320 areas for sustainable resource management: a pilot in Glasgow, UK. Technical note. *Ground*
 321 *Engineering* **46(2)**: 25-28.

322 Bonsor H (2017) NERC Briefing note - Integrating NERC(BGS) subsurface environmental
 323 research and data to city development processes and policy: key learning outcomes. NERC
 324 British Geological Survey (BGS) Open Report, OR/17/005, pp 35.

325 BRO (Basisregistratie ondergrond) (2017a) Basisregistratie ondergrond (BRO). See
 326 <https://bro.pleio.nl/> [in Dutch] (accessed 05/30/2017).

327 BRO (Basisregistratie ondergrond) (2017b) Gegevens in de BRO. See
328 <https://bro.pleio.nl/gegevensindebro> [in Dutch] (accessed 06/26/2017).

329 BSI (British Standard Institution) (2014) PAS 128:2014 Specification for underground utility
330 detection, verification and location. BSI, London, UK.

331 BSI (British Standard Institution) (2017) PAS 256: 2017 Buried assets. Capturing, recording,
332 maintaining and sharing of location information and data. Code of practice. BSI, London, UK.

333 Campbell SDG, Merritt JE, Dochartaigh BÉÓ, Mansour M, Hughes AG, Fordyce FM, Entwisle
334 DC, Monaghan AA and Loughlin SC (2010) 3D geological models and their hydrogeological
335 applications: supporting urban development—a case study in Glasgow-Clyde, UK. *Zeitschrift*
336 *der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Geowissenschaften* **161(2)**: 251-262.

337 Channel Tunnel Act 1987 (1987) Elizabeth II. Chapter 53. Her Majesty's Stationary Office,
338 London, UK.

339 City of London (2013) Local Plan. December 2013. Department of the Built Environment, City of
340 London Corporation, London, UK.

341 Costello SB, Chapman DN, Rogers CDF and Metje N (2007) Underground asset location and
342 condition assessment technologies. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology* **22(5)**:
343 524-542.

344 Crossrail Act 2008 (2008) Elizabeth II. Chapter 18. Her Majesty's Stationary Office, London, UK.

345 Curiel-Esparza J, Canto-Perello J and Calvo MA (2004) Establishing sustainable strategies in
346 urban underground engineering. *Science and Engineering Ethics* **10(3)**: 523-530.

347 Darroch N, Beecroft M and Nelson JD (2016) A conceptual framework for land use and metro
348 infrastructure. *Infrastructure Asset Management* **3**: 122-131.

349 Deelstra T and Girardet H (2000) Urban agriculture and sustainable cities. In: Bakker N,
350 Dubbeling M, Gündel S, Sabel-Koshella U and de Zeeuw H (eds.) *Growing Cities, Growing*
351 *Food: Urban Agriculture on the Policy Agenda*. Feldafing, Germany: ZEL, pp. 43-66.

352 DCLG (Department for Communities and Local Government) (2016) Planning practice
353 guidance. See <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/planning-practice-guidance>
354 (accessed 06/20/2017).

355 DEFRA (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs) (2014) Groundwater
356 (England) Direction 2014 (2014). Policy paper.

357 DECC (Department of Energy & Climate Change) (2010) National Renewable Energy Action
358 Plan for the United Kingdom. Corporate Report.

359 DfT (Department for Transport) (2015) Ecorys streetworks lane rental evaluation. Independent
360 report.

361 Dick (2017) Presentation at the COST sub-urban conference, Bucharest, 14.-16.03.2017

362 Doyle MR (2016) From hydro/geology to the streetscape: Evaluating urban underground
363 resource potential. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology* **55**:83-95.

364 EC (European Community) (1991) Council Directive 91/271/EEC of 21 May 1991 concerning
365 urban waste water treatment. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L135/40.

366 EC (European Community) (1998) Commission Directive 98/15/EC of 27 February 1998
367 amending Council Directive 91/271/EEC with respect to certain requirements established in
368 Annex I thereof. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L67/29

369 EC (European Community) (2000) Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the
370 Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of
371 water policy. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L327/1.

372 EC (European Community) (2001) Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the
373 Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes
374 on the environment. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L197.

375 EC (European Community) (2006a) Directive 2006/21/EC of the European Parliament and of
376 the Council of 15 March 2006 on the management of waste from extractive industries and
377 amending Directive 2004/35/EC. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L102/15.

378 EC (European Community) (2006b) Directive 2006/118/EC of the European Parliament and of
379 the Council of 12 December 2006 on the protection of groundwater against pollution and
380 deterioration. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L372/19.

381 EC (European Community) (2007a) Directive 2007/2/EC of the European Parliament and of the
382 Council of 14 March 2007 establishing an Infrastructure for Spatial Information in the
383 European Community (INSPIRE). *Official Journal of the European Communities* L 108/1.

384 EC (European Community) (2007b) Directive 2007/60/EC of the European Parliament and of
385 the Council of 23 October 2007 on the assessment and management of flood risks. *Official*
386 *Journal of the European Communities* L288/27. EC (European Community) (2008) Directive

387 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste
388 and repealing certain Directives. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L312/3.

389 EC (European Community) (2009) Directive 2009/28/EC of the European Parliament and of the
390 Council of 23 April 2009 on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources and
391 amending and subsequently repealing Directives 2001/77/EC and 2003/30/EC. *Official*
392 *Journal of the European Communities* L140/16.

393 EC (European Community) (2011) Directive 2011/92/EU of the European Parliament and of the
394 Council of 13 December 2011 on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private
395 projects on the environment. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L26/1.

396 EC (European Community) (2014) Directive 2014/52/EU of the European Parliament and of the
397 Council of 16 April 2014 amending Directive 2011/92/EU on the assessment of the effects of
398 certain public and private projects on the environment. *Official Journal of the European*
399 *Communities* L124/1.

400 European Commission (2011) *Cities of tomorrow – Challenges, visions, ways forward*. Brussels:
401 European Commission, Directorate-General for Regional and Urban Policy.

402 European Commission (2017) *Urban development*. See
403 http://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/en/policy/themes/urban-development/ (accessed
404 05/25/2017)

405 European Commission (n.d.) *About INSPIRE*. See <http://inspire.ec.europa.eu/about-inspire/563>
406 (accessed 06/26/2017).

407 Foster S and Hirata R (2011) *Groundwater use for urban development: enhancing benefits and*
408 *reducing risks*. *SIWI Water Front* 2011: 21-29.

409 GCC (Glasgow City Council) (2017a) *City Development Plan Supplementary Guidance, SG5:*
410 *Resource Management*, 29 March 2017. Glasgow City Council, Glasgow, UK.

411 GCC (Glasgow City Council) (2017b) *City Development Plan*, February 2017. Glasgow City
412 Council, Glasgow, UK.

413 Goodwin P (2005) *Utilities' street works and the cost of traffic congestion*. University of West
414 England.

415 HM Land Registry (2015) *HM Land Registry plans: boundaries (practice guide 40,*
416 *supplement 3)*. See <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/land-registry-plans->

417 boundaries/land-registry-plans-boundaries-practice-guide-40-supplement-3 (accessed
418 09/19/2017).

419 House of Commons (2016) Comparison of the planning systems in the four UK countries.
420 House of Commons Commons Library Briefing Paper 07459.

421 Hunt DVL, Nash D and Rogers CDF (2014) Sustainable utility placement via multi-utility tunnels.
422 Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology **39**: pp.15-26.

423 London Assembly (2016) MD2009 London Infrastructure Mapping Application – Phase 2
424 development. See [https://www.london.gov.uk/decisions/md2009-london-infrastructure-](https://www.london.gov.uk/decisions/md2009-london-infrastructure-mapping-application-phase-2-development)
425 [mapping-application-phase-2-development](https://www.london.gov.uk/decisions/md2009-london-infrastructure-mapping-application-phase-2-development) (accessed 06/20/2017).

426 Meuser H (2010) *Contaminated urban soils, Environmental Pollution* 18. Springer Science &
427 Business Media B.V.

428 MtU (Mapping the Underworld) (2012) Brochure. See www.mappingtheunderworld.ac.uk
429 (accessed 05/25/2017).

430 Nelson PP (2016) A framework for the future of urban underground engineering. Tunnelling and
431 Underground Space Technology **55**: 32-39.

432 Phull R (2017) PAS 256 is here! Increasing the quality, accuracy and reliability of data for buried
433 infrastructure. See [https://www.ice.org.uk/news-and-insight/the-civil-engineer/april-2017/pas-](https://www.ice.org.uk/news-and-insight/the-civil-engineer/april-2017/pas-256-is-here-increasing-the-quality)
434 [256-is-here-increasing-the-quality](https://www.ice.org.uk/news-and-insight/the-civil-engineer/april-2017/pas-256-is-here-increasing-the-quality) (accessed 12/06/17).

435 Planning Act 2008 (2008) *Elizabeth II. Chapter 29*. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, UK

436 Price SJ, Ford JR, Cooper AH and Neal C (2011) Humans as major geological and
437 geomorphological agents in the Anthropocene: the significance of artificial ground in Great
438 Britain. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical*
439 *and Engineering Sciences* **369(1938)**:1056-1084.

440 Rawlins BG, Harris J, Price S and Bartlett M (2015) A review of climate change impacts on
441 urban soil functions with examples and policy insights from England, UK. *Soil use and*
442 *management* **31(S1)**: 46-61.

443 Reynolds E and Reynolds P (2015) Planning for underground spaces "NY-Lon underground".
444 In: Admiraal H and Narang Suri S (eds.). *Think Deep: Planning, development and use of*
445 *underground space in cities*. International Society of City and Regional Planners, ISOCARP,
446 The Hague.

447 RPG (Raumplanungsgesetz) (2015) Bundesgesetz ueber die Raumplanung, Entwurf,
448 05.12.2014. Available at [https://www.are.admin.ch/are/de/home/raumentwicklung-und-
raumplanung/raumplanungsrecht/revision-des-raumplanungsgesetzes--rpg-/revision-des-
raumplanungsgesetzes--rpg---2--etappe.html](https://www.are.admin.ch/are/de/home/raumentwicklung-und-

449 raumplanung/raumplanungsrecht/revision-des-raumplanungsgesetzes--rpg-/revision-des-

450 raumplanungsgesetzes--rpg---2--etappe.html) (accessed 06/10/2017).

451 Scalenghe R, and Marsan FA (2009) The anthropogenic sealing of soils in urban areas.
452 *Landscape and urban planning* **90(1)**: pp.1-10.

453 Sterling R, Admiraal H, Bobylev N, Parker H, Godard JP, Vähäaho I, Rogers CD, Shi X and
454 Hanamura T (2012) Sustainability issues for underground space in urban areas.
455 *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Urban Design and Planning* **165(4)**: 241-
456 254.

457 Tegtmeier W, Zlatanova S, Van Oosterom PJM and Hack HRGK (2014) 3D-GEM: Geo-
458 technical extension towards an integrated 3D information model for infrastructural
459 development. *Computers & Geosciences* **64**: 126-135.

460 The Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2010 (2010) *Statutory*
461 *Instruments, 2010 No.675, Environmental Protection England and Wales*. Her Majesty's
462 Stationery Office, London, UK

463 The Flood Risk Regulation 2009 (2009) *Statutory Instruments, 2009 No.3042, Environmental*
464 *Protection*. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, UK

465 The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (2017)
466 *Statutory Instruments, 2017 No.572, Infrastructure Planning*. Her Majesty's Stationery Office,
467 London, UK

468 The Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (2017)
469 *Statutory Instruments, 2017 No.571, Town and Country Planning*. Her Majesty's Stationery
470 Office, London, UK

471 The Urban Waste Water Treatment Regulations 1994 (1994) *Statutory Instruments, 1994*
472 *No.2841, Water, England and Wales*. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, UK

473 The Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2011 (2011) *Statutory Instruments, 2011 No.988,*
474 *Environmental Protection, England and Wales*. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, UK

475 The Water Environment (Water Framework Directive) Regulations 2017 (2017) *Statutory*
476 *Instruments, 2017 No.407, Water Resources, England and Wales*. Her Majesty's Stationery
477 Office, London, UK

478 Thomas AM, Rogers CDF, Chapman DN, Metje N and Castle J (2009). Stakeholder needs for
479 ground penetrating radar utility location. *Journal of Applied Geophysics* **67(4)**: 345-351.

480 UN (United Nations) (2001) *Integrating Geology in Urban Planning*. Atlas of Urban Geology, 12,
481 2001.

482 UN (United Nations) (2003) *The Ground Beneath Our Feet: A Factor in Urban Planning*. Atlas of
483 Urban Geology, 14, 2003.

484 UN (United Nations) (2017) Sustainable Development Goals, United Nations Development
485 Program. See [http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/sustainable-development-](http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/sustainable-development-goals.html)
486 [goals.html](http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/sustainable-development-goals.html) (accessed 06/27/2017).

487 UN Habitat III (n.d.) The New Urban Agenda, Issue Papers. See [http://habitat3.org/the-new-](http://habitat3.org/the-new-urban-agenda/documents/issue-papers/)
488 [urban-agenda/documents/issue-papers/](http://habitat3.org/the-new-urban-agenda/documents/issue-papers/) (accessed 06/27/2017).

489 Watson C, Jenson NP, Rzyżyński G, Majer K, Hansen M, (2017) Data Acquisition &
490 Management. TU1206 COST Sub-Urban WG2 Report. Available at www.sub-urban.eu
491 (accessed 05/30/2017).

492 Whitbread K, Dick G and Campbell D (2016) Glasgow. TU1206 COST Sub-Urban WG1 Report.
493 Available at www.sub-urban.eu (accessed 01/31/2017).

494




Figure 1. The multitude of human uses and their legacy in the urban subsurface (not to scale, geological features are not shown). Skyline reprinted with permission of Neil Watson, www.neil-watson.co.uk




Figure 2.

Snapshot of the London Infrastructure Map (<https://maps.london.gov.uk/ima/>) showing future investments in the water and energy sector (dark and light blue, respectively) as well as Crossrail 1 (purple) and the safeguarded route for Crossrail 2 (red).

Table 1. EU Directives affecting Governance of the Urban Subsurface (selection by author)

Year	EU directive*	Topics relevant for the Urban Subsurface	Main effective transposition in England
1991/ 1998	Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive (EC 1991, EC 1998)	Waste water treatment including prevention of leakage of collecting systems	The Urban Waste Water Treatment (England and Wales) Regulations 1994 The Urban Waste Water Treatment (England and Wales) (Amendment) Regulations 2003
2000	EU Water Framework Directive (EC 2000)	Surface water Groundwater Groundwater dependent ecosystems	The Water Environment (Water Framework Directive) (England and Wales) Regulations 2017
2001	Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive (EC 2001)	Incorporates environmental considerations in strategic planning, including land use planning	The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004
2006	Extractive Waste Directive (EC 2006a)	Management of geological materials that are considered waste	The Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2010
2006	Groundwater Directive (EC 2006b)	Protection of groundwater	Groundwater Regulations 2009 Groundwater (Water Framework Directive) (England) Direction 2016 (DEFRA, 2016)
2007	Infrastructure for Spatial Information in the European Community (INSPIRE) Directive (EC 2007a)	Requires to improve access to and sharing of spatial data	The INSPIRE Regulations 2009 The INSPIRE (Amendment) Regulations 2012
2007	Flood Directive (EC 2007b)	Assessment and management of flood risks	The Flood Risk Regulation 2009
2008	Waste Framework Directive (EC, 2008)	Disposal of excavated soil	The Waste Regulations (England and Wales) 2011
2009	Renewable Energy Directive (EC, 2009)	Legally binding targets for the share of renewable energy sources (20%) Definition of 'geothermal energy'	i.a. The Renewables Obligation Order 2015
2011/ 2014	Environmental Impact Assessment Directive (EC 2011, EC 2014)	Principles for environmental impact assessment of projects including the description of effects on: - cultural heritage (archaeology) - soil and water	The Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017

*only main directive and major amendments of directives listed.

Table 2. National Planning Policy Guidance relevant for the Urban Subsurface (DCLG, 2016, selection by author)

National Planning Policy Guidance	Topics relevant for the Urban Subsurface
Air Quality	Green infrastructure Modes of transport with low impact on air quality
Climate Change	Renewable energy technologies Sustainable transport Availability of water and water infrastructure Flood risk
Conserving and enhancing the historic environment	Archaeological sites Undesignated buried remains of archaeological interest
Environmental Impact Assessment	Effects on soil and water Archaeological heritage Effects of the use of natural resources
Flood Risk and coastal change	All kinds of flood risks including surface and groundwater flooding Sustainable drainage systems.
Land affected by contamination	Planning duties with regards to land contamination and its possible effects
Land stability	Planning duties with regards to land instabilities.
Minerals	Safeguarding and extraction of mineral resources.
Natural Environment	Protection of ecosystems, in particular soil
Tree preservation Orders and trees in conservation areas	Protection of trees including tree roots
Waste	Landfill and excavation waste.
Water supply, wastewater and water quality	Identification of suitable sites for new or enhanced infrastructure